LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX

INDEX

Α	Alice Penrod April 27, 2023 Dep
В	Amatullah Contractor July 20, 2022 Dep
С	Bexar County Jacquelyn Callanen April 4, 2022 Dep.
D	Bexar County Jacquelyn Callanen April 20, 2022 Dep.
Е	Bexar County Jacquelyn Callanen February 28, 2023 Dep.
F	Cameron County Remi Garza May 9, 2022 Dep.
G	Dallas County EA April 29, 2022 Dep.
Н	Dallas County Michael Scarpello April 13, 2023 Dep.
I	Dallas County Michael Scarpello May 4, 2022 Dep.
J	Dallas County Tacoma Phillips April 13, 2023 Dep.
K	Douglas Kruse May 3, 2022 Dep.
L	Pls' Expert, Dr. Douglas L. Kruse, February 28, 2022 Report) at 11
M	El Paso County Lisa Wise April 13, 2022 Dep.) at 184:4–184:13
N	El Paso County Lisa Wise April 15, 2023 Dep.) at 234:22–235:8
О	El Paso County Lisa Wise April 18, 2023 Dep.) at 71:19–71:23
Р	Harris County Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022 Dep.) at 67:7–70:3
Q	Harris County Jennifer Colvin March 21, 2023 Dep.) at 45:7–46:24
R	Harris County Lauren Smith March 21, 2022 Dep.) at 13:21–17:17
S	United States Expert, Dr. Eitan Hersh, February 10, 2023 Report) at 7
Т	Hidalgo County Hilda Salinas April 20, 2023 Depo) at 31:16–32:17
U	Yvonne Ramón April 21, 2022 Dep.) at 153:8–155:19
V	Hidalgo County Yvonne Ramon May 10, 2022 Dep.) at 236:18–236:21
W	Janet Eickmeyer June 13, 2022 Dep.) at 23:4–23:10
X	Julie Espinoza June 9, 2022 Dep.) at 50:7–50:12, 93:23–94:2
Y	Kara Ayers May 10, 2022 Dep.) at 173:22
Z	Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
AA	Michelle Brown April 23, 2022 Dep.) at 184:21–185:3
BB	Nancy Crowther June 17, 2022 Dep.) at 50:16–21, 117:2–21
CC	OCA-GH Deborah Chen March 28, 2022 Dep.) at 231:3–231:17
DD	Pamiel Gaskin June 29, 2022 Dep.) at 20:22-24:24
EE	Rep. John Bucy August 9, 2022 Dep.) at 158:20 – 160:2
FF	REVUP Bob Kafka April 7, 2022 Dep.) at 107:15–109:5
GG	Sadia Tirmizi May 8, 2023 Dep.) at 51:5–51:11
НН	Teri Saltzman July 15, 2022 Dep.) at 24:22–25:3
II	The Arc of Texas Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022 Dep.) at 63:7–63:11, 90:10–90:15,
	91:23–92:23, 93:8–93:21, 94:7–15
JJ	Toby Cole June 28, 2022 Dep.) at 25:24-26:1
KK	Travis County Rebecca Guerrero May 11, 2022 Dep.) at 51:16–51:18

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX A

```
Page 1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                 SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO
                           $ CASE NO. 5:21-CV-844-XR
ENTERO, ET AL.,
      PLAINTIFFS,
                           § [LEAD CASE]
V.
GREGORY W. ABBOTT, ET AL.,
      DEFENDANTS.
OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, ET
                            $ CASE NO. 1:21-CV-780-XR
AL.,
      PLAINTIFFS,
                            S
V.
                            S
                            S
JANE NELSON, ET AL,.
      DEFENDANTS.
HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE, §
ET AL.,
                            § CASE NO. 5:21-CV-848-XR
      PLAINTIFFS,
                            S
                            S
V.
                            S
GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT, ET
                            S
AL.,
      DEFENDANTS.
LULAC TEXAS, ET AL.,
                            § CASE NO. 1:21-CV-0786-XR
     PLAINTIFFS,
                            S
V.
                            S
                            S
JANE NELSON, ET AL.,
                            S
      DEFENDANTS.
MI FAMILIA VOTA, ET AL.,
                            $ CASE NO. 5:21-CV-0920-XR
     PLAINTIFFS,
                            S
V.
GREG ABBOTT, ET AL.,
                            S
     DEFENDANTS.
```



	Page 2		Page 3
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, §	1	APPEARANCES
0	PLAINTIFF, § CASE NO. 5:21-CV-1085-XR	2	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
2	\(\frac{\}{V}\). \(\frac{\}{\}\)		MR. ZACHARY BERG (VIA ZOOM)
3	§	4	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
4	THE STATE OF TEXAS, ET §	5	P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548
4	AL., § DEFENDANTS §		TELEPHONE: (512) 463-2100
5	•	6	E-MAIL: ZACHARY.BERG@OAG.TEXAS.GOV FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
6	***********	,	MR. NOAH BARON (VIA ZOOM)
7		8	ELIAS LAW GROUP 250 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NORTHWEST
8	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	9	SUITE 400
9	ALICE DENIGOD	10	WASHINGTON, DC 20001
10	ALICE PENROD	10	TELEPHONE: (202) 968-4556 E-MAIL: NBARON@ELIAS.LAW
11	APRIL 27, 2023	11	Ŭ
12	***************	12 13	ALSO PRESENT:
13	*************	13	MR. HAMDON - VIDEOGRAPHER MR. STEPHEN KENNY
14	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ALICE PENROD,	14	MR. KEVIN ZHEN
15	PRODUCED AS A WITNESS AT THE INSTANCE OF THE STATE'S	15	MR. MARCOS MOCINE MS. JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ
16 17	DEFENDANTS, WAS TAKEN IN THE ABOVE-STYLED AND -NUMBERED CAUSE ON THE 27TH DAY OF APRIL, 2023, FROM 10:03 A.M. TO	16	Mo. Josef Hive Raminee
18	12:24 P.M., BEFORE KAREN A. GONZALEZ, COMMISSIONED	17	
19	NOTARY, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS, REPORTED REMOTELY	18 19	
20	BY MACHINE SHORTHAND, REMOTELY FROM DALLAS COUNTY,	20	
21 22	TEXAS, PURSUANT TO THE TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, THE TEXAS SUPREME COURT EMERGENCY ORDER REGARDING THE	21 22	
23	COVID-19 STATE OF DISASTER AND THE PROVISIONS STATED ON	23	
24 25	THE RECORD OR ATTACHED HERETO.	24 25	
23	Page 4	23	Page 5
-1	-	1	
1 2	INDEX	2	REPORTED REMOTELY FROM DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS PROCEEDINGS
3	APPEARANCES 2	3	TROCEEDINGS
4	SWORN STATEMENT OF ALICE PENROD	4	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BERG 6	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: WE ARE NOW ON THE RECORD.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARON	6	THIS BEGINS VIDEO NUMBER ONE IN THE
7	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE 81	7	DEPOSITION OF ALICE PENROD IN THE MATTER OF LA UNIÓN DEL
8	JURAT	8	PUEBLO ENTERO, ET AL., VERSUS STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL.
9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 83	9	TODAY IS THURSDAY APRIL 27, 2023, AND THE
10		10	TIME IS 10:03 A.M.
11		11	COUNSEL AND ALL PARTIES PRESENT WILL BE
12		12	NOTED ON THE STENOGRAPHIC RECORD.
13	EXHIBIT	13	WILL THE COURT REPORTER PLEASE SWEAR IN THE
14	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	14	WITNESS.
15	A LULAC PLAINTIFFS' SEVENTH SUPPLEMENTAL RULE	15	THE REPORTER: YES.
16	26(A)(1) INITIAL DISCLOSURES	16	MS. ALICE PENROD, IF YOU COULD PLEASE RAISE
17		17	YOUR RIGHT HAND.
18		18	(WITNESS SWORN.)
19		19	THE WITNESS: I DO.
20		20	THE REPORTER: THANK YOU.
21		21	I AM READY WHEN ALL PARTIES ARE READY.
22		22	
23		23	ALICE PENROD,
24		24	HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED
25		25	AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:



Page 34 Page 35 WAS WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY, WHEN WE VOTED IN THE GENERA 1 APPLICATION FOR BALLOT BY MAIL IN 2022? ELECTION, IT JUST CAME TO THE HOUSE. WE HAD ALREADY 2 A. NO. Q. DO YOU RECALL HOW YOU APPLIED TO VOTE BY MAIL-IN 3 MADE THE DECISION TO GO IN-PERSON. WE WERE NOT AWARE --3 4 I WAS NOT AWARE THAT I NEEDED TO TAKE MY MAIL-IN BALLOT 5 A. I BELIEVE I APPLIED ONLINE. 5 THAT I HAD NOT USED WITH ME TO THE POLLING PLACE. SO 6 Q. AND AFTER YOU APPLIED ONLINE, DID YOU HAVE TO 6 WHEN WE GOT TO THE POLLING PLACE WE HAD TO GO TO A DESK WHERE THE ELECTION OFFICER HAD TO CALL THE MAIN ELECTION PRINT ANYTHING OUT? A. I THINK I HAD TO PRINT SOMETHING AND MAIL IT IN. 8 OFFICER TO VERIFY THAT WE HAD GOTTEN A MAIL-IN BALLOT, 8 9 Q. AND YOU DID NOT HAVE ANY ISSUES WITH THAT 9 THAT WE HADN'T MAILED IT BACK IN, AND WE WERE ABLE TO 10 PROCESS? 10 VOTE IN-PERSON. SO THAT WAS A LEARNING EXPERIENCE. 11 A. NO. 11 Q. (BY MR. BERG) SO WHEN YOU WENT TO VOTE IN-PERSON MR. BARON: OBJECT TO FORM. FOR THE NOVEMBER 2022 ELECTION WITH YOUR HUSBAND, AND 12 12 YOU CAN ANSWER. 13 YOUR MOTHER, SOMEONE IN THE ELECTION'S OFFICE TOLD YOU 13 THAT THERE WAS AN ISSUE BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T HAVE YOUR 14 A. NO. 14 15 Q. (BY MR. BERG) OTHER THAN THE MARCH 1ST, 2022, 15 MAIL-IN BALLOT WITH YOU; IS THAT CORRECT? PRIMARY, DID YOU HAVE ANY ISSUES VOTING IN EITHER 2022 A. RIGHT. RIGHT. THEY HAD TO MAKE PHONE CALLS 16 16 OR 2023? FIRST TO MAKE SURE THAT IT WAS -- THAT WE COULD VOTE. 17 17 18 MR. BARON: OBJECT TO FORM. 18 THEY HAD IT ON RECORD THAT WE WERE GOING TO VOTE 19 YOU CAN ANSWER. 19 IN-PERSON. 20 A. WHEN I VOTED IN THE GENERAL ELECTION, I WAS NOT 20 Q. DID YOU HAVE TO SURRENDER YOUR BALLOT, OR DID 21 AWARE THAT WE NEEDED TO BRING IN OUR MAIL-IN BALLOT, 21 THEY JUST HAVE TO MAKE CALLS? BECAUSE APPARENTLY WE HAD -- WE HAD, I GUESS, WHEN WE 22 A. THEY JUST HAD TO MAKE PHONE CALLS. 2.2 2.3 APPLIED FOR THE BALLOTS THEY MAILED TO US THE PRIMARY 23 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO THEY WERE CALLING? 24 AND THE GENERAL WAS SENT TO US, I DON'T KNOW. IF THEY 2.4 A. I ASSUME IT WAS THE RECENT CHAIR OR SOMEBODY, YOU 25 AUTOMATICALLY -- I DIDN'T HAVE TO DO IT AGAIN, I GUESS, 25 KNOW, THE MAIN -- THE MAIN PEOPLE IN CHARGE OF VOTING. Page 37 1 I DON'T KNOW WHO THEY CALLED FOR -- SPECIFICALLY. 1 A. THAT'S CORRECT, 'CAUSE I HAVEN'T VOTED YET. 2 2 Q. AFTER THEY --Q. (BY MR. BERG) SO WOULD IT BE A CORRECT SUMMARY 3 TO SAY THAT OTHER THAN YOUR 2022 PRIMARY VOTE, THE ONLY 3 A. BUT WE GOT TO SIT THERE FOR AWHILE WHILE SHE MADE THE PHONE CALL. ISSUE AT VOTING WAS IN THE 2022 GENERAL ELECTION WHEN 5 YOU VOTED IN-PERSON: IS THAT CORRECT? 5 Q. AFTER THE PHONE CALL, WERE YOU ABLE TO VOTE BY 6 REGULAR BALLOT? OR DID YOU HAVE TO USE A PROVISION 6 MR. BARON: OBJECT TO FORM. 7 BALLOT? 7 YOU CAN ANSWER. A. SO I DID HAVE ISSUES VOTING BY MAIL-IN THE 8 A. REGULAR BALLOT, I THINK, I'M ASSUMING. IT WAS 8 9 THE ONE THAT THEY GAVE US, SO ... PRIMARY OF 2022. Q. (BY MR. BERG) OKAY. WE'LL GET TO THAT --10 Q. AND ONCE THEY GAVE YOU THE PHYSICAL BALLOT AT THE 10 11 VOTING LOCATION WERE YOU ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY VOTE? 11 A. BUT I --12 A. YES. 12 Q. WHY --A. BUT I DID NOT HAVE ANY ISSUES OTHER THAN, YOU 13 13 Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY ISSUE VOTING IN EITHER THE 14 KNOW, HAVING TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO TAKE MY MOTHER DOWN 14 MAY 7TH, 2022, ELECTION OR THE MAY 24TH PRIMARY RUNOFF? 1.5 MR. BARON: OBJECT TO FORM. 15 WITH US TO VOTE, 'CAUSE WE NO LONGER TRUSTED THE MAIL-IN YOU CAN ANSWER. 16 BALLOTS YOU KNOW STANDING IN THE RAIN GETTING THERE 16 17 17 EARLY, YOU KNOW? TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DIDN'T A. NO. Q. (BY MR. BERG) AND YOU -- SORRY, DID YOU HAVE 18 HAVE HER STANDING TOO LONG. AND THEN, YOU KNOW, HAVING 18 TO BE PULLED ASIDE AND PHONE CALLS MADE BECAUSE WE 19 MORE? 19 20 A. NO. I WAS THINKING DATES IN MY HEAD. 20 DIDN'T BRING OUR BALLOT, WHICH WE DIDN'T KNOW WE WERE 21 Q. AND YOU HAVEN'T HAD ANY ISSUES VOTING IN 2023 21 SUPPOSED TO. SO YOU KNOW IT WASN'T -- IT WASN'T JUST A PIECE OF CAKE TO GO IN AND VOTE IN-PERSON, IT WAS -- IT YET; IS THAT CORRECT? 22 2.2 23 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 23 TOOK PLANNING, AND TIME. AND -- SO I WOULD CALL THAT A 24 MR. BARON: OBJECT TO FORM. LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT THAN JUST BEING ABLE TO WALK IN 2.4 YOU CAN ANSWER. AND, YOU KNOW, STAND IN LINE, VOTE, AND LEAVE. 2.5



LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX B

Amatullah Contractor July 20, 2022

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 3 LA UNION DEL PUEBLO S 4 ENTERO, ET AL. S § 5 Plaintiff S S Civil Action No. 5:21-cv-00844-XR 6 V. § S 7 GREGORY W. ABBOTT, ET S AL. S Defendant. 8 9 10 ORAL DEPOSITION OF 11 AMATULLAH CONTRACTOR 12 JULY 20, 2022 13 14 15 16 ORAL DEPOSITION OF AMATULLAH CONTRACTOR, produced 17 as a witness at the instance of the Defendant and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled and numbered cause 18 19 on Wednesday, July 20, 2022, from 10:26 a.m. to 20 1:13 p.m., before DONNA QUALLS, Notary Public in and for 21 the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype 22 machine, at the offices of the Texas Justice Center, 23 4900 Fournace Place, Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions 24 25 stated on the record or attached hereto.



July 20, 2022 Pages 14 to 17

Page 16

Amatullah Contractor Page 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. 2 I guess, let's talk about your voting 3 background in particular. 4 Are you registered to vote? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And how long have you been registered to vote? 7 A. I registered to vote in 2016. 8 Q. And where are you registered to vote? 9 A. The address? At --10 Q. The county, yes. 11 A. Oh, in Harris County. 12 Q. And how frequently would you say you vote? A. I vote quite frequently, but I have missed a 13 14 few votes. 15 Q. Have you voted -- strike that. 16 Since you registered in 2016, have you 17 always been registered in Harris County? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And have you voted in person in Travis County? 20 MS. CHEN: Objection.

4 Q. Which party's primary did you vote in? A. I voted in the Democratic primary. 5 Q. Have you voted in the Texas Democratic 6 7 primaries before? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Roughly, how many times? 10 A. Two times. 11 Q. Did you experience any difficulties when you 12 voted in the March 2022 primary? 13 A. Personally, I -- when I was going to vote, I 14 did not experience difficulties. 15 Q. What about in any of the elections in which you 16 voted in 2020? Did you experience any difficulties 17 there? 18 A. No. Q. Have you been able to vote successfully every 19 20 time you have attempted to vote? 21 MR. WASSDORF: Oh. Harris County. Thank 21 A. Yes. 22 you. 22 Q. Before we get to SB 1 itself, let me follow up 23 Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) Let me repeat that. Have 23 about your political involvement more generally. You've 24 you ever voted in person in Harris County? 24 already spoken about Emgage. 25 A. Yes. Are you involved with any other advocacy

3

25 Page 15 Q. Have you ever voted by mail in Harris County? 1 2 3 Q. Have you ever applied to vote by mail? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Have you ever used an assistor to vote? 6 7 Q. Have you ever provided assistance to a voter? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. I'll just make a note. We'll come back to 10 that. 11 Have you ever requested an accommodation 12 when voting? 13 A. Could you please define "accommodation"? 14 Q. I guess beyond assistor that would be an 15 interpreter or requesting the assistance of an election 16 worker. 17 A. No. 18 Q. Did you vote in 2020? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. In which election? A. In the 2020 primary election, in the 2020 21 22 primary runoff elections, and the 2020 general election. 23 Q. And what about in 2022? 24 A. I voted in the March 1st primary election.

Q. There was also a primary runoff election and a

25

Page 17 1 groups? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Have you ever publically endorsed a political 4 candidate? 5 A. Could you clarify by endorse? Q. Have you ever publicly announced that you 7 support a particular political candidate? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Who would that be? 10 A. There -- I do not recall all of them, but on --11 I am -- I think I -- I believe that I have publically 12 endorsed Beto O'Rourke and Lema Barazi who ran for 13 judge. Ben Chou for Precinct 4 commissioner. Those are 14 the names that I recall currently. Q. And are they all Democrats? 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Have you ever endorsed a Republican candidate? 18 A. No.

Q. Have you ever publicly endorsed a ballot

Q. Your current employer, remind me who that is

20 measure or other proposal?

A. I don't recall doing so, no.

A. Grassroots Analytics.

Q. And what do they do?

1 local and constitutional amendment election. Did you

vote in either of those?

A. I did not.



19

21

22

24

25

23 again.

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX C

Transcript of the Testimony of Jacquelyn Callanen

Date:

April 04, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

22

25

April 04, 2022 Pages 98 to 101

Page 98 Page 100 THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. If they want to change that Election Code to 1 2 prevent fraud, do you think they have to actually have 2 MR. JEFF WHITE: We can take a quick break 3 proof of actual fraud before they do that or is trying 3 now. 4 to prevent it okay? VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:42 a.m. We 4 5 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection, form. 5 are off the record. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer 6 (Recess taken) 7 that. 7 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:00 p.m. and 8 Q. (By Mr. Jeff White) But you would you agree the 8 we are back on the record. 9 legislature, it is their purview to modify the Election Q. (By Mr. Jeff White) Ms. Callanen, Jackie, I 10 Code? 10 just wanted to quickly talk about -- we had previously 11 A. Correct. 11 talked about some of the improvements in SB-1. And 12 there was one topic I wanted to ask you about that I 12 Q. I believe you said that in the climate we are 13 in now, you are getting a lot of reports of suspected 13 don't think came up. Didn't SB-1 extend early voting 14 fraud; is that correct? 14 hours? 15 A. Correct. 15 A. Excuse me. Yes, it did. It is up until I 16 think 10:00 o'clock but here in Bexar County. I mean 16 Q. And if people think fraud is occurring, does 17 that affect their competence in the elections 17 like I didn't -- I don't want to say I dismissed that 18 themselves? 18 part but we have always been ahead of the curve. We 19 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection, form. 19 have always had our 10 hour days and our 12 hour days so 20 THE WITNESS: That is what it feels like. 20 I sort of skipped that part of it. 21 Q. (By Mr. Jeff White) So if people think fraud is 21 Q. Are you aware that it also extended mandatory 22 occurring and have lower confidence in the elections, do 22 hours for early voting to smaller counties? 23 you think putting in additional procedures to try to 23 24 prevent fraud, conserve to improve the competence of the 24 Q. And do you view that as an improvement in SB-1? 25 voters? 25 A. I would say so. Page 101 Page 99 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection, form. Q. And are you aware of the provision in SB-1 --1 2 THE WITNESS: If it is done in a timely 2 strike that. Prior to SB-1, are you aware that the 3 manner. 3 Election Code protected workers who needed time off from 4 work on election day? 4 Q. (By Mr. Jeff White) And following up on that --5 strike that. You don't think SB-1 making changes to a A. Yes, sir. 6 large 2022 primary was enough time for you to implement; 6 Q. And are you aware of SB-1 changing that 7 is that correct? 7 provision? A. Correct. A. I think it -- yes, yes, because I think it 9 Q. If SB-1 had allotted Bexar County more time to 9 extended it now to early voting. They could have the 10 implement it before it went into effect for an election, 10 time off during early voting. Prior to that, I think it 11 could it have been done better? 11 was just election day. 12 Q. Would you view that as something that made it 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you think that because you didn't have a 13 easier to vote? 14 lot of time, that there were issues? 14 A. Yes and no. I mean I am split on that because 15 I believe with our 10 hours a day and with our weekend 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And the issues created by that lag of time did 16 availability, that there would be enough time for 17 not create confidence; is that correct? 17 someone to go. 18 A. Correct. 18 Q. So what you are saying is that the early voting 19 MS. CUBRIEL: When you get to a good 19 hours that you offer in Bexar County make it pretty easy 20 stopping point, I think it would be a good time for 20 for someone to vote if they want to during the early 21 another break. 21 period?

22

23

A. Yes, sir.

Q. (By Mr. Jeff White) Okay. But if you had more

23 time to implement SB-1, do you think it could have been

MS. CUBRIEL: Objection, form.

24 more effective at improving voter confidence?

Q. So we briefly touched on this issue of how you

24 got ready, maybe not briefly, how you have been25 implementing the changes in SB-1. And I just kind of

April 04, 2022 Pages 102 to 105

Page 102

- 1 wanted to step back and kind of talk a little bit more
- 2 about the training that you had to do to implement it.
- 3 So we may have talked about this before. But what
- 4 training did you receive from the Secretary of State's 5 office?
- 6 A. I think we had webinars available to us and 7 some advisories that would come down.
- 8 Q. And did they -- strike that. Did the Secretary
- 9 of State's office cover all of the changes in SB-1 that
- 10 were relevant to you as Bexar County administrator?
- 11 A. I would say yes, but again, with reservations.
- 12 Q. What are the reservations?
- 13 A. There were so many thing ins SB-1. There were
- 14 so many pieces and parts to it that I am sure we haven't
- 15 discovered everything yet.
- 16 Q. So on your own end for the county, I think you
- 17 said that you had to do your own training; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And who did you have to train?
- 20 A. Well, we have internal staff we have to train
- 21 and then our 1200 workers that we have to train so it is
- 22 an on-going thing. The temps that come in have to have
- 23 training so it is quite, quite a lot.
- 24 Q. And what changes in SB-1 were the hardest to
- 25 train them to implement?

Page 104

- 1 you have to stop and then you have to restart. Well,
- 2 that sounds fine. But now, all of a sudden, I have a
- 3 staff member and we have to get a special computer that
- 4 we had to hurry up and buy so that they could go online
- 5 and stop and start it. And so it just was an added
- 6 burden to these people whether, you know, it is like oh,
- 7 my God, I forgot, setting alarms, handing off the
- 8 computer so see who could do it because one person
- 9 wasn't going to step up til midnight each night.
- 10 However, it was just a complication.
- 11 Q. And when you implemented these processes, are
- 12 they documented in written form?
- 13 A. The instructions on the YouTube is yes.
- 14 Q. What about for other changes in SB-1, do you
- 15 have procedure documents that kind of --
- 16 A. Incomplete ones, incomplete ones. We had
- 17 fantastic -- really proud of the documentations. But
- 18 because of all of the changes and as we have learned
- 19 through time, that when you set a process up, you have
- 20 to live a process before you can document a process
- 21 because what looks good on paper may not work in
- 22 reality. And so we are still at that point right now
- 23 because we just came off of the March election.
- Q. So are these procedural documents that are maintained at your office on the computer?
- 25 maintained at your office on the computer

Page 103

- 1 A. The by-mail process definitely.
- 2 Q. And why is that?
- A. Again, as we have talked about, with the number
- 4 of rejections that we had and the number of steps we had
- 5 to take so that we could handle our by-mail voters and
- 6 the actions in the time that we normally handled them.
- 7 So it put a push to it.
- 8 Q. And in addition to training, did you have to
- 9 implement new processes and procedures?
- 10 A. Absolutely.
- 11 Q. So what kind of processes and procedures do you
- 12 maintain as election administrator?
- 13 A. I would say pick one. The one we had to -- and
- 14 I think I alluded to it earlier -- was that with the --
- 15 the necessity to have parts of our office under 24 hour
- 16 video surveillance, that was guite a last minute, I mean
- 17 again, emergency kind of thing. As I said before, the
- 18 wheels of county government go very slowly.
- 19 And we were still living with it because
- 20 not only did the video 24 hours a day, seven days a week
- 21 streaming -- and I can't believe I am saying this -- but
- the only way we did it was to have it up on YouTube.And unbeknownst to myself, as we were getting into the
- 24 planning stages and with my technical support people,
- 25 YouTube only allows you to stream for 12 hours and then

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
 - 2 Q. And you are those based on what happened in
 - 3 March 2022?
 - 4 A. We are now, yes, sir.
 - 5 Q. And so in addition to SB-1, at the same time,
 - 6 we just had restricting in Texas. How does that impact
 - 7 your ability to implement in changes in SB-1?
 - 8 A. The restricting came down so late we all know.
 - 9 And I think just, you know, as we have been sitting here
 - 10 just all of us talking about what had happened and the
 - 11 pandemic and how it changed our lives and, you know, it
 - 12 was easy to sit at home and say and hear on the news
 - 13 that there were supply chain problems. There were
 - 14 supply chain problems. It hit us really hard.
 - 15 The redistricting came along and it was
 - 16 months later than normal. As you heard me say before,
 - 47 was always agent arm agenda and Navarahan 45th to
 - 17 we always sent our cards out November 15th to
 - 18 December 15th every odd year. And this year, we were
 - 19 all still in the throws of redistricting when it was
 - 20 time to mail out our cards. So now we have the perfect
 - 21 storm because we are changing districts, we are changing
 - 22 voter registration cards. We need to get our voter
 - 23 registration cards in the hands of our voters who are
 - 24 going to vote in the primary. And we all ran up against
 - 25 a wall that there was absolutely no blue paper to

April 04, 2022 Pages 106 to 109

Page 106

1 vote -- to print our voter registration cards, our

2 1,194,000 voter registration cards.

And it makes you crazy when you have it ready and you call and we don't have the paper yet, we

5 don't have the paper yet, we don't have the paper yet.

6 And now we are into January, January 15th, we don't have 7 the paper yet.

And then one day, you get this call and

9 you have this bright spot that your vendor says, we know

10 the paper is in a warehouse in New Jersey. Our blue

11 paper was printed -- was made in Slovakia. So it is on

12 a boat from Slovakia and it is now in a warehouse in New

13 Jersey. And so now we are waiting for our paper to get

14 to San Antonio. That is where our vendor is. And we

15 wait and we wait and we wait.

16 So our voter registration cards were

17 mailed out the first week of February, which as you can

18 imagine, was the perfect storm. Because now we are in

19 the throws of SB-1 with rejecting applications. People

20 were getting their new cards. They are calling to tell

21 us like we had the discussion before, that I got this

22 card, these people don't live here. So just for a

23 management situation, it was the perfect storm.

24 But the voters had their cards in time to

25 vote in the registration, you know, the primary with

Page 107

1 their registration cards. We are still working through

2 the return voter registration cards and sending out the

3 confirmation cards, getting all of that done.

4 And again, when redistricting occurs, Nina

5 and I can smile because we have been down this road -- I

6 think this will be our third one which was very nice.

7 But a lot of the voters have no idea that their district

8 has been moved. And so they go to the polls in the

9 primary and then they are fighting with our election

10 judges because they know that they vote in this district

11 and why are they giving them this ballot and they are

12 given them the wrong ballot. It just sort of snowballs.

13 Q. So did the restricting effort, did that --

14 strike that. Was your ability to send out these

15 registration cards to voters in February, did that

16 impact the time available for those voters to request

17 mail-in ballots?

18 A. No, it was on a different track. It was on a

19 collision course but it did not affect them.

20 Q. Why did it not affect that?

21 A. Like again, they are separate processes. The

22 difference -- and when I said it was a perfect storm is

23 when some of our voters received their ballot at home,

24 after we had got through the process, then they were

25 calling because they were unfamiliar with the people

Page 108

1 that were on their ballot. They had always been in this

2 district and why did we sent them a ballot with this

3 name on it, that we were wrong. So we had to finesse

4 that and explain to them that yes, the census was --

5 because of the pandemic, the census wasn't done in a

6 timely fashion, restricting wasn't done in a timely

7 fashion, and so on and so forth.

3 Q. So I think I understand. So when they request

9 a mail-in ballot, it doesn't matter what is on the

10 ballot at that time; is that correct? Strike that.

11 Is it correct to say that a voter can

12 request to receive a mail-in ballot before the

13 redistricting process is complete?

4 A. Yes, sure, depending on whenever. I mean in

15 2010 I think it was, it took years for us to get through

16 the legal parameters.

17 Q. But when they ask for they mail-in ballot, they

18 are not specifying what ballot that they want to return;

19 is that correct?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. So if the restricting boundaries changed after

22 they send in the request, when it is time to send them

23 the ballot, you send them the correct ballot?

24 A. We send them the correct one, yes, sir.

25 Q. So is that how these were on separate tracks

Page 109

1 and they weren't affected by this delay of blue paper?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Do you know if some voters rely on receiving

4 the registration card before they ask for a mail-in

5 ballot?

6 A. Absolutely.

7 Q. So for those voters, would getting that late

8 have made them apply for the mail-in ballot late?

9 A. No. Well, because when they called and said

10 where is my registration card, you know, then we had to

11 explain to them this and this and this. So no, they

12 knew the had to get their applications in.

13 Q. What was the deadline for requesting a mail-in

14 ballot for the March primary?

15 A. February 18th.

16 Q. So if they were waiting for that card to do it,

17 they would have missed out; correct?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. So these individuals who didn't get the card,

20 you said some of them called in to ask you why?

21 A. Yes

22 Q. So would those individuals have applied for the

23 mail-in ballot later than usual?

24 A. No. Again, I don't -- I don't -- when they

25 called, we were speaking to them and telling them to get

April 04, 2022 Pages 198 to 201

Page 200

Page 198

- 1 provisions in the Election Code?
- A. No, not really. 2
- Q. Do you remember when we talked about new
- 4 requirements on individuals who bring multiple people to
- 5 the polling place?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what is that new requirement?
- A. If someone brings more than seven people in a
- 9 van as campaigns are like to do, there is a form that
- 10 now must be filled out by the driver where you get the
- 11 name and address of the organization, and then the names
- 12 of the people who are in the car or van. And as I said,
- 13 I think I said earlier I know we had two of those forms
- 14 filled out in this March election.
- 15 Q. Were there any issues with people who weren't
- 16 willing to sign the form?
- 17 A. I don't know. Again, I don't -- I couldn't
- 18 tell you that. We had not had our debriefing from our
- 19 election officials yet.
- 20 Q. So could you get what was marked Exhibit 7,
- 21 please, and I will try to find a page and can you look
- 22 at page 50 of that exhibit. It is marked on the bottom.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And do you see on that page, line 18, can you
- 25 read that?

- A. No. This was, again, this was on the carrier
- 2 envelope and the assistant envelope. And so it was
- 3 there prior to this. They have just added that -- I
- 4 think they put in that part that you are not receiving 5 compensation.
- 6 Q. And do assistants have to fill out this form if
- 7 they assist a voter in person at an election site as
- 8 well?
- 9 A. Absolutely, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And did you have any issues during the March
- 11 primary with assistants completing the form?
- 12 A. No, sir. I mean it has been standard.
- 13 Q. Do you have elections officials who are able to
- 14 assist voters?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- 16 Q. And how do they assist them?
- 17 A. Again, when the election officials get there in
- 18 the morning, they have -- now they have three oaths that
- 19 they have to take. They take the constitutional oath,
- 20 they take the oath of office. And then as a
- 21 recommendation from us, we have all of the election
- 22 officials first thing in the morning take the oath of
- 23 assistance. And so they sign that and put that away.
- 24 Because then any voter may ask for assistance from the
- 25 elections staff and that would take two people going

Page 199

- A. Where I am I? Assistance to the voters, yes, 1
- 2 sir.

7

- 3 Q. Now, could you please turn to page 52?
- A. 52, yes, sir. 4
- 5 Q. At line two, do you see where it says Section
- 6 64.0322?
- A. Yes, sir, submission of a form by the 8 assistant.
- Q. Are you familiar with this provision in SB-1? 9 10 A. Yes. I mean it hasn't -- I don't think it
- 11 is -- in my opinion, it hasn't changed from the form we
- 12 had been using before other than to say that the person
- 13 is going to swear that they haven't been paid to be the
- 14 assistant. But the oath of assistance has always been
- 15 there. Obviously before, for the voter's protection
- 16 before any person other than the voter can step up to
- 17 the booth, they had to fill out an oath of assistance
- 18 that they are in fact assisting that voter. So this
- 19 didn't change things a whole lot.
- Q. So based on your experience, would you
- 21 characterize this assistance oath and form to be minor
- 22 changes in SB-1?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Did you see any issues during the March primary
- 25 due to the requirements of this assistant form?

- Page 201 1 over to the booth. But sometimes people don't want a
- 2 close relative or somebody to know how they are voting
- 3 so they will ask the election staff.
- 4 And so once the election staff has signed
- 5 that, they don't have to sign it for each and every
- 6 voter. But a personal assistant for a particular voter
- 7 must sign that oath before they are eligible to go over
- 8 to the booth with the voter.
- Q. And are your elections officials able to help
- 10 voters who may not be able to read or speak English?
- A. Yes, sir. 11
- 12 Q. And can you explain that?
- 13 A. Again, that is interpreters. And we are
- 14 required by law here in the State of Texas to have a
- 15 Spanish speaking person available at every one of our
- 16 poll sites. They -- after each of the census, we
- 17 receive a printout from the State of Texas telling us
- 18 what languages may have risen to the threshold of the
- 19 five percent in any precinct. Here in Bexar County, we
- 20 are only required to have Spanish. My understanding is
- 21 like Harris has seven languages. Tarrant has four. So
- 22 we have just English and Spanish.
- 23 Q. You are just required to have Spanish; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes, absolutely, we are required.

Kim Tindall and Associates, LLC 16414 San Pedro, Suite 900 210-697-3400

April 04, 2022 Pages 202 to 205

Page 204

Page 202

- Q. Do you have any elections officials who are
- 2 able to provide translations-S to the languages other
- 3 than Spanish?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Are there typically people requiring
- 6 translations for other languages in Bexar County?
- 7 A. Not to our knowledge, no. The one -- I should
- 8 say the one thing we do have is American Sign Language.
- 9 We offer for the disabled community, we have a system
- 10 set up at the polls where at certain polls, they have
- 11 the opportunity to actually look on a computer and ask
- The apportunity to dottdaily look on a computer and dok
- 12 questions. And we have a company that is available to
- 13 offer assistance in American Sign Language. So it goes
- 14 back and forth so that person can be helped.
- We have I think two polls that actually
- 16 have someone who does American Sign Language. And at
- 17 the rest of them, we have a system set up where they can
- 18 call our office and we will help the judge help the
- 19 person.
- 20 Q. And in this election, did you have any reports
- 21 of people who required assistance but weren't able to
- 22 obtain it?
- 23 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 24 Q. Has that been an issue in the past?
- 25 A. No, it has not. It really hasn't because we

- 1 Q. What does it mean to you?
- 2 A. Well, again, I can state in Bexar County, our
- 3 voters are just so nice, they really are. Again, years
- 4 ago, and in fact, I think it was Representative Diego
- 5 Bernal pushed, in my opinion, unnecessary but we have a
- 6 posting that says for voters who need additional
- 7 assistance or whatever, they may move to the front of
- 8 the line. But here in Bexar County, I mean it is not
- 9 like being in HEB and cutting in a line. They are very
- 10 nice and very accommodating for a voter who would need
- 11 extra assistance.
- 12 And so at all poll sites, we have this
- 13 sign for accommodation that the voters can move to the
- 14 front of the line. And as I spoke before, we also have
- 15 these signs out front that talk to the curbside voting,
- 16 that if you need accommodations to that, you would ask
- 17 for assistance.
- 18 Q. So if a voter requires accommodations, are you
- 19 able to modify some of the normal procedures?
- 20 A. Yes, absolutely. And again, because we are in
- 21 Texas, every one of our election units, our voting units
- 22 is ADA accessible. Prior to, we only had to have one
- 23 ADA accessible unit. But with this system, every one of
- 24 these units are accessible. And we either have headsets
- 25 that we can provide but a lot of is voters just bring

- 1 abide by it and we are blessed here in San Antonio that
- 2 so many people speak Spanish, that it is not hard to
- 3 have a Spanish speaker at each of our polls.
- 4 Q. And as far as the carrier envelope that
- 5 required sisters to fill out a portion of that as well;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Did you run into issues with that when you were
- 9 receiving and counting the ballots?
- 10 A. Again, that is up to the early ballot board.
- 11 That is not something that we -- that our office does.
- 12 Q. So you wouldn't be able to speak to any issues
- 13 that might have been in the March primary with the oaths
- 14 of the sisters on carrying envelopes; is that correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Ms. Callanen, can you take Exhibit 7? And this
- 17 one is going to be at the front of it. Can you turn to
- 18 page four, please?
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 Q. And can you please read the title on line 22?
- 21 A. Section 1.0022, reasonable accommodation or
- 22 modification.
- 23 Q. Are you familiar with this provision of the
- 24 Election Code?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- Page 205 1 their own and they plug them into the jack. And then
- 2 they have an audible ballot read to them. So that is at
- 3 every single poll site.
- 4 Q. So you track when voters request accommodations
- 5 at the poll site?
- 6 A. No, sir, we do not.
- 7 Q. So you don't have any numbers on the types of
- 8 accommodations from this election or the past?
- 9 A. No, sir, we do not.
- 10 Q. Is it your experience though when voters
- 11 request accommodations, that your elections officials
- 12 are available? Let me strike that. Is it your
- 13 experience when voters in Bexar County request
- 14 modifications, that you are able to provide for that?
- 15 A. Yes, I mean if you would go to like most of our
- 16 poll sites, you will see that there is at least one of
- 17 the units sort of set to the side with a chair in front
- 18 of it so that if someone needs to sit down or is going
- 19 to take longer. So they have even developed all of 20 those policies in-house.
- 21 Q. And can you look at Exhibit 7 again? And
- 22 please turn to page 54.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And can you read the lines 23 through 25?
- 25 A. Yes. A person commits an offense if the

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	§ §	
ν .	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	8	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX D

Transcript of the Testimony of Jacquelyn Callanen

Date:

April 20, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

April 20, 2022 Pages 30 to 33

Page 32

Page 30

1 secretary of state.

- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Prior to SB-1, in what ways did the secretary
- 4 of state's office insure that counties were following
- 5 the same election procedures?
- A. Again, we have a yearly law seminar that is
- 7 hosted in Austin where all 254 counties go and hear the
- 8 same presentations and all of that. And it is held in
- 9 July. It is either the last week of July or the first
- 10 week of August. And so from that point on, we have --
- 11 if anything is changing, if we have new forms, if we
- 12 have new directives, we have from that July or August to
- 13 prepare for the November election. This didn't happen
- 14 because SB-1 came out of the third session after all of
- 15 this had gone on. And it was on a short turnaround
- 16 where it became effective in December. And the
- 17 secretary of state's office and us didn't have the lead
- 18 time that we normally would have had.
- 19 And again, that is an internal problem.
- 20 We did not project that, you know, to the voters. But
- 21 that was another huge sense of frustration from our
- 22 office. Because you know, forms and changes and paper
- 23 shortages and design of the envelopes, I mean everything
- 24 was going to be new. And it didn't magically appear.
- 25 Q. Let's unpack that a little bit because I wanted

- 1 about some instances of voter fraud that you testified
- 2 about last time and I just want to ask some clarifying
- 3 questions, sort of get a sense of more specifics about
- 4 what you were talking about. And I wanted to start with
- 5 you had testified about your concerns with campaigns
- 6 bringing vans of people to vote. Do you recall that?
- A. Yes, sir. 7
- Q. Is it fair to say that your concern was that
- 9 voting under these circumstances -- actually, strike
- 10 that. Is it fair to say your concern was that vans of
- 11 people were being brought to vote curbside?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And was your concern that voting under those
- 14 circumstances wasn't private?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And why wasn't voting private under those
- 17 circumstances?
- A. Because the driver would not exit -- the van 18
- 19 would not exit the van. And so there has to be a
- 20 dialogue between the election official and the voter
- 21 themselves one on one. And especially like in the
- 22 primary, they have to ask the voter which ballot they
- 23 would like. They don't ask them are you a Democrat or
- 24 Republican. They ask which ballot would you like to
- 25 vote today? So all of that was open to the world so to

Page 31

- 1 to focus a little bit on prior to Senate Bill 1, how the
- 2 secretary of state's office would insure uniformity
- 3 among the counties.
- 4 A. Absolutely.
- Q. So you testified that election law seminars
- 6 were one way where this would happen?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. What would happen at these seminars? 8
- A. They were like in lecture form. The attorneys
- 10 would all -- they have their subject expert in each of
- 11 the areas and they would put on their presentation, if
- 12 anything had changed and what to expect and numbers.
- 13 And you know, then we would have an open dialogue back
- 14 and forth and question and answer sessions.
- 15 And then we would breakdown by election
- 16 systems, there is the two systems in Texas. And so one
- 17 afternoon, then we would breakdown and the people who
- 18 had ES&S would go these and people who had Hart went to
- 19 these. And again, that was just for a balance. If any
- 20 of the forms had changed or if the equipment had been
- 21 updated, what we were going to do for maintenance for
- 22 the PMs, all of that kind of stuff. So it was very
- 23 educational. But that was for one afternoon. These
- 24 sessions were for three days.
- 25 Q. Got it. Okay. I want to move on to talking

1 speak.

- Q. And I think you testified last time that you
- 3 were concerned that the person driving the van was
- 4 forcing people to vote a certain way?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So how often did this happen?
- 7 A. I can't speak to how often it happened but I
- can speak to the locations that it happened at. 8
- 9 Q. Let me --
- 10 A. No. So I can't -- you know, we are getting
- 11 a -- because of SB-1, we are going to have a better
- 12 handle on the number seven and the driver because that
- 13 is a new form that has to be filled out. But prior to
- 14 that, we didn't have that.
- 15 Q. So let me ask it a different way. How did you
- 16 hear about this issue of vans full of people and
- 17 potential conversations?
- 18 A. The election officials would alert us to that
- 19 point.
- 20 Q. Which election officials?
- 21 A. Like the early voting judges. And I mean I am
- 22 not belittling sort of but the first time we would hear
- 23 about it is when we set up an election, there has to be
- 24 a judge, an alternate and a clerk. So we base our
- 25 equipment, we base our staffing, we vote -- base the

April 20, 2022 Pages 34 to 37

Page 36

Page 37

Page 34

1 supplies on like the prior like election. So we will

- 2 start out with the staffing at, like I said, let's use
- 3 Las Palmas because that is where it happens.
- 4 So they start early voting and there is a
- 5 total of four people at that poll, an election judge, an
- 6 alternate and two clerks. And that's sufficient. But
- o alternate and two cierks. And that's sufficient. Dut
- 7 now when the van pulls up, it takes two of the election
- 8 officials to go out to the van because that is how the
- 9 election code is written. You send two people. And
- 10 when there is numerous people in the van, you need those
- 11 two people.
- 12 And so the election officials would call
- 13 and say I need to add more staff because today we had X
- 14 number and I needed this, you know, I need more. So of
- 15 course we allot more. We say sure, go ahead and do --
- 16 we are taking care of you. But again, that is how we
- 17 are alerted to this and we have certain parts of town
- 18 that we know this occurs at.
- 19 Q. Do you know of any instances where somebody was
- 20 forced to vote a certain way that they didn't want to?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 23 Q. (By Mr. White) Do you know of any criminal
- 24 charges arising from any of these activities?
- 25 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

- 1 three that come to mind?
 - A. Those are the top three. I mean we have
 - 3 curbside voting everywhere but it is the ones that bring
 - 4 the vans. You know, I mean again, normally, the
 - 5 curbside voting is when the car pulls up, it is usually
 - 6 just one person voting. You know, and so that is
 - 7 handled in the normal way. I mean if it is just one
 - 8 car, they know how much. But it is when they get these
 - 9 groups that it takes and inordinate amount of time.
 - 10 Q. And when you were -- when election officials
 - 11 alerted you about these activities, what did you do with
 - 12 that information?
 - 13 A. Again, we gave them extra staffing.
 - 14 Q. Did you report anything to law enforcement?
 - 15 A. No.
 - 16 Q. And why not?
 - 17 A. Again, it was not first-hand for me.
 - 18 Q. And one other -- sorry. Strike that. Do you
 - 19 know who was operating the vans that you were concerned
 - 20 about?
 - 21 A. I know one.
 - 22 Q. Do you know who was that?
 - 23 A. Should I give the name?
 - 24 Q. Yes, please.
 - 25 A. Jose Gallegos.

- 1 THE WITNESS: No, not in the drive-up
- 2 ones, no.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. White) You said you testified a moment
- 4 ago that this happened at Las Palmas?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. What was Las Palmas?
- 7 A. It is a public library. We use it for early
- 8 voting and election day. If it is an early voting site,
- 9 we will keep it open on election day because our voters
- 10 like it. I mean they go there for early voting. And so
- 11 if we, for some reason, don't open that site, boy, do we
- i we, for some reason, don't open that site, boy, de
- 12 hear about it. So if it is an early voting site, it
- 13 will be an election day site.
- 14 Q. Were you alerted to any instances of potential
- 15 privacy issues of voters in vans at polling sites other
- 16 than Las Palmas?
- 17 A. Yes, yes.
- 18 Q. Which polling sites were those?
- 19 A. The three that come to mind right away is we
- 20 have Las Palmas and we have Shavano Park which is a
- 21 little suburb here that does a huge curbside, curbside
- 22 voting. And then we have McCreless Library. Those are
- 23 the top three.
- 24 Q. Okay. And did you hear about any instances at
- 25 other sites besides those three or those are just the

- 1 Q. And who is Jose Gallegos?
- 2 A. I don't know what organization he works with or
- 3 for. He has been in politics for a long long time. He
- 4 has run for office. But like I said, lately, probably
- 5 these last four or five years, it has been his job, his
- 6 passion, you know, to bring as many people in to vote.
- 7 Q. Okay. So --
- 8 A. And then talks to vote in a specific way.
- 9 Q. Got it. I didn't mean to talk over you. So
- 10 Jose Gallegos, when he is operating these vans, he is
- 11 not a candidate himself?
- 12 A. Not at that, no, no. He doesn't do it when he
- 13 is a candidate.
- 14 Q. Is he working on behalf of a particular
- 15 campaign or anything like that?
- 16 A. I would say so but I have no direct knowledge
- 17 of that.
- 18 Q. Have election officials told you that he is
- 19 working on behalf of a particular campaign?
- 20 A. Just that he is with the Democratic party. I
- 21 don't know what the campaign is. But it has gotten so
- 22 pervasive over the years, that -- and I mean over the
- 23 years, this has gone on for many years, that we had to
- 24 retire the judge from Las Palmas because the judge --
- 25 Jose Gallegos was very very comfortable with the judge

April 20, 2022 Pages 302 to 305

Page 304

Page 302

- 1 election code. We make the accommodations for the
- 2 disabled. We make the accommodation for, you know, the
- 3 senior citizen that may need the assistant. We make the
- 4 accommodation for the voters who are going to vote
- 5 curbside. But the election code is silent on what do we
- 6 do with their assistant?
- 7 You know, do we help the person here and
- 8 they move to the front of the line, but then we tell
- 9 their assistant they are able bodied and they have to go
- 10 to the back of the line? That is one of the questions
- 11 where we are just like this. And the code needs to make
- 12 accommodations for the person who is helping them.
- 13 Q. And have you received any guidance from the
- 14 secretary of state's office to help you figure that out?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Is there anywhere on the vote by mail
- 17 application that indicates that an individual can
- 18 request an accommodation to any of the requirements?
- 9 A. It is on there for a witness. It is on there
- 20 for assistant. So but, you know, if they take the time
- 21 to read the insert that we give them and the information
- 22 that is on the ballot, they would have that answer.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to voting assistance. If anindividual who needed assistance beyond what is provided
- 25 by the oath actually -- sorry. Scratch that. Do you
 - Page 303
- 1 have written policies, practices and procedures
- 2 regarding the Americans with Disabilities Act?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do the policies contain policies, practices or
- 5 procedures for handling requests for reasonable
- 6 modifications?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And do they contain policies, practices or
- 9 procedures for denying requests for reasonable
- 10 modifications?
- 11 A. I don't think so. No, I mean it is a positive.
- 12 It is why -- the accommodations and the how to, it
- 13 doesn't say don't do this.
- 14 Q. Okay. And does it -- do the policies contain
- 15 policies, practices or procedures for avoiding
- 16 discrimination based on disability?
- 17 A. I think there is a statement in the ADA.
- 18 Q. Do you know what it says?
- 19 A. That says you don't discriminate. I think
- 20 there is a blanket statement in there.
- 21 Q. But does it tell you like how to figure out
- 22 what discrimination, what --
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Okay. What discrimination against the disabled
- 25 person looks like?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. And do the policies contain policies, practices
- 3 or procedures for handling grievances or complaints
- 4 regarding the ADA?
- 5 A. I don't know. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. Has the policy or policies been updated
- 7 since December 1st, 2021?
- 8 A. I don't think so. I don't -- I don't know.
- 9 Q. And is there any reference to the assistor oath
- 10 in the policy?
- 11 A. I don't know specifically.
- 12 Q. Is there any reference to voting by mail in the
- 13 policy?
- 14 A. Just that it is available, that it is an
- 15 available option, yes.
- 16 Q. Does your office have an elections ADA
- 17 coordinator?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Who in your office is responsible for
- 20 monitoring compliance with the ADA?
- 21 A. I am.
- 22 Q. Okay. How can a voter with a disability
- 23 request a modification from your office?
- 24 A. We have it set up. The main modification
- 25 obviously for the disabled is we have -- the system we

- 1 have the ES&S system. We have every one of our voting
- 2 units is ADA compliant. They can bring their own
- 3 headsets. It has accommodations which I think is
- 4 fantastic. You push a button and it makes the text
- 5 huge, you know, for vision.
- 6 Again, we have -- we have headsets to
- 7 provide but most of the people bring their own. And our
- 8 units all have a jack in them where once the jack goes
- 9 in, it will read the ballot to them which is a really
- 10 really good thing.
- 11 We have a contract with Deaf Link here in
- 12 town for American sign language. And you know, our deaf
- 13 community does that. We also have a location, usually
- 14 it is over by SAC where we have ASL people on the staff.
- 15 And so that community knows to go there. So we have
- 16 done a number of things and plus the huge curbside.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what election rules can a voter with
- 18 a disability request a modification for?
- 19 A. I don't understand rules. I don't understand
- 20 what you are asking.
- 21 Q. If there is an election -- if there is an
- 22 election rule that a voter with a disability feels that
- 23 it can't, you know, it needs an accommodation for, can
- 24 they ask to be -- can they ask for a modification from a
- 25 specific rule?

April 20, 2022 Pages 306 to 309

Page 306

- A. I am confused. I'm sorry. I am confused by 2 the question.
- Q. Okay. Scratch it then. What are your -- what
- 4 are your offices policies, practices and procedures for
- 5 reviewing and responding to requests for reasonable
- 6 modifications for voters with disabilities?
- A. Again, I mean I think I stated before we do the 7
- 8 training with our officials. We work with our ADA
- 9 groups. We bring the people in so that they speak to
- 10 the officials, you know, from service dogs to people in
- 11 wheelchairs to people, you know, with canes, vision,
- 12 hearing.
- 13 Q. I'm sorry to cut you off but I just want to
- 14 direct you a little more. We are short on time. Is
- 15 there like an official policy or it is kind of like you
- 16 react on like an ad hoc basis?
- 17 A. Right, the officials would be the 411.
- Q. Okay. On what time line can your office
- 19 receive, evaluate and provide a reasonable modification
- 20 to a voter with a disability?
- 21 A. Again, we haven't because we have all of these
- 22 accommodations at every one of our sites. And so that
- 23 has never -- we have -- I have never taken a call in the
- 24 office that said I am going to go here. Can you make
- 25 sure this is -- we haven't had that.

- Q. Okay. And has your office modified your
 - 2 policies, practices and procedures since the enactment
 - 3 of SB-1?
 - A. No. 4
 - 5 Q. Okay. Are your existing policies, practices
 - 6 and procedures prior to SB-1 sufficient to insure
 - compliance with the ADA?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 MS. SISCO: Okay. Can I get another time
 - 10 check? Sorry. Can we go off the record for a second?
 - 11 Sorry.
 - 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:06 p.m. We
 - 13 are off the record.
 - 14 (Recess taken)
 - 15 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:09 p.m. We
 - 16 are back on the record.
 - 17 Q. (By Ms. Sisco) Okay. Ms. Callenan, have you
 - 18 made inquiries to the Texas Secretary of State regarding
 - 19 problems related to voting access for persons with
 - 20 disabilities?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. And have you made inquiries to the Texas
 - 23 Secretary of State about providing modifications to
 - 24 election policies, practices and procedures for voters
 - 25 with disabilities?

Page 307

- Q. Okay. And do you have any written policy or
- 2 guidance on how to handling requests from voters with
- 3 disabilities for changes or modifications to the voting
- 4 procedures outlined in the Texas Election Code?
- 5 A. Absolutely.

1

- 6 Q. What are they?
- A. I am one of their strong supporters. And we
- 8 have written and I know there is -- I know there is
- 9 lawsuits up in Dallas somewhere that I have been
- 10 questioned for. Because working with the disability
- 11 community and working with -- we have a process already
- 12 in hand for our military voters that can vote by email,
- 13 that we can email the ballot out to them. You know,
- 14 they can vote it on their computer and they can send it
- 15 back to us.
- 16 And we are trying to get this to the
- 17 disability community. And we have begged, you know,
- 18 begged, borrowed and pleaded and we have written papers
- 19 and letters of support and everything to the
- 20 legislature, you know, to secretary of state's office
- 21 through an administrative rule so that our disabled
- 22 community can use screen scrapers. They can take that
- 23 ballot and vote it. That hasn't happened yet but it is
- 24 working its way through. And we are in -- we are very
- 25 very in the middle of that.

- A. Yes. 1
 - 2 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
 - Q. (By Ms. Sisco) Have you made inquiries to the
 - 4 Texas Secretary of State about whether you can make a
 - change to voting and election policies and procedures
 - 6 for a voter with a disability?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
 - Q. (By Ms. Sisco) Were you allowed to do it? 9
 - 10 A. No.
 - Q. Was their response provided in written or oral 11
 - 12 format?
 - 13 A. Oral.
 - Q. Does the secretary of state provide -- actually 14
 - 15 scratch that. On your inquiry regarding modifications
 - 16 to the election policies, practices and procedures, have
 - 17 those inquiries been related to requests by individual
 - 18 voters?
 - 19 A. Yes.
 - 20 Q. What was the outcome of that inquiry or
 - 21 request?
 - 22 A. Again, my understanding is that it is working
 - 23 its way through the court system.
 - 24 Q. Okay. It is in process?
 - 25 A. Yes, it is in process.

Page 309

April 20, 2022 Pages 326 to 329

Page 328

Page 326

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Thank you. We can put this aside for now. So
- 3 very early in the deposition, you were talking with
- 4 Mr. Graham about applications to vote by mail.
- 5 Specifically, when a family member would call you asking
- 6 for multiple mail-in ballot applications as opposed to
- 7 their own individual application. Do you remember that
- 8 conversation, Mr. Callenan?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. And I believe you said that people were irate
- 11 with the changes; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Have you found that voters tend to react
- 14 negatively when there are changes to election laws?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- 16 Q. And so voters being irate about this change is
- 17 not too unusual when it comes to changes in the election
- 18 code; is that correct?
- 19 A. I think it is human nature, yes.
- 20 Q. And people calm down once they know the rules;
- 21 is that right?
- 22 A. The majority, yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. And I believe you had mentioned that one of the
- 24 reasons people were upset was the hype surrounding SB-1;
- 25 did I --

- 1 A. Yes.
 - 2 Q. Her son therefore had a disability; correct?
 - 3 A. Correct.
 - 4 Q. Did she at any point request an accommodation
 - 5 on account of her son's disability?
 - 6 A. No.
 - 7 Q. I want to turn to talk about ballot delivery
 - 8 locations and ballot delivery in person of mail-in
 - 9 ballot locations.
 - 10 A. Okay.
 - 11 Q. The option of delivering your ballot in person
 - 12 is relatively new in Texas; is that correct?
 - 13 A. No, ma'am. You were able -- we have been able
 - 14 for years and years to deliver your mail ballot in
 - 15 person on election day to the main -- to our office.
 - 16 Q. And do you remember what year that was?
 - 17 A. No, ma'am. I'm sorry. I don't. It has been
 - 18 for quite a while.
 - 19 Q. Do you remember if it has been in the last
 - 20 decade?
 - 21 A. Yes, I am sure it has been.
 - 22 Q. So the rule was you can hand in your mail-in
 - 23 ballot on election day; correct?
 - 24 A. Yes.
 - 25 Q. And it had to be at the early voting clerk's

Page 327

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. What did you mean by hype?
- 3 A. Dare I use the word the hysteria that the media 4 stirred up?
- 5 Q. And so is it your belief that people were irate
- 6 about the hysteria surrounding SB-1 and this provision
- 7 in particular as opposed to the actual provision itself?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You gave an example of when a mother called
- 10 about getting a vote by mail application for her son.
- 11 Do you remember that conversation?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 Q. And you said you could not issue the
- 14 application for a mail-in ballot to the mother for the
- 15 son; is that correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Do you know if the mother was able to obtain an
- 18 application to vote by mail for her son at a later time?
- 19 A. No, I do not know.
- 20 Q. You do not know?
- 21 A. Right, I do not know.
- 22 Q. Did that mother request an accommodation? Let
- 23 me take a step back. You had mentioned that during the
- 24 phone call, the mother explained that her son was
- 25 paralyzed.

- 1 office; correct?
 - 2 A. Correct.
 - 3 Q. You have never had multiple ballot locations in
 - 4 Bexar County; correct?
 - 5 A. Correct.
 - 6 Q. And November 2020 was the first election where
 - 7 voters could hand deliver ballots before election day;
 - 8 correct?
 - 9 A. Correct.
 - 10 Q. And that was entirely because of the governor's
 - 11 proclamation; correct?
 - 12 A. Correct, for COVID.
 - 13 Q. Yes, in response to COVID.
 - 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - 15 Q. That proclamation has since abated.
 - 16 A. Correct.
 - 17 Q. You also stated that the existence of multiple
 - 18 ballot locations in Harris County caused anger in Bexar
 - 19 County because you did not have multiple locations;
 - 20 correct?
 - 21 A. Correct.
 - 22 Q. You did not have multiple locations because you
 - 23 were unable to under the law; is that right?
 - 24 A. Absolutely.
 - 25 Q. And so this was -- the existence of a voting

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX E

February 28, 2023

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                      SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
     LA UNION DEL PUEBLO
 4
     ENTERO, ET AL.,
 5
                Plaintiffs,
                                 ) Case No. 5:21-CV-844-XR
 6
     vs.
 7
     GREGORY W. ABBOTT, ET AL.,)
                Defendants.
 8
 9
     OCA-GREATER HOUSTON,
     ET AL.,
10
                Plaintiffs,
11
                                 ) Case No. 1:21-CV-780-XR
     vs.
12
     JANE NELSON, ET AL.,
13
               Defendants.
14
     HOUSTON JUSTICE, ET AL.,
15
                Plaintiffs,
16
                                 ) Case No. 5:21-CV-848-XR
     vs.
17
     GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT,
18
     ET AL.,
                Defendants.
19
20
     LULAC TEXAS, ET AL.,
21
                Plaintiffs,
                                 ) Case No. 1:21-CV-0786-XR
22
     vs.
23
     JANE NELSON, ET AL.,
24
                Defendants.
25
     MI FAMILIA VOTA, ET AL.,
```



February 28, 2023
Pages 2 to 5

```
Page 2
                                                                                                                      Page 4
               Plaintiffs.
 1
                                                                     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
                                                                 2
                                                                     For Plaintiff HOUSTON JUSTICE, HOUSTON AREA URBAN
                                                                     LEAGUE, DELTA SIGMA BETA SORORITY, INC., THE ARC OF
 2
     vs.
                                                                     TEXAS, MI FAMILIA VOTA, MARLA LOPEZ, MARLIN LOPEZ,
                                                                 3
                                 ) Case No. 5:21-CV-0920-XR
                                                                     PAUL RUTLEDGE, JEFFREY LAMAR CLEMENS:
     GREG ABBOTT, ET AL.,
                                                                     NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE FUND
                                                                     BY: VICTOR GENECIN, ESQUIRE
 4
               Defendants.
                                                                     40 Rector Street
                                                                 6
                                                                     5th floor
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                                     New York, New York 10006
                                                                     (929) 388-9246
 6
               Plaintiff,
                                                                     vgenecin@naacpldf.org
                                                                 9
                                                                     For Defendant GREGORY W. ABBOTT AND JANE NELSON, ET
     vs.
                                 ) Case No. 5:21-CV-1085-XR
                                                               10
 8
     THE STATE OF TEXAS,
                                                                     KEN PAXTON. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
                                                                     OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
     ET AL.,
                                                               11
                                                                          DAVID BRYANT, ESQUIRE
 9
                                                                          KATHLEEN T. HUNKER, ESQUIRE (Via Zoom
                                                                12
               Defendants.
                                                                     Videoconference)
10
                                                                     P.O. Box 12548
                                                               13
                                                                     Austin, Texas 78711-2548 (512) 936-2275
11
             **********
12
                                                               14
                                                                     david.bryant@oag.texas.gov
13
               ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                                                               15
14
                       JACQUELYN CALLANEN
                                                                     For Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
                                                               16
                       FEBRUARY 28, 2023
15
                                                                     U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
                                                               17
16
                                                                     CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION
17
                                                                18
                                                                     BY: DANA PAIKOWSKY, ESQUIRE
                                                                     Robert F. Kennedy Building
18
               THE ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of
                                                               19
                                                                     950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
19
     JACQUELYN CALLANEN, produced as a witness at the
                                                                     Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225
2.0
     instance of the Defendant, and duly sworn, was taken
                                                                20
21
     in the above styled and numbered cause on Tuesday,
                                                                     dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov
                                                               21
2.2
     the 28th day of February, 2023 from 9:10 a.m. to
                                                                22
     3:51 p.m., before PAMELA SUE PETERSON, Certified
23
                                                                23
24
     Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas,
                                                                24
     reported by stenographic and computer-aided
25
                                                               25
                                                                                                                       Page 5
 1
     transcription, at the Office of the Texas Attorney
                                                                     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued):
                                                                     For Witness JACQUELYN F. CALLANEN, CERA: JOE D. GONZALES, CRIMINAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY
 2
     General, Weston Centre, 112 East Pecan Street,
                                                                 3
                                                                     OFFICE OF BEXAR COUNTY CRIMINAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY
 3
     3rd Floor, San Antonio, Texas 78205, pursuant to the
                                                                          LISA V. CUBRIEL, ESQUIRE
     Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions
                                                                     Paul Elizondo Tower
                                                                     101 West Nueva
 5
     stated on the record or attached hereto.
                                                                     7th Floor
                                                                     San Antonio, Texas 78205-3030
 6
                                                                     (210) 335-2142
                                                                     lisa.cubriel@bexar.org
 8
                                                                     Also Present:
                                                                9
 9
                                                                     JACQUELYN F. CALLANEN, CERA
                                                                10
                                                                          Witness
10
                                                                     JULIA R. LONGORIA (Via Zoom Videoconference)
                                                                11
11
                                                                          Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational
                                                               12
                                                                          Fund, Inc.
12
                                                                13
                                                                     CAROLINE LEBAL (Via Zoom Videoconference)
                                                                     NATALIE FELSEN (Via Zoom Videoconference)
13
                                                               14
                                                                          Cooley, LLP
                                                                          On behalf of El Paso County Elections
                                                                          Administrator Lisa Wise
15
                                                                     MARINA EISNER (Via Zoom Videoconference)
                                                               16
                                                                          States United Democracy Center
16
                                                               17
                                                                     LOUIS J. CAPOZZI (Via Zoom Videoconference)
17
                                                               18
                                                                          Jones Day
On behalf of intervenors
18
                                                               19
19
                                                                     KEVIN ZHEN (Via Zoom Videoconference)
                                                                          Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson, LLP On behalf of LUPE Plaintiffs
                                                               20
2.0
                                                               21
21
                                                                     JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS (Via Zoom Videoconference)
2.2
                                                               22
                                                                          Office of Criminal District Attorney
                                                                          Hidalgo County
23
                                                               23
                                                                          On behalf of Hidalgo County Elections
24
                                                               24
25
                                                                     GABE HODGE (Via Zoom Videoconference)
                                                                          Observer
```



February 28, 2023 Pages 74 to 77

Page 74

- 1 that we held, and I know this isn't going to sound
- 2 right, in the course of the last few years, because
- 3 of legislative changes, the number of election
- 4 officials, elections administrators, the turnover has
- 5 been astronomical. There's been almost, like, a
- 6 50 percent turnover, and a number of the counties
- 7 in -- in 2022 had new -- new people, new -- new
- 8 elections administrators.
- 9 And so, the -- most of the conference was
- 10 as -- like, the secretary of state would -- would be 11 making a presentation. The after part where you're
- 12 allowed to, you know, negotiate, you can speak -- ask
- 13 questions and all that, was taken up by the new --
- 14 new people, as -- as it should be.
- 15 But again, it's not going to come out
- 16 right, sir. I'm sorry. But they didn't have the
- 17 information to dig deeper and ask a deeper question.
- So, we came away frustrated because we had a lot of
- 19 questions that we did not get answered.
- 20 Q. When you say "we," are you referring to
- 21 Bexar County or to the more experienced --
- A. The big boys. The big boys. Yes, sir. 22
- 23 Q. -- election administrators?
- A. Yes, sir. 24
- 25 Q. The latter?

- 1 people in the early ballot board that -- that have
 - 2 run that, he removed everyone who had been there for
 - the prior 10 years and knew the policy and put in all
 - new people. 4
 - 5 With the election officials, we have, as
 - 6 you heard me say, you know, three people, four
 - 7 people, five people, and the party gets to appoint
 - the election officials, and we train them and certify
 - 9 them and assign them by writ.
 - 10 And the chairman on the November election
 - 11 was placing his own people in those poll sites even
 - 12 though we had assigned people there. And so, there
 - 13 was a lot of confusion because our appointed, legally
 - 14 writ -- the judges were there had people calling them
 - 15 saying -- and showing up on election morning saying,
 - I'm the judge. And it was like, who appointed you? 16
 - 17 Well, you know, I have from Bexar County one,
 - 18 Republican party, they sent me here, so.
 - 19 And as far as, like I said, the early
 - 20 ballot board, that was another, I don't know what
 - 21 other word to say besides stress because we knew we
 - 22 had a job to do. We knew it was new policies, and
 - 23 now we had new people who didn't even experience it
 - 24 as it had been in the past.
 - 25 Q. Did any of that confusion or stress that

Page 75

3

- A. The latter, please. Yeah.
- 2 Q. Did anything that the poll watchers did
- in -- in Bexar County in connection with the
- November '22 general election increase wait line --
- 5 wait times?

1

7

- 6 A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Q. Did anything that the poll watchers did in
- Bexar County in connection with the -- the October
- and November 2022 general elections delay any
- 10 openings at polling places?
- 11 A. Again, not to my knowledge.
- 12 Q. Okay. In Bexar County, were there any
- 13 changes in the training or selection of election
- 14 judges, officials or poll workers for the general
- 15 election in 2022 as opposed to the -- the primaries
- 16 and other elections in the first half of 2022?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Could you describe what those changes were.
- 19 A. You're going to get me in trouble.
- 20 Q. I don't mean to.
- 21 A. Yes, sir. There was a change in leadership
- 22 at the Republican party of Bexar -- the local Bexar
- 23 County Republican party after the primary. And with
- 24 the new chairman and the people that he brought in, 25 for instance, you heard me speak about the partisan

- Page 77 1 you just described have any effect on the experience of the voters in Bexar County, so far as you know?
 - A. Hopefully, no.
- 4 Q. Were you able to fully staff the polling
- places for the November 2022 general elections in
- Bexar County?
- A. Yes, sir. 7
- 8 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any individuals who
- were not able to get voter -- voting assistance that
- 10 they wanted or needed in connection with the '22 --
- 11 '22 general election in Bexar County?
- 12 A. There is -- is a -- there is a group,
- 13 and -- and as you heard me say before, we work with
- 14 the disability community. And we have a contract
- with a company called Deaf Link, D-E-A-F, L-I-N-K,
- that is American Sign Language, and we have laptops
- 17 that we can, you know, push a button and -- and
- 18 someone's there.
- 19 And this organize -- an organizer in the
- 20 November election for early voting wanted us to have
- 21 an American Sign Language person at every poll, which
- 22 we -- we could not do it. That was an impossibility.
- 23 And so, using the federal law of accommodations, we 24 worked diligently with the deaf community and they
- 25 would call and make appointments.



February 28, 2023 Pages 78 to 81

Page 80

Page 81

Page 78 1 MS. CUBRIEL: I'm sorry. I need to And I had staff members who would be taking 2 interject. I just saw a text from my assistant that 2 the laptop out and meeting them at an assigned time 3 and point. Did we hit all of those? Were -- I mean, 3 Jackie's mic is muted. Are there --4 I -- did -- again, I -- I don't know their structure 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We got -- it's unmuted 5 as far as how they notified everyone to -now. Q. When you refer to "their structure" --6 6 7 A. The deaf community. 7 8 Q. -- to "their structure," are you talking 8 9 last half hour with my -about --9 10 A. The deaf community. 10 MS. CUBRIEL: Okay. I --11 Q. Okay. 11 12 A. Or again, this woman, Kay Chiodo, who is 12 13 the president of Deaf Link, I'm not sure how they --14 but -- but again, you know, could I say is -- there 14 MR. BRYANT: Yes. 15 probably was someone who did not get to take 15 16 advantage of it. I don't know that, but my heart 16 when the chat messages are --17 tells me that. 17 quick break? 18 Q. Okay. Aside from that situation, are you 18 19 19 aware of any individuals who were not able to get 20 assistance that they wanted or needed in connection 20

Page 79

1 in connection with the November of 2022 general 2 election?

Q. Did Bexar County comply fully with the 25 provisions of SB-1 with respect to voter assistance

21 with the November of 2022 general election in

A. Not to my knowledge.

3 A. Yes.

22 Bexar County?

23

24

Q. I think you had some testimony in one of 5 your earlier depositions about the requirement of 6 affidavits when people arrive at the polling place 7 with seven or more people in a vehicle. Do you 8 recall that testimony?

9 A. Absolutely.

Q. Did that occur in connection with the 10

11 November 2022 general election?

12 A. Yes, it did, sir.

13 Q. What do you know about the procedures that

14 were followed in those instances?

15 A. I have two affidavits that were signed

16 and -- and -- and brought in from that election. But 17 there were numerous questions from my election

18 officials in asking about, well, you know, Jackie

19 drove the van and there were two people there, and

20 then 15 minutes later Jackie came back with another

21 two people. Does that count then as the seven? And

22 so we said, no, that it should be seven and one.

23 And so, it was -- you know, there -- it

24 just has to be finessed a little bit. We need a

25 little bit more direction.

MS. CUBRIEL: Okay. I'm sorry. I just saw that. I was like, oh, my God. Okay. THE WITNESS: Oh, God. We just did that

MR. BRYANT: The court reporter's got it.

MS. CUBRIEL: I know, but I know what it's

13 like to be on Zoom and to be feeling helpless.

MS. CUBRIEL: Okay. Are you able to see

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you like to take a

MR. BRYANT: Okay. Let's take a quick

break.

23

1

16

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:02 a.m.

22 and we are off the record.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 11:03 a.m. and

25 we are on the record.

Q. BY MR. BRYANT: Miss Callanen, you

2 testified that there were two affidavits provided to

3 your office by folks who were required to do so

4 because they had brought seven or more people to the

5 polls in connection with the November 2022 general

election in Bexar County; is that right?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Were there any instances in which

affidavits should have been provided but you didn't

10 get cooperation?

11 Not to my knowledge.

12 Q. Okay. Did Bexar County fully comply in

13 connection with that November of 2022 general

14 election with the requirements of SB-1 on that

15 subject of affidavits?

A. Yes, sir. In training, uh-huh.

17 Q. Did Bexar County receive any requests for

18 accommodations by persons with disability in

collection -- in connection with the November of 2022

20 general election beyond what you've already testified 21 to?

22 A. Yes. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Could you describe what occurred in that

24 regard. 25

MS. CUBRIEL: Answer if you know.



February 28, 2023 Pages 82 to 85

Page 82

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the -- the

- 2 visually impaired had filed a lawsuit asking for the
- 3 same accommodations that we have with the military.
- 4 We have a very robust military vote -- voting
- 5 population. And the federal government permits us to
- 6 e-mail their ballots to them. And if they're in a
- 7 hostile fire zone, they may e-mail them back.

8 And the visually impaired have petitioned

- 9 the district court to allow us to e-mail ballots to
- 10 them so that in the comfort of their home, they can
- 11 use their own screen scrapers or sip-and-puffs,
- 12 whatever they would need, to be able to mark their
- 13 ballot to have it as a fillable PDF, and then it
- 14 could be printed off and so they could actually be
- 15 voting in private without any assistance.

And my understanding is, due to the 16

- 17 timeliness, the judge chose three of those people out
- 18 of that organization for us to e-mail them their
- 19 ballots, and so we did this. We -- we had their
- 20 names and we e-mailed their ballots to them as a
- 21 pilot.
- 22 It was not a hundred percent success. The
- 23 screen scrapers -- my understanding, the screen
- 24 scrapers work with one type of PDF, but the fillable
- 25 PDF is -- is another. So, we went back after the

- 1 with the general election in 2022?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You mentioned the military voters. Were 3
- 4 there any military voters whose votes were rejected
- in connection with the 2022 general election by
- 6 **Bexar County?**
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Could you describe what occurred in that
- 9 regard.

7

- 10 A. Again, to my -- to my knowledge, and I do 11 not have a number, with the military ballots, they
- 12 are required to put a -- it's called a signature
- 13 sheet and -- and they must sign it with a wet
- 14 signature and -- and enclose it with their ballot.
- 15 So that -- again, we don't know how far that ballot's
- 16 coming, so there's no signature on the outside again.
- 17 And so, they -- they have the opportunity 18 to insert it inside. And the organization, the early
- 19 ballot board, when they open that ballot, if that
- 20 signature sheet is not in there, then they reject
- 21 that ballot. And so, there were some that did not
- 22 have the signature sheet in it. I don't know how
- 23 many.
- 24 Q. In those instances, was there an effort
- 25 made to cure or otherwise allow those military voters

Page 83

- 1 election, they did successfully vote. They e-mailed
- 2 their ballots. They printed them. They mailed them
- back to us. And so they did, in fact, vote.
- 4 But the judge has, I don't want to say -- I
- 5 use the phrase, kicked the can down the road until
- 6 March to come back and reevaluate where this will go.
- 7 but then knowing that that will be too late for us to 8 institute it for May.
- 9 Q. BY MR. BRYANT: All right. Were there any 10 other instances in which the accommodations were
- 11 requested for persons with disabilities in connection
- 12 with the 2022 general election in Bexar County beyond
- 13 what you've already testified about?
- 14 A. No. I mean, we -- we have -- I think we
- 15 talked about it, sir, earlier about the curbside
- 16 voting. And we --
- 17 Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 A. -- we have units, like big tablets that --
- 19 that they vote on in their car and -- and again,
- 20 that's a very, very readily accessible, very, very
- 21 well-promoted and very, very well-attended part of
- 22 our voters.
- 23 Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, did
- 24 Bexar County comply with the provisions of SB-1
- 25 relating to accomodations for voters in connection

1 to vote?

5

10

- 2 A. Yes. Again, that group was able to e-mail
- them, to send in a signature sheet. 3
- 4 Q. And to what extent was that done?
 - A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you know whether there were any
- 7 military voters who ultimately were unable to cast
- their ballot in Bexar County in connection with the
- 9 2022 general election?
 - A. I know there were some rejected.
- 11 Q. Okay. And not cured?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. There's technology, I understand,
- 14 that is used in connection with mail-in ballots
- 15 that's referred to as Votracker [sic]. Are you
- 16 familiar with that?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Did the Votracker [sic] -- Votracker [sic]
- 19 technology work properly with respect to Bexar County
- voters in the -- connection with the general election 20
- 21 in 2022, to the best of your knowledge?
- 22 A. Our local ballot tracker worked.
 - Q. Did Bexar County synch, S-Y-N-C-H --
- 24 A. You got it.
- 25 Q. -- its system with TEAM's in the last half



23

Page 85

February 28, 2023 Pages 102 to 105

Page 102 Page 104 Q. Was voter turnout in the general election 1 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 2 in 2022 also affected as compared with 2020 by the --2 Q. BY MR. BRYANT: Okay. 3 the change in the circumstances with respect to 3 MR. BRYANT: I'll pass the witness at this COVID? 4 time and reserve my remaining time until after other 5 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection; form. counsel have had an opportunity to ask their THE WITNESS: No, not in the whole turnout, questions. And I would also ask if anyone plans to 6 7 but in the methodology of it, it -- COVID affected 7 take a lunch break and if so, when you would like to do so. 8 it. I -- I firmly believe that that's why we saw so 8 9 9 many mail ballots, the 124,000 and our in-person MS. CUBRIEL: We could go off the record to 10 numbers were down, but we still had a high --10 discuss lunch break. 11 presidential years are always a higher ye- -- higher 11 MR. BRYANT: Okay. Let's go off the 12 turnout. 12 record. 13 Q. BY MR. BRYANT: Okay. I'm -- I'm not sure 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 11:50 a.m. and 14 the question you answered was the one I had in my 14 we are off the record. 15 (At 11:50 a.m., a luncheon recess 15 mind, so let me ask to try to clarify it. Do you 16 believe that one of the reasons that you had a lower 16 was taken, the deposition to be 17 turnout in 2022 was that COVID was not an issue? resumed at 12:20 p.m.) 17 18 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection; form. 18 Q. BY MR. BRYANT: Or that perhaps the AFTERNOON SESSION 19 19 20 existence of COVID affected voter's behavior and 20 (At 12:23 p.m., the deposition was resumed, propensity to vote in 2020? 21 the same persons being present.) 22 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection; form. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:23 p.m. 23 THE WITNESS: No. 23 and we are on the record. 24 Q. BY MR. BRYANT: Did I understand your 24 25 testimony to be that you believe that the number of 25 Page 103 Page 105 1 mail-in ballots spiked up in 2020 because of COVID? **EXAMINATION** 1 2 A. Yes. sir. BY MS. PAIKOWSKY: 3 Q. Do you expect a similar spike in the next Q. Good afternoon, Miss Callanen. 3

5

6

- 4 presidential election in 2024?
- 5 MS. CUBRIEL: Objection; form.
- THE WITNESS: Not that high. But, I mean, 6
- 7 it'll obviously be higher than 40 that we have now.
- Q. BY MR. BRYANT: In the connection with the
- 2020 general election, was accommodation requested by
- 10 any voter of Bexar County as to any of the
- 11 requirements of SB-1?
- 12 A. I'm not sure I understand.
- 13 Q. Such as voter assistance or only with
- 14 respect to what you already testified to, which was
- 15 visual impairment, hearing impairment?
- MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection to the extent 16
- 17 that this is asking a question about outside the
- 18 scope of the 2022 election.
- 19 Q. BY MR. BRYANT: Yeah. And I want to make
- 20 it clear I'm asking about the 2022 general election.
- 21 Was there -- were there any other requests for
- 22 accommodations as to disabilities that were because
- 23 of any requirements that SB-1 imposed for the first
- 24 time?

25

MS. CUBRIEL: Objection; form.

- 4 A. Good afternoon.
 - Q. Did I get it right?
 - A. Yes, you did. I'm proud of you.
- Q. Perfect. My name is Dana Paikowsky and I 7
- 8 am an attorney for the United States, as you know.
- 9 So, I know we've talked a lot about some of the voter
- 10 education efforts you did in the November 2022
- 11 election. And I don't want to go over anything we've
- 12 already talked about.

13 But was there any specific proactive voter

14 education around SB-1's identification number

15 provisions that your office did in the 2022 general

16 election that we did not already talk about?

17

- A. No.
- 18 Q. When your office was doing these voter
- 19 education efforts, did you seek feedback or approval
- 20 from the secretary of state's office?
 - A. No.
- 22 Q. And -- okay. So, going back to the insert.
- 23 Has your office communicated with the secretary of
- 24 state's office about the insert?
- 25 A. I think we -- we -- we talked once and I --



21

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX F

Transcript of the Testimony of Remi Garza

Date:

May 09, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT

Remi Garza May 09, 2022
Pages 202 to 205

Page 202 Page 204 1 more generally, that Senate Bill 1 will prevent them 1 committee?" 2 from finding transportation to a polling center or 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 polling place? Yes. Α I'm sorry, could you repeat the question? Now, prior to this provision being enacted, did 5 you have any concerns regarding voters receiving Uh-huh. Have you heard from voters more 6 generally that Senate Bill -- their concern is that 6 assistance from candidate, campaign, or political 7 Senate Bill 1 would prevent them from being able to find 7 committee? 8 transportation to a polling place? Α No. And are you aware of any voter who could not 10 find the assister of their choice because of the Have you had any issues on the March primary or 11 requirement that the assister fill out a form? 11 the May 7th election with respect to this provision? 12 12 Α Have you had any concerns raised about this Have you heard of any particular voter who was Ω 14 provision on either election? 14 unable to vote because their assister of choice was 15 Δ 15 unable or refused to complete the form provided by this No. 16 Do you have any policies or procedures in place 16 Section SB14? 17 for what would occur if the person transporting voters 17 Α No. 18 to poll locations refuses to sign a form? 18 0 Are you aware of an individual who refused to 19 fill out the form who otherwise would be an assister to A They wouldn't be allowed to assist the voters. So the voters would still be able to vote, but 20 a voter? 21 the individual would not be able to assist; is that 22 fair? 22 Q Have you ever heard of an individual in the 2.3 Α Yes. 23 last two elections who were reluctant to sign the form Q And have you heard of any instances of an 24 and otherwise be an assister to voters? 25 individual refusing to sign a form? Page 203 Page 205 Have you received any complaints from voters or Have you heard any incidents of an individual 2 have you received any phone calls from voters about 3 voicing any concern that this requirement of an assister 3 being reluctant to sign a form? Nο 4 filling out a form would prevent them from being able to All right. Then let's turn to Subsection 6.03, 5 find an assister? 6 which is starts at the bottom of 51 and continues on to Α Not that I'm aware of. 7 the next page. Do you have any problems or concerns with Α 8 respect to this section during the May 7th election or Yes. And this is not in Section 64.032 of the 9 March primary? 10 election code, correct? Α 11 Δ Yes 11 0 Did you have any concerns -- let me strike that And the title is omission of form by 12 12 guestion. 13 assistance? 13 Were you at any point confused about what Α Yes. 14 was required from Cameron County with respect to this 15 This says that: 15 provision? 16 "A person, other than an election officer, Α 16 17 who assists a voter in accordance with this chapter is 17 0 And do you have forms available at each polling 18 required to complete a form stating: 18 site? "1. The name and address of the person 19 20 assisting the voter. 2.0 0 In addition, are election officers available to "2. The relationship to the voter of the 21 21 assist the voters at each polling location? 22 22 person assisting the voter; and Α Yes. "3. Whether the person assisting the And with respect with that assistant, are there 24 voter received or accepted any form of compensation or 24 individuals from poll locations who speak both English 25 other benefit from a candidate, campaign, or political 25 and Spanish?

Remi Garza May 09, 2022
Pages 206 to 209

Page 206 Page 208 We recommended that they do it in the morning. Yes. Does this clarify to your office that they do Do you provide any other assistance in any 2 3 other languages besides English and Spanish at poll 3 did not need to do that; is that correct? 4 locations? That's correct. We are still doing it. Δ As a precaution? O Have you received any requests from voters to 6 Α Yes. 7 have available someone who can speak in an alternative Then we move to the oath itself. It reads: 8 language besides English or Spanish? "I swear or affirm under penalty of Δ Nο 9 perjury that the voter I assisted represented to me they If we look at Subsection (b), it says: 10 10 are eligible to receive assistance. I will not suggest 11 "The Secretary of State shall prescribe 11 by word, sign, or gesture how the voter should vote. I 12 the form required by this section. The form must be 12 will confine my assistance to reading the ballot to the 13 incorporated into the official carrier envelope if the 13 voter, directing the voter to read the ballot, marking 14 voter is voting an early ballot by mail and receive 14 the voter's ballot, or directing the voter to mark the 15 assistance under Section 86.010, or must be submitted to 15 ballot." 16 an election officer at the time the voter casts a ballot 16 Have I read that portion correctly? 17 if the voter is voting at the polling place or under 17 Yes. 18 Section 64.009" 18 It continues: 19 "I will prepare the voter's ballot as the 19 Is that correct? 20 Yes 20 voter directs. I did not pressure or coerce the voter Have you had any problems or concerns with 21 into choosing me to provide assistance. I am not the 22 respect to this particular section or subsection in the 22 voter's employer, an agent of the voter's employer, or 23 an officer or agent of a labor union to which the voter 23 March primary or May 7th election? 24 A Other than just general complaints as to the 24 belongs." 25 length, no. "I will not communicate information about Page 207 Page 209 What did you mean about the length? 1 how the voter has voted to another person. And I The oath itself is very long. It takes a long 2 understand that if assistance is provided to a voter who 3 time for people to repeat it after the presiding judge. 3 is not eligible for assistance, the voter's ballot may 0 So outside of the length of the oath, you 4 not be counted." 5 received no other complaints? Did I read that correctly? That's correct. Yes. Okay. We're about to discuss the oath in a So let's look at the beginning. Let's look at 8 the language that was added. So in line 26, it adds: 8 moment, so let's move now to Section to 6.04. 9 Α Okay. 9 "Under the penalty of perjury." And so this amends Section 64.034 of the Texas 10 Did I read that correctly? 11 Election Code; is that correct? 11 Δ Yes 12 Α 12 Are you aware of whether or not a person who Yes. 13 13 took the oath prior to this was also subject to perjury? And then the first part reads: "A person, other than an election officer, 14 I would think so. 15 selected to provide assistance to a voter must take the 15 So is your belief that a person who took the 16 following oath, administered by an election officer at 16 oath prior to SB1 would have been subject to perjury had 17 the polling place before providing assistance." 17 they violated the oath; is that correct? 18 Did I read that correctly? 18 It could have been, but .. 19 19 Is it your belief? 0 And the language that was inserted was 2.0 It's my belief, yes. 21 clarifying that an election officer did not have to take 21 And then it continues with: 22 the oath; is that correct? 22 "The voter I am assisting represented to 23 me they are eligible to receive assistance." Prior to SB1, did election officers in Cameron 2.4 Texas already limited, or I should say 25 Texas state and federal law already limited certain 25 County take the oath?

Remi Garza May 09, 2022 Pages 234 to 237

Page 234

- Q So this would be enforced by the district 2 attorney or county attorney's office criminal division; 3 is that right?
- A Yes. 4
- Q And you haven't spoken to them about how they 1 could enforce or interpret this particular section?
- 2 A I have not.
- Q With respect to the other provisions of the 4 election code created or amended criminal offense, have 5 you spoken to the district attorney's office or the 6 count attorney's office, criminal division about how 7 they would enforce those provisions?
- A No, I have not.
- 9 Did any voter -- to your knowledge, was any 0 voter not able to obtain the assister of choice because 1 of this particular section?
- 2 A Not that I'm aware of.
- Q And to your knowledge, was any voter unable to 4 vote because of this particular section?
- A Not that I'm aware.
- Q And to your knowledge, did any person who would 7 have assisted a voter decide not to assist a voter 8 because of this particular section?
- A Not that I am aware of.
- Q And has any voter raised concerns regarding

1 election code. It starts on page 4.

- 2 A Yes.
- Q And do you see where it says reasonable 4 accommodation or modification?
- Α Yes.
- 1 Q It states:
- 2 "The provision of this code may not be 3 interpreted to prohibit or limit the right of a 4 qualified individual with a disability from requesting a 5 reasonable accommodation or modification to any election 6 standard, practice, or procedure mandated by law or rule 7 that the individual is entitled to request under federal 8 or state law."
- Did I read that correctly?
- 0 A Yes.
- Q Did you understand this provision as clarifying 2 that a voter may request an accommodation of any 3 election practice or requirement if they had a qualified 4 disability?
- 5 A Yes.
- Q And would your office provide a reasonable 6 7 accommodation if one was so requested?
- A To the best of my ability, yes.
- 9 And has any voter requested an accommodation 0 with respect to the provisions of SB1?

Page 235

- 1 this particular section?
- 2 A Not to me.
- Q And have any assisters raised concerns 4 regarding this particular election provision?
- A Not to me.
- Q And has any advocacy worker or third-party 1 2 organization raised concerns about this particular 3 provision?
- 4 A Not that I'm aware of.
- Q Okay. So you're not aware of any concerns or 6 complaints from voters, assisters, or advocacy workers, 7 correct, regarding this particular section?
- A Not that they complained to me. I don't know 9 if they've done it in other places.
- 0 Q But not to your knowledge?
- A But not to my knowledge. 1
- Q And you're not aware of complaints that have 3 been received by Cameron County Elections Office?
- A I'm not aware of any that have been received by 5 our office.
- Q Did you have any concerns regarding this 7 particular section?
- A No.
- Q I want to jump to Section 1.022. I'm sorry, 0 it's Section 1.08, and it amends Section 1.022 of the

- A Not that I am aware of.
 - Q If a voter requested an accommodation to a 3 specific requirement of SB1, how would you make a 4 determination of whether to provide reasonable 5 accommodation?
 - A It would be -- it would be dependent on the 2 nature of the request. And we would probably contact 3 the county attorneys and the Secretary of State's office 4 to see if we had the discretion to make the 5 accommodation.
 - Q But you would do so, to the best of your 7 ability, correct?
 - A Yes. 8
 - 9 MS. HUNKER: Can we off the record for 0 five minutes? I need to look at my notes.
 - THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
 - 2 Time is 4:30 p.m.
 - 3 (Break taken.)
 - 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. 5 Time is 4:44 p.m.
 - Q (BY MS. HUNKER) So there was one section I had 7 gotten forgotten to go over. If you can turn to Section 8 6.02 on page 51. It starts on line 17.
 - A Yes.
 - Q Do you see where it says, "this amends Section

Page 237

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	§ §	
ν .	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	8	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX G

Transcript o	f the Testimony o	f
The Office of the Dallas C	County Elections	Administrator

Date:

April 29, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

April 29, 2022 Pages 122 to 125

Page 124

Page 125

Page 122

- 1 assistance?
- 2 A. No, I do not.
- Q. So the only way we could figure out that number
- 4 would be to go back through the envelopes; is that 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. That sounds time-consuming. 7
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And now let me ask you about the application
- 10 for ballot by mail. If a voter receives assistance in
- 11 filling out the application for ballot by mail, do you
- 12 keep track of that number anywhere?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- A. No, we do not keep track, but what I can say 15
- 16 is: If a application come into our office and the --
- 17 they have a witness here (indicating), but they didn't
- 18 sign, then we send them that notice to the voter that
- 19 it's not signed; or if they signed it down here and
- 20 didn't fill out the information, then we send a notice 21 (indicating) to that, but...
- 22 Q. So only in a situation where you can tell that
- 23 somebody provided assistance, but they didn't fill out
- 24 the paperwork --
- A. If it's --25

- A. Either they can call us on the phone and ask --
- 2 request -- that person requesting for their ballot, can
- 3 request a Spanish version of the ballot; or if it's a
- 4 Vietnamese, they can call and request that; or they can
- 5 submit a court request to us in writing, and they can
- 6 also state that in writing, asking for that.
- Q. If someone calls your -- your mail voting 7
- 8 group --
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. -- and they're just speaking Spanish on the
- 11 phone --
- A. Uh-huh. 12
- 13 Q. -- what would -- how would you handle that
- 14 call?
- A. Well, two ways, through the prompts in the 15
- 16 system they call, we have a Spanish version. If they
- 17 say, I would like to speak to a Spanish speaker, then
- 18 they can still like that and then the phone call will go
- 19 to a Spanish-speaker.
- 20 If not, if we do get that call, then they'll
- 21 ask us -- either speak to us in English or ask if they
- 22 speak to someone in Spanish, and then we'll transfer
- 23 that call to the person who's speaking Spanish.
- 24 Q. Between you and the eight people that work with 25 you --

Page 123

- A. -- incomplete, yes. 2

Q. -- correctly?

- 3 Q. Okay. Do you send out mail ballots in Spanish?
- A. If it's requ- -- if it's requested, yes. 4
- 5 Q. Is your mail ballot bilingual in the sense
- 6 that --

1

- A. Well, let me --7
- Q. -- it has English and Spanish? 8
- A. I'm sorry. Rephrase that. Let me say: Are
- 10 you asking the ballot or the mail ballot?
- Q. So let me ask you first about the mai- -- the 11
- 12 ballot, itself.
- 13 A. The ballot, itself?
- Q. Is the ballot bilingual, or does it kind --14
- 15 A. Trilingual.
- 16 Q. It's trilingual.
- 17 A. English, Spanish and Vietnamese now.
- Q. Okay. And so the mail ballot has all three of 18
- 19 those languages on it?
- A. The ballot has it, yes. 20
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, for application for ballot by mail,
- 22 if somebody is Spanish-speaking --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- how could they make sure that you send them
- 25 a Spanish language application for ballot by mail?

- A. Uh-huh. 1
 - Q. -- in the mail-ballot group, do you have any 2
 - 3 Spanish speakers?
 - A. Yes. 4
 - 5 Q. Do you have any Vietnamese speakers?
 - 6 A. In my department, no. But in the office, yes,
 - 7 we have one.
 - Q. Are the language prompts in your system yet for
 - 9 someone to call Dallas County Elections and get a prompt
 - 10 for -- if you need to speak -- if you need to hear this
 - 11 message in Vietnamese, press whatever?
 - 12 A. I do not know.
 - 13 Q. Because it's new?
 - 14 A. It's new.
 - 15 Q. Okay.
 - 16 A. It may be. I just don't know.
 - 17 Q. I want to ask you now about guidance from the
 - 18 Secretary of State's office during this process,
 - 19 starting January 1 of 2022.
 - 20 A. Okav.
 - 21 Q. Was there a time when your office was making
 - 22 decisions about how to implement the new ID number
 - 23 matching requirements and you had not yet received
 - 24 guidance from the Secretary of State's office?
 - 25 A. Yes.

Kim Tindall and Associates, LLC 16414 San Pedro, Suite 900 210-697-3400

April 29, 2022 Pages 154 to 157

Page 154 Page 156 Q. And is this always the way that you interpreted 1 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 2 the law? 2 A. Yes, it was greater. 3 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 3 Q. (By Ms. Cai) What accounts for that greater 4 A. Yes. 4 number of rejections? 5 Q. (By Ms. Bender) Okay. So, again, throughout 5 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 6 the entire time that you were processing ballots for the 6 A. The new requirements, the missing driver's 7 March 2022 primary -license or the missing Social Security numbers. 7 8 A. (Witness moves head up and down.) Q. (By Ms. Cai) Do you have reason to believe 8 Q. -- you would accept it if the driver's license 9 that some individuals whose applications for ballot by 10 number was incorrect, but the Social Security number was 10 mail or mail ballots are being rejected -- excuse me --11 correct? 11 that have been rejected are, in fact, eligible voters 12 A. Yes. 12 who made errors while filing -- filling out their ID 13 MS. BENDER: Okay. That's all of my 13 numbers? 14 questions. Thank you. 14 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 A. Yes. THE REPORTER: Just a second. 16 16 Q. (By Ms. Cai) Are you or your office concerned 17 **EXAMINATION** 17 by the greater number of rejected applications for 18 BY MS. CAI: 18 ballot by mail or mail ballots in the March primary? 19 Q. Hello, Ms. Phillips. 19 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 20 MS. CAI: Oh. Go ahead. 20 A. Yes. 21 21 Q. (By Ms. Cai) Why are you concerned? A. Hi. 22 MS. PERALES: One second, please. One 22 A. Because voters before the SB 1 law were able to 23 second, please. vote and cast their ballots, and ballots counted. 23 24 THE REPORTER: Okay. And who is this, Q. Have you or your office conveyed that concern 24 25 please? 25 to the Secretary of State? Page 155 Page 157 1 MS. CAI: My name is Sophia Cai, and I'm A. I have not; but my office -- some people in my 1 2 representing the OCA Plaintiffs. office probably have, yes. 3 MS. PERALES: Slow down for one second. 3 Q. Do you know what they have said? Can you just say that more slowly? A. No, I don't. 4 5 MS. CAI: Of course. My name is Sophia 5 Q. Have you or your office conveyed that same 6 Cai, spelled S-o-p-h-i-a. Last name, Cai, C-a-i. 6 concern to other election officials? 7 THE REPORTER: Okay. And you're 7 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. representing who? 8 A. Probably so. I do not know. 8 9 MS. CAI: The OCA-Greater Houston 9 Q. (By Ms. Cai) Have you personally conveyed that 10 Plaintiffs. 10 concern to anyone? 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. Thank you. A. No. 11 Okay. Thank you. Q. Turning to SB 1 requirements next, are you 12 12 13 MS. CAI: Great. 13 aware that SB 1 adds additional requirements in order to 14 Q. (By Ms. Cai) Hi, Ms. Phillips. Thank you -register to vote by mail or to actually vote by mail? MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 15 15 Q. -- for bearing with us. I just have a few 16 16 A. Repeat that again. 17 questions for you. 17 Q. (By Ms. Cai) This has probably been covered, 18 You testified earlier that you didn't know off 18 but is it correct that you are aware that SB 1 adds 19 the top of your head the number of people who submitted 19 requirements to register to vote by mail or to actually 20 an application for ballot by mail that was incomplete 20 vote by mail? 21 because you could not verify their ID number. 21 A. Yes. 22 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. Even without that exact number off the top of 22 23 your head, do you know whether the number of ABBMs that 23 Q. (By Ms. Cai) Is a registered voter with a

24 were rejected in the March primary was greater than in

25 past years?

24 disability able to get a modification or accommodation

25 to the ID requirement for voting by mail?

April 29, 2022 Pages 158 to 161

Page 158 Page 160 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 1 1 Hearing none. 2 **EXAMINATION** 2 A. No. 3 BY MS. HUNKER: 3 Q. (By Ms. Cai) So even if they request an Q. Hi, Ms. Phillips. How with you? 4 accommodation or a modification, a voter with disability 4 5 would not be able to have any different rules apply to 5 A. I'm okay. How are you? 6 them for the ID requirement? 6 Q. My name is Kathleen Hunker. I represent the MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 7 State Defendants in this matter. I'm going to ask a few 7 8 A. No, not to my knowledge. 8 questions, mostly in response to what Plaintiffs have 9 Q. (By Ms. Cai) Have you received any requests 9 asked. Because of that, I'm going to be jumping around 10 a little bit with respect to topics. If at any point 10 from voters with disabilities for any sort of 11 accommodation or modification to the ID requirement in 11 you're confused or you don't follow when I transition to 12 the March primary? 12 topics, will you please let me know? 13 13 A. No, not to my kno- -- not to my knowledge. A. Okay. Q. Who would a voter with a disability go to 14 Q. And I will be more than willing to either lay a 15 greater foundation or to rephrase the question. Okay? 15 to make such a request for their application for vote by 16 mail or their mail ballot? 16 A. Okay. Q. Also if you don't understand any of my 17 A. They would request the application from my 17 18 office, the Mail Ballot Office with Dallas County. 18 questions or you think you need additional 19 clarification, please let me know; and I'm happy to do Q. If they wanted some sort of accommodation or 20 modification so that they could vote by mail, would they 20 so. Okay? 21 be able to ask somebody in your office for such 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. Excellent. So I'm going to start with the last 22 accommodation or modification? 23 A. What type of accommodation or modification are 23 topic of conversation, which was regarding the ADA 24 accommodation. I believe Plaintiffs' Counsel for 24 we asking about? 25 Q. Any type. It might vary by the type of 25 OCA-Greater Houston, asked you a few questions on that, Page 159 Page 161 1 disability a person has. Would they be able to ask for, 1 correct? 2 for instance, assistance filling out their ID number? 2 A. Yes. A. If a voter is in our office, come to our office Q. And you had mentioned that no one had come into 4 at the counter and asks for help with assisting with 4 your office asking a -- for assistance or an 5 filling out their application, yes, we can help them 5 accommodation with respect to the ID requirements; is 6 fill out their application. 6 that correct? Q. Would they be able to request any other sorts 7 A. Correct, not to my knowledge. 7 8 of modifications or accommodations? Q. Okay. So when she was asking about whether or 9 A. What modification are we asking about? 9 not your office would accommodate, you don't have a Q. It would likely depend on the voter, but can 10 policy in place about the accommodation; is that 11 you think of any examples of people who have asked for 11 correct? 12 any assistance? 12 A. That is correct. 13 13 Q. And that would only be decided once you A. No one has asked for any assistance or 14 modifications in our office, so I wouldn't know which 14 actually received a request; is that right? 15 one you are referring to. 15 A. Yes. Q. Have they called in or written in for any such 16 Q. And I think you had mentioned that if somebody 17 modifications? 17 came in requesting assistance, you would do your best to 18 aid them; is that correct? 18 A. I have not received any, not to my knowledge. A. Yes. 19 MS. CAI: Okay. Thank you very much, 19 20 Ms. Phillips. That's all my questions. 20 Q. You also spoke with Counsel regarding 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 communications with the Secretary of State's office, and 22 THE REPORTER: Just a second, please. 22 so let me get a little bit of clarification there. 23 Okay. Thank you. 23 You have not contacted the Secretary of State's

MS. HUNKER: Does any other Plaintiff

25 group want to ask questions before I begin?

24

24 office regarding SB 1, is that right? And when I say

25 "you" in this case, I'm referring to you as an

Pages 282 to 285

April 29, 2022 Page 282 Page 284 MS. BENDER: This is --1 voter registration system. 1 2 THE REPORTER: Okay. Thank you. 2 Q. And was that system meant to ensure that the MS. BENDER: This is Brady. No questions 3 individuals were already registered? 3 at this time. Thank you. A. Yes. 4 5 **EXAMINATION** Q. Prior to SB 1 being granted an application for 6 BY MS. CAI: 6 ballot by mail, what would happen next? A. The vote by mail ballot would be sent. 7 Q. Hello, Ms. Scarpello. This is Sophia Cai on 7 8 behalf of the OC Plaintiffs Q. Would the voter be assigned a unique 9 A. I'm sorry. I can't hear. 9 identification number? 10 Q. I was just going to ask you if you could hear 10 A. Yes. Q. And would that identification number be 11 me okay. 11 12 associated with the ballot sent to the voter? 12 How is this? 13 A. That's better. 13 A. Yes. Not --Q. So --14 Q. Great. I'll just reintroduce myself. My name 14 15 is Sophia Cai on behalf of the OCA Plaintiffs. 15 A. -- to the -- not to the ballot, but to the 16 First I just wanted to ask you a few questions 16 ballot carrier envelope. 17 about matching voters who apply for a ballot by mail. 17 Q. Okay. I see. Thank you for that 18 clarification. 18 A. Okav. 19 So someone who successfully voted by mail would 19 Q. If an individual applies to vote by mail, but 20 is not a registered voter, will their application for 20 then have to return that specific ballot envelope issue 21 ballot by mail be approved? 21 to them, not any ballot envelope? A. That's correct. 22 A. If they apply to vote by mail, but they are not 22 23 Q. Prior to SB 1, what information was the voter 23 a registered voter, no. 24 Q. And that was also true prior to SB 1; is that 24 required to include on the carrier envelope or ballot 25 correct? 25 envelope? Page 285 Page 283 A. That's correct. A. I believe their name, address. I can't recall 1 1 Q. Put another way, everyone who successfully 2 all the specifics, but most likely, the name, address. 2 Q. Okay. And was the voter required to sign the 3 applies for a ballot by mail has already registered to vote in the county; is that right? 4 carrier envelope? 5 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 5 A. Yes. 6 (The lights go out in the room.) 6 Q. Was there a process in place to make sure that

7 THE REPORTER: Can we go --

8 A. I think we might pause.

9 THE REPORTER: -- off the record, please?

10 Can we go off the record for a second, please?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 11

12 6:51 p.m.

13 (Discussion off the record.)

14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're back on

15 the record. The time is 6:52 p.m.

Q. I'll just reask my most recent question. How's 16

17 that?

18 Everyone who successfully applies for a ballot

19 by mail has already registered to vote in the county?

20 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

21 A. That -- that's correct.

Q. Prior to SB 1, how did your office check to see 22

23 if an individual applying to vote by mail was a

24 registered voter?

25 A. They checked the voter registration, the VEMACS

7 the signature matched the voter signature on file?

A. Yes. There's the Signature Verification

9 Committee that compares the signature of the -- on the

10 carrier envelope to the signature on the application, as

11 well as they -- they have the ability to check other

12 signatures within the voter registration system.

Q. And just to clarify, that answer also applies 13

14 prior to SB 1 --

A. Yes. 15

16 Q. -- is that correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Thank you. Okay. Next I want to ask you some

19 questions about voters with disabilities.

Are you familiar with your office's obligations 20

under the Americans with Disabilities Act or ADA? 21

22 A. I'm -- I'm vaguely familiar, yes.

23 Q. And what do you understand those obligations to

24 be?

25 A. Most importantly, it's to provide voting

April 29, 2022 Pages 286 to 289

Page 286

- 1 locations that have been -- that are ADA compliant,2 basically.
- 3 Q. Does your office have written ADA policies or 4 procedures?
- 5 A. No, we don't.
- Q. So these are -- how are they communicated toyour employees or poll watchers?
- 8 A. The -- the polling places, the county has
- 9 traditional polling places (indicating) that they've
- 10 been using for years, and those polling places, we -- in
- 11 earlier testimony, someone testified -- Revi testified
- 12 that those polling places were, when we moved to
- 13 countywide vote centers, they used those same polling 14 places.
- 15 In August of 2021, I proposed that we take a
- 16 look at those polling places in an effort to reinspect
- 17 them and to get the votes in our advisory committee to
- 18 look at the official locations of the county so that we
- 19 could modernize or be more compliant with ADA, as well
- 20 as other practical locations, you know, aspects of
- 21 those -- how many we have, where they're located and do
- 22 a deep dive in -- in the placement and quality of our
- 23 polling places. But because of redistricting that was
- 24 taking place at the time, that effort was delayed; and
- 25 we will take that up again in June of this year.
- Page 287
- 1 Q. And when you say "accessible polling places,"
- 2 what do you mean by that?
- A. There's -- when there's -- when a polling place
- $\boldsymbol{4}\,$ is contemplated for use, there are checklists that we go
- 5 through where you -- you know, best practices call for a
- 6 checklist to inspect a location. You -- you're --
- 7 there's a whole laundry list of things that make a
- 8 location ADA compliant: ramps, pressure on the doors
- 9 (indicating), the types of knobs, access to drinking
- 10 fountains. I mean, it's a very comprehensive list that,
- 11 basically, gives a grade to a location for ADA
- 12 compliance.
- 13 Q. It sounds like you're very familiar with this
- 14 list, but if it's not written down anywhere, how do the
- 15 various locations go through this checklist?
- 6 A. There -- there were the -- there were
- 17 inspections in 2019, and so we do have records of those
- 18 locations. I just think that -- that we need to pay a
- 19 little bit better attention to the results of those
- 20 inspections and upgrade or eliminate those that are not
- 21 in compliance because I think we have some that are not
- 22 as compliant as they need to be.
- 23 Q. That makes a lot of sense. Thank you for that
- 24 on an accessibility point.
- 25 Broadly speaking, is your understanding that

- Page 288
- 1 individuals with disabilities can, within reason, ask
- 2 for modifications or accommodations so that they can
- 3 vote?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And does your office have policies for sort of
- 6 changing the typical voting procedures, if needed, for
- 7 voters with disabilities to vote?
- 8 A. Yes. But I mean, number one, there's curbside
- 9 voting for people with disabilities; but there's also
- 10 certain procedures prescribed by the State that allow
- 11 preferential treatment to those who come into a polling
- 12 place (indicating), you know. They get to cut the line,
- 13 if you will. And so that's -- that's also allowed.
- 4 Q. And so aside from this sort of cutting-the-line
- 15 feature, who has the authority to decide whether or not
- 16 to grant a voter's request for a reasonable modification
- 17 based on their disability?
- 18 A. I believe Texas law gives that authority to the
- 19 presiding judge at a location.
- 20 Q. And so would a person with a disability just
- 21 sort of ask at the location and then it would go to the
- 22 judge; how would that procedure work?
- 23 A. Yes, they would ask at the location.
- 24 Q. And that's the final say whether or not they
- 25 can have that modification?

Page 289

- 1 A. Yes. That's --
 - 2 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
 - 3 A. That's the -- in Texas, the presiding judge has
 - 4 the last say as opposed to the Elections Administrator,
 - 5 like in other states that I've been in.
 - 6 Q. (By Ms. Cai) So how are either the election
 - 7 judge you speak of or any of the employees or poll
 - 8 workers who might be asked on a modification, what are
 - 9 they trained to do when deciding whether that
 - 10 modification is reasonable?
 - 11 A. We provide them with the language, as it's laid
 - 12 out in the Texas Election Code; and there's certain
 - 13 signage that's required. And then we provide them with
 - 14 that mater- -- the training materials and signage that
 - 15 tell them if and when someone should have that
 - 13 teli tiletti il alla wileti someone siloala nave
 - 16 preferential treatment.
 - 17 Q. What are some of the asked-for modification
 - 18 that's not on this list?

19

- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 20 A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
 - Q. (By Ms. Cai) Let me rephrase. What kinds of
- 22 modifications or changes to the typical voting
- 23 procedures would be allowed to be provided?
- 24 A. The curbside voting, as well as the
- 25 preferential treatment when it comes to lines inside the

April 29, 2022 Pages 290 to 293

Page 290

1 polling place.

- 2 Q. Okay. And those are the only two you can think
- 3 of --
- A. That's the only --4
- 5 Q. -- off the top of your head?
- A. Off the top of my head, yes. 6
- Q. So to give a sort of comparable 7
- 8 example of something different, earlier today you
- 9 discussed the oath requirement of SB 1.
- 10 A. (Witness moves head up and down.)
- 11 Q. So what would you do if a voter with a
- 12 disability asked for their assistor to answer questions
- 13 or provide assistance beyond what the vote allows?
- 14 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 15 A. I think that -- that's a situational question.
- 16 I mean, if on Election Day we got a call from a -- an
- 17 election judge asking for, you know, telling us that
- 18 someone was asking for an accommodation, we would look
- 19 and see what that -- what they are asking for and we
- 20 would provide our recommendation and we'd do our
- 21 research, talk to our attorneys, talk to the Secretary
- 22 of State, et cetera, and find out what our -- what our
- 23 options are, if any, to offer to that voter.
- Q. (By Ms. Cai) So would you do that procedure
- 25 for any question that an election judge called you with?

- Page 292
- 1 modification so that their ballot could be cured in a
- 2 different way than is specifically, provided for by
- 3 SB 1?
- 4 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 5 A. I think that it's hard for me to speculate on,
- 6 you know, a vague hypothetical. You know, I -- these --
- 7 these -- like I said before, this would be handled on a
- 8 case-by-case basis with, you know, true, you know,
- facts. And it would be a fact-based decision.
- 10 Q. That makes a lot of sense. Thank you.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. Has -- so moving away from hypotheticals,
- 13 then --
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. -- has your office received requests for
- 16 regional (phonetics) modifications for changes in voting
- 17 procedures from voters with disabilities post enactment
- 18 of SB 1?
- A. No, I don't believe so. 19
- 20 Q. Okay. I have one last set of questions about
- 21 the voter identification requirements.
- 22 After SB 1, does your office advise mail ballot
- 23 voters to provide both their Social Security number and
- 24 driver's license numbers?
- 25 A. Yes. After -- in February of 2022, as we were

Page 291

- A. Yes. 1
- Q. So, for instance, if a voter with a disability
- 3 can't see where to put their ID on a mail ballot and you
- 4 got a call about that, you would then do the same
- 5 procedure?
- A. If -- if the -- I mean, it's kind of a
- 7 hypothetical, you know, a very generic --
- Q. Of course.
- 9 A. -- hypothetical. I mean, if -- if the -- if
- 10 the law is not clear, if the election's advisories that
- 11 have been promulgated by the Secretary of State are not
- 12 clear, then we would do that escalation procedure to
- 13 see, you know, what our options are.
- 14 Q. Okay. So the general sense is if there's not a
- 15 clear-cut answer, you would talk to your attorneys or
- 16 the Secretary of State to see whether that modification
- 17 could be provided for a voter with a disability in real
- 18 time?
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 Q. And same question for the care procedure.
- 21 Let's say somebody with a disability has their ballot or
- 22 ballot -- final application rejected, but they can't
- 23 drive and, therefore, cannot go in person to carry their
- 24 ballot.
- 25 Would you consider granting a request for a

- Page 293 1 having a high rejection rate, we went to extraordinary
- 2 measures to try to educate the public, and we got -- I
- 3 think we got an allotment of \$87,000 from the
- 4 Commissioners Court where we reached out directly to
- voters who had rejected mail ballot applications.
- 6 We did some radio ads. We did some Facebook
- 7 educational efforts to try to, number one, help people
- 8 who had already had rejected mail ballots or rejected
- 9 applications, as well as preventing those problems from
- 10 happening. So, yes, you know, we do what we can to try
- 11 to prevent and solve the problem once it occurs.
- 12 Q. So, to be clear, the recommendation to put both
- 13 the Social Security number and driver's license number
- 14 began around February; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you may have already answered this, but why
- 17 did you start advising to put both numbers?
- 18 A. We kind of used the tag line, "When in doubt,
- 19 fill them both out," and -- because it's just, you know,
- 20 to be as safe as possible to try to get both of those
- 21 numbers down with the idea that someone is -- is likely
- 22 to have one of those two numbers on their voter
- 23 registration record.
- 24 Q. So, in the circumstances where an individual
- 25 puts down only their driver's license number on their

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, *
et al., *
Plaintiffs, *

v. * Civil Action No. *
5:21-cv-844 (XR)

STATE OF TEXAS, et al., *
Defendants. *

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF THE DALLAS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR THROUGH ITS DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE,

MICHAEL SCARPELLO

APRIL 13, 2023

produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 13th day of April, 2023, from 10:20 a.m. to 2:25 p.m., before Christy R. Sievert, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the offices of the Dallas County Records Building, 500 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

1 a training?

- A. I assume they do because they have to
- 3 present a certificate that says they have attended
- 4 that training. And I believe there is also a local
- 5 training by the local parties.
 - Q. The poll watcher training comes from the
- 7 Secretary of State; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Do you know whether the poll watcher has to
- 10 take a test at the end of the Secretary of State
- 11 training?

8

- 12 A. I believe there is a quiz. I've never
- 13 taken the training, so I don't know.
- 14 Q. For the 2022 general election, did any
- 15 reports by poll watchers provide information you
- 16 needed to conclude that there was voter fraud
- 17 occurring in any polling place?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. In your view, did the new liberties
- 20 provided poll watchers in the polling place make
- 21 voting more difficult for voters?
- 22 MS. HUNKER: Objection; form.
- 23 A. I don't -- I can't say.
- 24 MS. PERALES: One of my office people
- got very excited and stapled all the copies of this 25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Were you aware that there had been a court
- 3 ruling invalidating, in part, the SB1 provisions on
- 4 limiting voter assistance?
- 5 A. I'm vaguely familiar with it, yes.
- Q. Would you agree with me that under the new
- 7 oath of assistance, which is Exhibit 4, that the
- oath of the person assisting the voter is still made
- 10 beginning, "I swear or affirm under penalty of
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13
- 14 new form, the assister still says, still on the
- first line, "The voter I am assisting represented to
- me that they are eligible to receive assistance"?
- 17 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
- 18 Q. Yes. On Exhibit 4, would you agree with me
- that the oath of assistance contains the language,
- "The voter I am assisting represented to me that
- A. Which line are you on? I'm sorry.
- 23
- 24 Assistance."
- 25

exhibit together. So I have to remove the staple.

- 2 We are going to mark this Exhibit 3.
- 3 (Exhibit No. 3 marked.)
- 4 BY MS. PERALES:
- 5 Q. I'm handing you what has been marked
- 6 Deposition Exhibit 3. If you will, look with me in
- the top left-hand corner, will you observe with me
- that this form was promulgated in January of 2022? 8
- 9
- 10 MS. PERALES: I am going to hand you
- 11 what has been marked Deposition Exhibit No. 4.
- (Exhibit No. 4 marked.) 12
- 13 BY MS. PERALES:
- 14 Q. If you notice in the top left-hand corner,
- this form was promulgated in July of 2022. Do you 15
- 16 see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 3 as the oath of 18
- assistance form prescribed by the Texas Secretary of 19
- State beginning in January of 2022 and until July of
- 21 2022?
- A. Yes. 22
- Q. And do you recognize Exhibit 4 as the oath 23
- of assistance form prescribed by the Texas Secretary
- of State beginning in July of 2022?

- Q. And it starts with, "Oath of person
 - 2 assisting voter. . ."
 - A. Yes.

51

- 4 Q. After "Penalty of perjury" --
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- does it say, "the voter I am assisting
- 7 represented to me that they are eligible to receive
- 8 assistance"?
- 9
- 10 Q. Do you notice on the second line there is
- still language, "I did not pressure or coerce the
- 12 voter into choosing me to provide assistance"?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then finally, before the Spanish part,
- 15 does the assister have to swear, "I understand that
- if assistance is provided to a voter who is not
- eligible for assistance, the voter's ballot may not
- 18 be counted"?
- 19 That's the third line from the top over on
- 20 the far right.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Were there polling places in the 2022
- 23 general election in Dallas County where you did not
- 24 have a Spanish speaking poll worker?
- 25 A. In 2022?

Integrity Legal Support Solutions www.integritylegal.support

52

under penalty of perjury where it says at the

11 perjury"?

Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that in the

they are eligible to receive assistance"?

22

Q. Right under the big words "Oath of

A. Okay. Yes.

- 1 Q. In 2022 general election.
- 2 A. I believe we had a Spanish speaker in every
- 3 polling place.
- 4 Q. Okay. Were there some polling places in
- 5 the 2022 general election where you did not have a
- 6 Vietnamese speaker?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. In those instances, how did you -- what
- 9 service did you provide for a Vietnamese speaking
- 10 voter?
- 11 A. So we became -- a new requirement in
- 12 December of last year for our Vietnamese, and we
- 13 began our recruitment and focused those that we did
- 14 recruit in highly -- where there was a lot of
- 15 Vietnamese speakers. The Vietnamese speakers tend
- 16 to want to go to just a handful of locations. And
- 17 at those locations, I think we had -- there was as
- 18 many as five interpreters at one location in
- 19 particular.

3

4 5

6

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 20 But in addition to that, to have broad
- 21 coverage, we found an application on the -- the
- 22 phones that I mentioned that the judge has, on this
- 23 application, you can press the button to get to the
- 24 service where you get a live operator who speaks --

1 And that -- one of those being Vietnamese. So we

since we weren't able to recruit in such a short

period of time Vietnamese speakers everywhere.

your poll workers used the app for Vietnamese?

A. There are records, but I don't recall -- I

deposition, I believe it was Ms. Phillips said that

when you call the elections administrator office,

who wants to speak to a worker in Vietnamese?

Q. As part of your phone menu now?

office, then, who takes those calls?

you can select in the menu to speak to someone in

Spanish. And I was wondering if you had anything

similar in your phone menu at the office for someone

Q. Do you have a Vietnamese speaker in your

Q. It is correct that you provide applications

for ballot by mail and mail ballot materials in

Q. Last year when I was taking this

8 don't know the numbers.

A. Yes.

A. Yes, we do.

Spanish, ves?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you keep any records about how many of

2 did have broad coverage at all of our locations

25 I think it provides up to 40 different languages.

- 1 Q. Do you provide an application for ballot by
 - 2 mail in Vietnamese?
 - 3 A. Yes.
 - 4 Q. Do you provide mail ballot materials in
 - 5 Vietnamese?
 - 6 A. Yes.
 - 7 Q. How would someone request an application
 - 8 for ballot by mail be sent to them in Vietnamese?
 - 9 A. They can call, e-mail, fax, come in person,
 - 10 write a personal -- a letter, snail mail.
 - 11 Q. Which would arrive in Vietnamese and I
 - 12 guess promptly be delivered to your Vietnamese
 - 13 speaker?
 - 14 A. Correct.
 - 15 Q. Okay. Did you experience any problems of
- 16 voter fraud associated with individuals providing
- 17 assistance to voters in the polling place in the
- 18 2022 general election?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 MS. HUNKER: Objection; form.
- 21 MS. PERALES: Did you catch that
- 22 answer from the witness?
- 23 THE STENOGRAPHER: Yes.
- 24 BY MS. PERALES:
- 25 Q. Do you know whether your office made any

- 1 changes in its training materials for poll workers
 - 2 given the change in the oath of assistance form from
 - 3 the primary to the general election?
 - 4 A. I don't recall.
 - 5 Q. And just to -- just to be clearer about
 - 6 that, you will notice, if you look at Exhibit 3,
 - 7 which is the old form, that on the second line of
 - 8 the oath on the old form, the second line down, it9 says, "I will confine my assistance to reading the
 - ballot to the voter, directing the voter to read the
 - 11 ballot, marking the voter's ballot, or directing the
 - 12 voter to mark the ballot."
 - Do you see that language?
 - 14 A. Yes
 - 15 Q. That's the language that you will not find
 - 16 in Exhibit 4?
 - 17 A. Right.
 - 18 Q. So did you have any communications with
 - 19 your poll workers to tell them or inform them, look,
 - 20 the assister used to have to swear to confine their
 - 21 assistance, but now they don't have to swear to
 - 22 confine their assistance that way?
 - 23 A. I don't recall, but I assume, you know,
 - 24 there's always a -- the trainers always try to point
 - 25 out different -- new laws, and so my assumption is

55

Integrity Legal Support Solutions www.integritylegal.support

1 was unable to do so because of SB1?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And which incident was that?
- 4 A. I believe there's -- the way you phrased
- 5 it, that there's a lot of poll watchers who wanted
- 6 to remove people but weren't able to. That doesn't
- 7 necessarily mean that they were entitled to remove
- 8 them.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. So I think that was quite -- quite
- 11 frequent

13

- 12 Q. Okay. That's a good distinction to make.
 - MR. STOOL: I'm sorry, can I request
- 14 one clarification? I think he said poll watchers
- 15 wanted to remove people.
- 16 MS. HUNKER: I think he did.
- 17 A. Poll worker.
- 18 BY MS. HUNKER:
- 19 Q. I think you meant poll worker?
- 20 A. Poll worker.
- 21 Q. You had discussed earlier that the
- 22 Secretary of State's office provides training to
- 23 poll watchers. Correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the

1 requested assistance: is that right?

- 2 A. Not that I'm aware.
- Q. Voters can also request assistance from a
- 4 third party, a person of their choice, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. You're not aware of any voter who was
- 7 unable to obtain assistance from the person of their
- 8 choice, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. You're not aware of any incident in the
- 11 November 2022 general election where a third party
- 12 refused to assist a voter after the voter requested
- 13 their assistance?
- 14 A. No, I'm not.
- 15 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a voter
- 16 was unable to receive voting assistance?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of any individual who refused
- 19 to transport seven or more individuals to a polling
- 20 location for curbside because of a requirement that
- 21 they fill out the forms stipulated?
- 22 A. I'm not aware.
- 23 Q. And you're not aware of any voter who was
- 24 unable to find transportation to the polls because
- 25 of the requirement that a person who transports

123

- 1 materials for that training?
- 2 A. I browsed through them. I did not take the
- 3 class.

5

- 4 Q. That was going to be my next question.
 - And do you have an opinion on the quality
- 6 of the training materials in the course?
- 7 A. No
- 8 Q. In your experience, did the poll watchers
- 9 who undergo the training have a better sense of
- 10 their responsibilities and limitations than poll
- 11 watchers who did not attend the training in
- 12 elections prior?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. We can put SB1 aside for now.
- 15 You're aware on occasion voters will ask
- 16 assistance to vote, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you're also aware that when a voter
- 19 requests assistance to vote, a voter can be provided
- 20 assistance by the election workers at the polling
- 21 location, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You're unaware of any incidents in the
- 24 November 2022 general election where an election
- 25 worker refused to assist a voter after the voter

- 1 seven or more people to a polling place via curbside
- 2 fill out the forms stipulated?
- A. I'm not aware.
- 4 Q. Would you agree that Harris -- I'm sorry --
- 5 would you agree that Dallas County works to ensure
- 6 that its voting program is accessible to voters with
- 7 disabilities?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Would you agree with me that Dallas County
- 10 takes its responsibilities under the ADA seriously?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you are aware that voters with
- 13 disabilities have the option of requesting
- 14 accomodation or change to the normal voting
- 15 procedures?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive
- 18 any requests for accomodation regarding any of the
- 19 provisions in SB1?
- 20 A. Can you elaborate?
- 21 Q. Sure. To your knowledge, did your office
- 22 receive any requests for accomodation regarding the
- 23 requirement that mail-in voters put their Social
- 24 Security number or ID number on their application
- 25 for ballot by mail?

Integrity Legal Support Solutions www.integritylegal.support

124

1 A. No.

- 2 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive
- 3 any requests for accommodation regarding the
- 4 requirement that mail-in voters put their Social
- 5 Security or ID number on their ballot by mail?
- A. No.
- 7 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive
- 8 any requests for accomodation regarding the
- 9 vote-by-mail cure process?
- 10 A. I'm not aware. I think those last series
- 11 of questions are best asked of other people.
- 12 Q. And would that be Ms. Phillips?
- 13 A. Ms. Phillips, as well as ballot board
- 14 members, early voting ballot board.
- 15 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive
- 16 any requests for accommodation regarding the oath
- 17 that assisters must provide when providing
- 18 assistance?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Do voters have the option of filling out
- 21 something like a disability complaint form in
- 22 connection with an election?
- 23 A. There are complaint forms at every location
- 24 for -- that voters can fill out for any reason.
- 25 Q. To your knowledge, did any of the

1 Votes," "March to the Polls." And those are kind of

128

- 2 umbrella organizations, that -- there's multiple
- 3 organizations that participate, as well as League of
- 4 Women Voters, et cetera.
- 5 Q. And what were the specific concerns they
- 6 raised?
- 7 A. Well, some of the concerns were expressed
- 8 during the negotiations to get to SB1 prior to its
- 9 final passage, and then less so after -- afterwards
- 10 Q. And so when you were mentioning the
- 11 advocacy groups in your discussion with counsel,
- 12 were you referring to concerns that were raised
- 13 prior to the SB1's passage?
- 14 A. Both prior to and afterwards.
- 15 Q. And what were the concerns that were raised
- 16 after SB1's passage?
- 17 A. Through several of the challenged
- 18 provisions.
- 19 Q. Has any voter with a disability contacted
- 20 you, that SB1 made it more difficult to vote?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. And has any poll worker contacted you, that
- 23 SB1 made it more difficult to voters with
- 24 disabilities to vote?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 complaints address the requirements implemented
- 2 through SB1? We'll start specifically with the
- 3 requirement that mail-in voters put their ID number
- 4 or Social Security number on either their
- 5 application or ballot by mail or their ballot?
- 6 A. A complaint form would typically be filled
- 7 out at a polling place as opposed to vote by mail
- 8 might be submitted some other way, by e-mail, et9 cetera, phone call. And so there's most likely some
- 10 complaints about that.
- 11 Q. To your knowledge, did any of the
- 12 complaints that you received address any of the
- 13 voting assistance provisions in SB1?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And is the person to ask about the
- 16 complaints regarding mail-in voting, to the extent
- 17 they exist, would that be Ms. Phillips as well?
- 18 A. Ms. Phillips would be the best person, yes.
- 19 Q. Do you recall talking with counsel about
- 20 advocacy groups who contact with you about concerns
- 21 over Senate Bill 1's impact on voters with
- 22 disability?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Which groups were these, if you recall?
- 25 A. There's an organization called "Dallas

- Q. So the next set of questions I want to ask
 - 2 you are somewhat in response to counsel's discussion
 - 3 on mail-in voting. I understand that this is also
 - 4 covered by Ms. Phillips. So at any point, if you
 - 5 think my question is more appropriate for her,
 - 6 please let me know.
 - 7 A. Okay.
 - 8 Q. When a carrier envelope arrives, does your
 - 9 office open the flap to determine whether or not an
 - 10 ID number was included?
 - 11 A. Yes
 - 12 Q. And does your office attempt to match that
 - 13 number with a voter registration record at that
 - 14 time?
 - 15 A. I believe so.
 - 16 Q. And do you know how your office contacts
 - 17 the voter at that moment if they realize it's either
 - 18 a missing ID number or a mismatch?
 - 19 A. Ms. Phillips can answer these questions
 - 20 better.
 - 21 Q. Do voters still fail to provide a
 - 22 signature?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall discussing with counsel
 - 25 turnout from mail voters in 2022?

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	§ §	
ν .	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	8	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX I

Transcript of the Testimony of Michael Scarpello

Date:

May 04, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO et al. vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Michael Scarpello May 04, 2022

Pages 74 to 77 Page 74 Page 76 an hour. assistance from a third party, correct? 2 2 Q. And so I take it that increasing the financial A. Correct. 3 incentives for county workers was an effort to get more 3 Q. Now, if the voter opts to get assistance from people to be willing to work at the polls? an election worker who's already working at the polling 4 4 5 A. Correct. 5 place, that election worker doesn't have to take the 6 Q. Has it been successful? 6 oath, correct? 7 7 A. Yes. A. I believe they take an oath at the beginning 8 Q. How do you have the funding to increase 8 of the day. They -- they don't have to take one for 9 financial incentives for county workers to work at the 9 every in -- individual, they take one for the day for --10 for anyone that they -- that they help assist throughout polls? 10 11 A. We go to Commissioners Court and ask for that 11 the day. 12 12 funding. Q. Okay. And is it the same oath? 13 13 Q. It's a local funding, it's not --A. Yes. I believe so. 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, if the voter opts for assistance from a 15 Q. -- from the Secretary of State? 15 third party, that third party then takes the oath 16 A. Correct. 16 immediately before assisting the voter, correct? 17 Q. All right. I think we've been going longer 17 A. Correct. than I realized, and now might be a good time for a 18 Q. Are you aware of any instance in which someone 19 break if you're amenable? was at a polling place intending to provide assistance, 19 20 A. Yes. 20 but refused to take the oath? 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off record at 11:52. 21 A. I'm not aware. 22 22 (Off the record.) Q. Are you aware of any instance in which someone 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record at 23 was at a polling place intending to take -- to provide 24 12:26. 24 assistance, but objected to the content of the oath? 25 Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) Okay. Mr. Scarpello, we 25 A. I'm not aware. Page 75 Page 77 just took a bit of a break for your counsel to confer Q. Are you aware of any voter who has not been 1 1 with the federal government. While we're waiting on able to vote, because he could not find an assistant to 2 3 that, we'll talk about some other stuff. 3 help? 4 4 Are you familiar with the oath that A. Not that I'm aware of. 5 people providing -- that certain people providing 5 Q. Are you aware of any voter who has ever not 6 assistance to voters must take? 6 been able to vote because, even though he had an 7 A. Yes. 7 assistant, the assistant did not provide sufficient assistance to allow the voter to vote? 8 Q. How did that oath work before SB 1? 8 9 A. Well, there was an oath that was required to 9 A. I'm not aware. 10 be taken, and now there is a different oath that's 10 Q. Are you aware that the Election Code prohibits required to be taken, with different verbiage. 11 counting a ballot if the voter was not eligible for 11 12 Q. Who administers the oath? 12 assistance, but received assistance? A. I believe under SB 1 that's the -- the current 13 13 A. The -- the poll worker. 14 law. 14 Q. And do you know what set of people have to Q. Are you aware of any ballots that have not 15 take the oath? 15 been counted because of unauthorized assistance? A. The person providing assistance. 16 16 17 Q. And so correct me if I'm wrong. 17 A. No. 18 18 My recollection is a voter can ask for Q. Are you aware of anyone ever requesting an assistance at a polling place, right? 19 accommodation related to the oath? 19 20 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. 20 A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Sure. Have you heard of the Americans with Q. And that voter could receive assistance from 22 Disabilities Act? 22 an election worker who works at the polling place. 23 23 A. Yes. right? 24 A. Correct. 24 Q. Do people sometimes ask the Dallas County

25

Q. But the voter also has the option of seeking

25

Elections Department for an accommodation based on a

Michael Scarpello May 04, 2022

Pages 78 to 81 Page 78 Page 80 disability? 1 1 A. Yes. 2 A. Sometimes a -- a poll -- a poll watch -- a ju 2 Does this appear to be a copy of the Act? 3 -- election judge will push a person to the front of a 3 Yes. line at a polling place, based off of their disability: 4 Q. Would you please flip to Page No. 4? 4 5 age, et cetera, and that, as prescribed by Texas state 5 A. Okav. 6 law, gives that judge that authority to do so. 6 Q. Now, I don't know if you know how these Acts 7 Q. Can you think of any other instances in which 7 are organized, you probably do, but there's -a voter has requested an accommodation based on 8 8 A. Yes. 9 disability? 9 Q. -- a section of SB 1, Section 1.08, which adds 10 A. I -- not that I'm aware. section 1.022 of the Election Code, do you see that? 10 11 Q. Are you aware of any instances in which the 11 A. Yes. Dallas County Elections Department has denied a request Q. Okay. I'm just going to read it, and I'll ask 12 12 for an accommodation related to voting? 13 13 you to confirm that I've read it correctly. 14 A. Not that I'm aware. 14 "Section 1.022, Reasonable Accommodation 15 Q. Do you know whether SB 1 says anything about 15 or Modification. A provision of this code may not be 16 election workers granting accommodation requests based 16 interpreted to prohibit or limit the right of a 17 on disability? 17 qualified individual with a disability from requesting a 18 A. Not that I'm aware. 18 reasonable accommodation or modification to any 19 MR. THOMPSON: Oh, and Counsel, if you 19 election standard, practice, or procedure mandated by 20 receive a phone call or anything, just interrupt me and 20 law or rule that the individual is entitled to request 21 let me know. 21 under federal or state law", and the quote ended on 22 MR. STOOL: Okay. I'm hoping, yeah. I Page 5, do you see that? 22 23 will do so, thank you. 23 A. Yes. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Just mark this as Exhibit 24 Q. Were you familiar with that provision before I 25 9. 25 read it? Page 79 Page 81 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 9 was marked for A. Yeah. And you've -- you've refreshed my 1 1 2 identification.) 2 memory on this. 3 MS. PERALES: Was there an 8? 3 Q. Okay. So what is your understanding of how 4 4 SB 1 affects your ability to grant accommodations MR. THOMPSON: I thought there was. 5 MS. PERALES: It may have just --5 requested on the basis of disability? 6 MR. SCHUETTE: Yeah, he --6 A. I don't believe we got an interpretation on 7 MS. PERALES: -- been that I didn't have 7 this section from the Secretary of State. In -- in other words, it -- it seems fairly vague to me, and I 8 a copy. 8 9 MR. SCHUETTE: 8 was --9 don't know quite how to interpret it. 10 MR. THOMPSON: You are welcome --10 Q. Sure. And I'm not trying to put you in a box 11 MS. PERALES: Okay. Thank you. or anything, but can you tell me --11 MR. THOMPSON: -- to have a copy if you 12 12 A. Uh-huh. 13 Q. -- what about it is vague, or what part you're 13 didn't --14 MS. PERALES: No, I have 8. I have 8, I unsure about? 14 A. I don't know what a reasonable -- I don't know 15 just put it in the wrong place. I'm sorry. 15 16 MR. THOMPSON: Oh. okav. 16 an example of a reasonable accommodation, what it might, what it might need -- mean, under this particular 17 MS. PERALES: That was my fault. 17 18 MR. THOMPSON: Not a problem at all. 18 section. And --19 19 Q. You mean that it's not obvious what sorts of 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. accommodations would be reasonable, and what sorts 20 21 MR. THOMPSON: -- for -- for anyone who wouldn't be reasonable, is that what you mean? 21 22 is curious, I will represent that Exhibit 9 is a copy of 22 A. Yes. And -- and -- and examples of -- of --23 SB 1. 23 of a circ -- a hypothetical that would -- would kind of

24

25

reasonable, et cetera.

Q. (BY MR. THOMPSON) Mr. Scarpello, have you

24

25

seen SB 1 before?

lay out what would be reasonable, what would not be

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX K

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, *
et al., *
Plaintiffs, *
v. * Civil Action No.
* 5:21-cv-844 (XR)
STATE OF TEXAS, et al., *
Defendants. *

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF THE DALLAS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR THROUGH ITS DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE,

TACOMA PHILLIPS

APRIL 13, 2023

produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 13th day of April, 2023, from 3:20 p.m. to 6:28 p.m., before Christy R. Sievert, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the offices of the Dallas County Records Building, 500 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

6

1 they notice a defect, they will hand it to the early

- 2 voting ballot board?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And once a ballot has been accepted by the
- 5 signature verification committee, that decision
- 6 cannot be changed by the early voting ballot board;
- 7 is that correct?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. Let's move on to the early voting ballot
- 10 board. How does the early voting ballot board, to
- 11 your knowledge, contact the voter?
- 12 A. To my knowledge, they contact the voter by
- 13 mail, phone and e-mail also. And I believe the
- 14 e-mail voters are the UOCAVA voters.
- 15 Q. I was actually going to ask you that. If
- 16 you contacted UOCAVA voters -- when I say "you," I
- 17 mean the early voting ballot board, contact UOCAVA
- 18 voters via e-mail?
- 19 A. They contact them via e-mail, yes.
- 20 Q. And does your office recommend to voters
- 21 that they put down their phone number or e-mail
- 22 address on their either application for ballot by
- 23 mail or carrier envelope?
- 24 A. Yes.

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

voter, yes.

A. Yes.

A. Say that again.

25 Q. In the event that the carrier envelope does

1 not have a phone number or e-mail, does the early

A. The ballot board does go -- look at the

voter registration system to see if they have it on

their voter registration application, to contact the

Q. And can a voter's registration file have a

phone number or e-mail address even if for that

election the voter did not include their e-mail or

phone number on the application for ballot by mail?

Q. Sure. Let's say the voter did not include

envelope. Can the early voting ballot board access

the voter's registration file and see if the number

Q. Do you know how fast the early voting

ballot board sends notice of defects from the time

Q. Do you have an estimate or you just don't

their phone number or e-mail address on the

application for ballot by mail or the carrier

was provided at a different time?

they receive the ballots?

A. I do not know.

ballot by mail to determine whether the phone number

2 voting ballot board look at the application for

or e-mail address would be found there?

1 know, period?

- A. I do not want to speak on what the ballot
- 3 board -- the process of how long they take because I
- 4 honestly don't know.
- 5 Q. Fair enough.
 - So UOCAVA voters, they can cure a missing
- 7 or mismatched ID number by resubmitting a signature
- 8 sheet; is that correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And they can submit that signature sheet
- 11 via e-mail; is that correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And so a UOCAVA voter can receive the
- 14 notice of defect by e-mail and cure by e-mail; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct. Only UOCAVA voters,
- 17 unfortunately.
- 18 Q. At any time, did your office deliver the
- 19 carrier envelope to the voter in person?
- 20 A. What kind of carrier envelope?
- 21 Q. So if a voter had a mismatched -- had a
- 22 defect on their carrier envelope, would -- was there
- 23 any situation where you would go to where the voter
- 24 was to have them cure the ballot?
- 25 A. Not to my knowledge. We have not sent --

97

- 1 hand delivered a carrier envelope to the voter, no.
 - 2 A defective carrier envelope to the voter, no.
 - Q. Did you receive any request for
 - 4 accommodation from a voter with a disability that
 - 5 you bring the carrier envelope to where the voter is
 - 6 that they can cure?
 - 7 A. Not to my knowledge. All that information
 - 8 would go through the ballot board.
 - 9 Q. And so that would be information the ballot
 - 10 board would have, not you?
 - 11 A. The ballot board would have. Because the
 - 12 ballot board is the one who is -- who is rejecting
 - 13 the carrier envelope. So all that information is
 - 14 going through them.
 - 15 Q. Do you recall talking to counsel about
 - 16 voters who put their VuID down as opposed to putting
 - 17 down their driver's license or Social Security
 - 18 number?
 - 19 A. Yes.
 - 20 Q. Did you observe that voters put their --
 - 21 actually put their VuID in less frequently during
 - 22 the November 2022 general election as compared to
 - 23 the primary or May local election?
 - 24 A. It was about the same.
 - 25 Q. So I want to talk to you a little bit about

- 1 A. Do we calculate rejection rates? No, we do
- 2 not calculate rejection rates. Do we have where we
- 3 can calculate the rejection rates? Yes, we do. Is
- 4 it broken down more the rejection rates? Yes,
- 5 because it's added more rejection rates into the
- system. So, yes, we do have more now. If that's
- 7 what you're asking.
- BY MS. HUNKER:
- 9 Q. Okay. I think I was probably asking
- 10 something slightly different.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. But let me see if I can think of how to
- 13 rephrase the question.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. How about this. In 2018 or 2020, would you
- be able to determine what the reason for the 16
- rejection was? 17
- A. Yes. 18
- Q. Okay. What additional information do you 19
- collect now as compared to the previous elections? 20
- 21 A. Well, if -- the additional information we
- 22 have now will be the added Texas driver's license
- 23 and Social Security now, rejection rates.
- 24 Q. Okay. Has your office conducted any type
- 25 of analysis on whether rejection rates for reasons

1 other than the ID requirement have gone up in 2022

Q. So I have a few questions for you that

Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive

5 Mr. Scarpello indicated you would have better

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Are you aware if your office received any

112

113

- requests for accomodation from a voter with
- disability to amend or somehow change the
- requirement that mail-in voters put their Social
- Security number or ID number on their application
- 7 for ballot by mail?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive
- any requests for accommodation with a voter with
- disability to change or amend the normal procedures
- 12 regarding the requirement that mail-in voters put
- their Social Security number or ID number on their 13
- 14 ballot by mail?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive
- any requests for accommodation from a voter with
- disability asking for a change or amendment to the
- procedures relating to the cure process for 19
- 20 application for ballot by mail?
- 21 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 22 Q. To your knowledge -- actually, strike that
- 23 question.
- 24 Do you recall talking to counsel about
- 25 some of the staff burden related to processing

- 1 mail-in ballots or application for ballot by mail?

 - Q. Was the staff burden higher in May 2022 as
 - 4 compared to May 2023, this current election year?
 - 5
 - 6 Q. Was it about the same?
 - 7 A. Yes, it was about the same. Just no --
 - just no higher, lower. It's still the same process.
 - We process everything the same. If we get an -- if
 - we get a thousand applications or a hundred
 - 11 applications, all of them are still processed the
 - same. 12
 - 13 Q. And do you know how much of that burden is
 - 14 related to the tracking, the ballot tracking as
 - compared to the other parts of the mail balloting 15
 - 16 process?
 - 17 A. What do you mean by "ballot tracking"?
 - 18 Q. So data entry.
 - A. Data -- data entry on the. . . 19
 - 20 Q. The ballot -- either the application for
 - 21 ballot by mail or the carrier envelopes.
 - 22 A. Okay. I'm still not understanding.
 - 23 Q. Sure. I guess I'm trying to get a sense of
 - what that staff is doing. And so I'm curious if any
 - of that staff that you were talking about, the

111

any requests for accommodation regarding the requirement that mail-in voters put their Social Security or ID number on their application for

12 ballot by mail?

A. No.

awareness of.

A. Okay.

3

6

8

10

11

13 A. Okay. Ask that again.

2 compared to 2020 or 2018?

14 Q. Sure. To your knowledge, did your office

- receive any requests for accommodation regarding the 15
- requirement that mail-in voters put their Social 16
- Security or ID number on their application for 17
- ballot by mail? 18
- A. Accommodations? What kind of 19
- 20 accommodations?
- 21 Q. Accommodation -- well, let me start with a
- 22 different question, then.
- 23 Are you aware that voters with
- disabilities have the option of requesting 24
- 25 accommodation or change the normal voting procedure?

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX L

Transcript of the Testimony of **Douglas Kruse**

Date:

May 03, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Douglas Kruse

May 03, 2022

Pages 114 to 117

Page 114

- 1 three percentage points.
- 2 Q. And so that's a measurement that Texas does better
- 3 than the national average. Is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes. And I'm not sure if it's a statistically
- 5 significant difference. I'd have to do that test. I haven't
- 6 done that specific test but at least according to this
- 7 estimate, our best guess is that Texas is doing better than the
- B rest of the country.
- 9 Q. And have you analyzed why Texas is doing better than
- 10 the rest of the country with respect to the voter registration
- 11 rate?
- 12 A. No, I haven't analyzed that. As I say, it could be
- 13 a -- it could be within the margin of error, and I suspect
- 14 there's a good chance it is.
- 15 Q. And so you see a sizable gap between the eligible
- 16 citizens who are registered to vote versus the number who
- 17 actually voted. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. In Texas?
- 20 A. Yes, yes.
- 21 Q. And so, what factors lead to the disparity between
- 22 people being able to register to vote with disabilities but
- 23 people not being able to vote itself?
- 24 A. I think a big factor is that you may register only --
- 25 it's possible you may register only once in your life. You

- Page 116
 1 state policies on the excuse being required for an absentee
- 2 ballot affects a turnout relative to states where no excuse is
- 3 required, or everyone votes by mail. And we found that there
- 4 was a -- there was an effect to the no excuse in the old vote
- 5 by mail systems, that disability turnout was relatively higher
- 6 in those states than in states like Texas where an excuse was
- 7 required.
- 8 Our interpretation of that is when an excuse is
- 9 required, people with disabilities have to mark on an official
- 10 form that they have a disability. As we just discussed,
- 11 there's a stigma to saying you have a disability, and putting
- 12 you have a disability on official government form is something
- 13 that a lot of people don't want to do. It appears to dissuade
- 14 people with disabilities from applying if they are forced to
- 15 say that they have a disability in applying for an absentee
- 16 ballot. So that's what -- when we looked at the effects of
- 17 these policies overall in the -- in the country -- but the
- 18 specific answer your question, though, is no, we haven't
- 19 specifically analyzed Texas.
- 20 Q. And so, you haven't analyzed Texas and the one
- 21 practice that you've identified, specifically, nationwide had
- 22 to do with excused versus non-excused mail-in voting. Is that
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes. We actually also in that study looked at the
 - 5 availability of assistive technology -- assistive accessible

Page 115

- could have registered decades ago, like when you first became
- 2 eligible to vote at age 18. And if you have done that once,
- 3 you may not have had a disability at that point. Decades
- 4 later, you have a disability and you're still registered, but
- 5 you may encounter a lot of barriers associated with voting as a
- 6 person with a disability at that point.
- 7 So I think age really plays into this. The
- 8 registration you do only once or every time you move, which is
- 9 pretty infrequent for most people; whereas voting is something
- 10 you do, ideally, every election. There's a lot more -- a lot
- 11 more effort and potential barriers encountered in voting every
- 12 election than there is with that one time of registering.
- 13 Q. And so this number count, these numbers come before
- 14 the adoption of SB 1. Correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And have you assessed what provisions of Texas law
- 17 before SB 1, impose obstacles to voting that were that would
- 18 account for the difference?
- 19 A. I am sorry. Say that again? What provisions?
- 20 Q. What provisions of Texas law before SB 1 would impose
- 21 obstacles to voting that would account for the difference? I
- 22 was wondering if you had assessed that at all.
- 23 A. I have not done specific analysis of Texas. We have
- 24 looked at state policies in general. Particularly in our
- 25 measure of American elections study, we looked at the -- how

- Page 117
 1 voting machines, and how that varies by state. And we did not
- 2 find, interestingly, an effect of that on disability turnout
- 3 which we attribute to the fact that a lot of people with
- 4 disabilities weren't aware -- aren't aware that there are
- 5 accessible machines available.
- 6 And oftentimes, we have plenty of media reports of
- 7 the election officials not knowing how to set up the accessible
- 8 machines. So there are several possible reasons why that did
- 9 not show up as a significant variable. But that's -- those two
- 10 variables are the ones we looked at in terms of state
- 11 policies -- policies that differ by state.
- 12 Q. And SB 1 does not affect excuse or not excuse mail-in
- 13 voting. Is that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. It doesn't change the qualifications of who can vote
- 16 by mail. Is that right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And it doesn't change the availability of accessible
- 19 machines to individuals with disabilities. Is that correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. So, let's jump to paragraph 64.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. It says, "The importance of variation across
- 24 different types of disability is shown in the voting figures.
- 25 Broken down by type of disability, national voter participation

Douglas Kruse May 03, 2022 Pages 118 to 121

Page 118

- 1 in 2020 was lowest among people with difficulty dressing or
- 2 bathing, cognitive impairments, and difficulty going outside
- alone, but participation was also low among those with visual
- impairments or difficulty walking or climbing stairs." Did I
- 5 read that correctly?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And this goes back, I think, to what we were saying
- 8 before about the varied nature of disabilities. Is that right?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. And so, have you -- in terms of accommodating these
- 11 different types of disabilities, would that be a fact specific
- 12 analysis?
- 13 A. As we discussed, each person may be unique in the
- particular configuration. They have abilities and
- circumstances, so that would make it fact specific. But there
- are a lot of commonalities. We know, for example, that people
- with visual impairments benefited greatly from -- well, first
- 18 of all, from large ballots -- having large, easy to read
- 19 ballots, or having magnifying glasses -- just a simple measure,
- 20 magnifying glasses, and from having accessible voting machines.
- 21 If they're totally blind, they need an accessible voting
- machine with headphones and so forth, so they can -- they can
- operate, so they can vote independently and confidentially. So
- my point is, that there are these commonalities that we know

- there are certain practices that are relevant to people with a

- 1 difficulties voting." Did I read that correctly?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, when you use this phrase at the end, "part of

Page 120

Page 121

- the remaining gap in participation can be traced to lower 4
- turnout due to prior difficulties in voting", so in this case,
- you're talking about an individual who had tried to vote but
- was unable? 7
- 8 A. I need to be clear on this. We have not been able to
- 9 track individuals from election to election. We hope to be
- doing that with the next election with our most recent EAC 10
- survey. However, we do find that people who have difficulties 11
- in voting, that's a strong predictor of negative feelings of 12
- external efficacy -- lower feelings of external efficacy and 13
- external efficacy. Local efficacy is the belief that the 14
- system is responsive. So people who face difficulties are less
- likely to feel that the system is responsive to people like 16
- them. 17

19

- 18 In turn, that feeling, that negative efficacy, is
 - related to lower turnout. So just to be clear, we're not
- 20 tracking specific people here but we are tracking the
- 21 attitudes and how those are related to -- how the difficulty in
- 22 turnout predicts lower feelings of efficacy and lower feelings
- 23 of efficacy -- I'm sorry -- difficulties in voting predict
- 24 lower turnout -- difficulties in voting predict lower feelings
- 25 of efficacy, and lower feelings of efficacy predict lower

Page 119

- 1 particular type of disability.
- 2 Q. So is it fair to say then there are certain
- commonalities, but to make the final determination of how to
- 4 provide a reasonable accommodation require fact specific
- 5 analysis?
- 6 A. For a particular individual, a particular reasonable
- 7 accommodation, yes. It has to be tailored to the person.
- 8 Q. And let's look at paragraph number five. You see --
- A. I'm sorry. You mean 65? 9
- 10 Q. Yeah. 65.
- 11 A. 65. Okay.
- 12 Q. You say, "Research indicates that several factors
- 13 contribute to the disability participation gap including lower
- levels of education and income, lower feelings of political
- 15 efficacy among people with disabilities, and greater social
- 16 isolation that reduces the likelihood of being recruited to
- 17 vote by friends, neighbors, or colleagues." Did I read that
- 18 correctly?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Then you continue, "These factors do not, however,
- 21 fully explain the disability gap in participation." Did I read
- 22 that correctly?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Then it finally continues, "Part of the remaining gap
- 25 and participation can be traced to lower turnout due to prior

- 1 turnout; if I said that correctly. I hope I did.
 - 2 Q. I think you did. I hope.
 - 3 A. Okay.
 - 4 Q. And so just to clarify, you gave a pretty long and
 - 5 factual answer, that you do not trace voters from election to
 - 6 election, but you hope to do so in the future. Is that
 - 7 correct?
 - 8 A. Yes. We are greatly looking forward to doing that.
 - 9 That will be a -- that will be a wonderful methodological
 - 10 advance.

- 11 Q. And so your opinions and observations that articulate
- 12 in report are not based on any study that tracked voters from
- 13 election to election. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. Now, you often hear the phrase enthusiasm gap when it
- 16 comes to voting, and is this sort of what you're getting at in
- 17 this paragraph, that there's an enthusiasm gap for a variety of
- 18 different reasons among individuals with disabilities versus
- 19 those without?
- 20 A. There have been several surveys looking at the
- 21 interest of people with disabilities in elections, and
- 22 interestingly, people with disabilities tend to express -- tend
- 23 to say they follow election news just as much as people without
- disabilities and they would like to vote just as much as people
- 25 without disabilities; however, they end up not voting as much

Douglas Kruse

May 03, 2022

Pages 162 to 165

6

11

Page 162

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. So this provision simply states that the
- 3 application vote by mail should have a place to enter the
- 4 information required under section 84. Correct?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. Is it your position that section 5.03 impedes voters
- 7 with disabilities, or that it is instead the requirement itself
- 8 that impedes voters with disability? If you need me to make
- 9 the distinction clearer, let me know.
- 10 A. Yeah. Can you make that distinction clearer?
- 11 Q. Yes. So, section 5.03 simply says that there should
- 12 be a space provided for the number on the application. It does
- 13 not actually require the information. And so my question for
- 14 you is simply having the space for the identifying information,
- 15 would that be a barrier to voters with disabilities?
- 16 A. You say it doesn't require the information, but it
- 17 says the space for entering the information required under
- 18 Section 84.002 1A, so it would seem to be a requirement.
- 19 Q. Okay. Is it your position --
- 20 A. It's not an optional space.
- 21 Q. So let's say the information was not required under
- 22 Section 84.002 1A of the Texas election code, would simply
- 23 having a space on the ballot -- or on the application impede
- 24 voters with disabilities?
- 25 A. If it is not required to -- if it's totally optional

- Page 164
 1 knowledge of whether people with disabilities are more or less
- 2 likely to cancel an application after they've -- after they've
- 3 received an early voting ballot. So no, I don't know that
- 4 that -- I don't have any knowledge about how that would -- how
- 5 that would play out.
 - Q. Okay. So you don't have any information that you
- 7 articulate in your report that would suggest that section 5.06
- 8 impedes voters with disabilities in voting. Is that correct?
- 9 A. No, that is not correct.
- 10 Q. Please clarify. I misheard?
 - A. The -- oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, 5.06 by itself, I don't
- 12 have -- I think -- I don't have any particular information
- 13 about how that would pose a problem. I think in conjunction
- 14 with the other restrictions on voting by mail, that those
- 15 combined can create some barriers to people with disabilities.
- 16 But taking that one in isolation, I can't say definitively that
- 17 that will establish a significant barrier.
- 18 Q. Okay. So you said a lot right there and I want to
- 19 see if I can hone it down at its core. You don't allege in
- 20 your report or have information in your report that section
- 21 5.06 taken as an individual provision impedes voters with
- 22 disabilities in their ability to vote. Is that correct?
- A. I don't have knowledge that people with disabilities
- 24 are more likely to cancel an application once they make it. As
- 25 I said, it seems to me like this would be pretty, pretty rare

Page 163

- 1 to have that information, then that would not seem to be an
- 2 impediment. No.
- 3 Q. And so let's look at Section 5.06. This starts on
- 4 page 38. Let me know when you have it in front of you.
- 5 A. Okay. I've got it.
- 6 Q. Okay. And it says, "Ballots Sent to Applicants."
- 7 Correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. I'm going to read it out loud. "An election
- 10 judge may permit a person to whom an early voting ballot has
- 11 been sent who cancels the person's application for a ballot to
- 12 be voted by mail in accordance with Section 84.032 but fails to
- 13 return the ballot to be voted by mail to the early voting
- 14 clerk, deputy early voting clerk, or presiding judge as
- 15 provided by that section to vote only a provisional ballot
- 16 under Section 63.01." Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A. Right. 63.011. Right.
- 18 Q. And can you please tell me how this particular
- 19 section impedes voters with different disabilities?
- A. This particular provision that you just read, would
- 21 seem to be a pretty rare circumstance that someone who gets an
- 22 early voting ballot but then says, "Nope, I'm not going to vote
- 23 by early voting ballot." If they don't send it back, then they
- 24 can vote on the provisional ballot. That would seem to be a
- 25 very rare circumstance. I don't think -- I don't have any

- Page 165
 1 for any voter to request one, receive one, and then say, "Oops,
- 2 I changed my mind. I'm going to go vote in-person." That
- 3 would seem like a pretty, pretty unlikely situation.
- 4 Q. Okay. So you don't have any evidence that this would
- 5 impede voting disabilities?
- 6 A. No, I don't have any evidence on this one. No.
- 7 Q. And are you aware of -- you're not aware of how
- 8 frequently this situation occurs. Correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you're not aware of if election judges, prior to
- 11 SB 1, were uncertain if they could allow voters who failed to
- 12 return their mail in ballot to vote at all. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Right. Yes.
- 14 Q. And so, you don't know if this was to address a
- 15 problem of election judges turning away voters because they
- 16 canceled their mail-in ballot application but failed to return
- 17 their mail-in ballot. Is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Let's look at section 5.07. Do you have it in front
- 20 of you? It's on the same page and then goes into the next one,
- 21 as well.

- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. Can you please articulate to me how this particular
- 24 provision impedes voters with disabilities and their ability to
- 25 vote?

Douglas Kruse

May 03, 2022

Pages 182 to 185

13

14

19

Page 182

- 1 ballot to vote by mail. I was wondering if that was the same
- 2 here where section 5.12, the injury stems from its interactions
- $3\,\,$ with the other provisions, as opposed to section 5.12 on its
- 4 own?
- 5 A. Yes, I think that's right. It's a problem that the
- 6 rejection rate is going to be higher as a result of SB 1, and
- 7 the options for remedying those defects are inadequate for many
- 8 people with disabilities.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so it's the inadequacy of the cure process
- 10 as with respect to the requirements, and not the cure process
- 11 itself that you object to. Is that correct?
- 12 MS. SWEREN-BECKER: Objection to form. Misstates
- 13 testimony.
- 14 A. It's -- together these provisions are setting up new
- 15 barriers. The options to correct, I say, are inadequate. So
- 6 overall, taken together, these provisions are creating greater
- 17 barriers, greater costs, for people with disabilities and
- 18 exercising the right to vote.
- 19 MS. SWEREN-BECKER: Kathleen, if and when you're
- 20 prepared to move past section five, I think we'd like to take a
- 21 break. I don't know where you are.
- 22 MS. HUNKER: Yeah, no, that's good. I only have a
- 23 few questions with respect to this section and then we'll
- 24 probably wrap up by talking about section six and seven.
- 25 MS. SWEREN-BECKER: Okay.

- Page 184
- 1 envelope is -- that requirement is in a different chapter of2 the election code.
- Z the election code.
- 3 A. Okay. Well, this is creating a -- not just one new
- $4\,\,$ requirement, it's creating five requirements. So if a person's
- 5 signature was faulty -- as I read it, if a person's signature
- $\, 6 \,\,$ is faulty, or they're missing their statement of residence, or
- 7 they're missing information required under section 8.402, or so
- 8 forth and so on. So it seemed to be five requirements and any9 one of them would cause the ballot to be rejected, if I'm
- one of them would cause the ballot to be rejected, if
- 10 reading that correctly.
- 11 Q. Okay. So you're not aware that Texas required voters
- 12 to sign the carrier envelope certificate. Is that correct?
 - MS. SWEREN-BECKER: Objection to form.
 - A. Yeah. I'm not surprised that they would. I
- 15 certainly signed mine in New Jersey and that would seem pretty
- 16 straightforward -- a straightforward thing to do.
- 17 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And so, you're not aware that prior
- 18 to SB 1, that it was required the signature match?
 - A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. It seems to be new requirements as written here. I'm
- 22 just reading the legislation -- the plain language.
- 23 Q. I can represent to you that these four were not new
- 24 requirements, but I don't think it's worth the time to go
- 25 through each chapter of the election code, so we can move on.

Page 185

Page 183

- Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And so, it says "This section
- 2 applies to an early voting ballot voted by mail." And then it
- 3 gives a couple of options: (1) for which the voter did not
- 4 sign the carrier envelope certificate; (2) for which it cannot
- 5 immediately be determined whether the signature on the carrier
- 6 envelope certificate is that of the voter; (3) missing any
- 7 required statement of residence; (4) missing information or
- 8 containing incorrect information required under Section
- 9 $\,$ 84.002(a) (I-a) or; (5) containing incomplete information with
- 10 respect to a witness." Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And did I read that roughly correctly?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And so you see how only number four pertains
- 15 to the numerical number requirements that we were discussing
- 16 earlier? Would you agree with that?
- 17 A. Right. Sure.
- 18 Q. And so, the other characterizations or defects are
- 19 not -- were not created by SB 1, or at least not the provisions
- 20 that you are here to discuss. Is that correct?
- 21 A. Well, they're underlined here. They're being -- it
- 22 would appear that they're being added. I don't see the -- what
- 23 was being subtracted here.
- 24 Q. So this is actually a new section. However, for
- 25 instance, that the voter is required to sign the carrier

- 1 A. Okay. All right.
- 2 MS. HUNKER: I think we could take a break then.
- 3 MS. SWEREN-BECKER: All right. Let's go off the
- 4 record.

- 5 COURT REPORTER: We are off the record at 4:56 p.m.
 - (Recess was taken.)
- 7 COURT REPORTER: We are on the record at 5:20 p.m.
- 8 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Dr. Kruse, looking back at section
- 9 5.10, you did not talk to any Texas voters, or Texas voters
- 10 with disabilities about section 5.10. Correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And the same is true of section 5.12?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And you didn't talk to any election departments of
- 15 the county about these provisions. Is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And you didn't talk to them about the implementation
- 18 of these provisions. Is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And you haven't talked to the Secretary of State's
- 21 office. Is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And you don't know of any specific person with a
- 24 disability who could not vote because of sections 5.02, 5.03,
- 25 5.06, 5.07, 5.10, 5.12. Is that correct?

Douglas Kruse

May 03, 2022

Pages 186 to 189

7

Page 186

- 1 A. No, I'm relying on the large survey data. I'm not
- 2 aware of any specific person.
- 3 Q. Okay. Let's look then at section 6.01.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. And this is on page 50. And then it says, "A person
- 6 who simultaneously assists seven or more voters, voting under
- 7 this section by providing the voters with transportation to the
- 8 polling place must complete and sign a form." Did I read that
- 9 part correctly?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And so how does this impede a voter with disabilities
- 12 ability to vote?
- 13 A. First of all, I think it's very -- we don't have
- 14 direct data on how people are transported to the polls. I
- 15 think it's very likely that people with disabilities will be
- 16 disproportionately represented among groups of seven or more
- 17 being taken to the polls because people with disabilities are
- 18 more likely to live alone, they're more likely to face
- 19 transportation problems; so I think they're more likely to be
- 20 represented in that group. The barrier that this creates is, I
- 21 believe, it would make drivers leery or nervous about voting --
- 22 about taking someone to the polling place.
- 23 Let me just give an example. My mother lives in a
- 24 senior apartment complex in Omaha, Nebraska. She has a -- they
- 25 have a bus driver who takes them to shop once a week. If this

- Page 188
- 1 A. That's correct. I don't have any data set that has
- 2 information on how people are transported to the polls in
- 3 general, whether it's seven or under.
- 4 Q. Okay. And so you don't know how frequently that
- 5 occurs in Texas. Is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And did your research actually study on whether
- 8 requiring drivers to fill out a form will reduce the number of
- 9 drivers available?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And so you don't assess that with using any metrics
- 12 in the report. Is that correct?
- 13 A. That's correct. I cannot put a number to it because
- 14 there just isn't data on that.
- 15 Q. Okay. And so it's your hypothesis that voters will
- 16 be -- certain drivers will be leery of signing a registration
- 17 form. Is that correct?
- 18 A. It's my reasonable expectation based on the
- 19 transportation and social isolation barriers faced by people
- 20 with disabilities, that they would be more likely to be using
- 21 this -- using a transportation loaded with seven or more
- 22 people.

25

Page 187

- 23 Q. So my question was a little different. My question
- 24 was talking about the driver.
 - A. Oh, okay. Right.

Page 189

- 1 bus driver -- and I don't know that he does this -- but if this
- 2 bus driver were to take someone to the polls under the -- under
- 3 SB 1, he might be nervous about signing a form like this,
- 4 because it would appear from my reading of it that if the
- 5 person does provide assistance to anyone, then that person
- 6 would be subject to the assisters oath, and there'd be a
- 7 question as to whether they would run afoul of the language
- 8 about providing only the assistance in reading or marking a
- 9 ballot.
- 10 Say that an assister -- I'm sorry -- say that a
- 11 driver takes people to a polling place and lets them off. If
- 12 that driver just drives away, fine, but poor old, you know,
- 13 Miss Beasley is having a struggle getting into the polling
- 14 place, so the driver goes and helps her in. Well, then he has
- 15 to take the oath, and it may be the type of assistance he gave
- 16 her in navigating the polling place violates what's allowed
- 17 under SB 1.
- So, all of that is to say that I can see a driver who
- 19 understands this law to be concerned about what he's opening --
- 20 he or she is opening himself or herself up to. So that's why I
- 21 think there could be some reluctance of drivers to do this.
- 22 Q. So you don't have any direct data on how many voters
- 23 with disabilities -- what percentage of voters with
- 24 disabilities are provided -- are transported to the polls in
- 25 groups of seven or more. Is that correct?

- 1 Q. And so you are -- I mean, I don't want to use the
- 2 word speculating but you're hypothesizing that your -- that
- 3 drivers would be less likely to drive a voter because of a
- 4 requirement that they sign their name?
- 5 A. Yes. I am putting myself in the place of a driver
- 6 and thinking about what kinds of worries I might have about
- 7 signing this form.
- 8 Q. But you don't have any specific data backing that up.
- 9 Is that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And you also said that you thought a person might be
- 12 leery because it would require them to sign the oath. Is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And is it your understanding --
- 16 A. But --
- 17 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't realize you were --
- 18 A. But that -- if they -- from my reading of it, if they
- 19 simply took someone to the polling place and let them out the
- 20 door, go on with you, go have a good time voting, then they
- 21 wouldn't be liable; but so many people with disabilities,
- 22 elderly people, need help getting inside. So once that starts
- 23 the -- I think they would be leery about being subjected to the
- 24 assisters oath, and the proper and improper forms of
- 25 assistance.

Douglas Kruse

May 03, 2022

Pages 190 to 193

Page 190

- 1 Q. And we discussed that before, that you interpreted
- 2 voting assistance as incorporating all elements of voting
- 3 assistance, including, like, helping the individual into the
- 4 location. Correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that was based off of your reading of the text?
- 7 A. My reading of the text, yes.
- 8 Q. It wasn't based on any other source but just the
- 9 text. Is that right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. All right. Let's -- and have you spoken to any
- 12 drivers in Texas who were dissuaded from transporting groups of
- 13 seven or more people with disabilities because of SB 1, the
- 14 revision in particular?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. And have you spoken to any voters with disabilities
- 17 who are unable to find transportation to the polls because of
- 18 section 6.01?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let's turn to section 6.04.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. This is the oath, which begins on page 52. We had
- 23 already discussed a bit where you derived your interpretation
- 24 from, so I'm not going to belabor that again. I just have a
- 25 couple of questions with respect to how you describe

- Page 192
 1 unable to find assistance to vote because of the oath. Is that
- 2 correct?

7

- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. Now, you use the sentence, "This does not
- 5 allow the assister to explain the voting process and choices."
- 6 What do you mean by explaining the choices?
 - A. That here's -- here's the people lined up in
- 8 different columns, here is candidate A, candidate B, candidate
- 9 C. For someone who may have, in particular, a cognitive or
- 10 developmental disability, knowing how the rows and columns work
- 11 may be a little confusing. So explaining what choices you
- 12 have, you know, you pick one person in row A, one person row B,
- 13 for example; or one person in column A, column C, depending on
- 14 how it's set up. Yeah, I think -- that's what I'm talking
- 15 about.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you're talking about the mechanism of
- 17 voting as opposed to the substance of, let's say, the
- 18 proposition or the candidates that are being presented for the
- 19 voting. Is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes. That sounds -- I'm just talking about the
- 21 explaining of the mechanisms.
- 22 Q. Okay. And so later on when you say, "In my expert
- 23 opinion, this is likely to interfere with people with
- 24 disabilities ability to vote, in particular for the 1,082,500
- 25 Texans with cognitive impairments who are eligible to vote and

Page 191

- I assistance. So in paragraph 100 of your report -- do you have
- 2 that in front of you?
- 3 A. I do. Yes.
- 4 Q. This is the second sentence, "Because the law does
- 5 not define everything that could constitute assistance, voters
- 6 with disabilities, as well as assisters, will be unsure of what
- 7 assistance is allowed and voters may be reluctant to make use
- 8 of assistance, even when it's available for fear of violating
- 9 the law." Did I read that correctly?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. "This does not allow the assister to explain the
- 12 voting process and choices." Did I read that correctly?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. So when you said the voters may be reluctant,
- 15 you're not relying on any particular studies. Is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And you don't have any data that analyzes whether
- 18 voters would be reluctant to assist a voter because of the
- 19 oath. Is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And you haven't spoken to any individual who was
- 22 contemplating becoming an assister in Texas and decided not to
- 23 because of you. Is that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you haven't spoken to any voter in Texas who was

- Page 193 other people with developmental disabilities who benefit from
- 2 assistance in making informed choices in important areas of
- 3 life." When you use the term "informed choices", are you
- 4 talking about the same -- is this basically a synonym of what
- 5 you were talking about before about explaining choices?
- 6 A. No. Here I'm talking about some of the substance
- 7 that people will want to know. Here I'm thinking particularly
- 8 of ballot propositions. What does this mean? People may be
- 9 unclear about how something is worded, especially if it's not
- 10 worded in a very simple way. And someone who knows the person
- 11 can easily say, "Oh, here's what that means. Here's how to
- 12 understand it," and that can be a valuable form of assistance.
- 13 And as I said before in my testimony, that can
- 14 facilitate the exercise of autonomy. It can help people
- 15 understand better what their choices are, so they make a better
- 16 informed choice.
- 17 Q. Okay. So in this case, you're actually talking about
- 18 explaining the substance of the provision itself -- of the
- 19 proposition itself?
- 20 A. In this case, yes.
- 21 Q. And have you given any thought of what safeguards
- 22 will be necessary to ensure that the person stopped at simply
- 23 explaining what the proposition is, as opposed to weighting one
- 24 way or the other how that person should vote?
- 25 A. I think the main safeguard is that the person be a

Douglas Kruse

May 03, 2022

Pages 202 to 205

2

Page 202

- 1 the likelihood of people to assist, but it can only go in one
- 2 direction. It's hard to put a number to how far that will --
- 3 well, what the size of the magnitude of that effect will be.
- 4 Q. Okay. And in your experience as an economist, have
- 5 you seen where compensation can change incentives?
- 6 A. Sure.
- 7 Q. And have you identified any individual who was unable
- 8 to provide -- unable to secure their assister of choice as a
- 9 result of section 6.03 or section 6.05?
- 10 A. No, this is too new.
- 11 Q. Okay and you haven't identified any assister that
- 12 would have provided assistance but for sections 6.03 and 6.05.
- 13 Is that correct?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. Let's move on to section 6.06. Let me know when
- 16 you've arrived.
- 17 A. I'm there.
- 18 Q. Okay. And so, this one says, "A person commits an
- 19 offense if the person compensates or offers to compensate
- 20 another person for assisting voters as provided by section
- 21 86.010." Is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Then it says, "Solicits, receives, or accepts
- 24 compensation for an activity described by subdivision one." Is
- 25 that correct?

1

- 1 have you?
 - A. It seems to be any type of compensation and economic

Page 204

- 3 benefit as defined by section 38. It would -- I did look at
- 4 that briefly. It seemed to be very broadly defined.
- 5 Q. Okay. And did you look at it before you wrote your
- 6 report?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And so this -- does your research indicate how
- 9 many friends, neighbors and family members receive economic
- 10 benefits for aiding somebody in voting?
- 11 A. No, my research does not indicate that. I know there
- 12 are examples. Actually, can I tell you what went through my
- 13 mind when I read this provision? I was thinking about some
- 14 years ago, when Lisa Schur and I were presenting some of our
- 15 disability and voting results to a group here in New Jersey. A
- 16 woman came up to me afterwards -- came up to us, and a very
- 17 sweet elderly lady said, "I loved your talk. And I just want
- 18 to tell you when you were talking about social isolation, a few
- 19 years ago, my friends and I -- I was talking to my friends and
- 20 I said, 'Are you going to vote?' They said, 'No, no, it's too
- 21 much hassle.' And I said, 'Well, let's all go together. Let's
- 22 go together and then let's go out for ice cream afterwards."
- 23 And they did. Okay. They had a fun time. They got their
- 24 little stickers and went out for ice cream. What if the other
- 25 three women had bought her ice cream as a result of her

Page 203

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then it offers the purpose of this section
- 3 compensation means an economic benefit as defined by section
- 4 38.01 of the penal code. Is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And then it says below, "This section does not apply
- 7 if the person assisting a voter is an attendant or caregiver
- 8 previously known to the voters." Is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And so this section does not apply to somebody
- 11 who gives consistent care to an individual with disabilities
- 12 and just happens as part of those responsibilities to aid in
- 13 the individual's voting. Is that correct?
- 14 MS. SWEREN-BECKER: Objection to form. Calls for
- 15 legal conclusion.
- 16 A. It does not apply to aid attendants and caregivers.
- 17 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And so if you look at paragraph 104,
- 18 of your report, I'm going to draw your attention to the second
- 19 sentence. "While attendants and caregivers are exempt, this
- 20 section will prevent friends, neighbors, and other non-family
- 21 members from assisting people with disabilities if they receive
- 22 any type of economic benefit." Did I read that correctly?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, the term "economic benefit", you haven't
- 25 looked at the penal code to see how that was defined there,

- Page 205
 1 driving? That would be an economic benefit as far as I can
- 2 tell.
- 3 Q. Okay. And so under your interpretation of law,
- 4 giving someone ice cream after they've helped you vote would be
- 5 considered -- would fall into this provision. Is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes, I think so.
- 7 Q. Okay. And so when you were coming to this
- 8 interpretation, you based it off the text of the statute. Is
- 9 that right?
- 10 A. Yes
- 11 Q. And you haven't -- you didn't reference any other
- 12 sources to come to this interpretation. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And so you didn't speak with the Secretary of State's
- 15 office. Is that right?
- 16 A. Right. I did not ask the Secretary of State about
- 17 the ice cream issue.
- 18 Q. And you have -- well, about the interpretation of
- 19 this section in general.
- 20 A. Right, right.
 - Q. And you haven't spoken to any of the county district
- 22 attorneys on the interpretation of this provision, have you?
- 23 A. Correct

- 24 Q. And you haven't spoken to the Office of Attorney
- 25 General in Texas regarding the interpretations of this

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al	l.,
-----------------------------------	-----

Plaintiffs,

v. 5:21-cv-0844-XR

GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. 1:21-cv-0780-XR

TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE JOHN SCOTT,

et al.,

Defendants.

HOUSTON JUSTICE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. 5:21-cv-0848-XR

GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

LULAC TEXAS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. 1:21-cv-0786-XR

JOHN SCOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

MI FAMILIA VOTA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. 5:21-cv-0920-XR

GREG ABBOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

5:21-cv-1085-XR

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

Expert Report of Professor Douglas L. Kruse, Ph.D.

Distinguished Professor
Rutgers School of Management and Labor Relations
Co-Director, Program for Disability Research
94 Rockafeller Road
New Brunswick, N.J. 08903

On Behalf of Plaintiffs in La Unión Del Pueblo Entero, et. al. v. Abbott, et al.; Houston Area Urban League, et al. v. Abbott, et al.; and OCA-Greater Houston, et al. v. Esparza, et al., Case No. 5:21-cv-844(XR)

February 28, 2022

Declaration of Professor Douglas L. Kruse, Ph.D.

I, Douglas Kruse, do hereby declare as follows:

I have been retained to act as an expert witness for the Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action.

Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and accurate copy of my February 28, 2022 Report in support of Plaintiffs' case, and the exhibits attached thereto (collectively, my "report").

My report describes the primary data and other information I considered in forming my opinions.

My CV is attached as Appendix A to my report, and sets forth my qualifications and all publications I have authored in the past 10 years.

Within the last four years, I have not provided reports as an expert witness or court monitor in any cases.

I am compensated for work on my report at a rate of \$200 per hour.

I respectfully adopt and incorporate into this Declaration my report, which describes the testimony I am offering in support of Plaintiffs' case.

I understand and intend that my report is to be presented to the Court with the same weight and consequences as if I had stated the report orally, under oath, in a court of law. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I am aware that discovery in this case is ongoing, and I reserve the right to continue to supplement the foregoing report in light of additional facts, testimony, and/or materials that may come to light.

Executed this February 28, 2022, in Mercer County, New Jersey.

Douglas L. Kruze, Ph.D

Report on Texas voting lawsuit February 28, 2022

PURPOSE OF ENGAGEMENT

1. I have been retained by Plaintiffs in La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al. v. Abbott, et al.; Houston Area Urban League, et al. v. Abbott, et al.; and OCA-Greater Houston, et al. v. Esparza, et al., Consolidated Case No. 5:21-cv-844 (W.D. Tex.) to provide my expert opinions on issues related to the ways in which SB 1 erects barriers that harm voters with disabilities by impeding their access to voting in the State of Texas.

QUALIFICATIONS

- 2. I am currently a Distinguished Professor in the School of Management and Labor Relations at Rutgers University. I have been a Research Associate at the National Bureau of Economic Research in Cambridge, Massachusetts since 1995, and a Research Fellow at the IZA Institute of Labor Economics in Bonn, Germany since 2016. In 2013-14, I served as a Senior Economist at the Council of Economic Advisers in the Executive Office of the President in Washington, D.C.
- **3.** I received my Bachelor's Degree in Economics from Harvard University in 1981, my Master's Degree in Economics and Certification in Public Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln in 1983, and my Ph.D. in Economics from Harvard University in 1988.
- 4. At Rutgers I am Co-Director of the Program for Disability Research, and am Associate Director of the Institute for the Study of Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing. I have also served as our school's Associate Dean of Academic Affairs, and as Ph.D. Director where I oversaw Ph.D. students in their coursework, exams, and dissertations.
- 5. My research focuses on two areas: 1) economic, social, and political inclusion of people with disabilities, with a focus on the relationship of disability to employment and political participation, and 2) the causes, consequences, and implications of employee ownership and profit sharing plans.
- 6. I have authored, co-authored, or edited 14 books, along with 123 journal articles or book chapters, and 22 reports. The book publishers include Cambridge University Press, University of Chicago Press, and Yale University Press among others. Four of the books and 40 of the articles and book chapters have been published within the past 10 years. My research has been cited over 12,000 times according to Google Scholar.
- 7. I have substantial expertise on the topic of voting among people with disabilities. I have been principal investigator (PI) or Co-PI on four grant-funded national post-election surveys on the voting experiences of people with and without disabilities. Two of these surveys were funded by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. Following the release of key results, the data were further analyzed with results published in peer-reviewed journals; one of these articles received a major award from the Western Political Science Association. In addition to these

surveys, I have analyzed U.S. Census microdata after each election since 2008 and co-authored fact sheets with detailed analyses of disability and voter turnout in each election, along with preelection fact sheets projecting the number of eligible voters with disabilities in 2016 and 2020. The most recent fact sheet analyzing the 2020 election was jointly released with the U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

- **8.** My professional service includes being Associate Editor of the British Journal of Industrial Relations from 2011 to 2021, and Associate Editor of the Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership from 2017 to the present. My service to society includes being a member of the President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities from 1998 to 2000, and a member of the State Rehabilitation Advisory Council, New Jersey Division of Vocational Rehabilitation from 1999 to 2013.
- **9.** I have testified four times before Congress on my economic research.
- 10. I have been PI or Co-PI on 24 grants with total funding of \$16.4 million. Currently I am PI or Co-PI on four disability-related grants, including two 5-year grants for centers funded by the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

11. The U.S. Department of Justice-charged with enforcing and interpreting the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)—has explained:

Voting is one of our nation's most fundamental rights and a hallmark of our democracy. Yet for too long, many people with disabilities have been excluded from this core aspect of citizenship. People with intellectual or mental health disabilities have been prevented from voting because of prejudicial assumptions about their capabilities. People who use wheelchairs or other mobility aids, such as walkers, have been unable to enter the polling place to cast their ballot because there was no ramp. People who are blind or have low vision could not cast their vote because the ballot was completely inaccessible to them.¹

- **12.** This report finds that:
- 13. Voting eligible people with disabilities vote at lower rates than those without disabilities, vote by mail significantly more often than those without disabilities, and experience barriers to voting—both in person and by mail—more frequently than people without disabilities.
- **14.** At least 3 million voting-eligible Texans have disabilities.
- 15. Voting-eligible citizens in Texas with disabilities face a myriad of barriers in accessing voting stemming from high rates of needing assistance in activities of daily living, higher

¹ The Americans with Disabilities Act and Other Federal Laws Protecting the Rights of Voters with Disabilities, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, October 10, 2014, https://www.ada.gov/ada_voting/ada_voting_ta.htm.

likelihood of living alone, lower likelihood of having a vehicle they can drive, other barriers to travel, lower likelihood of internet access, and lower average education levels compared to those without disabilities. Voting-eligible disabled citizens in Texas are more socially isolated which limits their support networks for assistance in voting. They also must contend with well-documented social stigma that both reflects and reinforces their social isolation and increases the barriers to obtaining necessary resources and assistance in exercising the right to vote.

- 16. Only 59.4% of voting-eligible people with disabilities in Texas voted in 2020, compared to 64.5% of those without disabilities. The 5.1 percentage point gap is well outside the statistical margin of error, so we can be highly confident of a true gap in the population.
- 17. Among Texas voters in 2020, 30.2% of people with disabilities and 8.2% of people without disabilities voted using a mail ballot.
- 18. While specific data on voting difficulties by disability status are not available in Texas, national data show a high rate of voting difficulties among people with disabilities. In 2020, 21.3% of in-person voters with disabilities either required assistance or had difficulties in voting, which is almost twice the 11.9% rate among voters without disabilities. There was also a disability gap among mail voters, where 14.0% of voters with disabilities either required assistance or had difficulties in voting compared to 3.2% of voters without disabilities.
- **19.** Based on these findings, and in my expert opinion, several provisions of SB 1 will pose barriers to Texas citizens with disabilities who wish to exercise their right to vote.
- **20.** Sections 5.02, 5.03, 5.06, 5.07, 5.10, and 5.12 place restrictions on mail voting for applications and correcting rejected applications that will burden many people with disabilities who find it less difficult to vote by mail due to their disabilities.
- 21. Section 6.01 requires any person other than a close relative who simultaneously drives seven or more voters to the polls for curbside voting to complete and sign a form stating their name and address and whether they only provided transportation assistance or also assistance with voting. This new requirement will create additional barriers for voters with disabilities who rely on group transportation to vote curbside. Because many people with disabilities face transportation barriers and social isolation, this new requirement will make it harder for some people with disabilities to find people willing to provide transportation assistance.
- 22. Section 6.04 adds language to the assistor oath which substantially restricts the types of assistance that can be given, which will burden people with disabilities who, because of their disabilities, need assistance to vote. There are many types of assistance people with disabilities need that go beyond the assistance permitted by SB 1. Because many people with disabilities will need this assistance, this restriction will interfere with many people's ability to vote.
- 23. Sections 6.03 and 6.05 create extra requirements for assistors to document their relationship to the voter and whether they received any compensation or benefit from a candidate, campaign, or political committee. Because people with disabilities are far more likely to use curbside voting and many people with disabilities need voting assistance, this will create an extra barrier to voting for some people with disabilities in finding people willing to provide assistance. It will also increase the likelihood that a voter's ballot will be rejected, either due to a

clerical error because they had inadequate assistance, or a mistake in the documentation of the assistance they did receive.

- 24. Section 6.06 makes it a crime to compensate (or offer, solicit, receive, or accept compensation for) someone for helping a voter vote by mail. While there is an exception for previously known attendants or caregivers, this section will prohibit people with disabilities from getting assistance from a substantial number of people who they may have routinely turned to, including close friends or neighbors. It will also prohibit people with disabilities from getting assistance from staff or volunteers with community or nonpartisan civic engagement organizations that routinely provide voting support to the disability community.
- 25. Section 7.04 makes it a crime to receive any form of compensation or benefit for collecting another voter's mail ballot. It also criminalizes in-person interaction with a voter about a specific candidate or measure, in the physical presence of a ballot, while receiving any form of compensation or benefit. This provision will impose barriers on people with disabilities who require assistance to vote, who live alone and face transportation barriers, and who may benefit from assistance while continuing to vote independently.
- **26.** In sum, in my expert opinion, these provisions of SB 1 will harm a significant number of Texans with disabilities and impose new barriers to them in exercising the right to vote.

DEFINITION OF DISABILITY

27. The ADA protects all those with a substantial limitation in one or more major life activities. The U.S. Department of Justice has explained:

The term 'substantially limits' shall be construed broadly in favor of expansive coverage, to the maximum extent permitted by the terms of the ADA...The comparison of an individual's performance of a major life activity to the performance of the same major life activity by most people in the general population usually will not require scientific, medical, or statistical evidence.²

INTERPRETING THE DATA

28. This report presents an overview of the prevalence and characteristics of people with disabilities, drawing on analysis of six nationally representative surveys. Three of these surveys are conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau: the American Community Survey (ACS), the Survey of Income and Program Participation SSA Supplement (SIPP), and the Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement (CPS).³ The other three surveys are the National

7

² Questions and Answers about the Department of Justice's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Implement the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, January 30, 2014, https://www.ada.gov/nprm_adaaa/adaaa-nprm-qa.htm.

³ See American Community Survey, UNITED STATES CENSUS BUREAU, https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/about/supplemental-surveys.html (last visited 2/28/2022) (the relevant supplemental surveys are the Social Security Administration Supplement and Voter Registration Supplement, in addition to the general survey).

Household Travel Survey (NHTS) conducted by the Federal Highway Administration, the Survey of the Performance of American Elections (SPAE) conducted by the Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, and the Disability and Voting Accessibility Survey (DVAS) sponsored by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission and conducted by Rutgers University and SSRS Inc.⁴ Each of these surveys has a large sample and uses a combination of methods to obtain information on a wide range of population characteristics. Responding households are chosen randomly, and any differences from known values in the population are corrected using statistical weights in order to ensure the final sample is representative of the population.

- 29. I rely on ACS data where the measures are available, because this dataset: i) has a much larger sample size ensuring estimates with smaller margins of error, and ii) is more comprehensive by including residents living in group quarters, unlike the SIPP, CPS, and NHTS. Group quarters are categorized in ACS into either "institutional" settings (nursing homes, mental hospitals, and correctional facilities) or "non-institutional" settings (college dorms, military barracks, group homes, missions, and shelters). As will be explained below, people with disabilities are both significantly more likely than those without disabilities to be living by themselves when living in the community, and are also more likely to be living in institutional group quarters. To the extent that people with disabilities in institutional group quarters have more severe disabilities and face greater barriers, the CPS, SIPP, and NHTS will underreport the disparities faced by people with disabilities overall.
- **30.** The ACS and CPS have measures of both age and citizenship, so I limit the samples to the voting-eligible population (citizens age 18 or older). The DVAS includes only the voting-eligible population, and the SPAE includes only registered voters. The SIPP and NHTS have age but not citizenship measures, so estimates from those surveys are based on the voting-age population (age 18 or older).
- 31. The ACS and CPS measure disability using six questions. Four of the questions measure impairments (vision, hearing, cognitive, and mobility), and two of the questions measure activity limitations (difficulty dressing or bathing, and difficulty going outside alone). These questions were chosen after extensive cognitive research by the Census Bureau, using interviews and focus groups to ascertain how respondents understood and interpreted the survey questions, to maximize the likelihood that answers to the final chosen questions would reflect accurate reporting of disabilities rather than alternative understandings of the questions.⁵ SIPP uses a more extensive set of over 100 questions to derive its disability measure. The DVAS measures

⁴ National Household Travel Survey, U.S. Department of Transportation, FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, https://nhts.ornl.gov/ (last visited 2/28/2022); Survey of the Performance of American Elections, MIT ELECTION LAB, https://electionlab.mit.edu/research/projects/survey-performance-american-elections (last visited 2/28/2022); U.S. Election Assistance Commission Study on Disability and Voting in the 2020 Elections, https://www.eac.gov/election-officials/us-election-assistance-commission-study-disability-and-voting-accessibility-2020 (last visited 2/28/2022).

⁵ Kristen Miller and Theresa J. Demaio, *Report of Cognitive Research on Proposed ACS Disability Questions*, US CENSUS BUREAU, August 28, 2006, https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2006/adrm/ssm2006-06.html.

disability using the six ACS and CPS questions plus a seventh broader question, whereas the NHTS and SPAE each use one general question to measure disability.

- An important note is that the six questions used by the ACS and CPS are likely to capture 32. only a portion of the full disability population (as defined by the broad ADA definition described above). One issue is that people might underreport disabling conditions, as found in research comparing subjective reports to objective reports of health conditions.⁶ A second important issue is that measuring disability is made difficult by the wide variation in types of disability (e.g., hearing, vision, mobility, cognitive, developmental, chronic illnesses, and others) and the severity of disabilities (e.g., whether the condition causes a major or mild limitation in life activities). Asking about all types of disabilities is not feasible in a survey, and due to the wide variation it is inevitable that any set of questions will miss some disabilities. The six standard Census questions are likely to undercount speech impairments and learning disabilities, as well as mental illnesses such as depression and bipolar disorder. They may also undercount people with episodic conditions that wax and wane such as epilepsy, Lupus, and Multiple Sclerosis, and conditions like cancer, long-COVID, or back problems that cause pain or fatigue. The Census surveys nonetheless provide a valuable window on a large portion of the disability population. Because the six questions are likely to undercount certain types of disabilities, I also present results from a more extensive set of disability questions used in a SIPP module in 2014. These more extensive questions have not been used in any major survey since 2014. Due to the greater number of questions that cover a broader range of disabilities, the SIPP is likely to be a more comprehensive portrait of the disability population, although it has the drawback that it excludes people in institutional group quarters and does not have a citizenship measure as noted above.
- 33. In this report I focus on the population of people with disabilities living in Texas. The ACS has a large sample size of 127,398 for Texas, while the SIPP and CPS have smaller samples of 1,569 and 4,290. The NHTS has a sample size of 44,040 for Texas. These sample sizes are more than the standard sample size of 1,000 used to obtain reliable estimates within large populations. Due to the smaller samples in SIPP and CPS, in several breakdowns I complement the Texas numbers from those surveys with numbers for the overall U.S., plus estimates of the significance of any differences between the U.S. and Texas samples. The SPAE and DVAS have good samples for national estimates but do not have large enough samples within Texas for meaningful analysis, so I only present national figures from those surveys.
- 34. In a number of places, I compare results between people with and without disabilities, showing that people with disabilities face economic and social disparities and higher rates of voting difficulties that are linked to lower voter participation. These disparities are maintained when holding constant the effects of demographic characteristics (race, ethnicity, gender, age, and educational attainment). The effects of disability may be even greater than indicated by the simple difference between people with and without disabilities, because voters without disabilities may face many other non-disability-related difficulties, such as language barriers.
- **35.** All estimates presented in this report use survey weights to ensure the sample is representative of the disability population on key characteristics. Due to the pandemic possibly

9

⁶ Michael Baker, Mark Stabile, and Catherine Deri, *What do self-reported, objective, measures of health measure?*, 39 J. Human Resources 1067 (2004).

affecting survey responses, the Census Bureau issued the 2020 ACS data with experimental weights, which I use in this report. To ensure the results did not change substantially due to the pandemic, I have also made comparisons to the 2019 ACS data. The results of this comparison (not reported here but available on request) are very similar on all key variables between 2019 and 2020.

36. In short, the Census surveys do a reasonable job of providing a portrait of a large portion of the disability population, and are extensively used by scholars in peer-reviewed research on the status of people with disabilities. To the extent that they undercount people with disabilities, they will undercount the number of people who face disability-related disparities and challenges in voting and other important activities.

OVERVIEW: PREVALENCE AND GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR VOTING ACCESS

Summary

37. In order to fully understand the extensive barriers people with disabilities face in accessing their fundamental right to vote, it is critical to provide an overview of the general barriers people with disabilities face in their daily lives and how each of these factors can impact access to voting. People with disabilities are likely to face a myriad of barriers in exercising the right to vote. These barriers can stem from a number of disability-related issues, including the need for assistance in activities of daily living, increased likelihood of living alone, lower likelihood of having a vehicle one can drive, other barriers to traveling, lower likelihood of internet access, and lower levels of education. In addition, the lower economic status of people with disabilities, reflected in lower incomes and higher poverty rates, creates challenges in exercising the right to vote. For example, people with disabilities are less likely to have the money to buy computers or own their own vehicles, making it harder to access information or get to election offices and polling sites. The social stigma many people with disabilities experience further compounds the difficulties they face in accessing voting.

Overall Prevalence and Types of Disability

38. Both ACS and SIPP data can be used to provide estimates of the number of people with disabilities in Texas. The ACS uses only 6 questions so is a more conservative estimate, while the SIPP disability measure is based on over 100 questions and is a more expansive estimate. Based on the 2020 ACS 6-question measure, Table 1 shows that 15.6% of voting-eligible people in Texas have disabilities, representing 3 million people. Based on the SIPP survey's more extensive set of disability questions, 30.5% of voting-age people in Texas have disabilities, representing 5.6 million people when applied to 2020 population numbers. The range of 3 to

10

⁷ The 5.6 million figure assumes that the proportion of adults with disabilities in Texas using the SIPP measure did not change between 2014 and 2020, and that among all Texans with

5.6 million people reflects differences in whether disability is measured more narrowly or broadly. Two important points about this range are: 1) both numbers indicate that a substantial portion of Texans have disabilities; and 2) when the narrower ACS measure is used, this is likely to result in conservative estimates of the number of people who face disability-related disparities.

- **39.** Whether one uses the narrower or broader measure, disability prevalence is projected to grow as the overall population ages in the next few decades.⁸
- **40.** As shown in Table 1, a breakdown of ACS data by disability type shows that the Texas population of citizens with disabilities includes (the categories may overlap):
- 1,604,700 people with mobility impairments,
- 1,082,500 with cognitive impairments,
- 875,900 with hearing impairments,
- 638,500 with vision impairments,
- 596,300 with difficulty dressing or bathing, and
- 1,127,500 with difficulty going outside alone due to a physical or mental condition.
- 41. Table 1 also shows the margin of error for each estimate, reflecting the potential for sampling error. The margin of error of 0.3% around the disability prevalence estimate of 15.6% means that there is a 95% probability that the true population value lies within plus or minus 0.3% of the estimate, or between 15.3% and 15.9%.
- **42.** These numbers are very similar to those from before the onset of the pandemic in 2020. In 2019, the ACS data indicate that 15.6% of the Texas adult citizen population and 16.4% of the U.S. adult citizen populations had disabilities.
- 43. The SIPP survey provides a more detailed look at variation in disabling conditions in Texas. As shown in Table 2, more than 10% of the Texas population has difficulty with physical activities of walking, climbing stairs, lifting, standing, pushing or pulling, and crouching. More than one-eighth (13.4%) have difficulty with one or more basic activities of daily living such as getting into a bed or chair, taking a bath or shower, eating, preparing meals, or using a telephone. Applied to 2020 Texas population figures, 2.4 million Texans have difficulty with one or more

disabilities age 18 or older, the percent who are eligible citizens matches the percent in the 2020 ACS (93.9%).

⁸ Ageing and Disability, UNITED NATIONS DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS, last visited 2/28/2022, https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/disability-andageing.html#:~:text=Currently%2C%20it%20is%20estimated%20that,experience%20moderate %20to%20severe%20disability.

activities of daily living. The abilities needed for several of these activities are also needed in the act of voting, both in person and by mail.

Demographic Characteristics

- **44.** The prevalence of disability in Texas is markedly higher among Native Americans, Black people, older people, and those with lower levels of education. The 2020 ACS data in Table 3 show that:
- Black people (17.9%) and Native Americans (17.8%) are more likely to have disabilities, compared to white non-Hispanic (16.3%) people. While the overall rate of disability (14.2%) is lower among Hispanic/Latinx citizens than among non-Hispanic/Latinx citizens overall, this is largely due to their younger average age that is linked to lower disability rates. When broken down by age group, the rate of disability is significantly higher among Hispanic/Latinx citizens in every age group except for the youngest (18-34). As a consequence, Hispanic/Latinx citizens are likely to face disparities linked both to disability and to their Hispanic/Latinx heritage. Similarly, the higher rates of disability among Black citizens means that they are likely to face disparities linked both to disability and to race.
- The disability rate climbs strongly with age, from 7.7% among those aged 18-34 to 70.3% among those aged 85 or older.
- The disability rate declines strongly as the rate of education rises, from 28.1% among those without a high school degree to 9.4% among those with a graduate degree.
- 45. The relationship between education and disability reflects causality in both directions. Disability can limit education due to barriers that people with disabilities often encounter in furthering their education, such as lack of a correct diagnosis or appropriate accommodations, especially for poorer children. Education also has an impact on disability: it can open up jobs with safer working conditions that are less likely to lead to disability, and provide higher incomes that increase access to health services and assistive technology that help people cope with potentially disabling conditions.
- **46.** The estimated total number of voting-eligible people with disabilities in Texas is 1,551,800 among women, 1,472,400 among men, 1,533,400 among white non-Hispanic/Latinx people, 428,300 among Black non-Hispanic/Latinx people, and 881,800 among Hispanic/Latinx people. Compared to pre-pandemic 2019 data, the percentages and numbers of people with disabilities in Texas are very similar between 2019 and 2020.

Economic Status

_

⁹ The rates of disability for Hispanic/Latinx compared to white non-Hispanic people are 6.9% compared to 8.0% among those age 18-34, 9.9% compared to 8.2% among those age 35-49, 18.8% compared to 14.9% among those age 50-64, and 42.5% compared to 35.0% among those age 65 or older.

47. People with disabilities in Texas have very low employment rates and high poverty rates. As shown in Table 4, only 40.1% of working-age (18-34) Texans with disabilities were employed in 2020, which is just over half the rate of people without disabilities (74.2%). Among all ages, people with disabilities were almost twice as likely to live in poverty (18.0% compared to 9.2%). They were also much more likely to receive income from Social Security (47.4% compared to 13.3%), reflecting both disability and retirement income provided through Social Security. In part due to their low incomes, 20.3% receive public assistance income or food stamps, and 26.5% receive health care coverage through Medicaid or another low-income plan, compared to 10.3% and 6.1% (respectively) of people without disabilities. Additional breakdowns show that this pattern is very similar between Texas and the U.S. as a whole, and between 2019 and 2020.

Living Situation and Need for Assistance

- **48.** People with disabilities in Texas are more likely to live alone and be unmarried, and a large portion need assistance with activities of daily living. From the 2020 ACS data shown in Table 4:
- People with disabilities are significantly more likely than people without disabilities to live alone—that is, not living with others either in the community or in institutional group quarters (20.8% compared to 12.3%).
- They are less likely to be currently married with a spouse present (42.8% compared to 52.4%), and more likely to be separated or divorced (19.4% compared to 12.1%) or widowed (14.4% compared to 3.4%) while not being currently married.
- They are four times more likely than people without disabilities to live in institutional group quarters (4.7% compared to 1.1% are in nursing homes, mental hospitals, or correctional facilities).
- **49.** These patterns of disparities are very similar between Texas and the entire U.S.
- 50. People with disabilities are also more likely to need assistance with activities of daily living, which are measured only in SIPP. Because the 2014 SIPP sample has only 566 Texans with disabilities, I also provide numbers for the full U.S. sample of 10,003. From the data shown in Table 5, close to two-fifths of people with disabilities (41.2% in Texas and 37.4% in the U.S.) need assistance with one or more activities, with especially high rates for going outside of the home for errands (25.5% in Texas), accessing the Internet (15.2%), doing light housework (13.7%), keeping track of money (12.0%), and preparing meals (11.0%).
- **51.** Applied to the 2020 Texas population, this indicates that close to 2.3 million Texas citizens age 18 or older need assistance with one or more daily activities.
- **52.** Because a large number of people with disabilities live alone, many who need assistance must rely on non-household members. Over one-third (34.9%, or an estimated 1.9 million in 2020) of Texans with disabilities receive assistance in daily activities from family members, 4.0% (220,000) from friends or neighbors, 4.0% (220,000) from paid help, 1.4% (79,000) from

partners or companions, 1.4% (78,000) from other non-relatives, with a total of 10.6% (589,000) from any non-relative (these categories overlap as individuals may receive help from more than one person).

53. The above characteristics create greater challenges to voting for many people with disabilities, particularly when they need assistance and find it difficult to arrange such assistance due to their higher likelihood of living alone and greater social isolation.

Computer and Internet Access

- **54.** Due in part to their lower average incomes, people with disabilities in Texas are less likely to have internet access. From the 2020 ACS data shown in Table 6:
- Among Texas citizens with disabilities eligible to vote, 84.5% live in homes with internet access, compared to 95.2% for people without disabilities.
- Translated into absolute numbers, an estimated 460,600 citizens with disabilities who are eligible to vote in Texas live in homes without internet access.
- 55. These digital gaps also show up when looking at individual rather than household access to the internet. Further data from the Census Bureau's 2019 Current Population Survey Computer and Internet Use Supplement show that:
- People with disabilities in Texas are less likely to use the internet at home (60.1% compared to 78.9% of people without disabilities).
- This gap is not decreased by adding in internet access outside the home. Considering all forms of internet access, 60.5% of people with disabilities use the internet in any location compared to 82.3% of people without disabilities.
- Translated into absolute numbers, an estimated 823,200 Texas citizens with disabilities do not use the internet either inside or outside the home.
- The disability gaps are not explained by age differences between people with and without disabilities. While older people are less likely to access the internet, Table 5 shows that large disability gaps exist within each age group.
- While the 2019 survey has a limited sample of Texans with disabilities, the disability gaps in each measure are outside of the margin of error.
- 56. These disability gaps in computer and internet access can impact the ability of citizens with disabilities to obtain necessary resources for voting. Not having internet access can make it more difficult to: a) register to vote; b) find out how and where to vote, particularly if polling places have been changed; c) gather information on candidates and issues in order to make informed decisions in voting; and d) cure issues with mail-in ballot applications. These difficulties create special problems when voting information is only provided in an online format.

Transportation

- 57. People with disabilities face transportation barriers. Based on the 2017 National Household Travel Survey, 1.8 million Texans age 18 or older have travel-limiting disabilities, defined as "a temporary or permanent condition or handicap that makes it difficult to travel outside of the home." The rate of travel-limiting disability in Texas was 10.0% among those age 18 or older. Several findings shown in Table 7 are:
- Texans with disabilities were four times more likely to live in zero-vehicle households (14.4% compared to 3.0% of Texans without disabilities).
- Texans with disabilities took fewer average trips per day (2.3 compared to 3.5) and were more likely to take no trips in a day (40.0% compared to 15.8%).
- Texans with disabilities are less likely to be drivers than are those without disabilities (59.6% compared to 93.0%).
- Texans with disabilities were slightly more likely to use public transportation (12.6% compared to 8.8% among Texans without disabilities).
- Texans with disabilities did not make up for transportation barriers by using ride-hailing services such as taxis or Uber (only 2.6% did so in the past month compared to 8.8% of Texans without disabilities) or by relying on online purchases (only 31.5% did so compared to 54.2% of Texans without disabilities.).
- Over half (53.6%) of Texans with disabilities agreed that travel is a financial burden, compared to only 39.6% of those without disabilities.
- 58. These results are supported when employing a broader disability measure using national data. As also shown in Table 7, the 2020 Disability and Voting Accessibility Survey (DVAS), shows that only 69.6% of people with disabilities can drive their own or a family vehicle, compared to 90.0% of people without disabilities. People with disabilities were also more likely than those without disabilities to say they faced transportation problems "very often" or "always" (5.6% compared to 2.9%).
- **59.** Transportation difficulties can have a negative impact on voting as research finds a significantly higher likelihood of voting among those who have a vehicle they can drive. ¹⁰

Social Isolation, Stigma, and Bias

60. The lower employment levels, greater likelihood of living alone, lower internet access, and transportation barriers among people with disabilities documented above all contribute to social isolation. The greater social isolation of people with disabilities is also evidenced in their

¹⁰ Lisa Schur, Todd Shields, Douglas Kruse, & Kay Schriner, *Enabling Democracy: Disability and Voter Turnout.* 55 POLITICAL RESEARCH QUARTERLY 167 (2002).

lower likelihood of socializing with friends, relatives, or neighbors. ¹¹ This social isolation limits the support network upon which people with disabilities may rely for assistance with fundamental daily activities, including accessing the right to vote.

61. The social isolation is reflected in, and reinforced by, the well-documented stigma attached to disability that continues to be manifested in attitudinal studies of the general population. These attitudes toward people with disabilities impact all areas of an individual's life. The stigma attached to disability may impact the perception of a person's abilities that do not align with reality. This can impact the ability of people with disabilities to vote by, for example, making people (particularly those outside of their families) less willing to assist them with voting, and can also result in people with disabilities themselves being less willing to ask for assistance when needed.

VOTING BARRIERS FACING PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

Voter Participation

62. People with disabilities in Texas and nationwide are less likely to vote than their non-disabled counterparts. Data from the Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement, conducted by the Census Bureau every two years following national elections, show that 71.9% of eligible citizens with disabilities in Texas were registered to vote in 2020, and 59.4% voted, compared to 71.2% and 64.5% of citizens without disabilities respectively. These numbers show that citizens with disabilities in Texas had a similar registration rate as those without disabilities (within the margin of error), but they were 5.2 points less likely to vote, and the voting gap is outside the margin of error. In the U.S. as a whole, people with disabilities were 3.0 points less likely to be registered to vote, and 5.7 points less likely to vote, and the larger U.S. sample means that we are at least 99.9% confident that there is a true participation gap in the population. These figures are provided in Table 8. Similar disability participation

¹¹ Harris Interactive, *The ADA: 20 Years Later*, KESSLER FOUNDATION AND THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION ON DISABILITY at 15-16, July 2010,

http://www.advancing states.org/hcbs/article/ada-20-years-later-2010-survey-americans-disabilities.

¹² Fatima Jackson-Best and Nancy Edwards, *Stigma and intersectionality: a systematic review of systematic reviews across HIV/AIDS, mental illness, and physical disability,* 18 BMC PUBLIC HEALTH 919 (2018); Barbara Muzzatti, *Attitudes towards disability: beliefs, emotive reactions, and behaviors by non disabled persons,* 35 GIORNALE ITALIANO DI PSICOLOGIA 313 (2008); Katarina Scior, *Public awareness, attitudes and beliefs regarding intellectual disability: A systematic review,* 32 RESEARCH IN DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES 2164 (2011); Denise Thompson, Karen Fisher, Christiane Purcal, Chris Deeming, and Pooja Sawrikar, *Community attitudes to people with disability: Scoping project No. 39.,* DISABILITY STUDIES AND RESEARCH CENTRE, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES (2011); Harold Yuker, *Attitudes toward Persons with Disabilities, Springer* (1st Ed. 1988).

gaps at the national level are found in all of the 13 studies going back to the 1992 elections, which use differing samples and definitions of disability.¹³

- **63.** In both the Texas and overall U.S. samples, the disability voting gap is larger than the disability registration gap, indicating that lower voting among people with disabilities cannot be explained by lower registration rates.
- 64. The importance of variation across different types of disability is shown in the voting figures. Broken down by type of disability, national voter participation in 2020 was lowest among people with difficulty dressing or bathing (49.4%), cognitive impairments (50.7%), and difficulty going outside alone (51.6%), but participation was also low among those with visual impairments (59.2%) or difficulty walking or climbing stairs (60.4%). These numbers are drawn from Table 9.
- **65.** Research indicates that several factors contribute to the disability participation gap, including lower levels of education and income, lower feelings of political efficacy among people with disabilities, and greater social isolation that reduces the likelihood of being recruited to vote by friends, neighbors, or colleagues. These factors do not, however, fully explain the disability gap in participation.¹⁴ Part of the remaining gap in participation can be traced to lower turnout due to prior difficulties in voting.¹⁵
- 66. An important note is that voter participation can vary substantially across elections for citizens both with and without disabilities. An increase in participation in an election among people with disabilities does not necessarily indicate the absence of continued voting barriers that discourage participation.

Voting method

67. Each voting method can present access barriers to people with different types of disabilities. Voting by mail can be an attractive option for people with mobility impairments, transportation problems, or other issues that make it hard to leave one's home. This is particularly relevant to the 10.0% of Texans who report travel-limiting disabilities as shown in Table 7, and the 8.3% of Texans who have difficulty walking or climbing stairs and 5.8% of Texans who have difficulty going outside alone, as shown in Table 1. The 3.3% of voting-

¹³ Summarized in Lisa Schur & Meera Adya, *Sidelined or Mainstreamed? Political Participation and Attitudes of People with Disabilities in the United States*, 93 SOCIAL SCIENCE QUARTERLY 811 (2012).

¹⁴ Lisa Schur, Todd Shields, Douglas Kruse, & Kay Schriner, *Enabling Democracy: Disability and Voter Turnout.* 55 Political Research Quarterly 167 (2002); Lisa Schur, Todd Shields, & Kay Schriner, *Generational cohorts, group membership, and political participation by people with disabilities,* 58 Political Research Quarterly 487 (2005); and Lisa Schur & Meera Adya, *Sidelined or Mainstreamed? Political Participation and Attitudes of People with Disabilities in the United States,* 93 Social Science Quarterly 811 (2012).

¹⁵ Lisa Schur, Mason Ameri, and Meera Adya, *Disability, Voter Turnout, and Polling Place Accessibility*, 98 SOCIAL SCIENCE QUARTERLY 1374 (2017).

eligible Texans with vision impairments, however, may not be able to vote independently with a mail ballot, and may need polling places where they can vote independently with an accessible machine required by the 2002 Help America Vote Act (HAVA).

- 68. Overall, people with disabilities are much more likely to vote by mail. Among Texas voters in 2020, 30.2% of people with disabilities and 8.2% of people without disabilities voted using a mail ballot, producing a gap of 22.0%, as shown in Table 8. Voting by mail was less likely in Texas than in the entire U.S. for people both with and without disabilities, but the disability gap was larger. The percentages of people with and without disabilities who voted by mail in the full U.S. were 53.2% and 41.9% respectively, producing a gap of 11.3%. The rate of voting by mail is high across all of the major disability types, as shown in Table 9. For many people with mobility restrictions, transportation barriers, and difficulty standing in long lines, voting by mail is effectively the only option they have to vote.
- **69.** Voting by mail increased in 2020 due to the pandemic. Differences by disability status, however, existed before the pandemic. In the 2016 general election, Texas voters with disabilities were more than three times as likely as voters without disabilities to vote by mail (19.8% compared to 6.0%).

Barriers to In-Person Voting

- **70.** As noted above, the disability gap in voter participation is not fully explained by standard predictors of participation. Voting barriers appear to play a role, as voter participation is lower when voting is more time-consuming and difficult. People with disabilities can face extra barriers in:
- Finding or getting to the polling place, particularly for those facing transportation barriers as described above.
- Getting inside the polling place, particularly for those in wheelchairs or with visual impairments.
- Standing in line, particularly for those with chronic illnesses or health conditions that limit their endurance.
- Being prevented from voting by poll workers, particularly for those who appear to have a cognitive disability.
- Reading or seeing the ballot, particularly for those with cognitive or vision impairments.
- Understanding how to vote or use the equipment, particularly for those with cognitive, vision, or upper arm mobility impairments.
- Communicating with poll workers, particularly for those with hearing, speech, or cognitive impairments.

- Writing on the ballot, particularly for those with impairments limiting upper body mobility.
- Physically operating the voting machine, particularly for those with vision impairments or impairments limiting upper body mobility.
- 71. There is empirical evidence on a number of these factors. Barriers in finding or getting to polling places have been shown to lower voter participation among people in general. These barriers can be greater for people with disabilities: one study found substantially lower voter participation among people with mobility limitations in areas with streets in poor condition. The provided in the provided substantially lower voter participation among people with mobility limitations in areas with streets in poor condition.
- 72. Analysis of the nationally representative Survey of the Performance of American Elections (SPAE) conducted following the 2020 elections shows that 1.2% of all registered voters with disabilities said they did not vote because "I tried to vote, but was not allowed to when I tried" compared to 0.3% of people without disabilities. In addition, 1.4% of registered voters with disabilities in the U.S. reported they did not vote due to long lines at the polls, compared to 0.3% of those without disabilities. Taken together, these results indicate that a substantial portion of the 5.7 point national disability gap in voter participation (from Table 9) can be accounted for by a greater likelihood that registered voters with disabilities said they were not allowed to vote or were dissuaded by the long lines.
- 73. In the 2020 DVAS, over one-sixth (18.0%) of people with disabilities who voted at a polling place or election office reported at least one or more barriers, which was almost twice the rate of voters without disabilities (9.8%). The rate of barriers was especially high among those with cognitive impairments (30.0%) and those needing help with daily activities (24.8%).
- 74. Specific barriers are listed in Table 10. The most common polling place barriers people with disabilities faced were difficulty waiting in line (7.4% among all polling place voters with disabilities), difficulty reading or seeing the ballot (3.8%), and getting inside the polling place (3.2%). These problems were especially likely among those with vision and mobility impairments, and those needing help in daily activities.¹⁹

¹⁶ Henry E. Brady & John E. McNulty, *Turning out to vote: The costs of finding and getting to the polling place*, 105 AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE REVIEW 115 (2011).

¹⁷ Philippa Clarke, Jennifer Ailshire, Els Nieuwenhuijsen, Marijke de Kleijn—de Vrankrijker, *Participation among adults with disability: The role of the urban environment*, 72 SOCIAL SCIENCE & MEDICINE 1674 (2011).

¹⁸ The figures in this paragraph are derived from analysis in *Survey of the Performance of American Elections*, MIT ELECTION DATA + SCIENCE LAB,

https://electionlab.mit.edu/research/projects/survey-performance-american-elections, last visited 2/28/2022. The data contain responses from 18,200 people registered to vote.

No further information is available on what respondents meant by saying they were "not allowed to vote." This could indicate legal barriers such as having their eligibility challenged, having a mail ballot rejected, not having proper ID, or being at the wrong polling place.

¹⁹ See Thad E. Hall & R. Michael Alvarez, *Defining the Barriers to Political Participation for Individuals with Disabilities*, THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION FOUNDATION,

- **75.** News reports provide examples from across the country of several of these barriers in voting at polling places:
- Liam Dougherty, who has a progressive muscular disability, has had problems getting inside polling places, waiting in line due to bladder control issues, and having poll workers not know how to lower the machine to reach his wheelchair.²⁰
- Elizabeth Clay, who is missing her right leg, has difficulty navigating city streets and getting to her polling place.²¹
- Xian Horn, who has cerebral palsy, found the wheelchair-accessible entrance of her polling place blocked by trash cans.²²
- Emily Ladau, who has Larsen syndrome which affects bone development, found the accessible entrance to her polling place locked, and had to rely on her father to go in through the main entrance to ask a poll worker to open the door.²³
- LouAnn Blake, who is blind, found that poll workers did not know how to set up the audio ballot technology at her voting location.²⁴
- Kathy Hoell, a wheelchair user with a brain injury, was initially denied permission to vote because poll workers told her she is not "smart enough," and has had poll workers lead her to stairs she could not climb and prevented her from using an accessible voting machine because they had not turned it on.²⁵

May 14, 2012, https://elections.itif.org/reports/AVTI-001-Hall-Alvarez-2012.pdf (describing problems of polling place access, reading the ballot, and understanding the voting process among focus group participants with disabilities in Los Angeles in 2010).

²⁰ Michaela Winberg, 'I'm not included here': People with disabilities face barriers to voting in Philly and beyond, WHYY, October 15, 2020, https://whyy.org/articles/voting-while-disabled-presents-challenges-for-philadelphians/.

²¹ Id.

²² Maggie Astor, 'A Failed System': What It's Like to Vote With a Disability During a Pandemic, NEW YORK TIMES, September 25, 2020.

²⁴ Jeanine Santucci, 30 years after the ADA, access to voting for people with disabilities is still an issue, USA TODAY, July 26, 2020.

²⁵ Matt Vasilogambros, *How Voters With Disabilities Are Blocked From the Ballot Box*, PEW TRUSTS, February 1, 2018, https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2018/02/01/how-voters-with-disabilities-are-blocked-from-the-ballot-box.

- 76. In addition, anonymous reports from voters with disabilities collected around the country by a disability organization regarding voter experiences in the 2020 election included 26 :
- "I could not turn on the screen"
- "No headsets were available"
- "Headsets available, did not work"
- "Poll worker did not know how to turn on the audio features"
- "Poll worker did not know how to make the sound louder or softer"
- "I did not know how to 'go back' or change who or what I voted for"
- "Had error message and could not vote"
- "Had to vote in person because I did not get my mail-in or absentee ballot"
- "Could not understand my ballot"
- 77. Barriers to polling place access in Texas were identified in settlements since 2015 between the Justice Department and Harris, Nueces, Galveston, and McLennan counties, which included "steep ramps, gaps in sidewalks and walkways, and locked gates along the route barring pedestrian access."²⁷

Barriers to Voting With a Mail Ballot

- **78.** Potential barriers to voting with a mail ballot include:
- Complicated instructions in applying for a mail ballot

²⁶ Experience Survey Results: Power of the Disability Vote, SABE GoVoter Project, 2021, https://www.sabeusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/SABE-GoVoter-2020-Survey-Report.pdf.

https://www.ada.gov/nueces_co_tx_pca/nueces_co_tx_sa.html; Settlement Agreement Between the United States of America and Galveston County, Texas Under the Americans with Disabilities Act at Attachment E, available at

https://www.ada.gov/galveston_tx_pca/galveston_tx_sa.html; Settlement Agreement Between the United States of America and McLennan County, Texas Under the Americans with Disabilities Act at Attachment E, available at: https://www.ada.gov/mclennan_pca/mclennan_sa.html.

²⁷ Justice Department Reaches Agreement with Harris County, Texas, to Ensure Polling Place Accessibility for Voters with Disabilities, US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, March 12, 2019, https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-agreement-harris-county-texas-ensure-polling-place-accessibility; Settlement Agreement Between the United States of America and Nueces County Texas Under the Americans with Disabilities Act at §H,

- Application requirements to identify as a person with a disability, which many people with significant impairments are reluctant to do due to disability stigma noted above
- The requirement to apply for a mail ballot in every election
- Difficulty reading or seeing the ballot, particularly for people with visual impairments
- Difficulty understanding the ballot or how to fill it out, particularly for people with cognitive or developmental disabilities
- Difficulty filling out the ballot or placing it in an envelope, particularly for people with limited dexterity
- Difficulty taking the ballot to a mailbox, a drop box, or an election office, particularly for people with mobility impairments or difficulty going outside alone
- Postage expense in mailing the ballot in locations where stamps are required to return a ballot
- **79.** In the 2020 DVAS survey, the overall rate of difficulty in voting with a mail ballot was 5.4% among voters with disabilities. The rate was especially high among those with visual impairments (22.1%) who expressed the most difficulties with reading and filling out the ballot, as shown in Table 11.
- **80.** Barriers to voting by mail are exemplified in the following anecdotal cases from across the country:
- Jack Dougherty voted by mail in 2020 after many experiences of barriers to voting at a polling place. Due to dexterity issues, he said he had difficulty in filling out the bubbles on the mail ballot and writing his name and address on the correct lines.²⁸
- Katie Maunder, who is blind, said she could not have filled out her mail ballot without her mother's help.²⁹
- Sheryl Grossman has Bloom syndrome, a genetic disorder that weakens her immune system and causes cognitive disabilities. She cannot safely go to a polling place or allow anyone into her home, and cannot complete a mail ballot, so she had to tape her mail ballot to her door with a list of choices and watch as election officials filled out and sealed the ballot.³⁰

²⁸ Michaela Winberg, 'I'm not included here': People with disabilities face barriers to voting in Philly and beyond, WHYY, October 15, 2020, https://whyy.org/articles/voting-while-disabled-presents-challenges-for-philadelphians/.
²⁹ Id.

³⁰ Maggie Astor, 'A Failed System': What It's Like to Vote With a Disability During a Pandemic, NEW YORK TIMES, September 25, 2020.

- Joanne Wolf, who has multiple sclerosis and cannot write by hand or sign a mail ballot, had her ballot with a signature stamp rejected twice.³¹
- **81.** In addition, anonymous reports from voters with disabilities collected by a disability organization regarding voter experiences with mail ballots in the 2020 election included a number of barriers that included³²:
- "I had to ask for help."
- "I had problems understanding how to complete the ballot."
- "I had problems mailing my ballot."
- "I had to pay postage."
- **82.** Experiencing these types of difficulties predicts attitudes among people with disabilities that discourage voting in the future.³³

Need for Assistance in Voting

- 83. As described earlier, about two-fifths of people with disabilities need assistance with one or more activities of daily living. Many people who need assistance with activities of daily living will also need voting assistance, since voting requires functional abilities that are often needed to perform activities of daily living (for example, manual dexterity needed for getting dressed or preparing meals is also needed in operating most voting machines). In the 2020 DVAS, 6.2% of people with disabilities who voted at a polling place reported needing assistance in voting, compared to 3.7% of those without disabilities.³⁴ Among those who voted by mail, 10.5% of people with disabilities reported needing assistance in doing so, compared to 1.1% of voters without disabilities.³⁵ The greater gap in assistance needed in mail voting is likely due to the greater likelihood of severe disability among those who vote by mail.
- 84. Among people with disabilities who needed assistance in voting in a polling place, such assistance was most commonly provided by election officials (54%), family members (19%), and home aides (6%). Among those who needed assistance in voting with a mail ballot, such assistance was most commonly provided by family members living with the voter (56%), family

³¹ Id

³² Experience Survey Results: Power of the Disability Vote, SABE GoVoter Project, 2021, https://www.sabeusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/SABE-GoVoter-2020-Survey-Report.pdf.

³³ Lisa Schur, Mason Ameri, and Meera Adya, *Disability, Voter Turnout, and Polling Place Accessibility*, 98 SOCIAL SCIENCE QUARTERLY 1374 (2017).

³⁴ The difference of 2.7 points is within the 3.1 point margin of error.

³⁵ The difference of 9.4 points is outside the 3.5 point margin of error.

members not living with the voter (19%), friends or neighbors (8%), home aides (7%), or other non-relatives (6%).

- 85. People with disabilities are less likely to be able to vote independently (without assistance) with no difficulties. The 2020 DVAS found that over one-fifth (21.3%) of in-person voters with disabilities either required assistance or had difficulties in voting, which is almost twice the 11.9% rate among voters without disabilities. There was also a disability gap among mail voters, where 14.0% of voters with disabilities either required assistance or had difficulties in voting compared to 3.2% of voters without disabilities.
- **86.** As described earlier, Texans with disabilities are more likely than those without disabilities to live in institutional group quarters such as nursing homes and assisted living settings. Those in institutions generally have more severe disabilities that are more likely to require assistance in voting and daily activities. There is, however, tremendous variation in registration and voting procedures, staff attitudes, and likelihood of voting among nursing homes and assisted living settings; one study found that residents who wanted to vote were unable to do so at nearly one-third of sites, and that staff and administrator attitudes were a critical factor.³⁶
- 87. Assistance in voting is about more than just driving someone to the polls or helping them with the physical act of marking a ballot. People with mental health disabilities may require and receive assistance in various aspects of the voting process that in no way suggest the assistor is "voting for" the person with a disability or exercising improper influence over the voter. A substantial literature supports the idea that people with cognitive disabilities, including intellectual and developmental disabilities, can make important decisions such as voting while relying on trusted assistors in executing those decisions.³⁷ Such assistance can "facilitate the exercise of autonomy" for individuals with certain neurological or cognitive conditions.³⁸ In the context of voting, this assistance often involves more than just reading the ballot aloud and helping people to mark it.

³⁶ Jason H.T. Karlawish et al., *Identifying the barriers and challenges to voting by residents in nursing homes and assisted living settings*, 20 J. AGING SOC. POLICY 65 (2008).

³⁷ *Id.*; Raymond Raad, Jason Karlawish, & Paul S. Appelbaum, *The capacity to vote of persons with serious mental illness*, 60 PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES 624 (2009); Jason H. Karlawish et al, *Addressing the ethical, legal, and social issues raised by voting by persons with dementia*, 292 JAMA 1345 (2004); Andrew Peterson, Jason Karlawish, and Emily Largent, *Supported Decision Making With People at the Margins of Autonomy*, 21 AM. J. BIOETHICS 4 (2021).

³⁸ Andrew Peterson, Jason Karlawish, and Emily Largent, *Supported Decision Making With People at the Margins of Autonomy*, 21 Am. J. BIOETHICS 4 (2021).

SB 1 IMPOSES BARRIERS ON TEXAS VOTERS WITH DISABILITIES THAT WILL MAKE IT HARDER FOR THEM TO VOTE AND MAY PREVENT SOME FROM VOTING ALTOGETHER

88. The above findings are relevant to an analysis of the likely effects of SB 1 on the ability to vote among people with disabilities. Drawing on these data and my knowledge of the voting needs of people with disabilities, it is my opinion that SB 1 will impose barriers to voting on Texans with disabilities. The following provisions of SB 1 make it harder for Texans with disabilities to vote and may prevent some from voting altogether:

Sections 5.02, 5.03, 5.06, 5.07, 5.10, and 5.12

- 89. These sections impose new requirements to vote by mail. They now require voters to provide the number on their Texas driver's license, Texas election identification certificate, or Texas personal ID card on both their mail-in ballot applications and on the ballot carrier envelopes used to return their ballot. If the voter has not been issued one of these numbers, the voter may instead provide the last four digits of their Social Security number. If the voter has not been issued any of these numbers, the voter may sign a statement indicating that they have never been issued one of these numbers. The law further provides that if the information the voter provides does not "identify the same voter identified" on the voter's registration application, then the mail-in ballot application and/or ballot in the voter's carrier envelope must be rejected. SB 1 provides that a voter may be notified by phone or e-mail of the defect and that the voter may request to have the voter's application to vote by mail canceled or go to the voting clerk's office in person to correct the defect or go through an online curing process. There are several relevant research findings regarding the likely impact of these provisions on voters with disabilities:
- 90. Texas voters with disabilities were almost four times as likely as those without disabilities to vote by mail in 2020 (30.2% compared to 8.2%), so these additional requirements to be able to vote by mail, and the critical consequences if the ID number they provide does not match the ID number with which they registered, are likely to have a significant negative impact on many voters with disabilities.
- 91. Remembering how one recorded ID information on a registration application is likely to be difficult for many people with disabilities. Because disability correlates with age, it may have been a long time since they first registered and many of them may have difficulty remembering what ID information they presented for their initial registration. As noted above, an estimated 1,082,500 eligible voters in Texas have cognitive disabilities, which are measured as difficulty in concentrating, remembering, or making decisions. The records or identifying documents may be held by family members or facility staff, and may not be readily available to people with disabilities. The staff in congregate settings may be unwilling or uninterested in helping people with disabilities get the correct information; as noted, research has found that staff attitudes are

key determinants of whether residents have the necessary information for voting.³⁹ Though SB 1 permits a voter to "make a statement" that they have not been issued any of the permissible identification numbers, a voter cannot make a statement indicating that they have been issued one of these numbers but do not know the number or do not have access to it or cannot provide the number for some other reason.

- 92. Among those whose applications are initially rejected, it is likely that correcting the information will be difficult for many people with disabilities. Whether attempting to remedy this in person or online, it is unclear how a voter who does not know these numbers will be able to cure the defect. Further, voters who are voting by mail due to a disability may be unable to go in person to cure the defect for the same reason they did not vote in person.
- 93. The online curing option may not help voters who are unable to cure in person because, as discussed above, people with disabilities have lower levels of internet access: a full 15% of Texans with disabilities living in the community (not in group quarters) do not have internet access in their homes, compared to only 5% of those without disabilities. The gap is larger among those age 65 or older, where 40% of Texans with disabilities compared to 18% of those without disabilities do not have internet access. Even those with internet access may be limited by inaccessible websites. A 2020 report found that 98% of all websites are not fully accessible to people with disabilities. Since 15% of Texans with disabilities do not have access to the internet at their homes, it is my opinion they will be disenfranchised by this provision since many will not be able to cure in person either.
- 94. Indeed, as has already been reported, as of February 25, 2022, election officials in the most populous Texas counties have rejected roughly 30% of the absentee ballots they have received largely because voters did not include their driver's license number or Social Security number, or the numbers they put down did not match what officials had on file—new provisions imposed by SB 1. As reported, this rate of rejection represents a significant increase from past elections, including 2020, when the statewide rejection rate of absentee ballots was less than 1% for the general election. In 2020, officials rejected 8,304 absentee ballots in Texas out of nearly a million votes across the state. This year, that number has already been surpassed in just two counties. As reported by the *New York Times*:

But with voting by mail limited to elderly and disabled voters, the concern that initially rejected ballots will disenfranchise voters has grown. Guillermina

³⁹ Jason H.T. Karlawish et al., *Identifying the barriers and challenges to voting by residents in nursing homes and assisted living settings*, 20 J. AGING SOC. POLICY 65 (2008).

The ACS does not measure internet access for those living in institutional or non-institutional group quarters.
 Ruderman Family Foundation, 98% of Websites Fail to Comply With Accessibility for People

With Disabilities, ICT SOLUTIONS & EDUCATION MAGAZINE, https://isemag.com/2020/11/telecom-98-percent-of-websites-fail-to-comply-with-accessibility-requirements-for-people-with-disabilities/, last visited 2/28/22.

⁴² Nick Corasantini, *Ballot Rejections in Texas Spike After New Voting Law*, NEW YORK TIMES, Feb. 25, 2022, https://www.nytimes.com/2022/02/25/us/politics/texas-primary-ballot-rejections.html.

Nevárez lives at home in the Maverick County border region with her husband, Alfonso Nevárez Sr., and her 98-year-old mother, who is disabled and recovering from a recent surgery. In all three of their ballots, they missed the field to include their identification information, presuming that since their ballot application had been accepted they were free to cast their ballot. "We didn't look at the fine print," said Ms. Nevárez, who is also the mother of a former Democratic state representative. "And there's so much of it, the fine print." She corrected the three ballots and sent them back by mail. She is hoping that the information is correct—because of her mother's condition, they cannot go in person to fix any issues. "It is very upsetting," Ms. Nevárez said.⁴³

95. I conclude with a reasonable degree of certainty, based on the above data, that Texans with disabilities are four times more likely to vote by mail, more likely to have difficulty accessing the requisite ID numbers and ensuring the numbers on the application and envelope match, and less likely to be able to access the curing process online or in person. As such, the new barriers imposed by Sections 5.02, 5.03, 5.06, 5.07, 5.10, and 5.12 will make it harder for people with disabilities to vote. Therefore, I conclude that these sections will cause some Texans with disabilities to be disenfranchised and a further substantial number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not otherwise face but for SB 1.

SECTION 6.01

- 96. This section requires any person other than a close relative who simultaneously drives seven or more voters to the polls for curbside voting to complete and sign a form stating their name and address and whether they only provided transportation assistance or also assistance with voting. There are several research findings relevant to this section's impact on voters with disabilities.
- 97. As discussed above, voters with disabilities are more likely to face transportation barriers than people without disabilities. Among all Texans of voting age, 10% report a disability that limits travel. Texans with disabilities are four times more likely to live in a zero-vehicle household (14.4% compared to 3.0%) and are less likely to be drivers (59.6% compared to 93.0%). Further, 5.8% of Texans have difficulty going outside alone, representing 1.1 million people. Voters with disabilities are also more likely to be socially isolated, and more likely to live alone. Because curbside voting is only available to certain voters who are far more likely to have a disability and people with disabilities are more likely to face transportation barriers and social isolation, it is my opinion that additional barriers to providing assistance in the form of group transportation for curbside voting will burden voters with disabilities.
- **98.** I can conclude with reasonable certainty, based on the above data, that Texans with disabilities are more likely to face transportation barriers, more likely to live alone, and more likely to be socially isolated. As such, the barriers imposed by Section 6.01 on providing group transportation to the polls for curbside voting will make it harder for some Texans with disabilities to vote. Therefore, I conclude that Section 6.01 will cause some Texans with

27

⁴³ *Id*.

disabilities to be disenfranchised, and a further substantial number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not otherwise face but for SB 1.

SECTION 6.04

- 99. This section adds language to the assistor oath, substantially restricting the amount of assistance that can be given by anyone except an election officer. This will make voting more difficult for many people with disabilities. Texas data show that 41.2% of people with disabilities need assistance in one or more daily activities. National data show that 6.2% of people with disabilities who voted in a polling place required assistance, and 10.5% of voters with disabilities who voted with a mail ballot required assistance.
- 100. This section limits the type of assistance that can be given by an assistor to reading or marking the ballot, or directing the voter to read or mark the ballot. Because the law does not define everything that could constitute assistance, voters with disabilities as well as assistors will be unsure of what assistance is allowed, and voters may be reluctant to make use of assistance even when it is available for fear of violating the law. This does not allow the assistor to explain the voting process and choices. In my expert opinion, this is likely to interfere with people with disabilities' ability to vote, in particular for the 1,082,500 Texans with cognitive impairments who are eligible to vote, and other people with neurological and developmental disabilities who benefit from assistance in making informed choices in important areas of life. Some examples of valuable voting assistance that arguably go beyond the narrow definition of assistance in the oath include:
- Using an American Sign Language interpreter to interpret the ballot to someone who is deaf and does not read written English fluently. ASL and English are different languages with different syntax and grammar. ASL sometimes requires a signed explanation and interpretation of key terms and concepts.
- Reminding someone with memory issues from a Traumatic Brain Injury about how to use
 his or her marked sample ballot to refresh recollection about how he or she wanted to
 vote.
- Using simple plain language to help someone with cognitive or developmental disabilities understand the voting process. This can include answering the voter's questions about the voting process or the ballot.
- Helping someone with a mobility or cognitive disability navigate the physical polling
 place to find the information they need, speak to the poll workers, and get to the voting
 booth.
- Helping someone with Autism Spectrum Disorder cope with stressful voting lines, noises, sensations, or lights. This may include implementing calming strategies to support the person so that he or she votes without triggering feelings of being overwhelmed.

- Helping someone with a visual impairment set up and use the accessible voting machine.
 This may include setting up the headphones or troubleshooting technical issues that arise
 while the voter is voting and helping the voter deliver his or her paper ballot to the ballot
 counter.
- Helping a person with an anxiety disorder cope with the anxiety of a possibly new and stressful situation of navigating the voting technology and process. This may include verbal reassurance that the person marked the ballot in the manner he or she intended.
- **101.** I conclude with a reasonable degree of certainty, based on the above data, that a large number of Texans with disabilities need assistance with voting and that many of them depend on receiving such assistance. I also conclude that it is highly likely that many Texans with disabilities will find it difficult or impossible to obtain the assistance they require given the restrictions imposed by section 6.04. Therefore, I conclude that section 6.04 will cause some Texans with disabilities to be disenfranchised, and a further substantial number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not otherwise face but for SB 1.

SECTIONS 6.03 AND 6.05

- 102. These sections create extra requirements for assistors to document their relationship to the voter and whether they received any compensation or benefit from a candidate, campaign, or political committee. These sections will make it more likely that the ballot of a person with a disability is rejected because of a clerical error or a minor mistake by the person providing assistance. These sections may also make it more difficult for people with disabilities to find assistance at all. As noted above, many people with disabilities are socially isolated and may have a hard time finding someone to assist them. One-fifth of voters with disabilities who needed voting assistance in 2020 reported receiving it from people who were not family or household members. Due to the higher need for assistance with voting among people with disabilities, this provision creates an extra barrier to voting for some people with disabilities.
- 103. I conclude with a reasonable degree of certainty, based on the above data, that a large number of Texans with disabilities need assistance with voting and that many of them depend on receiving such assistance from people other than family members. I also conclude that it is highly likely that many Texans with disabilities will find it difficult or impossible to find needed assistance because of sections 6.03 and 6.05 requirements of additional forms and statements. Therefore, I conclude that sections 6.03 and 6.05 will cause some Texans with disabilities to be disenfranchised, and a further substantial number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not otherwise face but for SB 1.

SECTION 6.06

104. This section criminalizes the provision of assistance by any person who solicits, receives, or accepts compensation for helping a voter with their mail ballot unless the assistor is an attendant or caregiver. While attendants and caregivers are exempt, this section will prevent friends, neighbors, and other non-family members from assisting people with disabilities if they receive any type of economic benefit. It will also prohibit people with disabilities from getting

help from community or nonpartisan civic engagement organizations that routinely provide voting support to the disability community. The 2020 DVAS study showed that just under one-fifth (18.1%) of people providing assistance to voters with disabilities with voting by mail were friends, neighbors, or other non-relatives apart from home aides. In my expert opinion, this provision will discourage well-meaning assistors from providing that assistance, because any type of compensation or thank you, such as reimbursement for gas, could be construed as violating the law. This will restrict the ability to obtain assistance for a substantial number of people with disabilities.

- 105. Voters with disabilities are also more likely to be socially isolated, and more likely to live alone. It is thus very possible that a worker or volunteer with a community or civic engagement organization, a neighbor, a friend, or another non-family member may be the best and only option to assist them with voting by mail.
- **106.** I conclude with a reasonable degree of certainty, based on the above data, that a number of Texans with disabilities need assistance with voting their mail ballots and that some of them depend on receiving such assistance from people other than previously known attendants or caregivers. Therefore I conclude that Section 6.06 will cause some Texans with disabilities to be disenfranchised, and a further number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not.

SECTION 7.04

- presence of an official ballot or a ballot voted by mail, intended to deliver votes for a specific candidate or measure." It also makes it a crime for any person to receive compensation or other benefit for collecting another voter's ballot. As noted above, many voters with disabilities require assistance in voting, and restrictions on in-person interactions will limit their ability to obtain needed assistance. Such interaction may be of particular benefit to voters with cognitive impairments and developmental disabilities who may have difficulty understanding the issues and voting process but, as described above, have the right to vote. Finding assistance may be especially difficult for many people with disabilities given their higher likelihood of living alone, and lower rate of socializing as documented above. It is very possible that someone connected to a campaign (possibly the person who assists them regularly) may be the best and only option to assist them with voting. Even if they are assisted by someone working or volunteering with a campaign, this does not imply that their vote will be influenced by that person. As noted above, assisted decision making can "facilitate the exercise of autonomy" for people with certain conditions.⁴⁴ The assisted voter must approve the vote before it is filed.
- **108.** In addition, people with mobility limitations may not be able to personally deliver their ballots to mailboxes, and they may not have a close family or household member or lawful assistant to do so. Restricting the individuals who can help with this process will create extra difficulties for these voters in delivering their ballot.

30

⁴⁴ Andrew Peterson, Jason Karlawish, and Emily Largent, *Supported Decision Making With People at the Margins of Autonomy*, 21 AM. J. BIOETHICS 4 (2021)

109. I conclude with a reasonable degree of certainty, based on the above data that a large number of Texans with disabilities need assistance with voting their mail ballots and that some of them depend on receiving such assistance from persons other than a close family or household member or lawful assistant. Therefore I conclude that Section 7.04 will cause some Texans with disabilities to be disenfranchised, and a further number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not otherwise face but for SB 1.

CONCLUSION

In sum, in my opinion, based on reasonable certainty, these provisions of SB 1 will create an extra burden in voting for a significant number of people with disabilities across the state of Texas and may prevent some from voting altogether. As documented above, people with disabilities already face many social and economic disparities that impact their ability to vote, including a high rate of needing assistance in activities of daily living, higher likelihood of living alone, lower likelihood of driving or travel in general, lower likelihood of internet access, and lower economic resources compared to those without disabilities. They also must contend with well-documented social stigma that both reflects and reinforces their social isolation and increases the difficulty of obtaining necessary resources and assistance in exercising the right to vote. The number of barriers voters with disabilities face in Texas help explain why votingeligible Texans with disabilities were 5.1 percentage points less likely than those without disabilities to vote in 2020. SB 1 creates extra voting barriers for many Texans with disabilities, making it more burdensome for them to exercise their right to vote. Media reports have already demonstrated that people with disabilities are facing new barriers related to SB 1.45 In my opinion, SB 1 will cause some Texans with disabilities to be disenfranchised entirely and a further substantial number to face significant difficulties in voting that they would not otherwise face but for SB 1.

⁴⁵ See Juana Summers and Barbara Sprunt, *Texas election workers provide practical and emotional support to confused voters*, NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO, Feb. 7, 2022, https://www.npr.org/2022/02/27/1082821390/texas-election-workers-provide-practical-and-emotional-support-to-confused-voter;%20; *see also* Nick Corasaniti, *Ballot Rejections in Texas Spike After New Voting Law*, NY TIMES, Feb. 25, 2022, https://www.nytimes.com/2022/02/25/us/politics/texas-primary-ballot-rejections.html.

Table 1: Disability Prevalence in Texas Using Census Definition, 2020

Figures are for Texas citizens age 18 or older.

	Number	% of adult	Margin of error (+/-)
	(1)	citizens (2)	(3)
Total citizens age 18 or older	19,425,500	100.0%	
No disability	16,401,300	84.4%	0.3%
Disability	3,024,200	15.6%	0.3%
Type of disability			
Hearing impairment	875,900	4.5%	0.1%
Vision impairment	638,500	3.3%	0.1%
Cognitive impairment	1,082,500	5.6%	0.2%
Mobility impairment	1,604,700	8.3%	0.2%
Difficulty with dressing or bathing Difficulty going outside home	596,300	3.1%	0.1%
alone	1,127,500	5.8%	0.2%
Sample size	127,398		

Based on analysis of U.S. Census Bureau's 2020 American Community Survey microdata. A disability is defined as having one or more of the six conditions listed. See https://www.census.gov/topics/health/disability/guidance/data-collection-acs.html.

The margin of error is based on a 95% confidence interval.

Table 2: Disability Prevalence Using More Expansive Definition

Figures represent percent of Texas adults age 18 or older

	Percen	Margin of
	t	error (+/-)
	(1)	(2)
Any disability	30.5%	2.4%
Hearing impairment	6.3%	1.2%
Vision impairment	4.7%	1.1%
Speech impairment	2.1%	0.7%
Difficulty with physical activities:		
Walking 3 blocks	13.5%	1.7%
Climbing stairs	12.7%	1.6%
Lifting	9.3%	1.4%
Grasping	4.3%	1.0%
Standing^	15.9%	1.8%
Pushing/pulling^	12.9%	1.7%
Sitting [^]	8.2%	1.4%
Crouching^	19.3%	2.0%
Reaching [^]	7.9%	1.3%
Difficulty with activities of daily living due to physi	ical or mental condi	tion:
Any of above	13.4%	1.7%
Getting around inside home	1.7%	0.6%
Going outside home for errands	8.3%	1.4%
Getting in bed or chair	4.3%	1.0%
Taking bath or shower	4.5%	1.0%
Getting dressed	3.0%	0.8%
Eating	0.7%	0.4%
Using toilet	1.7%	0.6%
Keeping track of money	4.7%	1.1%
Preparing meals	4.1%	0.9%
Doing light housework	5.0%	1.0%
Taking medicine	3.8%	1.0%
Using telephone	1.3%	0.5%
Mental or cognitive impairment:		
Learning disability	4.3%	1.1%
Alzheimer's, senility, or dementia	3.4%	0.9%
Intellectual disability	1.7%	0.7%
Developmental disability	0.7%	0.5%
Other mental/emotional condition	4.4%	1.1%
Sample size	1,569	

[^] These conditions were not included as part of the expanded disability definition but are reported here to illustrate the range of limitations faced by people with disabilities. Based on analysis of 2014 Survey of Income and Program Participation SSA Supplement microdata. Discussion of the disability definition and fuller results for entire U.S. are in https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p70-152.html. The margin of error is based on a 95% confidence interval.

Table 3: Disability and Demographic Characteristics in Texas, 2020

Figures are for Texas citizens age 18 or older.

rigures are for Texas citizens	Total with	Total with	% with	Margin
	disability	no disability	disabilit	of error
	(1)	(2)	y (3)	(+/ -) (4)
Total citizens age 18 or				
older	3,024,200	16,401,300	15.6%	0.3%
Female	1,551,800	8,385,000	15.6%	0.4%
Male	1,472,400	8,016,300	15.5%	0.4%
Asian	60,400	707,000	7.9%	1.1%
Black non-Hispanic/Latinx	428,300	1,963,400	17.9%	0.9%
Hispanic/Latinx	881,800	5,312,000	14.2%	0.5%
Native American/Alaskan	8,600	39,700	17.8%	4.3%
White non-Hispanic/Latinx	1,533,400	7,851,200	16.3%	0.4%
Other race/ethnicity	111,600	528,000	17.4%	1.5%
Age 18-34	487,600	5,874,500	7.7%	0.4%
Age 35-49	445,800	4,468,800	9.1%	0.4%
Age 50-64	761,000	3,732,800	16.9%	0.6%
Age 65-74	601,700	1,591,700	27.4%	0.9%
Age 75-84	460,600	620,300	42.6%	1.4%
Age 85+	267,500	113,200	70.3%	2.2%
No HS degree	583,000	1,488,100	28.1%	1.0%
HS degree	948,100	3,988,500	19.2%	0.6%
Some college, no degree	720,300	4,025,800	15.2%	0.5%
Associate's degree	212,200	1,335,200	13.7%	0.9%
Bachelor's degree	360,000	3,633,600	9.0%	0.4%
Graduate degree	200,600	1,930,100	9.4%	0.6%
Overall sample size	23,590	103,808		

Based on analysis of U.S. Census Bureau's 2020 American Community Survey microdata.

The margin of error is based on a 95% confidence interval.

Table 4: Economic Status and Living Situation of People with Disabilities

Figures are for Texas citizens age 18 or older.

	Disability	No disability	Disabili ty gap	Margin of error on ga (+/-)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Employed if working age (18-64)	40.1%	74.2%	-34.0%	1.3%	*
In poverty	18.0%	9.2%	8.9%	0.8%	*
Social Security income	47.4%	13.3%	34.0%	0.9%	*
Public assistance income or food stamps	20.3%	10.3%	10.0%	0.8%	*
Medicaid or other low-income health plan	26.5%	6.1%	20.4%	0.8%	*
Living situation					
Live alone	20.8%	12.3%	8.6%	0.8%	*
Live with others, not in group quarters	73.3%	85.6%	-12.3%	0.8%	*
Noninstitutional group quarters^	1.2%	1.1%	0.2%	0.1%	
Institutional group quarters^^	4.7%	1.1%	3.6%	0.2%	*
Marital status					
Married, spouse present	42.8%	52.4%	-9.6%	1.0%	*
Separated/divorced	19.4%	12.1%	7.3%	0.8%	*
Widowed	14.4%	3.4%	11.0%	0.6%	*
Never married	23.4%	32.1%	-8.8%	0.9%	*
Sample size	9,609	23,590	103,808		l

^{*} Disability gap is outside 95% margin of error.

Based on analysis of Census Bureau's 2020 American Community Survey microdata.

[^] College dorm, military barracks, group home, mission, or shelter

^{^^} Nursing home, mental hospital, or correctional facility

Table 5: Need for Assistance in Disability Population

Figures represent percent of disability population age 18 or older.

	Texas	Margin of error (+/-)	United States	Margin of error (+/-)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Any help needed with activities of daily living	41.2%	4.5%	37.4%	1.1%
Need help with:				
Getting around inside home	3.5%	1.5%	3.8%	0.4%
Going outside home for errands	25.5%	4.0%	21.2%	1.0%
Getting in bed or chair	7.5%	2.3%	7.2%	0.6%
Taking bath or shower	9.4%	2.5%	8.6%	0.7%
Getting dressed	6.6%	2.1%	6.9%	0.6%
Walking	7.9%	2.3%	8.2%	0.6%
Eating	0.5%	0.5%	1.3%	0.3%
Using toilet	3.4%	1.7%	3.3%	0.4%
Keeping track of money	12.0%	2.9%	12.2%	0.8%
Preparing meals	11.0%	2.7%	12.0%	0.8%
Doing light housework	13.7%	2.9%	15.4%	0.8%
Taking medicine	9.7%	2.8%	8.8%	0.7%
Accessing Internet	15.2%	3.1%	13.4%	0.8%
Help provided by^:				
Family members	34.9%	4.3%	30.7%	1.1%
Friends or neighbors	4.0%	1.8%	4.0%	0.5%
Paid help	4.0%	1.6%	4.2%	0.5%
Partner or companion	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	0.3%
Other non-relative	1.4%	1.0%	1.9%	0.3%
Any non-family member	10.6%	2.7%	10.7%	0.7%
Sample size	566			

Based on analysis of 2014 Survey of Income and Program Participation SSA Supplement microdata. See Table 2 for prevalence figures using this definition of disability. Fuller results for entire U.S. are in https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p70-152.html.

The margin of error is based on a 95% confidence interval.

[^] The categories overlap as the individual may have received help from more than one person.

Table 6: Computer and Internet Access by Disability Status in Texas

Figures are for Texas citizens age 18 or older.

	Disability	No disability	Disabili ty gap	Margin of error on ga (+/-)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Home has internet access, 2020					
All	84.8%	95.2%	-10.4%	0.7%	*
Age 18-64	90.4%	96.3%	-5.9%	0.8%	*
Age 65 or older	60.5%	82.3%	-21.8%	1.3%	*
Individual uses internet at home, 2019					
All	60.1%	78.9%	-18.8%	4.5%	*
Age 18-64	68.0%	80.8%	-12.8%	6.4%	*
Age 65 or older	68.9%	84.4%	-15.5%	6.3%	*
Individual uses internet at home or elsewhere, 2019					
All	60.5%	82.3%	-21.8%	4.4%	*
Age 18-64	53.1%	67.9%	-14.8%	6.8%	*
Age 65 or older	53.1%	70.4%	-17.3%	6.8%	*
Sample size					
2020 data	19,465	96,970			
2019 data	535	3,906			

^{*} Disability gap is outside 95% margin of error.

Home internet access figures are based on analysis of Census Bureau's 2020 American Community Survey microdata, and individual internet use is based on analysis of November 2019 Current Population Survey Computer and Internet Use Supplement microdata.

Table 7: Transportation and Disability

	All (1)	Disability (2)	No disability (3)	Disability gap (4)	
Data for Texans age 18 or older^					
Have travel-limiting disability	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%		
Live in zero-vehicle household		14.4%	3.0%	11.4%	*
Average trips per day		2.3	3.5	-1.2	*
No trips in a day		40.0%	15.8%	0.2	*
Driver		59.6%	93.0%	-0.3	*
Public transportation in past 30 days		12.6%	8.8%	3.8%	*
Used ride-hailing in past 30 days		2.6%	8.8%	-6.2%	*
Average online purchases for delivery in past month		31.5%	54.2%	-22.7%	*
Agree that travel is a financial burden		53.3%	39.6%	13.7%	*
National data from 2020 survey with broader disability measure^^					
Can drive own or family vehicle		69.6%	90.0%	-20.4%	*
Most often use for basic transportation:					
Own or family vehicle		82.7%	93.3%	-10.7%	*
Someone else's vehicle		6.4%	1.8%	4.7%	*
Taxi or rideshare		3.2%	0.5%	2.7%	*
Para-transit		1.3%	0.2%	1.1%	*
Other public transportation		4.9%	3.0%	1.9%	
Other		1.5%	1.2%	0.3%	
Have transportation problems "very often" or "always"		5.6%	2.9%	2.6%	*
Sample size		1,768	787		

[^] From analysis of 2017 National Highway Travel Survey data at https://nhts.ornl.gov/

 $[\]label{thm:promhttps://www.eac.gov/election-officials/us-election-assistance-commission-study-disability-and-voting-accessibility-2020, Table 31$

Table 8: Voting and Disability in 2020

	Texas				United	States		
	No disabili ty (1)	Any disabili ty (2)	Disabi lity gap (3)	Margin of error on gap (+/-) (4)	No disabili ty (5)	Any disabili ty (6)	Disabi lity gap (7)	Margin of error on gap (+/-) (8)
Among all eligible to vote:								
Registered to vote	71.2%	71.9%	0.7%	4.2%	73.0%	70.1%	-3.0%	1.1% *
Voted	64.5%	59.4%	-5.2%	4.6% *	67.5%	61.8%	-5.7%	1.1% *
Method if voted:								
In person on election day	14.2%	9.5%	-4.7%	3.6% *	31.2%	25.8%	-5.4%	1.3% *
Early in person	77.6%	60.2%	-17.5%	5.7% *	26.9%	21.0%	-5.8%	1.2% *
Mail ballot	8.2%	30.2%	22.0%	5.2% *	41.9%	53.2%	11.3%	1.5% *
Sample size	3,745	545			70,898	11,000		

* Disability gap is outside 95% margin of error.

Based on analysis of 2020 Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement microdata.

Table 9: Voting by Disability Type in 2020

All figures are for entire United

States

	No disabilit y	Any disabilit y	i	Hearing mpairmen t	l	Vision impairmen t	1	Cognitive impairment		Mobility impairment		Difficulty dressing of bathing		Difficulty going outside alone	е
	(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	
Among all eligible to															
vote:															
Registered to vote	73.0%	70.1%	*	76.2%	*	67.4%	*	61.6%	*	69.4%	*	61.9%	*	61.8%	*
Voted	67.5%	61.8%	*	68.5%		59.2%	*	50.7%	*	60.4%	*	49.4%	*	51.6%	*
Method if voted:															
In person on	31.2%	25.8%	*	25.4%	*	24.6%	*	26.4%	*	25.0%	*	23.4%	*	23.0%	*
election day															
Early in person	26.9%	21.0%	*	22.0%	*	22.0%	*	19.3%	*	19.4%	*	14.4%	*	16.7%	*
Mail ballot	41.9%	53.2%	*	52.6%	*	53.3%	*	54.2%	*	55.7%	*	62.1%	*	60.2%	*
Sample size	70,898	11,000		3,633		1,466		3,315		6,255		1,689		3,769	

^{*} Disability gap is outside 95% margin of error.

Based on analysis of 2020 Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement microdata.

Table 10: In-Person Voting Difficulties by Disability Type in 2020

Types of voting difficulties	No disabili	Any disability	Hearing impairment	Visual Cognitive impairment in		Mobility impairment	No need for help in daily	Need help in daily
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	activities (7)	activities (8)
Any difficulty in voting in person at polling place or election office	9.8%	18.0% *		23.5%	30.0% *	17.2% *	` ′	24.8% *
1. Difficulty in finding or getting to the polling place	2.3%	1.4%	1.0%	3.8%	3.6%	1.2%	0.8%	3.1%
2. Difficulty in getting inside the polling place (for example, steps)	0.4%	3.2% *	1.6%	1.1%	2.4%	5.1% *	2.1%	6.0% *
3. Difficulty waiting in line	6.2%	7.4%	8.5%	1.4% *	11.2%	5.1%	7.1%	8.1%
4. Difficulty reading or seeing the ballot	0.0%	3.8% *	4.1%	20.5% *	7.4% *	5.2% *	1.5% *	9.7% *
5. Difficulty understanding how to vote or use the voting equipment	2.9%	2.7%	0.9%	2.2%	3.5%	2.9%	2.6%	2.9%
6. Difficulty communicating with poll workers or other officials at the polling place	0.6%	2.1%	3.2%	1.1%	2.5%	2.6%	1.3%	3.8%
7. Difficulty writing on the ballot	0.0%	1.2% *	0.9%	1.2%	2.3%	2.2%	0.5%	3.2%
8. Difficulty operating the voting machine	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	4.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.2%
9. Other type of difficulty in voting	0.3%	1.8% *	4.0%	2.2%	4.3%	1.2%	1.7%	2.0%
Sample size	371	697	124	72	139	298	506	189

^{*} Difference from non-disability sample is outside 95% margin of error

From 2020 Election Assistance Commission survey with results reported at https://www.eac.gov/election-officials/us-election-assistance-commission-study-disability-and-voting-accessibility-2020, Table 8.

Table 11: Specific Mail Voting Difficulties by Disability Type in 2020

Types of mail voting difficulties	No disabili ty	Any disability	Hearing impairment	Visual impairment	Cognitive impairment	Mobility impairment	No need for help in daily activities	Need help in daily activities
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Any difficulty receiving, returning, reading, understanding, or filling out ballot	2.1%	5.4% *	5.1%	22.1% *	6.3%	6.4% *	3.8%	8.9% *
Any difficulty reading, understanding, or filling out ballot	0.7%	2.3%	1.6%	7.9% *	2.5%	2.5%	1.8%	3.3%
Difficulty reading mail ballot	0.0%	1.4% *	1.6%	5.7% *	1.9%	1.2%	1.0%	2.3%
Difficulty understanding mail ballot	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%
Difficulty filling out mail ballot	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.7%
Other difficulty completing mail ballot	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Difficulty receiving mail ballot	1.7%	1.9%	2.5%	5.9%	3.0%	1.9%	1.7%	2.5%
Difficulty returning mail ballot	0.0%	0.7% *	1.6%	6.7%	2.0%	0.9% *	0.2%	1.9%
Sample size	319	797	119	75	155	398	526	267

* Difference from non-disability sample is outside 95% margin of error From 2020 Election Assistance Commission survey with results reported at https://www.eac.gov/election-officials/us-election-assistancecommission-study-disability-and-voting-accessibility-2020, Table 11.

EXHIBIT A

CURRICULUM VITAE

Douglas L. Kruse

ADDRESSES:

School of Management and Labor Relations Rutgers University 94 Rockafeller Road New Brunswick, N.J. 08903 (848) 445-5991

E-mail: dkruse@smlr.rutgers.edu

34 Wilson Road Princeton, N.J. 08540 609-924-3422

EDUCATION:

Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Ph.D. in Economics, June, 1988.

Major fields: Labor Economics, Comparative Economic Systems.

Minor fields: Applied Econometrics, Industrial Organization.

Dissertation topic: Empirical test of Weitzman profit-sharing theory, and effect of profit sharing on productivity and growth.

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska.

M.A. in Economics, August 1983.Certification in Public Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation, 1983.

Fields: Public Policy, Field Research, Comparative Economic Systems.

Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

B.A. in Economics, June 1981, Magna Cum Laude.

EMPLOYMENT:

Distinguished Professor, July 2014-present; Professor, July 2000-June 2014; Associate Professor, July 1994-June 2000; Assistant Professor, July 1988-June 1994; Dept. of Human Resource Management, School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University. Member of the Graduate Faculties in Economics, Labor and Employment Relations, and Human Resource Management.

Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University, 2017-2018.

- Senior Economist, Council of Economic Advisers, Executive Office of the President, Washington, D.C., September 2013-August 2014.
- Teaching Assistant, 9/86-5/88, Dept. of Economics, Harvard University.

 Labor Economics, Econometrics, Comparative Economic Systems, and Labor Thesis Advising.

Economic Development Consultant, 5/83-9/84, Department of Economic Development, State of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska.

OTHER POSITIONS:

National Bureau of Economic Research (Cambridge, Massachusetts), Research Associate, September 1995-present, Faculty Research Fellow, September 1990-August 1995.

IZA Institute of Labor Economics (Bonn, Germany), Research Fellow, March 2016-present.

Associate Editor, British Journal of Industrial Relations, January 2011-June 2021.

Associate Editor, <u>Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership</u>, January 2017-present.

Editorial Board member, Human Resource Management Journal, January 2017-present.

- Member, Transition Team for a Stronger and Fairer Economy, New Jersey Governor-elect Phil Murphy, November 2017-January 2018.
- President, International Association for the Economics of Participation, 2012-2014; Vice-President, 2010-2012.
- Co-director, Program for Disability Research, School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University, January 2005-present.
- Director, Ph.D. Program in Industrial Relations and Human Resources, School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University July 2007-June 2013.
- President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities, Subcommittee on Employment Disability Concerns (Washington, D.C.), January 1998-December 2000.

New Jersey State Rehabilitation Council, October 1999-June 2013.

BOOKS:

- How Did Employee Ownership Firms Weather the Past Two Recessions? Kalamazoo, MI:W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 2017. By Fidan Kurtulus and Douglas Kruse.
- Sharing Ownership, Profits, and Decision-making in the 21st Century, Volume 14 in the series "Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Labor-managed Firms." Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing, 2013. Edited by Douglas Kruse.
- <u>People with Disabilities: Sidelined or Mainstreamed?</u> Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 2013. By Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, and Peter Blanck.
 - Reviewed in <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, <u>Industrial and Labor Relations</u>, <u>Review</u>, and <u>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</u>.
- <u>The Citizen's Share: Putting Ownership Back Into Democracy.</u> New Haven, CN: Yale University Press, 2013. By Joseph Blasi, Richard Freeman, and Douglas Kruse.
 - Reviewed in <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, <u>Journal of American History</u>, and <u>Contemporary Sociology</u>.
- Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, Gainsharing, and Broadbased Stock Options. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010. Edited by Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi.
 - Reviewed in <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u> and <u>Economic Record</u>.
- In the Company of Owners: The Truth About Stock Options (And Why Every Employee Should Have Them). New York: Basic Books, 2003. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Aaron Bernstein.
 - Named a "Noteworthy Book in Industrial Relations and Labor Economics, 2003," by Princeton University Industrial Relations Section.
 - Named as one of the top 10 business books of 2003 by <u>Business Week</u>.
 - Reviewed in <u>Academy of Management Perspectives</u>, <u>Library Journal</u>, and <u>Journal of Moral Education</u>.
- A Working Nation: Workers, Work, and Government in the New Economy. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2000. By David T. Ellwood, Rebecca M. Blank, Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, William A. Niskanen, and Karen Lynn-Dyson.
 - Reviewed in <u>Journal of Economic Literature</u>, <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, <u>Journal of Social Policy</u>, and <u>Monthly Labor Review</u>.
- Stock Options, Corporate Performance, and Organizational Change. Oakland, CA: National Center for Employee Ownership, 2000. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, James Sesil, Maya Kroumova, and Ryan Weeden.

- Meeting Future Workforce Needs. Menomonie, WI: University of Wisconsin-Stout, 1999. By Thomas Jennings, Steve Fusco, George Erickcek, Stacey Floyd, Chip Kenney, Douglas Kruse, Rob McInnes, Paul Mulka, Darlene Robbins, Martin Sicker, and Duane Watson.
- <u>Spinal Cord Injury: An Analysis of Medical and Social Costs.</u> New York: Demos Publications, 1998. By Monroe Berkowitz, Paul O'Leary, Douglas Kruse, and Carol Harvey.
- <u>Kremlin Capitalism: The Privatization of the Russian Economy</u>. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1997. By Joseph Blasi, Maya Kroumova, and Douglas Kruse.

Chinese translation published by Shanghai Far East Publishers in 2000.

- Reviewed in Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science,
 Australian Journal of International Affairs, British Journal of Sociology,
 Business and the Contemporary World, Business History, Business Week,
 Choice, Europe-Asia Studies, Economics of Transition, Fortune, Journal of
 East-West Business, Journal of Political Ecology, Library Journal, New York
 Review of Books, Review of Political Economy, Russian Review, Slavic
 Review, Social Science Journal, Washington Monthly, and World Today.
- <u>Profit Sharing: Does It Make A Difference?</u> Kalamazoo, MI: W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 1993. By Douglas Kruse.
 - Awarded "Richard A. Lester Prize for Outstanding Book in Industrial Relations and Labor Economics, 1993," by Princeton University Industrial Relations Section.
 - Reviewed in <u>Journal of Economic Literature</u>, <u>Journal of Comparative Economics</u>, <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, <u>Relations Industrielles</u>.
- <u>The New Owners: The Mass Emergence of Employee Ownership in Public Companies and What it Means to American Business</u>. New York: HarperCollins, 1991. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.

Russian translation published by Delo Publishers (Moscow) in 1995.

- Named a "Noteworthy Book in Industrial Relations and Labor Economics, 1991," by Princeton University Industrial Relations Section.
- Reviewed in <u>Journal of Economic Literature</u>, <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, Administrative Science Quarterly, Economic and Industrial Democracy.

Employee Ownership and Employee Attitudes: Two Case Studies.

Norwood, Pennsylvania: Norwood Editions, 1984. By Douglas Kruse.

Reviewed in <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, <u>California Management Review</u>, <u>Economic and Industrial Democracy</u>.

PUBLISHED ARTICLES AND CHAPTERS:

Disability

- "Disability and precarious work." Forthcoming in Oxford Handbook on the Sociology of Disability, Oxford University Press, 2022. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.

 111.
- "Disability and Remote Work During the Pandemic with Implications for Cancer Survivors,"

 <u>Journal of Cancer Survivorship,</u> forthcoming. By Douglas Kruse, So Ri Park, Yana Rodgers, and Lisa Schur.
- "COVID-19 and Employment Losses for Workers with Disabilities: An Intersectional Approach," forthcoming in Sophie Hennekam, Joy Beatty, and Mukta Kulkarni, eds., Handbook of Disability and Management, DeGruyter, 2022. By Lisa Schur, Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, and Douglas Kruse, February 2021.
- "Disability and influence in job interviews," <u>International Journal of Conflict Management</u>, forthcoming. By Mason Ameri, Terri Kurtzberg, Lisa Schur, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Qualitative Examination of Voting Empowerment and Participation Among People Living with Traumatic Brain Injury," <u>Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</u>, forthcoming. By Flora McConnell Hammond, Christine Davis, Mark Hirsch, Julia Snow, Martha Kropf, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, and Andrew Ball.
- "Telework after COVID: A "silver lining" for workers with disabilities?" <u>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</u> Vol. 30, no. 4, 2020: 521-536. By Lisa Schur, Mason Ameri, and Douglas Kruse.
- "No Room at the Inn? Disability Access in the New Sharing Economy," <u>Academy of Management Discoveries</u>, August 2020, 6(2): 176-205. By Mason Ameri, Sean Rogers, Lisa Schur, and Douglas Kruse.
- 112. "Disability in the Unionized Workplace." In Susanne Bruyere, ed., Employment and Disability: Issues, Innovations, and Opportunities. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2019. By Mason Ameri, Mohammad Ali, Lisa Schur, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Why Do Workers with Disabilities Earn Less? Occupational Ability Requirements and Disability Discrimination." <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u> Vol. 56, No. 4,

- December 2018, pp. 798-834. By Douglas Kruse, Lisa Schur, Sean Rogers, Mason Ameri.
- "The Disability Employment Puzzle: A Field Experiment on Employer Hiring Behavior," <u>ILR Review</u> Vol. 71, No. 2, March 2018, pp. 329-364. By Mason Ameri, Lisa Schur, Meera Adya, Scott Bentley, Patrick McKay, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Disability at Work: A Look Back and Forward," <u>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</u>, Vol. 57, No. 4, December 2017, pp. 482-497. By Lisa Schur, Kyongji Han, Andrea Kim, <u>Mason Ameri</u>, Meera Adya, Peter Blanck, and <u>Douglas Kruse</u>.
- "Are Workers with Disabilities More Likely to be Displaced?" <u>International Journal of Human Resource Management</u>, Vol. 27, No. 14 (2016): 1550-1579. By Sophie Mitra and Douglas Kruse.
- "Disability and Election Policies and Practices," in Barry C. Burden & Charles Stewart, eds., <u>The Measure of American Elections</u>. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 2014. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- "Accommodating Workers with and Without Disabilities," <u>Human Resource Management</u>, Vol. 53, No. 4, July/August 2014, pp. 593-621. By Lisa Schur, Lisa Nishii, Meera Adya, Douglas Kruse, Susanne Bruyere, and Peter Blanck.
- "Assessing Voting Competence and Political Knowledge: Comparing Individuals with Traumatic Brain Injuries and 'Average' College Students," <u>Election Law Journal.</u> Vol. 11, No. 2, 2012, pp. 52-69. By Jessica N. Link, Martha Kropf, Mark Alexander Hirsch, Flora M. Hammond, Jason Karlawish, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, Christine S. Davis.
- "Projecting Potential Demand for Workers with Disabilities," Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 133, No. 10, October 2010. By Douglas Kruse, Lisa Schur, and Mohammed Ali.
- "Is Disability Disabling in All Workplaces? Workplace Disparities and Corporate Culture," <u>Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 48, No. 3, July 2009, pp. 381-410. By Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, Joseph Blasi, and Peter Blanck.
- "Corporate Culture and the Employment of People with Disabilities," <u>Behavioral Sciences and the Law</u>, Vol. 23, 2005, pp. 3-20. By Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, and Peter Blanck.
- "Calibrating the Impact of the ADA's Employment Provisions," <u>Stanford Law and Policy Review</u>, Vol. 14.2, 2003, pp. 267-290. By Peter Blanck, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, Susan Schwochau, and Chen Song.
- "Disability and Employment: Symposium Introduction," <u>Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 42, No. 1, January 2003. By Douglas Kruse and Thomas Hale.

- "Employment of People with Disabilities Following the ADA," <u>Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 42, No. 1, January 2003, pp. 31-66. By Douglas Kruse and Lisa Schur.
- "Does the Definition Affect the Outcome? Employment of People with Disabilities Under Alternative Disability Definitions," in David Stapleton and Richard Burkhauser, eds., Why the Decline in Employment of People with Disabilities: A Policy Puzzle. Kalamazoo, MI: W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 2003, pp. 279-300. By Douglas Kruse and Lisa Schur.
- "Enabling Democracy: Disability and Voter Turnout," <u>Political Research Quarterly</u>, Vol. 55, No. 1, March 2002, pp. 167-190. By Lisa Schur, Todd Shields, Douglas Kruse, and Kay Schriner.
 - Awarded prize by the Western Political Science Association as the best article published in the journal in 2002.
- "What Affects Voter Turnout? Lessons from Citizens with Disabilities," <u>Social Science</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, Vol. 81, No. 2, June 2000, pp. 571-587. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- "Persons with Disabilities: Demographic, Income, and Health Care Characteristics," Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 121, No. 9, September 1998, pp. 13-22. By Douglas Kruse.
- "The Role of Computer Skills in Employment and Earnings Following a Spinal Cord Injury," <u>Proceedings of the CSUN Conference on Technology and Persons with Disabilities</u>, Los Angeles, CA, March 1997. By Douglas Kruse, Alan Krueger, and Susan Drastal.
- "Computer Use, Computer Training, and Employment Outcomes Among People with Spinal Cord Injuries," <u>Spine</u>, Vol. 21, No. 7, April 1996, pp. 891-896. By Douglas Kruse, Alan Krueger, and Susan Drastal.

Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Stock Options

- "Why Profit Sharing is Essential for Building Middle-class Incomes and Wealth," in Ray Boshara and Ida Rademacher, eds., <u>The Future of Building Wealth: Brief Essays on the Best Ideas to Build Wealth—for Everyone</u>. St. Louis, MO: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis and Aspen Institute, 2021, pp. 435-440.
- "Guest editorial: New research on the impact of COVID-19 on employee-owned firms and the racial wealth gap in the context of the research literature", Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership, Vol. 4 No. 2 (2021), pp. 89-91. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPEO-09-2021-030. By Blasi, J., Kruse, D. and Weltmann, D.

- "The response of majority employee-owned firms during the pandemic compared to other firms," *Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership*, Vol. 4 No. 2 (2021), pp. 92-101. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPEO-09-2021-0014. By Blasi, J., Kruse, D., & Weltmann, D.
- "Race and gender wealth equity and the role of employee share ownership," <u>Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership</u>, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 116-135. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPEO-08-2021-0008. By Weissbourd, J., Conway, M., Klein, J., Chang, Y., Kruse, D., Hoover, M., Leverett, T., McKinley, J. & Trenholm, Z.
- "Do Employee Share Owners Face Too Much Financial Risk? Analysis of the Survey of Consumer Finances," <u>ILR Review</u>, forthcoming, https://doi.org/10.1177/00197939211007394. By Douglas Kruse, Joseph Blasi, Dan Weltmann, Saehee Kang, Jung Ook Kim, and <u>William Castellano</u>.
- "Aligning Interests to Leverage a Racially Diverse Workforce: The Effects of Racial Diversity on Firm-Level Outcomes Under the Use of Broad-Based Stock Options," Organization Science, forthcoming. By Han, J. H., Shin, D.-J., Castellano, W. G., Konrad, A. M., Kruse, D. L., & Blasi, J. R..
- "Where does profit sharing work best? A meta-regression analysis," <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, 58(2), 2020, pp. 364-395. By Hristos Doucouliagos, Patrice Laroche, Douglas L. Kruse, and T.D. Stanley.
- "The State of ESOPs: What's Past Is Prologue: Introduction to the Special Issue on Employee Ownership, Policy, and New Data," <u>Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership</u>, Volume 2, Issue 3, Fall 2019. By Joseph Blasi, Dan Weltmann, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Broad-based Employee Stock Ownership: What Makes It Effective in the Management of Human Resources: Introduction to the Special Issue," <u>Human Resource Management</u>, Volume 58, Issue 6, November-December 2019, 567-584. By Frank Mullins, Dan Weltmann, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "An Empirical Analysis of the Relationship between Employee Ownership and Employment Stability in the US: 1999–2011," <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 56, No. 2, June 2018, pp. 245-291. By Fidan Kurtulus and Douglas Kruse.

- "Shared Capitalism in the U.S.: Evaluation and Future Policies." In Jonathan Michie, Joseph Blasi, and Carlo Borzaga, eds., <u>Oxford Handbook of Mutual, Cooperative and Co-owned Businesses</u>. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2017, pp. 361-373. By Joseph R. Blasi and Douglas L. Kruse
- "An American Historical Perspective on Employee Ownership." In Jonathan Michie, Joseph Blasi, and Carlo Borzaga, eds., Oxford Handbook of Mutual, Cooperative and Co-owned Businesses. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2017, pp. 114-130. By Joseph R. Blasi and Douglas L. Kruse.
- "What does the U.S. Research Show about Worker Ownership?" In Jonathan Michie, Joseph Blasi, and Carlo Borzaga, eds., <u>Oxford Handbook of Mutual, Cooperative and Co-owned Businesses</u>. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2017, pp. 211-226. By Joseph R. Blasi, Richard Freeman, and Douglas L. Kruse
- "Broad-based Employee Stock Ownership and Profit-Sharing: History, Evidence, and Policy Implications," <u>Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership</u>, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2018, 38-60. By Joseph R. Blasi, Douglas L. Kruse, and Richard Freeman.
- "Having a Stake: Evidence and Implications for Broad-based Employee Stock Ownership and Profit Sharing" Policy Brief, February 2017. Third Way, Washington, D.C. http://www.thirdway.org/report/having-a-stake-evidence-and-implications-for-broad-based-employee-stock-ownership-and-profit-sharing. By Joseph R. Blasi, Douglas L. Kruse, and Richard Freeman.
- "Does Employee Ownership Improve Performance?" <u>IZA World of Labor</u>, December 2016, http://wol.iza.org/articles/does-employee-ownership-improve-performance;
- "Do Broad-based Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Stock Options Help the Best Firms Do Even Better?" <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 54, No. 1, March 2016, pp. 55-82. By Joseph Blasi, Richard Freeman, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Anti-shirking effects of group incentives and human-capital-enhancing HR practices."

 <u>Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Labor-Managed Firms,</u> 16: 199-221, 2015. By Andrea Kim, Kyongji Han, Joseph R. Blasi, and Douglas L. Kruse.
- "Does Employee Ownership Affect Attitudes and Behaviors? The Role of Selection, Status, and Size of Stake." <u>Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Labor-Managed Firms</u>, 16: 251-277, 2015. By Dan Weltmann, Joseph Blasi, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Stock Ownership and Profit Sharing in the New Era of Financialization and Inequality in the Distribution of Capital Income," in Christian Weller, ed., <u>Inequality</u>, <u>Uncertainty</u>, and <u>Opportunity</u>: The Varied and Growing Role of Finance in <u>Labor</u>

- <u>Relations</u>. Champaign, IL: Labor and Employment Relations Association, 2015, pp. 225-246. By Joseph Blasi, Richard Freeman, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Involvement work systems and operational effectiveness: Exploring the moderating effect of national power distance." <u>Journal of International Business Studies</u> Vol. 46, No. 3, 2014: 332-354. By Yuan Jiang, Saba Colakoglu, David P. Lepak, Joseph R. Blasi, and Douglas L. Kruse.
 - Awarded the 2016 International HRM Scholarly Research Award, Human Resources Division, Academy of Management.
- "Group Incentives and Financial Performance: The Moderating Role of Innovation," <u>Human Resource Management Journal</u>, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2014, 77-94. By Rhokeun Park and Douglas Kruse.
- "Firm Survival and Performance in Privately-held ESOP Companies," in Douglas Kruse, ed., Sharing Ownership, Profits, and Decision-making in the 21st Century, Volume 14 in the series "Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Labor-managed Firms." Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing, 2013. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Dan Weltmann.
- "Employee share ownership and profit sharing in different institutional contexts,"

 <u>International Journal of Human Resource Management</u>, Vol. 23, No. 8, 2012 pp. 1513-1518. By Erik Poutsma, Joseph Blasi, and Douglas Kruse.
- "An Empirical Analysis of Risk Preferences, Compensation Risk, and Employee Outcomes," in Ed Carberry, ed., Employee Ownership and Shared Capitalism: New Directions in Research Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2011. By Fidan Ana Kurtulus, Douglas L. Kruse, and Joseph R. Blasi.
- "Solidarity and Sharing: Unions and Shared Capitalism," in Ed Carberry, ed., <u>Employee</u>

 <u>Ownership and Shared Capitalism: New Directions in Research</u> Ithaca, NY: Cornell
 University Press, 2011. By John E. McCarthy, Paula Voos, Adrienne.E. Eaton,
 Douglas L. Kruse, and Joseph R. Blasi.
- "Worker Attitudes Toward Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Variable Pay," in Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Self-managed Firms, Volume 12, edited by Jed DeVaro. Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing, 2011, pp. 143-168. By Fidan Ana Kurtulus, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Employee stock ownership and diversification," <u>Annals of Operations Research</u>, April 2010, Vol. 176, No. 1, pp. 95-107. By Harry Markowitz, Joseph Blasi, and Douglas Kruse.

- "Employee involvement and group incentives in manufacturing companies: a multi-level analysis," <u>Human Resource Management Journal</u>, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2010, pp. 227-243. By Rhokeun Park, Eileen Appelbaum, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Shared Capitalism in the U.S. Economy: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Employee Views of Financial Participation in Enterprises," in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 41-76). By Douglas Kruse, Joseph Blasi, and Rhokeun Park.
- "Worker Responses to Shirking under Shared Capitalism," in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., <u>Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership</u>, <u>Profit and Gain Sharing</u>, and <u>Broad-based Stock Options</u> (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 77-104). By Richard Freeman, Douglas Kruse and Joseph Blasi.
- "Risk and Lack of Diversification Under Employee Ownership and Shared Capitalism," in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 105-138). By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Harry Markowitz.
- "Creating a Bigger Pie? The Effects of Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Stock Options on Workplace Performance," in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 139-166). By Joseph Blasi, Richard Freeman, Chris Mackin, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Who Has a Better Idea? Innovation, Shared Capitalism, and HR Policies," in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., <u>Shared Capitalism at Work:</u>
 <u>Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options</u>
 (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 225-256). By Erika Harden, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Do Workers Gain by Sharing? Employee Outcomes Under Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options," in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee Ownership, Profit and Gain Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 257-290). By Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Show Me the Money: Does Shared Capitalism Share the Wealth?" in Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi, eds., <u>Shared Capitalism at Work: Employee</u> Ownership, <u>Profit and Gain Sharing</u>, and <u>Broad-based Stock Options</u> (Chicago, IL:

- University of Chicago Press, 2010, pp. 351-376). By Robert Buchele, Douglas Kruse, Loren Rodgers, and Adria Scharf.
- "Labor Practices and Outcomes Across Countries: Analysis of a Single Multinational Firm," in <u>International Differences in the Business Practices and Productivity of Firms</u>, edited by Richard Freeman and Kathryn Shaw. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009, pp. 105-136. By Richard Freeman, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "The Same Yet Different: Worker Reports on Labor Practices and Outcomes in a Single Firm Across Countries," <u>Labour Economics</u>, August 2008, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 750-71. By Richard Freeman, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Broad-based Employee Stock Options in the United States: Company Performance and Characteristics," Management Revue, Vol. 18, No. 2, 2007, pp. 5-22. By James Sesil, Maya Kroumova, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "The Changing Nature of Worker Ownership, Stock Options, and Profit Sharing in Corporate America," in <u>The New American Workplace</u>, edited by Edward Lawler and James O'Toole. Palgrave Macmillan Publishers, 2006. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Richard Freeman.
- "Are Diversification and Employee Ownership Incompatible?" <u>The Journal of Employee</u> Ownership Law and Finance, Vol. 18, No. 4, Fall 2006. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Ownership in the 2002 General Social Survey," <u>The Journal of Employee</u> Ownership Law and Finance, Vol. 18, No. 3, Summer 2006. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "The Political Economy of Employee Ownership in the United States: From Economic Democracy to Industrial Democracy?" <u>International Review of Sociology</u>, January 2006. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "Motivating Employee Owners in ESOP Firms: Human Resource Policies and Company Performance," in Virginie Perotin and Andrew Robinson, eds., <u>Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Self-managed Firms</u>, Vol. 8. Greenwich, CN: JAI Press, 2004. By Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, Joseph Blasi, Robert Buchele, Adria Scharf, Loren Rodgers, and Christopher Mackin.
- "Does Employee Ownership Enhance Firm Survival?" in Virginie Perotin and Andrew Robinson, eds., <u>Advances in the Economic Analysis of Participatory and Selfmanaged Firms</u>, Vol. 8. Greenwich, CN: JAI Press, 2004. By Rhokeun Park, Douglas Kruse, and James Sesil.

- "The Effects of Restructuring Charges on Employer Contributions to Profit Sharing Plans," <u>Journal of Accounting and Public Policy</u>, Vol. 23, 2004, pp. 247-278. By Scott Jackson, Elaine Mauldin, William Wilcox, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Stock Ownership," in Carl E. Van Horn and Herbert A. Schaffner, eds., <u>Work in America</u>: An Encyclopedia of History, Policy, and Society. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC Clio, 2004, pp. 178-181. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "An Assessment of Employee Ownership In The United States With Implications For The EU," <u>International Journal of Human Resource Management</u>, September 2003. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, James Sesil, and Maya Kroumova.
- "Motivating Employee Owners in ESOP Firms: Human Resource Policies and Company Performance," <u>Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting</u>, Industrial Relations Research Association, January 2003, pp. 307-317. By Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, Joseph Blasi, Robert Buchele, Adria Scharf, Loren Rodgers, and Christopher Mackin.
- "Sharing Ownership via Employee Stock Ownership," in Laixiang Sun, ed., <u>Ownership and Governance of Enterprises: Recent Innovative Developments</u>. New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2003, pp. 96-123. By James Sesil, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Research Evidence on the Prevalence and Effects of Employee Ownership," <u>Journal of Employee Ownership Law and Finance</u>, Vol. 14, No. 4, Fall 2002, pp. 65-90. By Douglas Kruse.
- "Broad-based Employee Stock Options in High-Technology Firms: Company Performance Effects," <u>British Journal of Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 40, No. 2, June 2002, pp. 273-294. By James Sesil, Maya Kroumova, Joseph Blasi, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Broad-based Stock Option Programs: A Union-Nonunion Comparison," in David Lewin, ed., <u>Advances in Industrial and Labor Relations</u>. Greenwich, CN: JAI Press, 2002. By Maya Kroumova, James Sesil, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Worker Ownership, Participation and Control: Toward a Theoretical Model," in Michael J. Handel, ed., <u>Sociology of Organizations: Classic, Contemporary and Critical Readings</u>. Thousand Oaks, Ca.: Sage Publications, 2002. By William Foote Whyte, Joseph Blasi, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Broad-based Employee Stock Options in High-Technology Firms: Company Performance Effects," <u>Proceedings of the Global Human Resource Management Conference</u>, June 2001. By James Sesil, Maya Kroumova, Joseph Blasi, and Douglas Kruse.

- "Employee Equity: Employee versus Owner Issues in Organizations," in Cary L. Cooper and Denise M. Rousseau, eds., <u>Trends in Organizational Behavior, Volume 8.</u> New York and London: John Wiley & Sons, April 2001. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "Is Employee Ownership An Unstable Form? Or A Stabilizing Force?" in Margaret Blair and Thomas Kochan, eds., <u>The New Relationship: Human Capital in the American Corporation</u>. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution, 2000. By Margaret Blair, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "Broad-Based Stock Options and Company Performance: What the Research Tells Us," <u>Journal of Employee Ownership Law and Finance</u>, Vol. 12, No. 3, Summer 2000. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, James Sesil, and Maya Kroumova.
- "Decentralisation of Bargaining Systems and Financial Participation: A Comparative Analysis of Italy, UK, and the US," <u>Lavoro e Relazioni Industriali</u>, Summer 1999, No. 1, pp. 1-41. By Alessandra Del Boca, Douglas Kruse, and Andrew Pendleton.
- "Giving Employees an Ownership Stake," <u>Brookings Review</u>, Fall 1999, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 23-26. By Margaret Blair and Douglas Kruse.
- "Public Opinion Polls on Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing," <u>Journal of Employee</u>
 <u>Ownership Law and Finance</u>, Vol. 11, No. 3, Summer 1999, pp. 3-25. By Douglas Kruse and Joseph Blasi.
- "Profit Sharing and the Demand for Low-Skill Workers," in Richard Freeman and Peter Gottschalk, eds., <u>Generating Jobs: Increasing the Demand for Low-Skill Workers</u>. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1998, pp. 105-153. By Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Ownership, Employee Attitudes, and Firm Performance: A Review of the Evidence," in Daniel J.B. Mitchell, David Lewin, and Mahmood Zaidi, eds., Handbook of Human Resource Management. Greenwich, CN: JAI Press, 1997, pp. 113-151. By Douglas Kruse and Joseph Blasi.
 - Reprinted in Samuel Estreicher, ed., <u>Employee Representation in the Emerging Workplace</u>: Alternatives/Supplements to Collective Bargaining (Boston: Kluwer Law International, 1998), pp. 581-626.
- "Financial Returns of Public ESOP Companies: Investor Effects vs. Manager Effects," <u>Financial Analysts Journal</u>, Vol. 52, No. 4, Summer 1996, pp. 51-61. By Michael Conte, Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Rama Jampani.
- "Why Do Firms Adopt Profit Sharing and Employee Ownership Plans?" <u>British Journal of</u> Industrial Relations, Vol. 34, No. 4, December 1996, pp. 515-38. By Douglas Kruse.

- "Employee Stock Ownership and Corporate Performance Among Public Companies," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 50, No. 1, October 1996, pp. 60-79. By Joseph Blasi, Michael Conte, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Ownership Through 401(k) Plans: The NCEO-Rutgers University Study," <u>Journal of Employee Ownership Law and Finance</u>, Vol 8, No. 4, Fall 1996, pp. 13-32. By Susan Prolman and Douglas Kruse.
- "The Impact of Financial Participation on Employee and Firm Performance," <u>Corporate Effectiveness and Human Resource Practices, Conference Proceedings</u>, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, University of Illinois, October 1996, pp. 423-463. By Douglas Kruse and Joseph Blasi.
- "Profit Sharing and Public Policy," <u>Journal of Economic Issues</u>, Vol. 28, No. 2, June 1994. By Douglas Kruse.
 - Reprinted in Basque journal <u>Economiaz</u>: <u>Revista Vasca de Economia</u>, Numero 33, 3er Cuatrimestre, 1995.
- "Employees and Managers as Shareholders," <u>Human Resource Planning</u>, Vol. 17, No. 4, 1994, pp. 31-40. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and James Gasaway.
- "Employee Ownership and Participation: Trends, Problems, and Policy Options," <u>Journal of Employee Ownership Law and Finance</u>. Vol 5, No. 2, Spring 1993, pp. 41-73. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "The New Owners: Stock Price Performance for Public Companies with Significant Employee Ownership," <u>Journal of Employee Ownership Law and Finance</u>, Vol. 4, No. 3, Summer 1992, pp. 95-130. By Joseph Blasi, Michael Conte, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Profit Sharing and Productivity: Microeconomic Evidence from the United States," <u>Economic Journal</u>, Vol. 102, No. 410, Jan. 1992, pp. 24-36. By Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Ownership," in Peter Newman, Murray Milgate, and John Eatwell, eds., <u>The New Palgrave Dictionary of Money and Finance</u>. London: MacMillan Press Ltd., 1992, pp. 759-761. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "Profit Sharing in the 1980's: Disguised Wages or a Fundamentally Different Form of Compensation?" in Randall Eberts and Erica Groshen, eds., <u>Structural Changes in U.S. Labor Markets: Causes and Consequences</u>. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1992. By Douglas Kruse.
- "ESOPs, Profit Sharing, and Other Contingent Compensation Plans: How Do They Affect Corporate Performance?" <u>Financial Management</u>, Winter 1991, pp. 91-100. By Michael Conte and Douglas Kruse.

- "The Role of Profit Sharing in Employee Compensation," <u>Commentary</u> (journal of the National University of Singapore), Vol. 9, No. 1/2, November 1991. By Douglas Kruse and James Chelius.
- "Strategic Problems and Tactical Promise: Unions and Employee Ownership," <u>Labor Law Journal</u>, Vol. 42, No. 8, August 1991, pp. 498-507. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "Employee Ownership: Opportunities for Unions," <u>Work Place Topics</u>, Vol. 2, No. 1, July 1991, pp. 1-22. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.
- "The New Owners: Employee Ownership in Public Companies," <u>Journal of Employee</u>

 <u>Ownership Law and Finance</u>, Vol III, No. 3, Summer 1991, pp. 129-152. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse
- "Profit Sharing and Employment Variability: Microeconomic Evidence on the Weitzman Theory," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 44, No. 3, April 1991, pp. 437-453. By Douglas Kruse.
 - Reprinted in Morris Kleiner, ed., <u>Industrial Relations: Institutions and Organizational Performance</u> (Hampshire, England: Dartmouth, 1994).
- "Profit Sharing and Productivity," in Alan Blinder, ed., <u>Paying For Productivity: A Look at the Evidence</u>. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution, 1990. By Martin Weitzman and Douglas Kruse.

Reprinted in Louis Putterman and Randy Kroszner, eds., <u>The Economic Nature of the Firm</u>, 1996.

Other topics

- "Effects of Leader Networking Behaviors and Vertical Faultlines on Support for Innovation," *Small Group Research*, 2020, 51(5), 616-650. By Chung, Y., Jiang, Y., Blasi, J. R., & Kruse, D. L.
- "Worksite Segregation and Performance-Related Attitudes," <u>Work and Occupations</u>, February 2010; vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 45-72. By Niki Dickerson, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi.
- "High Performance Work Practices at Century's End: Incidence, Diffusion, Industry Group Differences and the Economic Environment, <u>Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 45, No. 4, October 2006, pp. 547-578. By Joseph Blasi and Douglas Kruse.

- "The New Employee/Employer Relationship," in David Ellwood et al., <u>Working Nation:</u>
 <u>Workers, Work, and Government in the New Economy</u>. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2000. By Douglas Kruse and Joseph Blasi.
 - Reprinted in Samuel Estreicher, ed., <u>Global Competition and the American</u> <u>Employment Landscape: As We Enter the 21st Century</u> (Boston: Kluwer Law International, 2001).
- "Illegal Child Labor in the United States: Prevalence and Characteristics," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 54, No. 1, October 2000, pp. 17-40. By Douglas Kruse and Douglas Mahony.
- "Flexible Work Hours and Labor Productivity: Some Evidence from the Pharmaceutical Industry," <u>Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 35, No. 1, January 1996, pp. 123-139. By Edward Shepard, Thomas Clifton, and Douglas Kruse.
- "Pension Substitution in the 1980's: Why the Shift Toward Defined Contribution Plans?" <u>Industrial Relations</u>, Vol. 34, No. 2, April 1995, pp. 218-241. By Douglas Kruse.
- "Gender Differences in Attitudes Toward Unions," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 46, No. 1, October 1992, pp. 89-102. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- "Supervision, Working Conditions, and the Employer Size-Wage Effect," <u>Industrial</u> Relations, Vol. 31, No. 2, Spring 1991, pp. 229-249. By Douglas Kruse.
- "Displaced versus Disadvantaged Workers: Policy Issues and Research Questions," in John Addison, ed., <u>Job Displacement: Consequences and Implications for Policy</u>. Detroit, MI: Wayne State University Press, 1991. By Douglas Kruse.
- "The Economic Implications of Employment Rights and Practices in the U.S.," <u>Journal of Comparative Economics</u>, Vol. 14, September 1990, pp. 221-253. By Douglas Kruse.
- "International Trade and the Labor Market Experience of Displaced Workers," <u>Industrial</u> and <u>Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 41, No. 3, April 1988, pp. 402-417. By Douglas Kruse.
- "Industrial Policy at the State Level in the United States," <u>Journal of Economic Issues</u>, XIX:2, June 1985. By F. Gregory Hayden, Douglas Kruse, and Steven Williams.
- "Small Business Financing: A Survey of the Experiences and Attitudes of Nebraska Small Business Owners," <u>Proceedings of the Small Business Institute Directors' Association Conference</u>, 1984, February 1984, pp. 125-138. By Douglas Kruse, Steve Williams and F. Gregory Hayden.

BOOK REVIEWS AND MISCELLANY:

- "Foreword," <u>Institutional Analysis and Praxis: The Social Fabric Matrix Approach</u>, Tara Natarajan, Wolfram Elsner, and Scott Fullwiler, eds. (New York: Springer, 2009), pp. vii-viii.
- "Commentary on 'The Economic and Social Impacts of Telework' by Sean Doherty et al.," <u>Telework: The New Workplace of the 21st Century</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, 2000, pp. 98-102.
- "The Ownership Solution: Towards a Shared Capitalism for the 21st Century: A Review," Economic Analysis: The Journal of Enterprise and Participation, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1999, pp. 70-72.
- "America's Agenda: Rebuilding Economic Strength: A Review," Journal of Comparative Economics, Vol. 19, No. 1, August 1994, pp. 122-124.
- "Pensions and the Economy: A Review," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, Vol. 46, No. 3, July 1993.
- "Three Worlds of Labor Economics: A Review." <u>Journal of Economic Issues</u>, XXIV:3, September 1990.
- "Workers' Self-Management in the United States: A Review." Journal of Economic Issues, XIX:3, September 1985.
- "Workplace Democracy and Social Change: A Review." Journal of Economic Issues, XVII:4, December 1983.

CONGRESSIONAL TESTIMONY:

- "Research on Stock Options," Testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Financial Services, Subcommittee on Capital Markets, Insurance, and Government Sponsored Enterprises, April 21, 2004.
- "Research Evidence on Prevalence and Effects of Employee Ownership," Testimony before the Subcommittee on Employer-Employee Relations, Committee on Education and the Workforce, U.S. House of Representatives, February 13, 2002.
- "Profit Sharing and Gainsharing," Testimony before U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Small Business, Subcommittee on Regulation, Business Opportunities, and Technology, July 15, 1994.
- "Testimony before the House Subcommittee on Economic Stabilization," <u>The National</u>
 <u>Entrepreneurship Act: Hearing before the Subcommittee on Economic Stabilization of</u>

the Committee on Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs. May 15, 1984, Serial No. 98-92. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1984.

REPORTS:

- <u>Disability and Voting Accessibility in the 2020 Elections: Final Report on Survey Results</u>

 <u>Submitted to the Election Assistance Commission</u>. February 2021. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- Projecting the Number of Eligible Voters with Disabilities in the November 2020 Elections, September 2020. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Fact sheet: Elected Officials with Disabilities</u>, September 2019. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Fact sheet: Disability and Voter Turnout in the 2018 Elections</u>, July 2019. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Fact sheet: Disability and Voter Turnout in the 2016 Elections</u>, August 2017. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Projecting the Number of Eligible Voters with Disabilities in the November 2016 Elections,</u> September 2016. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Disability, Voter Turnout, and Voting Difficulties in the 2012 Elections</u>, report submitted to the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, June 2013. By Lisa Schur, Meera Adya, and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Fact sheet: Disability and Voter Turnout in the 2010 Elections</u>, August 2011. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- Inclusive Capitalism for the American Workforce: Reaping the Rewards of Economic

 Growth through Broad-based Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing. Center for American Progress, March 2011. By Richard B. Freeman, Joseph R. Blasi, and Douglas L. Kruse.
- <u>Fact sheet: Disability and Voter Turnout in the 2008 Elections</u>, August 2009. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- Shared Capitalism, Employee Attitudes, and Company Outcomes: An Analysis of the Great Place to Work Dataset, 2006-2008, submitted to the Sloan Foundation, December 2009. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Richard Freeman.
- Employment of People with Disabilities: Report to the National Council on Disability, May 2007. By Douglas Kruse (Principal Investigator) with James Schmeling, Meera Adya,

- Carol Harvey, Todd Honeycutt, William Myhill, Cynthia Smith, M.A., Michael Morris, and Peter Blanck.
- <u>Assessment of Test Questions to Identify Disability Status: Report to the Bureau of Labor Statistics</u>, January 2004. By Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Theoretical Study on Stock Options in Small and Medium Enterprises</u>, Report to the Enterprise-Directorate General, Commission of the European Communities, October 2002. By Andrew Pendleton, Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, Erik Poutsma, and James Sesil.
- Non-standard Work Arrangements and Disability Income, Report to the Disability Research Institute, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, August 2002. By Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse.
- Empowerment Through Civic Participation: A Study of the Political Behavior of Citizens with Disabilities, Report to the Rutgers Disability Research Consortium and N.J. Developmental Disabilities Council, March 1999. By Douglas Kruse, Lisa Schur, Kay Schriner, and Todd Shields.
- <u>Disability and Employment: Characteristics of Employed and Non-employed People with Disabilities</u>, Report to the Office of Policy, U.S. Department of Labor, September 1997. By Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Disability, Employment, and Earnings in the Dawn of the Computer Age,</u> Report to the Rutgers Disability Research Consortium and the N.J. Developmental Disabilities Council, October 1995. By Douglas Kruse, Alan Krueger, and Susan Drastal.
- ESOPs, Profit Sharing, and Gainsharing in Airlines and High-Technology Industries, Report to the U.S. Department of Labor, March 1995. By Linda Bell and Douglas Kruse.
- <u>Characteristics of Nebraska Migrants: Data from the 1980 Census.</u> Lincoln, NE: Department of Economic Development, State of Nebraska, September 1984.
- <u>Equity Capital and Nebraska Small Businesses</u>. Lincoln, NE: Policy Research Office, State of Nebraska, 1984. By F. Gregory Hayden, Douglas Kruse, and Steve Williams.
- Nebraska Socioeconomic Indicators. Lincoln, NE: State of Nebraska, May 1984. By Steve Williams and Douglas Kruse.

WORKING PAPERS AND CURRENT RESEARCH:

- "See Me, Not the Disability: Field Experiments on Disability, Veteran, and Gender Status in Hiring Outcomes." By Mason Ameri, Lisa Schur, Meera Adya, and Douglas Kruse. October 2019.
- "Disability and the Unionized Workplace," IZA Discussion Paper No. 12258, March 2019. By Mason Ameri, Mohammad Ali, Lisa Schur, and Douglas L. Kruse.
- "Do Employee Share Owners Face Too Much Financial Risk?" IZA Discussion Paper No. 12303, April 2019. By Douglas Kruse, Joseph Blasi, Dan Weltmann, Saehee Kang, Jung Ook Kim, and William Castellano.
- "Where does profit sharing work best? A meta-analysis on the role of unions, culture, and values," IZA Discussion Paper No. 11617, June 2018. By Hristos Doucouliagos, Patrice Laroche, Douglas L. Kruse, and T.D. Stanley.
- "The Disability Employment Puzzle: A Field Experiment on Employer Hiring Behavior." By Mason Ameri, Lisa Schur, Meera Adya, Scott Bentley, Patrick McKay, Douglas Kruse. Working Paper No. 21560, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, September, 2015. Published in ILR Review.
- "Show Me the Money: Does Shared Capitalism Share the Wealth?" NBER Working Paper 14830, April 2009. By Robert Buchele, Douglas Kruse, Loren Rodgers, and Adria Scharf. Published in <u>Shared Capitalism at Work</u> (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).
- "Shared Capitalism in the U.S. Economy: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Employee Views of Financial Participation in Enterprises," NBER Working Paper 14225, August 2008. By Douglas Kruse, Joseph Blasi, and Rhokeun Park. Published in Shared Capitalism at Work (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).
- "Who Has a Better Idea? Innovation, Shared Capitalism, and HR Policies," NBER Working Paper 14234, August 2008. By Erika Harden, Douglas Kruse, and Joseph Blasi. Published in <u>Shared Capitalism at Work</u> (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).
- "Creating a Bigger Pie? The Effects of Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Stock Options on Workplace Performance," NBER Working Paper 14230, August 2008. By Joseph Blasi, Richard Freeman, Chris Mackin, and Douglas Kruse. Published in Shared Capitalism at Work (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).
- "Do Workers Gain by Sharing? Employee Outcomes Under Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options," NBER Working Paper 14233, August 2008. By Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, and Joseph Blasi. Published in <u>Shared</u> Capitalism at Work (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).

- "Worker Responses to Shirking under Shared Capitalism," NBER Working Paper 14227, August 2008. By Richard Freeman, Douglas Kruse and Joseph Blasi. Published in Shared Capitalism at Work (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).
- "Risk and Lack of Diversification Under Employee Ownership and Shared Capitalism," NBER Working Paper 14229, August 2008. By Joseph Blasi, Douglas Kruse, and Harry Markowitz. Published in <u>Shared Capitalism at Work</u> (eds. Douglas Kruse et al., University of Chicago Press, 2010).
- "Motivating Employee-Owners in ESOP Firms: Human Resource Policies and Company Performance," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Number 10177, January 2004. By Douglas Kruse, Richard Freeman, Joseph Blasi, Robert Buchele, Adria Scharf, Loren Rodgers, and Chris Mackin.
- "Economic Democracy or Just Another Risk for Workers? Reviewing the Evidence on Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing," presented at Columbia conference "Democracy, Participation, and Development," May 1999.
- "Telecommuting and Home-based Work: Differences by Disability Status," November 1998. By Douglas Kruse and MaryAnne Hyland.
- "Labor Market Effects of Spinal Cord Injuries in the Dawn of the Computer Age," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 5302, October 1995. By Alan Krueger and Douglas Kruse.

GRANTS AND CONTRACTS:

- <u>Disability and Voting Accessibility in the 2020 Elections</u>. Lisa Schur and Douglas Kruse. This \$318,000 contract from the U.S. Election Assistance Commission funded a post-election national survey of 2569 people on disability and voting in the 2020 elections. Report was delivered February 17, 2021.
- <u>Disability Inclusive Employment Policy RRTC (Rehabilitation Research and Training Center)</u>. Douglas Kruse, Lisa Schur, Mason Ameri, and Yana Rodgers. Funded by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Project period 2020-2025. Center is based at Syracuse with Rutgers and Harvard as partners. Doug Kruse is PI on the Rutgers subaward of \$943,000, and co-PI on the overall award of \$4,375,000 based at Syracuse.
- <u>Disability and Assistive Technology</u>. Douglas Kruse, Lisa Schur, Mason Ameri, and Hazel-Anne Johnson. "SFW-HTF-RL: Collaborative Research: Future of Work for People with Disabilities Physical and Cognitive Training Through Perceptive and Adaptive Soft (PECASO) Wearable Robots." Funded by National Science Foundation. Project period 2020-2024. Project is based at CUNY with Rutgers as partner. Doug Kruse is

PI on the Rutgers subaward of \$619,279, and co-PI on the overall award of \$1,884,010 based at CUNY.

- Employee Ownership and Employment Stability. Co-PI with Fidan Kurtulus for \$40,000 grant from W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 2012-2014. We examined public employee ownership firms over the 1999-2011 period, analyzing their employment stability and survival during the two recessions. The results were published in a book in 2014.
- Disability Discrimination and Job Requirements. Co-PI for \$200,000 grant from Employment Policy Rehabilitation Research and Training Center, based at University of New Hampshire and funded by National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, 2010-2015. This project matches data on disability earnings gaps by occupation to data on occupational job tasks and ability requirements, examining whether disability earnings gaps are limited to occupations in which an impairment should limit productivity, or instead also exist in occupations where impairments do not limit productivity, which would support the idea that discrimination is at work.
- Organizational Practices and Disability. Co-investigator for \$500,000 grant from the Office of Disability Employment Policy, U.S. Department of Labor, 2006-2007. A consortium of Rutgers, Cornell, and Syracuse researchers worked with three other research partners and six companies to study how corporate policies and practices, and manager and co-worker attitudes, can limit or facilitate employment opportunities for people with disabilities. The researchers developed case study standards and methodology, and then applied them in six case studies. The information from the case studies will provide lessons about what works in diverse settings, helping companies develop "best practices" for employing people with disabilities and providing a platform for ongoing benchmarking and self-evaluation.
- <u>Disability and Demand-side Employment Placement Models</u>. Co-investigator for \$2.5 million grant in collaboration with Syracuse University and the University of Illinois, 2006-2011, from the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, U.S. Dept. of Education. This establishes a 5-year center to study factors affecting employer demand for people with disabilities. The Rutgers projects include studies on contingent work, worker displacement, and 10-year projections of demand for specific abilities.
- Desired and Actual Work Arrangements Among People with Disabilities. Principal investigator for \$51,350 in grants for putting disability questions on the 2006 General Social Survey. In combination with two work modules (the Work Orientation module and the Quality of Work Life module), these data provide the first representative estimates of desired work arrangements among both employed and non-employed people with disabilities, and the attitudes and experiences of employed people with disabilities.

- Shared Capitalism: Co-investigator with Richard Freeman, Joseph Blasi, and Chris Mackin for \$650,000 grant from Russell Sage Foundation and Rockefeller Foundation, September 2000-December 2006. We did case studies of 14 U.S. companies with various forms of employee ownership, stock options, and profit sharing, with surveys from 41,000 employees. For nationally-representative data, we sponsored questions on the 2002 and 2006 General Social Surveys regarding attitudes toward and experience with employee ownership and profit sharing. Results will form the basis of a conference, several articles, and a book.
- <u>Design of Disability Questions for Current Population Survey:</u> Principal investigator for \$102,500 grant from Presidential Task Force on Employment of Adults with Disabilities, August 2001-December 2002. I worked with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, under a Presidential Executive Order, to design disability questions for the monthly population survey of the federal government.
- Disability Research Institute: Co-investigator for 5-year cooperative agreement with Social Security Administration to do research on employment and disability income among people with disabilities. One project with Lisa Schur, funded by a \$54,000 grant, analyzed the prevalence and trends of alternative work arrangements among people with disabilities over the 1992-2000 period, and legal issues facing workers with disabilities in such arrangements.
- Empowerment Through Civic Participation: A Study of the Political Behavior of Citizens with Disabilities: Co-investigator for \$102,500 in grants from the New Jersey Developmental Disabilities Council, National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, Presidential Task Force on Employment of Adults with Disabilities, and Rutgers School of Management and Labor Relations for national surveys following the November 1998 and 2000 elections. The 2000 survey had 1000 respondents and the 1998 survey had 1240 respondents, with 500 respondents with disabilities in 2000 and 700 respondents with disabilities in 1998. The project, done with collaborators Lisa Schur (Rutgers), Kay Schriner, and Todd Shields (U. of Arkansas), compared people with and without disabilities in levels and determinants of voter turnout and other forms of political participation.
- Survival and Growth of Private ESOP Firms: Co-investigator with Joseph Blasi for \$20,000 grant from ESOP Foundation, National Center for Employee Ownership, and Foundation for Enterprise Development, May, 2000-December, 2000. This project uses 1983-99 longitudinal Dun & Bradstreet data for 3010 firms to investigate the relative survival and growth patterns of ESOP vs. non-ESOP firms.

- <u>Disability and Employment</u>: Principal investigator for \$25,000 grant from U.S. Department of Labor, 1997. I analyzed Survey of Income and Program Participation dataset to construct baseline information for evaluating likely impacts of policy proposals to encourage employment among people with disabilities. The report provides portraits of employed and non-employed people with disabilities, and comparisons to the general population, with respect to demographic characteristics, personal and household income sources and amounts, health care insurance and utilization, and employment characteristics of the employed.
- <u>Disability, Employment, and Computer Use</u>: Co-investigator, with Alan Krueger of Princeton University, for \$100,000 grant from Rutgers Disability Research Consortium and Princeton Industrial Relations Section. We analyzed employment patterns among mobility-impaired individuals, and the extent to which computer technologies have affected the employability and earnings power of such individuals.
- ESOPs, Profit Sharing, and Gainsharing in Airlines and High-Technology Industries: Co-investigator, with Linda Bell of Haverford College, for \$25,000 grant from U.S. Department of Labor to collect and analyze survey data from publicly-held firms in airlines and high-technology industries, 1995.
- The Productivity and Stability Theories of Profit Sharing: Principal investigator for \$47,000 grant from W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research to study profit sharing in publicly-held companies. Published in Upjohn book in 1993.

PRESENTATIONS:

- "Disability and Voting Accessibility in the 2020 Elections." Presentation to American Council on the Blind, February 22, 2021, with Lisa Schur.
- "Disability and Voting Accessibility in the 2020 Elections." Presentation to U.S. Election Assistance Commission, February 17, 2021, with Lisa Schur.
- "Disability and Voting: What Does the Research Say?" Presentation with Lisa Schur for "POWER: The Disability Vote" webinar, sponsored by American Association of People with Disabilities and REV UP! Campaign, June 22, 2020.

- "Disability and Voting." Presentation with Lisa Schur for "Protecting the Right to Vote for People with Disabilities" webinar, sponsored by Leadership Conference On Civil and Human Rights, and National Disability Rights Network, May 21, 2020.
- "Understanding Support for Employee Ownership," New Jersey/New York Center for Employee Ownership, Rutgers University, October 29, 2019.
- "Where does profit sharing work best? A meta-analysis on the role of unions, culture, and values," International Association for the Economics of Participation conference, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia, July 2018.
- "Where does profit sharing work best? A meta-analysis on the role of unions, culture, and values," Beyster Symposium, LaJolla, CA, June 2018.
- "Employee Ownership: A Look at the Evidence," Vermont Employee Ownership Center, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, June 2018.
- "Disability and Employment," Sciences Po, St. Germain-en-Laye, Paris, France, March 16, 2018.
- "Citizenship and Disability," Sciences Po, St. Germain-en-Laye, France, March 12, 2018.
- "Tying Employee Rewards to Company Performance through Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing," University of Pennsylvania, July 2017.
- "Do Employee Owners Face Too Much Financial Risk? Analysis of the Survey of Consumer Finances," International Association for the Economics of Participation, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 2016.
- "Do Employee Owners Face Too Much Financial Risk? Analysis of the Survey of Consumer Finances," Beyster Symposium, LaJolla, CA, June 2016.
- "The Disability Employment Puzzle: A Field Experiment on Employer Hiring Behavior," World Bank, January 13, 2016, with Mason Ameri and Lisa Schur.
- "The Impact of Employee Stock Ownership and Profit Sharing for Low Income Families: The Rutgers University Kellogg Foundation Research Project," Kelso Workshop, Rutgers University, January 11, 2016.
- "The Disability Employment Puzzle: A Field Experiment on Employer Hiring Behavior," NBER Summer Institute on Law and Economics, July, 2015, with Mason Ameri and Lisa Schur.
- "How Did Employee Ownership Firms Weather the Last Two Recessions? Employee Ownership and Employment Stability in the U.S.: 1999-2010," Beyster Symposum, University of California-San Diego, January 2015, with Fidan Kurtulus.

- "Survey Results on Polling Place Accessibility in the 2012 Elections," U.S. Election Assistance Commission, Washington, D.C., May 9, 2013
- "Differentiating the Truly Great Place to Work Companies From the Good Companies," Beyster Mid-year Fellows Workshop, Rutgers University, February 2013.
- "Shared Capitalism," Keynote Address, International Association for the Economic of Participation, Paris, France, July 2010.
- "The Effects of Accommodations on the Employment of People with Disabilities," Jacobus ten Broek Symposium, National Federation of the Blind, Baltimore, MD, April 15, 2011.
- "Research Overview for Discussion of CPS Disability Supplement," U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D.C., October 19, 2010
- "Does Shared Capitalism Help the Best Firms Do Even Better?" Centre for Economic Performance, London School of Economics, May 26, 2011.
- "Shared Capitalism, Corporate Culture, and Performance," Beyster Institute, University of California-San Diego, July 2009.
- "Disability at Work: Job Characteristics and Attitudes of Employees with Disabilities," Labor and Employment Relations Association annual conference, San Francisco, CA, January 2009.
- "Disability and Employment: Building a Research Agenda," Interagency Committee on Disability Research, Subcommittee on Employment, Washington, D.C., June 2008.
- "Shared Capitalism Research Project," Organizational Dynamics, University of Pennsylvania, May 2008.
- "Building Inclusive Organizations for Employees with Disabilities," School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University, May 2008.
- "Disability and Voter Turnout," University of North Carolina-Charlotte and Carolinas Rehabilitation Center, April 2008. With Lisa Schur.
- "Worker Responses to Shirking," M.I.T. Sloan School of Management, November 2007. With Richard Freeman and Joseph Blasi.
- "Corporate Culture and the Experiences of Employees with Disabilities," Society of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Dallas, TX, May 2006. With Lisa Schur.

- "Shared Capitalism in the U.S. Economy: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Employee Views of Financial Participation in Enterprises," NBER/Russell Sage Conference on Shared Capitalism, New York, NY, October 2006.
- "Do Workers Gain by Sharing? Employee Outcomes Under Employee Ownership, Profit Sharing, and Broad-based Stock Options," NBER/Russell Sage Conference on Shared Capitalism, New York, NY, October 2006.
- "Risk: Is It Economic Democracy, or Just Another Risk for Workers? Employee Attitudes Toward Risk-Sharing and Financial Participation in Company Rewards," October 2006.
- "Motivating Employee-Owners in ESOP Firms: Human Resource Policies and Company Performance," Industrial Relations Research Association, January 2004.
- "Non-standard Work Arrangements and Disability Income," Disability Research Institute, Washington, D.C., June 5-6, 2002. With Lisa Schur.
- "Research Evidence on Prevalence and Effects of Employee Ownership," National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, April 12, 2002.
- "Changes in the Workforce: Trends and Implications for Employment Law and Collective Bargaining," Industrial Relations Research Association, New Jersey chapter, April 1, 2002. With Lisa Schur.
- "Does the Definition Affect the Outcome? Employment Trends Under Alternative Measures of Disability," Employment & Disability Policy Institute sponsored by Cornell University, Washington, D.C., October 2001.
- "Non-standard Work Arrangements and Disability Income," Disability Research Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, April 26, 2001.
- "Comments on 'The Economic and Social Impacts of Telework'," Conference on Telework, U.S. Department of Labor, New Orleans, LA, October 2000.
- "Telecommuting and Home-based Work: Differences by Disability Status," Cornell Summer Institute on Disability and Employment Policy, Ithaca, NY, July 2000.
- "Disability and Voter Turnout," presented to President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities, Subcommittee on Employee Disability Concerns, Washington, D.C., January 2000.
- "Employment and Participation Among People with Disabilities," presented to European Union High Level Group on Disability, Washington, D.C., October 1999.

- "Polling Place Accessibility for People with Disabilities," National Task Force on Elections Accessibility, Washington, D.C., June 1999, with Lisa Schur.
- "Telecommuting and Home-based Work: Differences by Disability Status," Society for Disability Studies, Washington, D.C., May 1999.
- "Economic Democracy or Just Another Risk for Workers? Reviewing the Evidence on Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing," Conference on Democracy, Participation, and Development, Columbia, NY, April 1999.
- "Telecommuting and Home-based Work: Differences by Disability Status," President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities, Washington, D.C., January 1999.
- "The New Employee/Employer Relationship," Aspen Institute's Domestic Strategy Group, Aspen, Colorado, July 1998.
- "The Wealth and Income Consequences of Employee Ownership," paper by Peter Kardas et al., presented at NBER conference "Shared Capitalism: Mapping the Research Agenda," Washington, D.C., May 1998.
- "Is Employee Ownership an Unstable Form? Or a Stabilizing Force?" MIT-Brookings Conference on Corporations and Human Capital, Dedham, MA, January, 1998.
- "Employment Policies for the 21st Century," Social Security Administration conference on "Employment Post the Americans with Disabilities Act," Washington, D.C., November 1997.
- "What Affects Voter Turnout? Lessons from Citizens with Disabilities," Society for Disability Studies, Minneapolis, MN, May 1997, with Lisa Schur.
- "Profit Sharing and the Demand for Low-Skill Workers," Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, April 1997.
- "The Role of Computer Skills in Employment and Earnings Following a Spinal Cord Injury," Conference on Technology and Persons with Disability, California State University-Northridge, Los Angeles, CA, March 1997.
- "What Affects Voter Turnout? Lessons from Citizens with Disabilities," Southern Political Science Association, Atlanta, GA, November 1996, with Lisa Schur.
- "Disability, Employment, and Computer Use," American Spinal Injury Association, Seattle, WA, April 1996.
- "Labor Market Effects of Spinal Cord Injuries in the Dawn of the Computer Age," Dept. of Economics, University of Maryland, Towson, MD, April 1996.

- "Profit Sharing and Employee Ownership: Review of the Issues and Research," Industrial Relations Research Association, San Francisco, CA, January 1996.
- "Profit Sharing, Employee Ownership, and Corporate Governance," Seminar on Corporate Governance, Columbia University Law School, November 1995.
- "Labor Market Effects of Spinal Cord Injuries in the Dawn of the Computer Age," National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, July 1995.
- "Employee Ownership and Profit Sharing in the U.S. and Europe," Chinese State Commission for Restructuring the Economic System, New York, NY, July 1995.
- "Profit Sharing and the Demand for Low-Skill Workers," Demand-Side Strategies for the Low-Wage Labor Market conference, Russell Sage Foundation, New York, NY, June 1995.
- "Profit Sharing and Public Policy," Association for Evolutionary Economics, New York, NY, January 1994.
- "Does Profit Sharing Affect Productivity?" Dept. of Economics, Columbia University, New York, NY, October 1993.
- "Does Profit Sharing Affect Productivity?" National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, July 1993.
- "Does Profit Sharing Affect Productivity?" Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, May 1993.
- "Does Profit Sharing Affect Productivity?" Eastern Economics Association, Washington, D.C., March 1993.
- "Profit Sharing, Productivity, and Employment Stability," U.S. Department of Labor, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Washington, D.C., March 1990.
- "Policy Implications of Profit Sharing," paper delivered at Society for the Advancement of Socio-Economics, Washington D.C., March 1990.
- "Profit Sharing in the 1980's: Disguised Wages or a Fundamentally Different Form of Compensation?" paper delivered at Wage Structure Conference, Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland, November 1989.
- "Profit Sharing and Productivity" (with Martin Weitzman), paper delivered at Brookings Institution conference on worker compensation and productivity, Washington, D.C., March 1989.

- "The Economic Implications of Employment Rights and Practices in the United States," paper delivered at AEA/ACES Annual Meeting, New York, December 1988.
- "Small Business Financing: A Survey of the Experiences and Attitudes of Nebraska Small Business Owners," with F. Gregory Hayden and Steven Williams, Small Business Institute Directors Association, February 1984, Denver, Colorado.
- "The Effect of Employee Ownership on Desires for Participation," Western Social Science Association, April 1982, Denver, Colorado.

SERVICE TO PROFESSION:

Editor, British Journal of Industrial Relations, January 2011- June 2021.

Associate Editor, Journal of Participation and Employee Ownership, 2017-present.

Guest co-editor, special issue on Employee Ownership, <u>Human Resource Management</u>, 2018.

Co-chair, Awards Committee, Labor and Employment Relations Association, 2015-2018.

Member, Board of Reviewers, Industrial Relations, 1993-2004.

Recognized by <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u> as one of its "most productive reviewers" over the 1995-99 period.

Referee for

Academy of Management Journal

American Economic Review

American Journal of Industrial Medicine

British Journal of Industrial Relations

Canadian Journal of Economics

Comparative Economic Studies

The Economic Journal

Human Resource Management

Industrial and Labor Relations Review

Industrial Relations

Journal of Comparative Economics

Journal of Disability Policy Studies

Journal of Economics and Business

Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization

Journal of Economic Issues

Journal of Labor Economics

The Milbank Quarterly

Organization Science

Policy Studies Journal
Quarterly Journal of Economics
Review of Economics and Statistics
Social Science Quarterly

SERVICE TO GOVERNMENT:

- Member, Transition Team for a Stronger and Fairer Economy, New Jersey Governor-elect Phil Murphy, November 2017-January 2018.
- Member of State Rehabilitation Advisory Council, New Jersey Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, 1999-2013.
- Report prepared for National Council on Disability, <u>Employment of People with</u> Disabilities, May 2007.
- Member of Advisory Committee for the Disability Statistics Center, Cornell University, 2004-2009.
- Member of Blue Ribbon Expert Advisory Panel for the ADA Impact Study, funded by the National Council on Disability, 2004-2005.
- Member of Advisory Committee for the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center for Economic Research on Employment Policy for Persons with Disabilities, Cornell University, 1998-2004.
- Member of President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities, Subcommittee on Employment Disability Concerns, 1998-2000.
- Consultant on designing Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System questions to identify environmental barriers facing people with disabilities, conducted by Craig Hospital (Denver, CO) with funding by Centers for Disease Control, 1998.
- Consultant on designing and writing vocational rehabilitation book about labor market prospects for people with disabilities, Rehabilitation Services Administration, 1998-99.
- Data prepared at request of Joint Economic Committee of the U.S. Congress, on employer stock and 401(k) plans, August 1998.
- Report prepared for U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Policy, <u>Disability and Employment: Characteristics of Employed and Non-employed People with Disabilities</u>, September 1997.

Report prepared for U.S. Department of Labor, Office of the American Workplace, ESOPs, Profit Sharing, and Gainsharing in Airlines and High-Technology Industries, with Linda Bell, 1995.

Referee for National Science Foundation grant proposals, 1995, 1999.

Testimony before the Subcommittee on Employer-Employee Relations, Committee on Education and the Workforce, U.S. House of Representatives, concerning employee ownership and retirement security, February 13, 2002.

Testimony before U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Small Business, Subcommittee on Regulation, Business Opportunities, and Technology (Ron Wyden, Chair), concerning bill to provide incentives for profit-sharing and gainsharing plans, July 15, 1994.

Testimony before U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs, Subcommittee on Economic Stabilization (Charles Schumer, Chair), concerning "The National Entrepreneurship Act," May 15, 1984.

SERVICE TO RUTGERS UNIVERSITY:

Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University (July 2017-December 2018)

Director, Ph.D. Program in Industrial Relations and Human Resources, School of Management and Labor Relations, Rutgers University (July 2007-June 2013)

New Brunswick Faculty Council representative (2014-2017)

Advisory Committee on Instructional Computing member (2001-2005)

University Research Council member (1998-2012)

Faculty mentoring committee member for Jasmine Feng (2016-present), Saunjuhi Verma (2015-present), Janice Fine (2007-2012), Saul Rubinstein (1996-2002), Stan Gully, (2000-2005), Ryan Smith (1995-2000), Marlene Kim (1993-1999), Barbara Rau (1995-1997), and Kirsten Wever (1997-1999).

Dissertation committee chair for Eric Schulz (1997), Rhokeun Park (2007), Andrea Kim (2013), Mason Ameri (2017), and Saehee Kang (current).

Dissertation committee member for Michael Zigarelli (1995), James Gasaway (1999), Maya Kroumova (1999), Douglas Mahony (2001), Haejin Kim (2003), Sean Way (2004), Saba Colakoglu (2008), and Dan Weltmann (2017).

Master's thesis committee chair for Sean Way (2001) and Rhokeun Park (2003)

Ph.D. Policy Committee, School of Management and Labor Relations (1995-1998, 2000-2005)

Library Committee, School of Management and Labor Relations (1988-1993, 1997-1998) Admissions Committee (1989-1990, 1991-1992) Health and Safety Committee, School of Management and Labor Relations (1989-1990) Several faculty recruitment committees (1991-present)

AFFILIATIONS:

American Economic Association Association for Comparative Economic Studies Association for Evolutionary Economics Labor and Employment Relations Association Royal Economic Society Society for Disability Studies

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX M

Transcript of the Testimony of Lisa Wise

Date:

April 13, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO et al. vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022
Pages 178 to 181

Page 178 Page 180 Q. So when you refer to "that Monday," is that 1 system. 1 2 the six --Q. Would that be in the vote history section of 2 3 it? 3 A. I'm sorry, yes. 4 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. 4 Q. -- six days after Election Day? 5 A. The vote history, basic, no. The vote history 5 A. Yes. It is, six days after Election Day. 6 would just say ballot voted by mail. The ballot by mail 6 Q. And do you know, in the March primary, if 7 module may have a way to have what they had to cure for, anybody came in after Election Day in person to correct 7 8 I just I don't remember if it does or not. 8 signature match issues? 9 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So you -- there is a module in 9 A. A signature match issue, I don't know. I 10 your registration system for ballots voted by mail that 10 don't know, I'm sorry. 11 tracks whether they were cured? 11 Q. So it's -- it's true to say that there's 12 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. 12 multiple options for somebody to correct a problem with 13 A. Whether they were accepted, and then I don't 13 the signature matching process, is that correct? 14 know if it breaks it down that they eventually were --14 A. Yes. 15 that they were cured, that they're a second, basically a 15 Q. And that's a new option under SB 1, correct? 16 16 second attempt with a ballot. MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 17 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Is that information something 17 conclusion. 18 you communicate to the Secretary of State's Office? 18 A. Yes. 19 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. 19 MR. JEFF WHITE: Has it been about an 20 A. I don't know if the cured number is in the 20 hour? 21 report to the SOS. I don't know that off the top of my 21 MS. SPECTOR: Close to. 22 22 MR. JEFF WHITE: Why don't we take 23 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) It may be just something for 23 another break for the -- for the ... 24 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off record at 3:19 El Paso County purposes? A. That we keep for ourselves, just to know are 25 25 p.m. Page 179 Page 181 people coming in and curing, or doing it by mail or (Off the record.) 1 1 2 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record at however. 3:36 p.m. 3 Q. And do you believe you produced that 3 4 information in this litigation? 4 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So Ms. Wise, I want to jump 5 A. I believe so. 5 back to something we touched on earlier, and I -- I -- I 6 Q. And if there is an issue identified with the 6 wanted to talk about one more topic on voter assistance. 7 signature matching on a voted ballot, is the option of 7 and here it might help to reference Exhibit 3, SB 1, 8 cancelling and voting in person also available to the 8 again, so I direct you to Page 4 and Line 20, if you 9 voter? 9 could let me know when you're there? 10 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. 10 A. Yes, I'm here. 11 A. Yes. We can con -- the signature verification 11 Q. So in Exhibit 3, Page 4, Line 20 do you see where it says "Section 1.08"? 12 or Ballot Board can contact them and let them know that 12 13 they can cancel and go vote in person, I believe. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Do you know if anybody did 14 Q. And can you just read the -- the section, it's pretty short, that starts at Line 22 on that page? 15 that in the March primary? 15 16 A. I don't know off the top of my head if -- if 16 A. "Reasonable accommodation or modification. A 17 they did. 17 provision of this code may not be interpreted to 18 Q. And it the voter able to come into in person 18 prohibit or limit the right of a qualified individual 19 and cure a signature match issue after Election Day? 19 with a disability from requesting a reasonable 20 A. Yeah. In person until the end of the -- the 20 accommodation or modification to any election standard. 21 21 practice, or procedure mandated by law or rule that the cure period, which is, for provisionals, if they are 22 individual is entitled to request under federal or state 22 informed, and they are going to come in person, by the 23 23 law." -- they can come in person until that Monday at the 24 close of business; the mail has to be in, I believe, the 24 Q. Are you familiar with this provision?

25

25

same time as the ballots.

A. I'm familiar with its inclusion in the law.

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022
Pages 182 to 185

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

13

16

17

18

19

20

Page 182

Q. And how does it affect what you do as an election administrator?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

15

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal conclusion.

- A. I don't know that we've had a request to alter that -- that something that would qualify under that, so I don't know if I have the answer to that.
- Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Are you referring to in this election or in prior elections?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague.

- A. I need to double check before I speak, but I believe this election we didn't have -- didn't have anything that would fall under that.
- Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So in the past, before SB 1,
 would you receive request for modification of voting
 procedures for disabled voters?
- A. We may receive a request for -- and I don't know if this would qualify -- but for someone to maybe be able to move up to the front, which I -- of the line, which is something that changed just recently, if that would qualify; providing the audio ballot, which we do, for voters who may be visually impaired.

We also were requested a modification to a screen on the poll pad. It's basically a adhesive screen that allows -- it's like a signature screen for Page 184 the audio ballot is already programmed to read what's on

2 the screen, so in that example, that was something we

3 could not change.

- Q. But, generally, when a request for accommodation is made, is it your practice to try to make that accommodation?
 - A. Yes, if it's possible.
 - Q. And is that true after SB 1 as well?MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague.

A. I don't know if we've had a request since then, so that's hard to speak to, but I can say that yes, I would still try as hard as I could to -- to work with them.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Did SB 1 make it harder for you to accommodate disabled voters?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague, calls for legal conclusion

A. That's hard to say. If -- if there were, you know, voters who marked disability on the ballot by mail application, if they were rejected. For in-person voting, the assistance oath has more information required on that, however we didn't have any issues with that this election. So as of right now, just maybe the ballot by mail with the -- for the disability community that requested.

Page 183

visually impaired to find the signature line and the

2 party choices box with Braille, so that they can sign

3 that and fill that out independently. We worked with

4 them and created that. Those are the things that I can

think of off the top of my head, if that's -- if theyqualify under that.

Q. Yeah. So even before SB 1, did you have the authority to modify procedures to accommodate the disabled?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legalconclusion, vague.

12 A. I believe so.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And do you have a procedurefor those requests to make modifications?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague.

A. Generally, they would just call our office and
 I would speak with them, depending on what it was. In

those situations I just worked with the request, to see

19 if they were able -- if they were able to be com -- to

20 -- to do, and, if they were, then we did.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Do you recall any requests formodification that you simply couldn't implement?

23 A. I believe there was one that we put in the

24 production documents, where somebody wanted the ballot

choices to be read as A, B, or C instead of 1, 2, 3, and

Page 185 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And -- and when you're

referring to the ballot by mail, is your concern there

3 that you actually don't know whether they had a problem

4 or not?

5 A. Just that I know that there's a -- that

6 there's a portion of ballot by mail that's specific, you

7 know, a population that is disabled community, and I

8 don't -- I don't know if they were, I guess yeah,

9 disproportionately affected by that --

Q. Is that because --

11 A. -- more work.

12 Q. Is that because --

A. Sorry.

14 Q. -- they are voting by mail, and you don't see 15 what's happening on that end?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague, calls for speculation.

A. Yeah. That part of it is difficult, but I still know that they would have marked disability on the reason for why they voted by mail.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) But you can't say whether SB 1 made it harder for somebody voting by mail who needs assistance to complete the voting process than before SB 1?

24 1?25

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022
Pages 186 to 189

Page 186 Page 188 speculation. MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 1 2 A. I cannot say for sure, yes. 2 conclusion. 3 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And -- and with respect to 3 A. I believe it's anyone who -- who ha -in-person voting, did S -- strike that. 4 4 believes that entering the polling site may cause 5 Based on the March 2022 primary and your 5 themselves or others harm or injury. 6 first election cycle implementing SB 1, was it more 6 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And so did this change in SB 1 difficult for you to accommodate voters who voted in Section 3.04 change any of the voting procedures that 7 7 8 person? 8 you've used in El Paso County? 9 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. 9 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 10 10 A. I don't believe so. A. No. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Are you aware of the changes 11 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Prior to SB 1, did you allow 11 to the permissible methods of in-person voting made in individuals to vote from inside a motor vehicle if they 12 12 13 weren't permitted to under Sec -- Section 64.009 of the 13 **Election Code?** 14 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 14 15 A. Can you clarify that? I'm sorry. 15 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 16 16 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Sure. So again I think it A. No. 17 might help --17 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So Section 3.04 of SB 1 didn't 18 A. Okay. affect the voting procedures that you use in El Paso 18 19 Q. -- if we refer to Exhibit 3, SB 1, and if you County, correct? 19 20 could go to Page 14 of that document at Line 18, do you 20 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 21 see on that Line 18 on Page 14 where it says "Section 21 A. Correct. 3.04"? Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So can you go to Page 19 of 22 22 23 A. Yes. 23 Exhibit 3, and at Line 9 do you see where it says 24 24 "Section 3.12"? Q. And it's pretty short, can you read that? 25 A. The Line 18? 25 A. Yes. Page 189 Page 187 Q. Yes. Q. And if you could, do you see at Line 13 where 1 1 "inside" is underlined and "at" is in brackets and 2 A. "Section 3.04. Election 43.031(b) Election 3 Code is amended to read as follows: -- sorry, "Section 3 crossed through? 4 43." A. Yes. 4 5 Q. And I guess I should have said Line 20 to 22 5 Q. And are you familiar with this change? 6 then. 6 7 A. Okay. 7 Q. And what do you understand Section 3.12 of 8 SB 1 to change? Q. My apologies. 8 9 A. That's no problem. 9 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 10 "Each polling place shall be located 10 conclusion 11 inside a building. No voter may cast a vote from inside A. That the polling site must be inside of the 11 12 12 a motor vehicle unless the voter meets the requirements building. 13 of Section 64.009." Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And I believe we talked about 13 14 Q. Are you familiar with Section 64.009 of the 14 this earlier, prior to SB 1 did you have polling 15 **Election Code?** locations outside of a building? 15 16 A. Yes. 16 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 17 Q. And what does that Section 6. -- 64.009 cover? 17 A. No. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So did this Section 3.12 of 18 A. It --18 SB 1 change any voting procedures in El Paso County? 19 MS. SPECTOR: Object --19 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 20 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 21 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 21 A. No. 22 22 Go ahead. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So if we just go down on that 23 23 same Page 19, do you see where it says "Section 3.13" at A. Discusses curbside voting. 24 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And who is allowed to vote 24 Line 19? curbside under the Election Code in Section 64.009? 25 A. I'm sorry, lane -- Line 19? Yes.

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022 Pages 190 to 193

1

2

3

11

19

21

22

24

1

8

13

14

15

16

21

23

24

25

Page 190 Q. Do you see where it says "Section 3.13" there?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And if you go to Line 24 through 25, do you

see where "inside" is underlined? 4

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And then "building" is underlined on Line 25

and then "stationary structure" is in brackets and 7

8 crossed out?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So what is your understanding of Section 3.13

11 of SB 1?

12 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

13 conclusion.

14 A. That the polling place must be inside the

15 buildina.

16

21

22

25

1

5

6

7

15

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And what about the portion on

17 Line 25 that crosses through "stationary structure", do

18 you know what that means?

19 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

20 conclusion.

A. I don't know the exact definition of that, no.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Okay. Then I'll direct you to

23 Line 26, can you read the line that starts Line 26, and

24 I believe it carries over to the second line on Page 20?

A. "The polling place may not be located in a

A. Yes.

Q. And can you just read those lines, please?

A. "A-2, an in-person delivery of a marked ballot

Page 192

Page 193

4 voted under this chapter must be received by an election

5 official at the time of delivery. The receiving

6 official shall record the voter's name, signature, and

type of identification provided under Section 63.0101 on 7

8 a roster prescribed by the Secretary of State. The

9 receiving official shall attest on the roster that the

10 delivery complies with this section."

Q. Are you familiar with that provision of SB 1?

A. Yes. 12

13 Q. Does this relate to in-person delivery of

14 ballots by mail?

15 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Is this a process that you

18 were implementing before SB 1?

A. Yes.

20 Q. So did this section of SB 1 require any

changes to election procedures in El Paso County?

A. No.

23 Q. So now also in Exhibit 3 can you go to Page

16, please, and on Line 14 do you see where it says

25 "Section 3.09"?

A. Yes.

Q. And if you go to Line 16, do you see where it 2 3 says "Section 85.005. Regular days and hours for

voting"? 4

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Are you familiar with the changes in this

7 section under SB 1?

A. Yes.

9 So did SB 1 increase the early voting period?

10 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

11 conclusion.

12 A. (No verbal response.)

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Let me strike that question.

A. Okay.

Let me start over.

Under SB 1, is early voting expanded to

17 smaller counties?

18 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

conclusion. 19

20 A. Early voting expanded to smaller counties?

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Yes. Is that the -- all

22 right, strike that and let me be -- start over.

A. I'm sorry, yeah. Thank you, sorry.

Q. Let's go to Line 23 on Page 16 of Exhibit 3.

Α. Okay.

Page 191

movable structure in the general election for state and

county officers, general primary election, or runoff

3 primary election." 4

Q. So prior to SB 1 in El Paso County, did you

have any polling places in movable structures?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. A. I -- I -- I don't believe so, but I want to

8 say that sometimes at our school locations they may put

9 us in one of those portables, so I don't know if that

10 qualifies or not, but so we have used the portables.

11 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) As an election administrator, 12 would you consider a portable to a -- to be a building?

13 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

conclusion. 14

A. I have, yes, so yes.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So as El Paso County elections 16

17 administrator, did Section 313 -- 3.13 of SB 1 change

18 your practices in this March primary?

19 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form.

20 A. No.

21 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So in Exhibit 3 can you now

turn to Page 30, Line 10, and do you see on that Line 10

23 on Page 30 where it says "Section 4.12"?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And can you go down to Line 21 through 27? Lisa Wise April 13, 2022

Pages 194 to 197 Page 194 Page 196 Q. I believe it starts after the comma on Line 1 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So now I will go down starting at Line 4 to Line 5 on Page 17 of Exhibit 3, do you see 22, do you see where it says, "except that voting may 3 not be conducted earlier than 6 a.m. or later than 10 3 that line? 4 4 A. Yes. p.m."? 5 A. Yes. 5 Q. And do you see where on Line 5 "four" is 6 Q. Are you familiar with that change in SB 1? 6 underlined and "three" is in brackets and crossed A. Yes. 7 through? 7 8 Q. And what does that change in SB 1 do? 8 A. Yes. 9 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 9 Q. Are you familiar with that change? 10 conclusion. 10 A. Yes. 11 A. It tightens the hours on when we would be able Q. And so what does that change in SB 1 do? 11 12 12 to have early voting in the future. A. Requires that an entity -- I'm sorry, a 13 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So prior to SB 1, during the 13 territory with fewer than 1000 registered voters remain 14 early voting period did any polling places in El Paso 14 open for voting during early voting at least four hours 15 County open before 6 a.m.? 15 each day. 16 16 A. No. Q. So that provision would not affect El Paso 17 Q. And prior to SB 1, did any early voting 17 County, correct? locations in El Paso County stay open after 10 p.m.? 18 18 A. Correct. A. We had a closing time of 10; however, we had a 19 19 Q. That affects smaller counties? 20 line, so we did process, obviously, until it was done. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Were any of the official closing times, when 21 MS. SPECTOR: Objection -somebody had to be in line prior to SB 1, later than 10 22 22 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 23 p.m.? 23 MS. SPECTOR: -- calls for legal 24 A. No. 24 conclusion. 25 Q. So did this limitation in Section 3.09 of SB 1 25 Go ahead, it's okay. Page 195 Page 197 of 6 a.m. to 10 p.m. for early voting cut the number of A. Yes. 1 1 hours that you had used for early voting in prior 2 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And that provision would 2 3 elections? expand by from three to four hours, the minimum number 4 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 4 of hours of early voting on weekdays in those smaller 5 5 counties, correct? A. No. 6 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And can you go over to Page 6 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 7 17, the first line of Exhibit 3? 7 conclusion. 8 A. Yes. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And in that first line do you see where "nine" 9 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So is this another one that 10 is underlined and in brackets "eight" is crossed out? 10 actually expands the opportunity to vote early? A. Yes. 11 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 11 12 Q. Are you familiar with that change? 12 conclusion. 13 13 A. Yes. A. Yes. 14 Q. And what do you understand that change to do? 14 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And on Page 17 of Exhibit 3 at Line 8, do you see where "55,000" is underlined and 15 A. That during the weekday for the early voting 15 16 period, that we must have the site open for at least 16 "100,000" is in brackets and crossed out? 17 nine hours. 17 A. Yes. 18 18 Q. And prior to SB 1, you were only required to Q. And starting at Line 10 on that same page, do 19 have it open for eight hours, correct? 19 you see where it says, "at the main early voting polling 20 A. Correct. 20 place for at least 12 hours on each weekday of the last 21 21 week of the early voting period"? Q. So this change in SB 1 requires more hours for 22 22 early voting, at a minimum? A. I do. 23 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 23 Q. And do you understand what this provision of 24 conclusion. 24 SB 1 does?

25

25

A. Correct.

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022
Pages 198 to 201

Page 198 Page 200 conclusion. 1 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Was that a requirement prior 3 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And what's that? 3 to SB 1? 4 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 4 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 5 conclusion. 5 conclusion. 6 A. It requires counties with 55,000 or more 6 A. I don't believe so. 7 population to keep the site open for 12 hours on each Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Was it a requirement for 7 8 weekday of the last week of the early voting period, the 8 Election Day prior to SB 1? 9 main site. 9 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 10 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And this wouldn't affect El 10 conclusion. 11 11 A. Yes. Paso County, would it? 12 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So SB 1 took the requirement MS. SPECTOR: Objection, vague. 12 of letting people who are in line when the polls close, 13 13 14 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) El Paso County has more than 14 letting them complete their voting on Election Day, and 15 55,000 --15 applied that to the early voting period as well? 16 MS. SPECTOR: Same objection. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. -- correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And so does that make it 18 19 Q. So does this provision add this minimum easier to vote during the early voting period? 19 20 requirement of 12 hours to smaller counties? 20 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 21 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 21 A. It gives more hours. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And in El Paso County, were 22 conclusion. 22 23 A. Yes. you letting people who were in line to vote at the end 23 24 of the -- the day during the early voting period, did Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So is this another provision 24 25 of SB 1 that expands voting opportunities? 25 you let all of them vote? Page 201 Page 199 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 1 1 2 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And on Page 17 of Exhibit 3, 3 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And you did that prior to SB 1? can you go to Line 23? 4 4 5 A. Yes. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you see where it says, "A voter who has not 6 MS. SPECTOR: Yeah, go ahead. voted before the scheduled time for closing a polling 7 7 A. Yes. place is entitled to vote after that time if the voter 8 8 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So this didn't affect El Paso 9 is in line at the polling place by closing time"? 9 County, is that correct? 10 A. Yes. 10 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. Q. Are you familiar with this provision of SB 1? 11 11 A. Correct. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) But it could have made it 12 A. Yes. 12 13 easier for people who arrived late during early voting Q. And what does this provision of SB 1 do? 13 14 A. That if you were in line before the location 14 to vote in other counties, correct? 15 was scheduled to close, that you are able to vote, no 15 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. matter if it's one hour, two hours, three hours. If you 16 16 17 are in line, then you will be processed. 17 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) All right. Can you turn to 18 Q. And this is applicable to the early voting 18 Page 18 of Exhibit 3 --19 period, correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 20 A. Correct. -- please? 21 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 21 And at Line 8 do you see where it says, "Section 3.10"? 22 22 23 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. A. Yes. 24 MS. SPECTOR: -- conclusion. 24 Q. And at Line 14 do you see Subsection (e)? 25 It's okay. 25 A. Yes.

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022

Pages 202 to 205 Page 202 Page 204 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 1 Q. And on Line 15 through 16 do you see where it 1 has "55,000" underlined, "100,000" in brackets and 2 conclusion. 3 crossed out? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So this is another example of 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. So does this change make this section SB 1 increasing the opportunities to vote early? 6 applicable to counties in Texas with smaller 6 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 7 7 A. Increasing the hours, yes. populations? 8 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 8 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And if you look at Line 22 9 conclusion. 9 through 23 on Page 18 of Exhibit 3, do you see that 10 underlined portion of the sentence? A. Yes. 10 11 11 A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And if you go to Line 18 Q. Do you see where it says, "voting may not be 12 through Line 19 --12 conducted earlier than 9 a.m. or later than 10 p.m."? 13 A. Yes. 13 14 Q. -- do you see where it says -- strike that. 14 A. Yes. 15 Where at Line 17 through Line 19 on Page 15 Q. And what voting period is that limiting? 16 18 of Exhibit 3, do you see where it says, "at the main 16 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 17 early voting polling place to be conducted on the last 17 conclusion. Saturday of the early voting period for at least 12 18 A. The Sunday hour voting during the last week of 18 19 hours"? 19 early voting. 20 A. Yes. 20 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And prior to SB 1, on the last 21 Q. So what is that requirement? 21 Sunday of early voting, did you ever have polling places 22 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 22 that were open before 9 a.m.? 23 conclusion. 23 A. We may have had a location or two that was 24 24 A. Stating that the main early voting location on open at eight. I don't remember right off the top of my 25 25 -- on the final Saturday of er -- during the early head, but I do remember having some locations, I -- I Page 205 Page 203 voting period must be open for 12 hours for voters. believe, eight to five in previous elections. 1 1 2 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Was that a requirement before 2 Q. Is that information maintained on your 3 SB 1? 3 website? 4 4 A. Yes. MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 5 conclusion. 5 Q. And where would that be? 6 A. No. 6 A. Our website, which is epcountyvotes.com, there 7 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So is that another expansion 7 is a tab that says "More", "Election Archives", and then 8 of opportunities to vote early -it lists each election each year. Under that, there 8 9 MS. SPECTOR: Objection --9 would be early voting, depending on what election you Q. (BY MR. WHITE) -- resulting from SB 1? 10 10 want, early voting station and hours. 11 MS. SPECTOR: Sorry. Q. And prior to SB 1, on the last Sunday of early 11 voting did you ever have polling places open past 10 12 Object to form. 12

13 A. An expansion on the hours for early voting,

14 yes.

19

15 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And at Line 21 on Page 18, do

you see where "six" is underlined, "five" is in brackets 16

17 and crossed out?

18 A. Yes.

Q. And what does that change in SB 1 do?

20 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

21 conclusion. 22

A. It adds a Sunday requirement for the early

23 voting period for at least six hours.

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And before SB 1, that 24

25 requirement was five hours, correct?

p.m.? 13

14 A. No.

15

16

18

24

25

Q. And I think I skipped over one, so if we go back up to Line 18 through 19, do you see those lines?

17 A. Yes.

Q. It's -- do you see where underlined it says,

19 "on the last Saturday of the early voting period for at

least 12 hours", and then that's followed by, "except 20

21 that voting may not be conducted earlier than 6 a.m. or

later than 10 p.m."? 22

23 A. Yes.

> Q. So prior to SB 1, on the last Saturday of the early voting period, did you have any polling places

Lisa Wise April 13, 2022

Pages 206 to 209 Page 206 Page 208 A. I believe there may be small, isolated opening before 6 a.m.? 1 2 A. No. 2 incidences; however, in my experience, I have not seen 3 Q. And on that same day of the early voting 3 any widespread fraud. period, the last Saturday did you have any polling Q. Have -- have you received allegations of fraud 4 4 5 5 places, prior to SB 1, that are -- that were open past happening in El Paso County? 6 10 p.m.? 6 MS. SPECTOR: You can answer the 7 question, but I think just don't disclose the content of 7 A. No. 8 Q. So that provision didn't affect El Paso County 8 any ongoing investigations. 9 elections, did it? 9 A. Yes, I have. 10 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 10 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And what is the nature of 11 11 those allegations of fraud? A. No. 12 12 MS. SPECTOR: Same instruction. Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So I'm going to have you jump to the further in the back of Exhibit 3 to Page 57, if 13 A. I don't know what I'm at liberty to really 13 14 you would go there, please? 14 say. 15 And at Line 1 do you see where it says, 15 MS. SPECTOR: I'll instruct you not to "Section 7.02"? 16 16 answer regarding any ongoing investigations. You can 17 A. Yes. 17 talk about other --18 Q. Are you familiar with this section? 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. 19 19 MS. SPECTOR: -- things. 20 Q. And what do you understand this section to do? 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal 21 A. We may have somebody who calls and says so and 22 so either doesn't live at that location. I -- anymore. 22 conclusion. 23 A. Require employers to allow their employees to 23 something like that. They didn't have the correct ID, 24 leave to vote, take time off to vote on Election Day or 24 something like that, allegations like that, but when we 25 25 during the early voting period. look into it, generally, there was either an error on a Page 207 Page 209 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) And were -- did this cover the poll worker's part or a confusion by a voter, not an 1 1 2 early voting period prior to SB 1? 2 intent, generally, to defraud. 3 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 3 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Does the FBI have an election 4 A. No. 4 fraud unit in El Paso, Texas? 5 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So does this make it easier 5 A. Yes. 6 for voters to get off of work to vote during the early 6 Q. Do you work with that unit? 7 voting period? 7 8 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 8 Q. And how long have you been working with them? 9 A. Legally, yes, it does. 9 A. Since 2016. 10 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So would you agree that 10 Q. And is that work related to allegations of 11 there's provisions in SB 1 that make early voting election fraud? 11 12 easier? 12 A. Not just allegations. Basically, they 13 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. contacted us in 2016 and said --13 14 A. There are provisions in SB 1 that make early 14 MS. SPECTOR: Hold on one second. I'm 15 voting easier, yes. 15 going to give you the same instruction. 16 Q. (BY MR. WHITE) So Ms. Wise, in elections in 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 the past, are you aware of any allegations of fraud 17 MS. SPECTOR: Yeah. You understand not 18 conducted in El Paso County? 18 to disclose the --THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form, and I'm 19 20 going to instruct you not to answer to the extent it 20 A. That we're here if something comes up, we're 21 here if you need us. We meet with them before any involved confidential information regarding 21 22 investigations. elections where there's federal races on the ballot and 22 23 A. No. 23 discuss things that may come up; not necessarily fraud,

24

25

Q. (BY MR. WHITE) Do you believe attempted fraud

24

25

occurs in El Paso County?

but if there's concern over something like aggressive

electioneering or anything like that, we've discussed in

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX N

Transcript of the Testimony of Lisa Wise

Date:

April 15, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO et al. vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Lisa Wise April 15, 2022
Pages 54 to 57

Page 54 Page 56 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 1 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 1 2 A. We received many calls with -- with those 2 A. Correct. 3 3 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) I'd like to shift now to requests. 4 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) And did you require that 4 voting with assistance, and I'd like to take first 5 other voter, that second voter, to also get on the phone 5 in-person voting. 6 and make the request? 6 Based on your familiarity with the 7 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 7 conduct of elections, are there voters who come to vote 8 A. Our policy was yes, to require another verbal 8 in person, but bring someone with them to help them with 9 request. 9 the voting process? 10 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) So after SB 1 went into 10 A. Yes. 11 effect, your office required -- or your office -- your 11 Q. Can you give me some examples of the needs of office required, for example, if one spouse wanted to those voters who are bringing assistors with them? 12 12 request an ABBM for themselves and for their spouse, you MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 13 13 14 required that other spouse to get on the phone and make 14 A. We've had people who are visually impaired and 15 the request as well? 15 maybe don't want the -- to use the audio ballot, and 16 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 16 they need someone to help read the -- the ballot for 17 A. Yes. 17 them. We have had people who maybe speak a language 18 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Did you ever have a that isn't Spanish, and would like some -- would like 18 their spouse or child who speaks Vietnamese to come in 19 situation where a voter told you that they needed to 19 20 make the request on behalf of another individual, 20 with them. 21 because that individual could not make the request 21 We have, I mean, assistance all the way 22 themselves? 22 down to maybe a curbside voter, obviously, in person 23 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 23 that needs -- is unable to enter the polling site. 24 A. We had one request where the wife was next to 24 Those are the -- the biggest ones, assisted -- assists 25 the husband. He had -- he was bedridden and had no use 25 with reading or translation, basically. Page 55 Page 57 of his vocal cords. They took the information from her. Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Do you have some voters who 1 1 I was satisfied that he was wanting the ballot by mail, are Spanish-speaking and limited-English-proficient, and 2 3 so we did send the request in that isolated incident. 3 they come with their own trusted person to vote at the 4 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Okay. In your view, was it 4 polling place? 5 5 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. a reasonable practice to send, proactively, applications 6 for ballot by mail to voters over age 65 who had 6 A. Yes. previously submitted an application for ballot by mail 7 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) And you mentioned that 7 and indicated they wanted ballots throughout the year? 8 somebody might be blind but prefer to use the assistor 8 9 A. Yes. 9 as opposed to an audio ballot, is that right? 10 Q. And would you agree with me that a voter over 10 A. Yes. age 65 is categorically eligible to vote by mail? 11 Q. And might that also be the case, for example, 11 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form, calls for 12 12 for somebody who doesn't hear or communicates in sign 13 language, where they might want to bring their own 13 legal conclusion. A. Yes. 14 person to assist and help them with the voting process? 14 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) So returning back to the MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 15 15 16 year 2020, would it be correct to say then that that 16 A. Yes. 17 year you sent applications for ballot by mail twice, 17 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Is it fair to say that voters who need assistance will rely on their assistors 18 first in January and then later in the year, as you were 18 19 getting closer to the general election? 19 for more than just reading and marking the ballot, for 20 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 20 example, navigating, physically navigating the polling 21 21 place? A. We did. 22 22 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. Q. (BY MS. PERALES) And would it be correct to 23 say then that none of the voters that you proactively 23 A. Yes.

24

25

sent applications for ballot by mail would receive those

from you, proactively, in the year 2022?

24

25

Q. (BY MS. PERALES) So a voter, for example, who

is older might come with their adult child, and lean on

Lisa Wise April 15, 2022

Pages 58 to 61 Page 58 Page 60 that person as they are walking through the polling whether it be rides, whether it be an assistor, and --2 place, is that right? and a lot of times it may happen after church on Sunday, 3 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 3 they go in kind of a community church activity. 4 4 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening in El A. Yes, I've seen that before. 5 5 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Might a voter also, for Paso County? 6 example who uses sign language, bring an assistor to 6 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 7 7 help that voter communicate with poll workers as they're A. I'm not. 8 checking in to vote? 8 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Are you aware of churches in 9 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 9 a less formal way, providing rides to parishioners to 10 A. Yes. 10 the polls, so that they can vote? 11 11 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. Q. (BY MS. PERALES) And similarly with respect 12 to a limited-English-proficient voter, for example who 12 A. I honestly have not seen that here in El Paso 13 speaks Vietnamese, that voter might bring a trusted 13 County. 14 friend or an adult child to speak on their behalf when 14 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) So now switching away from 15 interacting with poll workers, is that correct, during 15 churches to nonprofit get-out-the-vote organizations, 16 the sign-in process, for example? 16 not campaigns or political parties or candidates, but 17 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 17 nonpartisan get-out-the-vote operations -- have you ever 18 18 seen those types of operations offer rides to voters to A. Yes. 19 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) And might a limited-Englishthe polls? 19 20 proficient voter also rely on their assistor to help 20 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 21 explain to them how to use the voting equipment as the 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Have you seen that in terms 22 poll worker is explaining how to use the poll -- the --23 the voting equipment? 23 of rides to individual voters, or rides to groups of 24 24 voters or both? MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 25 25 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form, compound. A. Yes. Page 61 Page 59 1 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) We've talked about assistors A. I've seen the offer by organizations; however, 1 2 being family members, but can an assistor also be a I haven't seen the actual, like, the implementation of 3 neighbor or a trusted friend? that, and so I don't know if it was specific, if they 4 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 4 were picking up a bunch of people, or if they were just 5 5 A. Yes. picking up individuals. 6 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) And do you understand that 6 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Tell me about what you saw 7 the assistor could be anybody, as long as they're not an 7 in terms of an offer, how -- how did you see it? employer, a representative of an employer, a union rep 8 8 Did you see it as an announcement, a 9 or an agent of the union? 9 flyer, something else? 10 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 10 A. I --11 A. Yes. 11 MS. SPECTOR: Object --12 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Have you ever seen a 12 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 13 situation where a voter is assisted by a fellow church 13 MS. SPECTOR: Sorry. 14 member, or a fellow member of a body of worship? 14 Object to form. 15 MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 15 Go ahead. 16 A. I have not. 16 A. I've seen it so the -- I'm thinking about the 17 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Are you familiar with the 17 League of Women Voters right now, they have what they 18 term Souls to the Polls? 18 call the Voters Guide, and on there was an offer, if you MS. SPECTOR: Object to form. 19 19 need a ride to the poll, contact us; on their Facebook 20 20 same thing, if you need a ride, contact us. It --21 21 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) What's your understanding of that's -- that's what I'm using as the basis for that. 22 that? 22 Q. (BY MS. PERALES) Are you aware of any

23

24

25

A. That it's generally an action by a church or

encourages and may even assist voters who need to vote,

synagogue, some religious location or body, that

23

24

25

nonpartisan organizations providing voter assistance to

MS. SPECTOR: Object to form.

voters as the polling place?

Lisa Wise April 15, 2022

Pages 226 to 229 Page 226 Page 228 something that may be a piece of what we do. was my question, more about, like, the process. 1 2 Q. What do you mean, send it out? 2 So, typically, what will happen is a 3 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 3 voter, if a voter requests some sort of accommodation 4 A. It's -- what she did was she -- it was, like, 4 they will call your office, and that's how your -- will 5 an op-ed piece. 5 handle it? 6 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Oh, I see. 6 A. That's, yes. There is also an ADA -- an ADA 7 A. So she sent it to, like, the local paper. If 7 process through the county, where they may count -- call they'll run it, we'll send it, but we'll see if -- if 8 the county admin, but then I'm guessing, depending on 9 that's -- once we're done getting it, tweaking it and 9 what the -- what the request looks like, they would 10 deciding what we want to do, if they'll do that or not. 10 contact me and say this is -- is this something that we 11 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any other instances can handle now, or is this something that has to go, 12 where the Secretary of State's Office has forward --12 basically, through Facilities or something like that? 13 forwarded you op-eds like this? 13 And then she would direct it, if it was something that 14 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 14 was going to take longer, then our county administrator 15 A. No, I don't believe so. 15 --16 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Okay. Just a couple 16 Q. Yeah. 17 more questions. 17 A. -- then it was something where I could just --18 Earlier, you were asked about let's get Facilities to our building and fix it. 18 19 accommodations for voters with disabilities, how -- how Q. Got it. And just to clarify some of the --19 20 does a voter request a reasonable accommodation in El 20 the pronouns --21 Paso County? 21 A. I'm sorry. 22 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 22 Q. -- there -- no, no, no problem. 23 A. Could you give me an example of what -- what 23 So a voter could potentially contact the 24 you would consider, like, the reasonable accommodation? 24 county ADA official --25 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) What would you consider 25 A. Yes. Page 229 Page 227 a reasonable accommodation? 1 Q. -- and that --1 2 2 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. A. So you would -- the form goes on the county 3 A. So -- okay. So, I mean, if -- if there is 3 admin, it's on the county administrator's -- the county 4 ever an issue with a polling site, if there is ever an 4 general page, but there is an ADA coordinator that then 5 issue with they need help or assistance, then if it's 5 would be notified by the county administrator if there 6 something that I can handle right away, I'll handle it. 6 was an issue that we needed that couldn't be remedied 7 But if it's something that -- let's say 7 with just a quick something where they just go out and 8 8 do it. we had a call for -- an example is we had a complaint 9 from one of our east side annex locations. A voter 9 Q. So if there was an accommodation relating to 10 complained that the door was too heavy, that it did not 10 voting that the county official would need your help 11 comply with ADA. This person that was in a wheelchair 11 with, that person would then contact you? 12 said the -- the door is way too heavy, there is no way I 12 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 13 13 can open it. It was a county facility. A. Yes. 14 I basically called our county admin, I 14 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Okay. And your 15 office's website -- sorry, strike that. 15 said this is what they're saying, I don't know if we --16 we have a county ADA coordinator, not an elections ADA 16 You mentioned that the county website 17 coordinator. She went out there, she said yeah, it's 17 would potentially have a form that voters can fill out 18 about this? 18 measuring too heavy, and they -- they fixed it, you --19 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 19 basically within 24 hours. 20 So if -- if that's -- if that's one, then 20 A. There is an election complaint form, and then 21 that's an example. If I can handle it relatively 21 there is an ADA, like, concern form on the county's

22

23

24

website.

Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Okay. Yeah. So that 25

quickly, then the process is you call us and I'll begin

handling it. If it's not a county facility, it's a

22

23

24

25

little bit harder.

Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Does your office have a

similar form for election-related accommodations?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form.

Lisa Wise April 15, 2022
Pages 230 to 233

Page 230 Page 232 1 A. We have election complaint form, but I don't hi, good to see you. You can't see me. We -- yeah, 2 specific ADA. we're in the same class. Do you know about how long you 3 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Okay. How far in 3 are planning to take with her? Because she has had two 4 advance does a voter have to request an accommodation 4 really long days. 5 for you to be able to accommodate it? 5 MR. MALHI: I think under 30 minutes. 6 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 6 MS. SPECTOR: Okay. Okay. Go ahead. 7 7 A. It depends on the accommodation. MR. MALHI: Yeah. I -- I'll try to keep 8 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Okay. 8 it shorter. I -- I -- I appreciate the question. 9 A. So if -- if -- if it's a phys -- it's 9 Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Ms. Wise, shall we jump --10 something to do with the physical space of a county-10 jump right in? 11 11 owned facility, we can usually handle that within 24 Are you ready to go? 12 hours. I mean, it's -- if it doesn't take, like, an out 12 A. I'm ready. 13 -- a build-out of something. 13 MS. SPECTOR: You can face that way. 14 If it's something where it's a facility 14 Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Great. I'd like to pick up 15 that we don't own, a mall that's serving as an early 15 where Mr. White earlier today, just now, left off, and voting site, we could -- we would try and do what we 16 it's -- I want to talk about reasonable accommodations. 16 17 could, but again, I don't know if that would be 17 I think yesterday in your conversation 18 something that would happen immediately. We haven't had with Mr. Jeff White, you had mentioned a few reasonable 18 19 that, we haven't had that happen. 19 accommodations that came to the top of your mind that 20 Q. And who decides whether a request for an 20 you've provided in the past, can you remind me again 21 accommodation is appropriate? 21 what those reasonable accommodations that you've 22 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. provided in the past have been? 22 23 A. Generally, it's been me. 23 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. 24 24 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) It's you? Okay. A. Are you asking about the things like the poll 25 MR. GRAHAM WHITE: Can we go off the 25 pad adjustment, or I am not sure exactly what you mean Page 231 Page 233 record for just a minute? by -- what you're referring --1 1 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off record at 3:49 2 Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Okay. A. -- to. 3 p.m. 3 4 4 (Off the record.) Q. I think the two things that came to mind that 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record at 5 I heard yesterday was, one, about some sort of Braille 6 3:55 p.m. 6 kind of adapting tool for the poll pad, and something 7 Q. (BY MR. GRAHAM WHITE) Ms. Wise, thank you. 7 about, you know, jumping ahead of the line due to a 8 MR. GRAHAM WHITE: I don't have any medical condition. 8 9 further questions, so I will pass the witness to counsel 9 I just wanted to hear about any other 10 for the United States. 10 sorts of reasonable accommodations that have come up in 11 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** 11 the past and your county has provided? BY MR. MALHI: 12 12 A. Okay. Yes. 13 13 MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form. Go Q. Hi Ms. Wise, can you hear me? 14 MS. PERALES: Yes, we can hear you. 14 ahead. 15 A. Okay. So yeah, the one -- the one you're A. Yes. 15 talking about was the Braille. We worked with the El 16 Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Hi Ms. Wise, my name is Jaywin 16 17 Singh Malhi, and I am appearing today as counsel for the 17 Paso Council of the Blind to come up with a -- a sheet 18 to go over the screens on our poll pads so that 18 United States. I recognize that you've had a long, 19 couple of days, a marathon couple of days, and I don't 19 visually-impaired voters could read the poll pad 20 mean to pile on too long and prolong this much longer, 20 language in Braille and then know where to sign. 21 21 We also did the -- I just spoke with but I do have a few topics that I wanted to run through 22 22 with you, with a variety of questions about that --Mr. White about the location where the door was too 23 MS. SPECTOR: Jaywin? 23 heavy, and we adjusted that. We had, we actually had a

24

25

MS. SPECTOR: Jaywin? This is Kelsey,

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) -- so --

24

25

concern at our warehouse where we were doing all the

training, this was not brought up by an attendee of the

Lisa Wise April 15, 2022 Pages 234 to 237

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 234

poll worker trainings, but that the ramp was too steep, 2 so we built a new ramp.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

We've had -- when we had the Disability Rights Texas come out and review all of our sites, they basically provided any site that they thought was not compliant with two options, one would be a quick fix, and one would be a more detailed fix.

So it may be something like if the parking space was not actually painted, you know, if you couldn't see the paint well enough on a handicapped spot, to go out and repaint it. That might -- it -- we ranged from that to -- to moving locations, to regrading a parking lot.

Whatever was in that report, we -- it varied from the guick fix, and we did some for the -the more detailed ones, things like that.

Q. Understood. And about how common are these sorts of requests for reasonable accommodations in the elections?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form.

A. In my experience in this office, pretty rare.

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Understood. You mentioned that you had not -- in your conversation with Mr. White yesterday, you mentioned you didn't receive any such requests for the March 2022 primary, when was the last

Page 236 polling sites, could this fit a wheelchair, would

2 someone be able to turn around, things like that we

received from Disability Rights Texas. But as far as 3 4

the -- the county, specific, no.

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) And now kind of going backwards a little bit, the actual -- before you actually provide the reasonable accommodation, you mentioned that sometimes you are the decision-maker, sometimes it could be someone else is the decisionmaker, is there any training you provide to the actual

decision-makers who have to decide whether to provide

MS. SPECTOR: Object to form.

12 the accommodations or not?

> A. The county has an ADA coordinator, so they've obviously been trained. So if -- let's say I had a complaint about the door handle, she went out there, she -- she knows how heavy it can be by law, measured it, thought that it was too heavy, and then fixed it.

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Understood. Now during your conversation with Ms. Perales earlier today, you discussed various forms of assisting voters that go beyond reading, or marking a ballot or directing a voter to do the same.

Based on your understanding of the law, if a reasonable accommodation request asks to have an

Page 235

time you received such a request?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form.

A. The -- the re -- the latest request was the one that we worked on with the El Paso Council of the Blind for the -- for the sheets for the poll pads, and that came, I believe, last -- last year, and so we worked through that, and that was the most recent one I can remember.

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) And now when you're actually providing those reasonable accommodations, the -- the election staff that provides that -- that -- that sort of accommodation, is there any sort of training you provide to them on how to actually provide the accommodation?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection to form.

16 A. The only training that we -- that we did 17 provide, what came from Disability Rights Texas and El 18 Paso Council of the Blind, where they covered how to 19 possibly, like, lead someone in if they're visually-20 impaired, where to -- you know, where to make sure 21 you're careful to place your hands, how to make sure 22 we're using the audio ballot, how to use the sip-and-23 puff on our machine.

24 A couple of things to look for on, like, width of a door, thinking when we go out to look at Page 237

assistor help a voter, is that assistor required to take 1 2

the assistor oath set forth in SB 1? MR. JEFFREY WHITE: Objection, form.

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal

conclusion.

A. Yes.

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Now, based on your understanding of the law, again, is that still the case if the assistor provides reasonable accommodations that go beyond reading, or marking a ballot or directing the voter to do the same?

MS. SPECTOR: Objection, calls for legal conclusion.

A. I don't know that I have the answer to that. That would be -- that would be an example where I would 16 contact my county attorneys and ask for their opinion or input on it.

Q. (BY MR. MALHI) Understood. Now, earlier today with Ms. Perales you also touched on training you've received from the Secretary of State as to reasonable accommodations, generally, have you received any guidance from the sec -- so not just training, but any sort of formal guidance from the Secretary of State about providing reasonable accommodations to voters with disabilities?

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
v.	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX O

Lisa Wise April 18, 2023

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
 3
                       SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 4
    LA UNION DEL PUEBLO
    ENTERO, et al.,
 5
                   Plaintiffs,
 6
    vs.
 7
                                  ) NO. 5:21-cv-844-XR
    GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et al.,
 8
                   Defendants.
 9
10
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
11
                             LISA WISE
                          April 18, 2023
12
13
                        (REMOTELY REPORTED)
14
15
         The Oral and Videotaped Deposition of LISA WISE,
16
    produced as a witness at the instance of the defendant,
17
    and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and
    numbered cause on the 18th of April, 2023 from 9:13 a.m.
18
19
    to 3:27 p.m., in and for the State of Texas, reported by
20
    machine shorthand, conducted El Paso County Courthouse
21
    500 E. San Antonio, 5th Floor, Suite 503 El Paso, Texas
    79901, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
22
23
    and the provisions stated on the record or attached
24
    hereto.
25
```



Lisa Wise April 18, 2023
Pages 70 to 73

Page 70

1 General Election regarding the ID number requirement as

2 compared to the previous?

MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

4 A. I did, but, again, I think a lot of those

5 voters had already seen it in March, and so they had

6 already -- had the -- they knew what identifier they

7 needed put on -- or they put in an identifier in March

8 that we now had on file. So there was less confusion.

9 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And you included an insert

10 with the ballot materials, correct?

11 A. Yes.

3

12 Q. Did you make any changes to that insert for the

13 November 2022 General Election as compared to the

14 primaries?

15 A. I don't believe so.

16 Q. And did your office engage in any voter

17 education --

18 MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

19 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) -- for the November 2022

20 General Election?

A. We -- we did, but it wasn't -- we didn't have,

22 like, a media package, but we did do things on social

23 media, interviews. Anytime we were out in public at --

24 doing voter registration drives or speaking to groups,

25 we discussed the -- the ID requirement, making sure they

1 seriously?

2 MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And you're aware that voters

Page 72

Page 73

5 with disabilities have the option of requesting an

6 accommodation or change in the normal voting procedures,

7 correct?

8 A. Yes.

Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any

10 request for accomodation regarding any of the provisions

11 in SB1?

12 A. I don't believe so.

13 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any

14 requests for accommodation regarding the requirement

15 that mail-in voters put their Social Security number or

16 Texas ID number on their application for ballot by mail?

17 A. Did we receive a request for accommodation on

18 that? I mean, I don't believe so. I mean, we had

19 people asking questions about that and things like that,

20 but I don't remember anyone asking for an accommodation

21 on that.

22 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any

23 request for accomodation regarding the requirement that

24 mail-in voters put their Social Security number or Texas

25 ID number on their ballot by mail?

Page 71

1 understood the -- that we were asking them to fill both2 out.

3 Q. Is it fair to say then that you incorporated

4 voter education about the ID requirement into your

5 normal voter education practices and procedures?

6 A. Yes.

Q. Does your office have any way for a voter with

8 a disability to file a complaint about accessibility

9 during the General Election?

10 A. I mean, we have things on our website as far

11 as, like, a form, if there's any complaint. It doesn't

12 specifically mention accessibility. But they could fill

13 that out, they could call our office if we have any

14 issues. I believe that's how we usually get any -- any

15 calls from voters, anything like that.

16 Q. And did you receive any ADA complaints

17 regarding the November 2022 General Election?

18 A. I don't believe so.

19 Q. Would you agree that El Paso County works to

20 ensure that its voting program is accessible to voters

21 with disabilities?

22 MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

23 A. Yes.

Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Would you agree with me that

25 El Paso County takes its responsibilities under the ADA

1 A. I don't believe so.

2 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any

3 request for accommodation regarding the requirement that

4 assisters take an oath before assisting a voter?

5 A. No

6 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any

7 request for accommodation regarding any of the

8 procedures related to voting assistance for the

9 November 2022 General Election?

10 MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

11 A. I mean, I don't know if this would be relevant

12 to that, but, I mean, we will have sometimes a voter who

13 the poll workers let come to the front of the line if

14 they have some -- if they have the need, obviously, that

15 they're not able to stand in line. If we have a voter

16 that comes in, we've had before, with, like, an oxygen

17 tank, obviously they're usually moved to the front or

18 they are moved to the front.

19 So we have those situations every election,

20 a couple. But as far as someone calling me and asking

21 for that or something, no, those are handled generally

22 on-site.

23 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And to your knowledge, did

24 your office receive any requests for accommodation

25 regarding the cure process for ballots by mail?



Lisa Wise April 18, 2023

Pages 74 to 77 Page 74 Page 76 MS. LEBEL: Object to form. 1 communication from the United States Department of 2 A. No. 2 Justice regarding any alleged violation of federal law? 3 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) How many full-time employees 3 MR. STEWART: Same objection. 4 MS. LEBEL: Object to form. 4 are in your office? 5 A. Currently, there's 17 besides myself. So 18 A. No. 6 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office receive any 6 with me included. 7 Q. And how many do you hire before an election? 7 communication from the Department of Homeland Security 8 MS. LEBEL: Object to form. 8 regarding any alleged violation of federal law in 9 connection with November 8th, 2022 General Election? A. I apologize. 10 Depends on the election. As far as temps? 10 MR. STEWART: Same objection. 11 Is that what you mean? 11 MS. LEBEL: Object to form. 12 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Yes. 12 A. No. 13 A. Okay. On a small election, it may be 8 to 10. 13 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office receive any 14 On a large election, it -- it could be 25. 14 communications from the Department of State regarding an Q. And how many temporary workers did your office 15 alleged violation of federal law in connection with the 16 hire leading up to the November 2022 General Election? 16 November 8th, 2022 General Election? 17 A. Sorry. I'm thinking in my mind. I believe 12. 17 MR. STEWART: Same objection. 18 18 Q. Is that typical for a general mid-term MS. LEBEL: Object to form. 19 A. No. 19 election? MS. LEBEL: Object to form. This is beyond 20 20 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office contact the 21 the scope of the deposition. 21 Department of Justice regarding any alleged incident of 22 voter fraud in connection with the November 8th, 2022 22 A. I think -- I would say more, we would do around 23 General Election? 23 10. Maybe a couple more this time. 24 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office receive any MS. LEBEL: Object to form. 25 communications from the Department of Justice regarding 25 MR. STEWART: Same objection. Page 77 Page 75 1 an alleged incident of voter fraud that was conducted in A. No. 1 2 connection with the November 2022 General Election? 2 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office contact the 3 Department of Homeland Security regarding any alleged

MR. STEWART: I just want to object on the 4 basis of investigative privilege, to the extent an 5 investigation is ongoing. 6 I'm not instructing the witness not to 7 answer, I'm just putting that objection on the record.

MS. HUNKER: And to clarify, I'm not going 9 to get into the substance of any violations. I just 10 want to know whether or not a violation occurred or a 11 communication occurred.

12

13 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office receive any 14 communication from the Department of Homeland Security 15 regarding any alleged incident of voter fraud in 16 connection with the November 8th, 2022 General Election?

17 MR. STEWART: Same objection.

18 A. No.

19 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office receive any 20 communication from the Department of State regarding an

21 alleged incident of voter fraud in connection with the

22 November 8th, 2022 General Election?

23 MR. STEWART: Same objection.

24 A. No.

25 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office receive any 4 incident of voter fraud in connection with the November

5 8th, 2022 General Election?

6 MR. STEWART: Same objection. 7 MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

8 A. No.

9 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And did your office contact

10 the Department of State regarding any alleged voter

11 fraud conducted in connection with the November 8th,

12 2022 General Election?

MR. STEWART: Same objection.

14 MS. LEBEL: Object to form.

15 A. No.

13

16 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Did your office report any

17 alleged incident of voter fraud to the County District

18 Attorney in connection with the November 8th, 2022

19 General Election?

20 MS. LEBEL: Object to form and object on

21 the basis of investigation privilege.

22 A. So do I answer? The answer is no.

Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Don't give me any substantive 23

24 details --

25 A. Okay. The answer is no. The answer is no.



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX P

Transcript of the Testimony of Isabel Longoria

Date:

April 20, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO V. GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 18 to 21

Page 18 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. If you want to flip back past the congressional races,
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{3}}$ just a couple pages, you will find the results for the Democratic
- 4 primary for governor. Do you see that? It's page 5 of 59.
- 5 A. Yes.

1

- 6 Q. And similarly, the number of ballots cast for the
- 7 election is shown as 167,982; right?
- A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So based on this information, is it fair to say that
- 10 Harris County had more voters participate in the 2022 democratic
- 11 primary than the 2018 Democratic primary?
- 12 A. It would appear comparing the reports in front of me
- 13 that about, if I'm doing my math right, 200 more people
- 14 participated in the '22 Democratic primary than in the 2018.
- 15 Q. Great. We can set that maybe a little aside. Now
- 16 let's do the corresponding one for the Republicans results. Ms.
- 17 Longoria, I'm handing you Exhibit 3.
- 18 (Exhibit Longoria 3 is marked for identification.)
- 19 THE WITNESS: Is it all right if I toss these on
- 20 the floor?

1

- 21 MR. THOMPSON: Sure.
- 22 MR. FOMBONNE: Yeah.
- 23 THE WITNESS: It doesn't matter where I keep them?
- 24 MR. FOMBONNE: No.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

- Page 20 A. It would appear that -- roughly, it looks like 32,000 -
- 2 33,000 more Republican voters in the 2022 primary than in the
- 3 2018 primary.
- 4 Q. Do you know what the Americans with Disabilities Act
- 5 is?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What is your understanding of what that is?
- 8 A. Broadly legislation that was passed to help support
- 9 individuals in the United States who have long term or short-term
- 10 disabilities engage in different activities.
- 11 Q. Do you understand the ADA to prohibit discrimination
- 12 against people with disabilities?
- 13 A. Yes
- 14 Q. Do you understand the ADA to require certain
- 15 accommodations for people with disabilities?
- 16 A Yes
- 17 Q. Do you know what the Rehabilitation Act is?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Does the Harris County Elections Administrator's Office
- 20 comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act?
- 21 MR. FOMBONNE: Objection to form, objection.
- 22 Now you can answer.
- 23 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. If I refer to the Americans with Disabilities Act as

Page 19 MR. FOMBONNE: I can put them together for you in

- 2 a nice pile.
- 3 MR. THOMPSON: It's possible you'll want to refer
- 4 to them later, so you can keep them handy, but --
- 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Gotcha. All right.
- 6 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 7 Q. Ms. Longoria, do you have Exhibit 3 in front of you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is it labeled, "Republican Party Cumulative Official,
- 10 Harris County, Texas Primary Election March 6, 2018"?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Does this appear to be a report corresponding to the
- 13 previous exhibit we looked at?
- 14 A. I can reasonably assume so.
- 15 Q. And so this appears to show the official results for
- 16 the Republican primary in 2018 in Harris County; is that right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Now, if we similarly look at the number of ballots for
- 19 the statewide races just as we did on the previous exhibit, we
- 20 see that there were 156,387 ballots cast in the 2018 Republican
- 21 primary in Harris County; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And so confirming your memory from before, this shows
- 24 that Harris County had more voters participate in the 2022
- 25 Republican primary than in the 2018 Republican primary; correct?

- 1 the ADA for short, will you know what I mean?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Ms. Longoria, I'm going to hand you Exhibit 4 which is

Page 21

- 4 labeled "Notice to Voters with Disabilities."
- 5 (Exhibit Longoria 4 is marked for identification.)
- 6 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 7 Q. Ms. Longoria, can you see Exhibit 4 in front of you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recognize this as a notice from Harris County to
- 10 voters with disabilities?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And as the second sentence itself says, "Harris County
- 15 Elections Department has a grievance procedure providing for
- 16 prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging actions
- 17 prohibited by the ADA." Did I read that correctly?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And it refers to a -- in the second paragraph a
- 20 disability complaint form. Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I'll hand you Exhibit five 5, which is labeled
- 23 "Disability Complaint Form." Can you see Exhibit 5?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

(Exhibit Longoria 5 is marked for identification.)

Page 22

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 22 to 25

1 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)

- Q. Do you recognize that as the disability complaint form
- 3 from the Harris County website?
- 4 A. I'll note that although it seems the printer kind of
- 5 missed a couple characters, I think I can reasonably assume that
- 6 this is from the harrisvotes.org website.
- 7 Q. Thank you. You appear to be correct that the printer
- 8 did not print out the letter "I" in the URL at the bottom of the
- 9 page which is not a computer error I've seen before. Do you
- 10 understand this form to be the disability complaint form referred
- 11 to in the notice to voters with disabilities?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Does your office receive complaints through this
- 14 complaint form from the Harris County website?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What happens when your office receives a complaint
- 17 through that form?
- 18 A. I believe this -- if I remember correctly, this form
- 19 autogenerates an email to an ADA email inbox that members of our
- 20 ADA team or division then review and investigate as appropriate
- 21 for the claims made in the form.
- 22 Q. You just referred to I guess ADA team? Is that the
- 23 proper term for me to use?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. How many members are there on the ADA team in

- 1 employees about ADA compliance?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Does it have a process by which people can request

Page 24

Page 25

- 4 accommodations?
- 5 MR. FOMBONNE: Objection to form.
- 6 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. THOMPSON: What's the objection?
- 9 MR. FOMBONNE: Accommodations for what? I think I
- 10 know what you were asking.
- 11 MR. THOMPSON: Yeah.
- 12 MR. FOMBONNE: I was trying to help you.
- 13 MR. THOMPSON: No, no. You're fair.
- 14 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 15 Q. If a person with a disability wanted to request an
- 16 accommodation from the Harris County Elections Administrator's
- 17 Office, how would that person do it?
- 18 A. Can you help focus who you're referring to as "person"
- 19 in this?
- 20 MR. FOMBONNE: Yeah. Actually, that same
- 21 objection.
- 22 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 23 Q. Let's start with the voters. If a person who wants to
- 24 vote and has a disability wants to request an accommodation, is
- 25 there a process that that person would use in Harris County?

- 1 the Harris County Elections Administrator's Office?
- 2 A. If I remember correctly, there are about four full-time
- 3 employees and another dozen or so of temporary employees.
- 4 Q. So what do they do when they receive the email that you
- 5 refer to being generated from the complaint form?
- 6 A. The ADA coordinator is tasked with following up with
- 7 the person who made the complaint, gathering more information if
- 8 appropriate, investigating those claims, be them about an
- 9 election location, election worker, whatever they may be, and
- 10 then taking appropriate corrective action as needed and also
- 11 logging that complaint to report to the Department of Justice.
- 12 Q. Are these procedures designed to ensure that Harris
- 13 County complies with the ADA?
- 14 A. These procedures are designed to comply with the
- 15 Department of Justice lawsuit regarding ADA accessibility in
- 16 Harris County.
- 17 Q. Does Harris County -- excuse me -- does the ADA team
- 18 have a goal of compliance with the ADA?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What else does the Harris County Elections
- 21 Administrator's Office do to ensure that it complies with the
- 22 ADA?
- 23 A. Could you help focus the question.
- 24 Q. Sure. An example: Does the Harris County Elections
- 25 Administrator's Office have a training program or trains

- 1 A. Yes. A voter can call our office, email our office, or
- 2 appear in our office in person and request for the election of
- 3 question whatever accommodation they would like or feel is
- 4 appropriate to help them vote using our voting equipment and
- 5 machines.
- 6 Q. And what does your office do in response to those
- 7 requests?
- 8 A. We comply with those requests.
- 9 Q. Do you always grant accommodations that are requested?
- 10 A. I cannot think of a moment where we have not.
- 11 Q. Who decides whether a request for an accommodation will
- 12 be granted or denied?
- 13 A. The ADA team reviews the request and depending on what
- 14 it is, they may or may not need to, you know, ask for additional
- 15 resources to comply, but the ADA team.
- 16 Q. And so far as you're aware, the ADA team has never
- 17 decided to deny a request for an accommodation from a voter
- 18 requesting an accommodation related to a disability to vote?
- 19 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 20 Q. To your knowledge, did anyone request an accommodation
- 21 related to voting in the March 2022 primary elections?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Can you list the accommodations requested that you're
- 24 aware of?
- 25 A. I can't remember the specific voters' names or dates,

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 26 to 29

1

Page 26

- 1 but as I remember, the voting machines we have allow for
- 2 connections of adaptive devices in order to use the machines for
- 3 things called puffers or different joysticks. Voters who visited
- 4 the different locations may have asked the judge who then called
- 5 the ADA division to ensure that their adaptive devices be those
- 6 puffers, joysticks, et cetera, could be connected to the election
- 7 machines, which they were and then allowed to be used.
- 8 Q. Just so I understand what you're talking about, is a
- 9 puffer a device that helps someone who cannot use his hands
- 10 operate a voting machine?
- 11 A. I believe a puffer is a device that can be manipulated
- 12 through the use of a puff of air from your mouth in order to
- 13 manipulate a mechanical device that then connects to an
- 14 electronic device.
- 15 Q. And the other adaptive devices that you were
- 16 describing, in what situations would a voter need to use those?
- 17 A. Similarly, if for different physical restraints that an
- 18 individual might have, they may need to use adaptive joysticks,
- 19 mechanical devices if they are unable to use the touchscreen on
- 20 our voting machine or unable to use our wheel and button system
- 20 our voting machine or unable to use our wheel and button sys
- 21 that attaches to those voting machines.
- 22 Q. Okay. So you've mentioned multiple requests for
- 23 accommodations from voters with disabilities relating to the use
- 24 of adaptive devices being connected to voting machines for in-
- 25 person voting. Are you aware of any other requests for

- Page 28 A. I know it's definitely more than a dozen. I don't know
- 2 what the upper limit is right now.
- 3 Q. Would you have forms -- excuse me. Would you have
- 4 records revealing that number somewhere?
- 5 A. Yes. We would -- we maintain call logs of people who
- 6 call to the office that may or may not have notes about what
- 7 their requests were.
- 8 Q. So if we wanted to know the number of people who
- 9 requested that kind of accommodation, would the best way to
- 10 figure it out be to review those call logs and read the notes
- 11 included there?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So I want to make sure I understand kind of how this
- 14 form process works. It sounds like you're saying kind of the
- 15 normal process without an accommodation is to either submit the
- 16 form online using a state website or to appear in person at a
- 17 local Harris County office; is that correct?
- 18 A. Can you clarify your question.
- 19 Q. Sure. As I understood your previous testimony, you
- 20 were talking about situations in which a voter or a would-be
- 21 voter wants to cure a problem related to an ID number listed on a
- 22 mail-in ballot. Is that what you were talking about?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And when a would-be voter wants to go through that cure

Page 29

25 process, there are two options without any requested

- 1 accommodations related to voting in the March 2022 primary
- 2 elections?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what are those?
- A. Individuals who needed to submit a form to our office
- 6 regarding mail ballot voting would have needed to appear in
- 7 person. Some individuals requested that they be able to email
- 8 those forms in, and, you know, we were not able to allow that,
- 9 but if they were able to appear in person, we could accommodate 10 them.
- 11 Q. What forms were at issue in these requests?
- 12 A. If an individual needs to cure their mail ballot and it
- 13 was deficient because they didn't include an ID number, in the
- 14 cure period, the only way that they can cure that form is two
- 15 ways: Either go online to the Secretary of State's website. If
- 16 they can't access that, then they would have to appear in person
- 17 at the local office.
- 18 Q. And how many voters requested an accommodation related
- 19 to submitting this form?
- 20 A. I don't remember exactly off the top of my head how
- 21 many calls we received.
- 22 Q. Can you ballpark an estimate? Like fewer than ten?
- 23 More than a million?
- 24 A. More than a dozen.
- 25 Q. Fewer than a hundred?

- 1 accommodations; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. One of those options is to submit a form using a state
- 4 website online; is that correct?
- 5 A. No
- 6 Q. Could you help me understand what I misunderstood 7 there.
- 8 A. Not necessarily that there's a form. The secretary of
- 9 state maintains a mail ballot tracking and curing website by
- 10 which individuals if they have the appropriate ID numbers can
- 11 access that website, update, or confirm their information. That
- 12 website then generates an email or form that our office receives
- 13 on behalf of that voter.
- 14 Q. Okay. So talking still about the cure process you
- 15 referred to earlier, the first option is to use an official state
- 16 website; is that right?
- 17 A. Sure.
- 18 Q. And the second option is to appear in person at a local
- 19 Harris County office; is that right?
- 20 A. At the main Harris County office, only one office.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, as I understand your previous testimony,
- 22 you were saying that some voters wanted to be able to go through
- 23 the cure process using some third option; is that right?
- A. No. Not that they want to, but that their only way to
- 25 do it would be able -- would -- the two ways -- the two ways

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 34 to 37

Page 34

- 1 Elections Administrator's Office who'd be able to provide that
- 2 testimony; can you?
- 3 MR. FOMBONNE: Form.
- 4 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 5 A. It's a double negative. I would be able to piece
- 6 together that information over time, but I can't tell you in this
- 7 moment exactly the number of percentage of voters who would have
- 8 ultimately cured their ballot.
- 9 Q. How would you piece together that information over 10 time?
- 11 A. As you shared earlier, by going through the call logs,
- 12 seeing which voters are associated with those call logs, and then
- 13 cross-referencing which of those voters ultimately cured or did
- 14 not cure their mail ballot.
- 15 Q. Thank you for explaining that. I'm sure it was simpler
- 16 to you than it was to me.
- 17 A. No. I'm just trying to be accurate to your questions.
- 18 Q. So we've talked about two types of accommodation
- 19 requests so far. We've talked about the adaptive devices
- 20 connected to voting machines, and we've talked about the cure
- 21 process for mail-in ballots. Are there any other requests for
- 22 accommodation of which you were aware related to voting in the
- 23 March 2022 primary elections?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Earlier you asked me a clarifying question about who

- 1 longer periods of time, accommodations in their workspace
- 2 regarding chairs or tables or job functions. Perhaps because of
- 3 their limitations, they may not be able to work outside as
- 4 greeters, so they prefer jobs that are inside. Generally, making
- 5 sure that the tasks that they are tasked with are reasonable for
- 6 their limitations.
- 7 Q. Any others?
- 8 A. N
- 9 Q. Was the Harris County Elections Administrator's Office
- 10 able to grant the requested accommodations from poll workers?
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. You don't think there were any that were denied for
- 13 poll workers?
- 14 A. Not by our office.
- 15 Q. I want to follow up on something we talked about --
- 16 well, first, let me close this out. I'm sorry. Are there any
- 17 other requests for accommodations for people with disabilities
- 18 related to the March 2022 primary elections that you're aware of
- 19 that we have not discussed yet today?
- 20 A. For voters?
- 21 Q. No. For anybody.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What are they?
- 24 A. For employees, employees in our office have through the
- 25 Harris County Human Resources Department requested reasonable

Page 35

- 1 was requesting accommodations, and we specified that we're
- 2 talking about voters. Now, let's talk about nonvoters. So
- 3 considering first, assistance, people who provide assistance
- 4 under the election code, are you aware of any requests for5 accommodations from people who provide assistance under the
- 6 election code?
- 7 A. I'm not aware, no.
- 8 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodations from
- 9 poll watchers?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodations from
- 12 poll workers?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Could you explain those requests to me.
- 15 A. As I remember it, in order to receive training from the
- 16 election office, poll workers have requested, for example, ASL
- 17 interpreters or Zoom trainings with text or captions at the
- 18 bottoms so that those who are hearing impaired may be able to
- 19 follow along with the instruction provided in those election
- 20 training courses.
- 21 Q. Any other requests for accommodations from poll
- 22 workers?
- 23 A. If it can be classified as such, generally, individuals
- 24 who because of different maybe physical restrictions may need
- 25 jobs at the election sites that require perhaps sitting for

Page 37

- 1 accommodations to complete their jobs, such as adaptive devices
- 2 to read computer screens, again, duties that they can perform
- 3 based on their certain limitations, be it on election night or
- 4 throughout the early voting period, similar types of
- 5 accommodations which we absolutely provide to our workers.
- 6 Q. Okay. Anything besides those?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Now I want to go back to where we were talking about
- 9 the cure process for mail ballots. At one point in your
- 10 testimony, it seemed like you were saying that your office has
- 11 never denied a request for an accommodation for a voter with
- 12 disability. Do you remember that?
- 13 A. I believe I said when voting in person.
- 14 Q. Okay. So that's a good clarification. I may have
- 15 missed that before. Your previous testimony about -- well, let
- 16 me just -- forget the previous testimony. I'll ask it again to
- 17 make sure I have it right. The Harris County Elections
- 18 Administrator's Office, to your knowledge, has never denied a
- 19 request for an accommodation for a voter with a disability
- 20 related to in-person voting; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yeah, absent from knowing all infinite possibilities,
- 22 yes, generally, yes.
- 23 Q. You're not aware of any situations in which your office
- 24 has denied a request for an accommodation by a voter with
- 25 disabilities led to in-person voting; right?

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 38 to 41

Page 38 A. Correct.

- 2 Q. And the only requests from voters with disabilities for
- 3 accommodations related to voting that you're aware of that have
- 4 been denied relate to the cure process for mail ballots; is that
- 5 correct?

1

- 6 A. It's more accurate to say that the only accommodations
- 7 for voters with disabilities that we are not allowed by law to
- 8 provide is for voters who are carrying their mail ballot.
- 9 Q. So I think I understand that what you're trying to say
- 10 is you felt compelled by law to reach that outcome, but I have to
- 11 make sure that there aren't any other situations where you denied
- 12 a request where you didn't feel compelled. So I'm going to try
- 13 and reformulate the question a little bit.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. The only situations in which your office has not
- 16 granted a request for an accommodation to a voter with a
- 17 disability related to voting are in the context of the cure
- 18 process for a mail ballot; correct?
- 19 A. I think that's fair to say, yes.
- 20 Q. And the reason your office didn't grant those requests
- 21 for accommodation is because your office believed that SB1
- 22 prohibited it from granting those accommodations; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Are you familiar with section 1.08 of SB1?

- 1 apart to read it better. I'm -- go ahead.
 - Q. I'm going to read the provision to you and I'll ask you
- 3 to confirm that I've read it correctly.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Section 1.022, "Reasonable accommodation or
- 6 modification. A provision of this code may not be interpreted to
- 7 prohibit or limit the right of a qualified individual with a
- 8 disability from requesting a reasonable accommodation or
- 9 modification to any election standard, practice, or procedure
- 10 mandated by law or rule that the individual is entitled to
- 11 request under federal or state law." Did I read that correctly?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Before you looked at this exhibit, were you aware of
- 14 section 1.08 of SB1?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What is your understanding of that provision?
- 17 A. That this law can't be interpreted to limit the right
- 18 of a qualified individual with a disability from reasonable
- 19 access to voting, more or less.
- 20 Q. If you concluded that a voter was entitled to an
- 21 accommodation under the ADA but that the requested accommodation
- 22 otherwise would violate SB1, do you have an understanding of what
- 23 effect section 1.08 of SB1 would have?
- MR. FOMBONNE: I'll instruct you not to answer to
- 25 the extent it will reveal any privileged communications with your

Page 39

- 1 A. You'll have to pull up the text.
- Will, can I put Exhibits 4 and 5 aside now?
- 3 Q. (Nods head affirmatively.)
- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. Ms. Longoria, I'm going to hand you Exhibit 6, which is
- 6 another lengthy one. It's the text of SB1.
- 7 (Exhibit Longoria 6 is marked for identification.)
- 8 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 9 Q. Ms. Longoria, can you see Exhibit 6 in front of you?
- 10 A. Yes
- 11 Q. Do you see in the top right-hand corner it says, "S.B.
- 12 No. 1"?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then just below that it says, "An Act relating to
- 15 election integrity and security, including by preventing fraud in
- 16 the conduct of elections in this state; increasing criminal
- 17 penalties; creating criminal offences"?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to section 1.022, which is
- 20 -- it begins on the bottom of page 4 of this exhibit.
- 21 A. (Complies.) Okay.
- 22 Q. I'm sorry. To clarify that, for the record, it is
- 23 section 1.08 of SB1 which codifies section 1.022 of the Texas
- 24 Election Code. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes. Give me one moment. I'm going to tear this thing

- 1 counsel, but otherwise you can answer.
- 2 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 3 A. Would you mind repeating that question.
- 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what effect this
- 5 provision, section 1.08 of SB1, or section 1.022 of the election
- 6 code, would have in a situation where you concluded that a voter
- 7 was entitled to an accommodation under the ADA, but that the
- 8 requested accommodation was otherwise inconsistent with SB1?
- 9 MR. FOMBONNE: Same instruction.
- 10 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 11 A. I'm still getting lost in the question. So I'm going
- 12 to repeat it. Are you asking me if I understand if this
- 13 provision is not met, what that would mean?
- 14 Q. I don't think that's the question. I'll try again.
- 15 MR. FOMBONNE: Yeah.
- 16 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 17 Q. I want you to think about a situation in which you
- 18 would conclude that the ADA entitles the voter to an
- 19 accommodation, but that the requested accommodation is
- 20 inconsistent with some provision of SB1. Does that part make
- 21 sense?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Would you provide the accommodation in that situation?
- 24 A. I would --
- 25 MR. FOMBONNE: Same instruction.

Page 41

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 42 to 45

7

1 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)

- 2 A. I would find myself in such a conflict that I would
- 3 need to request advice from the county attorney or secretary of
- 4 state just like I previously have.
- 5 Q. Do you have an understanding -- I'm sorry. What was 6 the word you used? Conflict?
- A. That if there was a conflict between being able to
- 8 provide that accommodation as requested versus SB1 not allowing
- 9 my office to provide that accommodation, I would need to seek
- 10 advice from the secretary of state and county attorney as best
- 11 appropriate.
- 12 Q. Okay. So if you found an apparent conflict between ADA
- 13 and SB1 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- what effect, if any, would section 1.08 of SB1 have
- 16 on your decision?
- 17 MR. FOMBONNE: Same instruction.
- 18 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- 19 A. It would -- as I did, I called the secretary of state,
- 20 and it would cause me to call the secretary of state and county
- 21 attorney to ask for guidance on which provision essentially takes
- 22 precedent if there's conflicting provisions in this law.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, it sounds like you may have already
- 24 answered this, but have you, in fact, found yourself in this
- 25 situation?

Page 42 Page 44 1 accommodations to voters. And I was instructed by the secretary

- 2 of state at that time as were the rest of the election officials
- 3 that to the extent -- basically, I was instructed that they were
- o that to the extent backering, I was mended that they were
- 4 aware of this provision, but that they felt that other sections 5 of Senate Bill 1 superseded this provision including the cure
- 6 process for mail ballots which I raised specifically.
 - Q. Do you remember the precise wording of your question?
 - A. My precise wording was, if I remember correctly, "SB1
- 9 contains a provision saying that reasonable accommodations must
- 10 be made to voters with disabilities so that they can't be held --
- 11 you know, that their rights to vote must be upheld. Isn't it
- 12 true that, especially for the curing mail ballot process,
- 13 individuals wouldn't be able to cure in person? By definition of
- 14 having to request a mail ballot, they've already ceded that
- 15 point. What do you want us to do in that situation where we
- 16 cannot provide accommodations per the law? Doesn't that hurt
- 17 voters with disabilities?"
- 18 Q. And who answered your question if anyone?
- 19 A. I believe it was Keith Ingram.
- 20 Q. And do you remember as precisely as you can what he
- 21 said in response?
- 22 A. I believe he said that he was aware of that provision
- 23 and that the secretary of state's office would come out with
- 24 direction later on for the timing. That conference was in
- 25 August, and so the provision -- I can't remember if the law had

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Can you describe the situation in which you thought
- 3 there was an apparent conflict between the ADA and SB1.
- 4 A. Yes. And as I mentioned earlier in my testimony, for
- 5 voters who need to cure their mail ballot and to just cut ahead
- 6 right, SB1 has new provisions that require ID in the curing
- 7 process for mail ballots. For those individuals who need an 8 accommodation that is not the form online and that is not
- 9 appearing in person, I would assume our office would be directed
- 10 to provide that accommodation, but I was told by the secretary of
- 11 state to not provide those accommodations.
- 12 Q. Please tell me about this communication with the
- 13 secretary of state's office.
- 14 A. I believe it was in August at the State Conference of
- 15 Elections Administrators. The secretary of state's office, I
- 16 believe it was Keith Ingram and Christina Adkins, took questions
- 17 from the crowd, and the crowd being a crowd of elections
- 18 administrators, there for their professional conference,
- 19 regarding provisions of Senate Bill 1 and how they were to be
- 20 implemented and what questions we as election officials had in
- 21 that forum, I in that moment specifically raised this conflict in
- 22 front of the group and asked for directions and stated that I
- 23 believed that because of the reasonable -- this section point --
- 24 I don't -- this reasonable accommodation provision, that we were
- 25 compelled by law regardless of other provisions of SB1 to provide

- Page 45

 1 been passed at that point or they were still working on
- 2 direction. But he seemed to annotate that -- or he seemed to
- 3 hint that they were aware of the provision and that direction
- 4 would come out at a later time.
- 5 Q. So he didn't provide an answer one way or the other at 6 the conference?
- 7 A. He I would say punted the question to say he was aware
- 8 of the provision and that direction would come out at a later 9 time.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did direction come out at a later time?
- 11 A. Advisories and trainings came out at a later time about
- 12 Senate Bill 1 broadly, yes.
- 13 Q. Did you interpret any of the direction that came at a
- 14 later time to answer the precise question you had asked at the 15 conference?
- 16 A. When raising those advisories or trainings and asking
- 17 again if individuals -- how individuals with -- sorry -- how
- 18 individuals wishing to cure their mail ballot, what options were
- 19 available to them? As I understand it, the secretary of state
- 20 has made it clear in those advisories and those trainings that
- 21 individuals can access the state website if they're able to
- 22 access it, individuals can appear in person ahead of -- well, you
- $23\,$ know, individuals can mail back their envelope before the cure
- 24 process, and then in that cure process they can appear in person.
- 25 I think recently, if I'm not mistaken, two days ago or yesterday,

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 46 to 49

Page 46

- 1 an advisory came out saying to the effect that maybe offices
- 2 would be allowed to deliver those carrier envelopes directly to
- 3 voters. But that was two days ago and not before the March 4 primary.
- 5 Q. Okay. I'm going to try and go untie my order here.
- 6 A. Yeah, yeah. Sorry. Yeah.
- 7 Q. There's a communication from the secretary of state's
- 8 office that you think happened in the last couple days; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What is the name of that communication or how could we
- 11 identify it?
- 12 A. I believe it's broadly, you know, an advisory
- 13 pertaining to updated procedures regarding the mail ballot cure
- 14 process.
- 15 Q. You think it's an election advisory?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. I think election advisories usually have numbers
- 18 kind of as part of their title. Do you know the number of this
- 19 election advisory?
- 20 A. Oh, boy. No, not off the top of my head.
- 21 Q. Okay. But your best understanding sitting here today
- 22 is that you're referring to an election advisory issued sometime
- 23 around April 18, 2022 or April 19, 2022?
- 24 A. Yes. I'm very certain it was in the last 48 hours.
- 25 Q. Okay. And the election advisory from the last 48 hours

- Page 48 1 resources, could then hand deliver these materials to a voter at
- 2 their home or residence.
- 3 A. So earlier when we were talking about the cure process,
- 4 we talked about two options, one was online and the other was for
- 5 the voter to appear in person at the Harris County Elections
- 6 Administrator's Office; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And this recent election advisory from the past 48
- 9 hours discusses a third option; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And the third option is for someone from the Harris
- 12 County Elections Administrator's Office to go see the voter in
- 13 person with a form the voter can fill out?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Earlier we discussed requests for accommodation related
- 16 to the mail ballot cure process during the March 2022 primary
- 17 elections. Do remember that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Would this third option from the secretary of state's
- 20 recent election advisory have been a way to grant those requests
- 21 for accommodation?

Q. Why not?

- 22 A. It would have been an option available to the office.
- 23 Yes.
- 24 Q. It's something that would have been possible for your
- 25 office to do?

Page 47

- 1 provided the secretary of state's position on accommodations
- 2 required by the ADA that would otherwise violate SB1; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. I apologize. No. The advisory updates, procedures,
- 5 forms regarding the mail ballot cure process. It generally
- 6 updates all provisions regarding forms and procedures of the mail7 ballot cure process.
- 8 Q. Okay. So the election advisory from the last 48 hours
- 9 talks about the mail ballot cure process; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Does it mention the ADA?
- 12 A. I can't remember if it mentions the ADA specifically.
- 13 Q. Does it mention requests for accommodations related to
- 14 disabilities?
- 15 A. I can't remember if it says, you know, exactly the
- 16 words accommodations and disabilities.
- 17 Q. Do you understand it to be discussing an option that
- 18 you could use for voters who have requested accommodations due to
- 19 disabilities related to the mail ballot cure process?
- A. I understand that as it gives directions, it says that
- 21 one possibility for offices, were they able to, would be to hand
- 22 deliver these forms to voters.
- 23 Q. That's it?
- A. As in if an individual was unable to appear in person
- 25 in our office, an election office, should they have the

1 A. No.

2

- A. There are thousands of mail ballot voters in Harris
- 4 County and we would have to examine our staff capacity, our time
- 5 capacity to be able to visit voters at their home in order to
- 6 provide this option because this option wasn't relegated
- 7 specifically to voters with disabilities, if I remember
- 8 correctly. This would be an option that we could use for any
- 9 voter. And so in an effort to apply that standard equally, the
- 10 question would be whether or not we have the resources to engage
- 11 in that option reasonably in Harris County.
- 12 Q. So if I understand your testimony correctly, it's
- 13 physically possible to do. It just might be prohibitively
- 14 expensive to provide that option to everybody; right?
- 15 A. Not just cost, but the logistics of, yes, hiring those
- 16 people, organizing those people, organizing that program,
- 17 checking that program, training those people. Cost is not the
- 18 only prohibitive factor.
- 19 Q. So I'll reframe the question to make it broader.
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. If I understand your testimony correctly, it would be
- 22 physically possible to use this third option laid out by the
- 23 secretary of state's election advisory, but it might be
- 24 prohibitively difficult or expensive for your office to provide
- 25 that option to everyone; is that fair?

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022 Pages 50 to 53

Page 50

- A. Yes. Yes. Sorry for cutting you off. Yes.
- 2 MR. FOMBONNE: And we've been going on I think
- 3 over an hour. I don't know if you're at like a breaking point, a
- 4 natural breaking point coming up. I don't know if you need a
- 5 break, Isabel, or --
- 6 MR. THOMPSON: I'm perfectly fine taking a break 7 if you'd like.
- THE WITNESS: You're good? Yeah, I don't want to
- cut you off if you're on your questions. Okay. Thank you.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:26. 10
- 11 (Off the record from 10:26 a.m. to 10:34 a.m.)
- 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:34.
- 13 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
- Q. All right. Welcome back, Ms. Longoria. When we broke
- 15 we were discussing potential difficulties and expenses of
- 16 providing what we'd call the third option for the mail ballot
- 17 cure process. Do you remember that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And the third option was recently laid out in a
- 20 secretary of state election advisory; correct?
- 21
- 22 Q. And the third option would involve somebody who works
- 23 for the elections administrator's office visiting a potential
- 24 voter in person to provide a form for use in the cure process; is
- 25 that correct?

Page 51

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. I believe you were testifying about the difficulties
- 3 and expenses your office would face if you provided that option
- 4 to everyone going through the cure process; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Would the difficulties and expenses be lessened if you
- 7 provided the option only to voters who are entitled to disability
- 8 -- excuse me. Scratch that. Would the difficulty and expenses
- 9 be lessened if your office provided that option only to voters
- 10 who are entitled to accommodations for disabilities?
- 11 A. I think yes.
- Q. Earlier we discussed that during the March 2022 primary
- 13 you think there were roughly a dozen people or so who requested
- 14 accommodations related to the mail ballot cure process; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. At least a dozen, yes.
- 17 Q. Would it be prohibitively difficult or expensive for
- 18 your office to provide the third option as an accommodation to
- 19 the number of people who requested disability accommodations
- 20 related to the mail ballot cure process during the 2022 primary
- 21 elections?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Please explain that answer.
- A. At the time that these accommodations are needed,
- 25 during the curing process, the six days after election day, we're

- Page 52 1 also going through the provisional cure process, provisional
- 2 processing, canvass period, certification period, tallying, and
- 3 counting period as appropriate and conducting so many functions.
- 4 especially with the mail ballot and voter registration teams,
- 5 that it would be -- I would have to make a very careful analysis
- 6 of the number of people who were out in the field delivering
- 7 these letters versus in the office being able to perform these
- 8 time-sensitive functions.
- Q. So when you say you need to provide analysis, is it
- 10 fair to say you would need to do that analysis in order to figure
- 11 out whether it'd be feasible?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So sitting here today, you're not -- you're not sure
- 14 whether it'd be feasible; right?
- 15 A. Having just learned about this in two days, I think it
- 16 would be highly contextual dependent upon the accommodation
- 17 requested, the time period that it was requested, and the other
- 18 functions that we're statutorily obligated to perform in that
- 19 time period as well.
- 20 Q. Yeah, I understand you learned about this recently.
- 21 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 22 Q. I'm not trying to suggest that you have to know the
- 23 answer already. Would it be more feasible if you had extra
- 24 funding, for example?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

- Page 53 Q. Who provides the funding for your office?
- 2 A. Harris County Commissioners Court approves the budget
- 3 for the elections administration office.
- 4 Q. Does that money come from local taxes, for example?
- 5 A. It comes from Harris County, whatever methods they have 6 of getting money.
- 7 Q. Okay. We were some time ago going through the
- 8 communications you received from the secretary of state's office
- 9 regarding the cure process and the one that was latest in time
- 10 was this election advisory from either April 18, 2022 or April
- 11 19, 2020. Do you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. But I believe you said there were other communications
- 14 from the secretary of state's office that you thought were
- 15 relevant to your ability to provide accommodations to voters who
- 16 requested accommodations related to the cure process; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. I'll clarify. There were other advisories that came
- 19 out about the implementations of Senate Bill 1, including the
- 20 cure process, not that I can remember specifically designated
- 21 towards voters with disabilities of providing accommodations.
- 22 Q. Thank you for that clarification.
- 23 Q. Were all of the communications that you're referring to
- 24 election advisories?
- A. No. 25

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 62 to 65

Page 62

- 1 assistants or the interpreters that need to take an oath. But it
- 2 would be reasonable to assume that if I remember correctly, one
- 3 of them needs to administer an oath or take an oath.
- 4 Q. Regardless of who has to take the oath, are you aware
- 5 of an oath related to either assistance or interpretation under
- 6 the election code?
- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And what is your understanding of that oath?
- 9 A. That the assistant who has been requested by the voter
- 10 or assigned by the voter must generally take an oath, and I can't
- 11 remember the exact wording, to essentially say, you know, they
- 12 won't -- I believe that they will assist the voter directly as
- 13 the voter wants without influencing the voter's ultimate decision
- 14 in voting.
- 15 Q. And we discussed earlier how a voter can either receive
- 16 assistance from election workers or someone of his choosing; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And the election workers don't have to take the oath;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I can't remember specifically if the election workers
- 22 have to take the oath or not. I'll clarify: Election workers do
- 23 have to take an oath in performing their duty as an election
- 24 worker. I cannot remember if they have to then take another oath
- 25 if they are the requested assistant by the voter.

- 1 A. No.
 - 2 Q. Are you aware that the election code prohibits counting

Page 64

Page 65

- 3 a ballot if the voter who cast the ballot was not eligible for
- 4 the assistance he received?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. To the best of your knowledge, has your office ever not
- 7 counted a ballot because of unauthorized assistance?
- A. Can you repeat the question, please.
- 9 Q. To the best of your knowledge, has your office ever not
- 10 counted a ballot because of unauthorized assistance?
- 11 A. I'm getting lost in the double negative, so I'll
- 12 respond. I don't believe we have ever counted a ballot, to the
- 13 best of my knowledge, that we knew about whether the assistance
- 14 was allowed or not.
- 15 Q. I think we probably got lost, so I'm going to try
- 16 again.
- 17 A. Okay. Go ahead.
- 18 Q. Are there situations in which your office does not
- 19 count a ballot as an effective ballot that contributes to the
- 20 vote totals?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Has your office ever not counted a ballot in that way
- 23 because of the voter receiving unauthorized assistance?
- 24 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 25 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodations

- 1 Q. The oath you know about, what is the content of that 2 oath?
- 3 A. The oath generally says that those election workers
- 4 will perform the duties of, you know, the election code and will
- 5 promise not to violate the law, you know, otherwise generally
- 6 assist voters without influencing the outcome of an election.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of any complaints that your office has
- 8 received related to the oath that people providing assistance
- 9 take?
- 10 A. Complaints or -- can you clarify.
- 11 Q. Has anyone ever complained to your office, to the best
- 12 of your knowledge, about the oath related to providing
- 13 assistance?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Are you aware of anyone ever objecting to the content
- 16 of the oath before taking it?
- 17 A. I personally am not, no.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of anyone ever refusing to take the oath?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Are you aware of any voter who has not been able to
- 21 vote because he could not find someone to provide assistance?
- 22 A. Provide assistance? No.
- 23 Q. Are you aware of any voter who's ever not been able to
- 24 vote because he could not get adequate assistance from someone
- 25 providing assistance?

- 1 related to disabilities and the oath?
 - 2 MR. FOMBONNE: Objection to form.
 - 3 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
 - 4 A. Disabilities and the oath. I don't think so, no.
 - 5 MR. THOMPSON: What was objection?
 - 6 MR. FOMBONNE: It was vague and confusing.
 - 7 (BY MR. THOMPSON:)
 - 8 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodation from a
 - 9 voter with a disability where the accommodation requested related
 - 10 to concerns about the oath?
 - 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Are you aware of any requests for an accommodation from
- 13 a person who would like to provide assistance?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodation related
- 16 to the types of assistance that are provided?
- 17 A. Say that one again.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodation related
- 19 to the types of assistance that are provided?
- 20 A. Request for accommodations for the type of assistance
- 21 that are provided. Request for accommodation is a type of
- 22 assistance -- can you clarify that question a bit more.
- 23 Q. Sure.
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Let me try and make it clear. So you understand that

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 66 to 69

Page 66

- 1 sometimes someone with a disability who wants there to be a
- 2 change in your normal procedures; right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And we call that a request for an accommodation; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And there are sort of normal rules and procedures
- 7 related to what types of assistance can be provided; correct?
- A. Sure, yes.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of any request for accommodation that
- 10 relate to the types of assistance that are provided?
- 11 A. If you can clarify what you mean by when you say by
- 12 "the types of assistance." That's --
- 13 Q. Sure. Let me give you an example.
- 14 A. Sure.
- 15 Q. Has anyone ever asked your office for an accommodation,
- 16 saying, "I know that your normal rules allow only assistance with
- 17 reading and marking the ballot, but I would like to receive
- 18 assistance in some other way because of my disability."
- 19 A. Because of a disability? No.
- 20 Q. Have you received requests for accommodation -- sorry.
- 21 Let me -- strike that. Based on the clarification I just gave,
- 22 do you now know what I mean by types of assistance provided?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And I think your testimony is you're not aware of any
- 25 requests for accommodation that would alter the types of

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So the requests for accommodation that you're referring

Page 68

- 3 to on grounds other than disability are based on getting an
- 4 accurate translation from the language the ballot is printed in
- 5 into the native language of the voter; is that fair?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Has Harris County been able to grant those requests for
- 8 accommodation?
- 9 A. Sorry. I need to -- I need to rollback that answer.
- 10 If I'm understanding your question, now that we're fleshing it
- 11 out, there are voters who request assistance from election
- 12 workers who have asked election workers who is this candidate,
- 13 what is this position, what is this ballot matter, and have
- 14 requested assistance from election workers in interpreting what
- 15 is on the ballot. So those election workers know and are trained
- 16 not to provide that assistance by law.
- 17 Q. So I want to make sure I understand --
- 18 A. Yeah
- 19 Q. -- what scenario you're talking about. Are you
- 20 referring to situations which a voter says, "What are the
- 21 positions of candidate John Smith? I want to figure out whether
- 22 I should vote for him"?
- 23 A. Uh-huh (affirmative response). Usually, it pertains to
- 24 bond language. But yes, you know, what is this bond language?
- 25 What does this bond pertain to?

- 1 assistance that can be provided; is that correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And you said that there were no such requests that you
- ${\small 4\ \ were\ aware\ of\ related\ to\ accommodations\ by\ reason\ of\ disability;}\\$
- 5 is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of any requests for accommodation related
- 8 to the types of assistance provided on grounds other than
- 9 disability?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What are those grounds?
- 12 A. Language assistance for individuals who, for example,
- 13 speak Korean. We are allowed to provide translators or
- 14 interpreters by law who can speak English, Spanish, Chinese, or
- 15 Vietnamese at our voting locations, but they would have to seek
- 16 assistance from someone else who could speak that language. So,
- 17 Korean, for example, is not one that we provide voting materials
- 18 in, but that voter may want assistance in their language, and in
- 19 doing so being able to read or understand what is on the ballot.
- 20 And it is understanding what is on the ballot in their language,
- 21 native language, that would not constitute, as I understand it,
- 22 strictly, marking or reading the ballot.
- 23 Q. The type of assistance being requested in the situation
- 24 you're describing is -- would it be accurate to call it
- 25 translating from English to Korean?

- Page 69
 1 Q. And the voters are requesting more than what you might
- 2 call a translation from the bond language into Korean?
- 3 A. But that specific example is not limited to just a
- 4 language or translation issue. I am now remembering as we're
- 5 going through these examples, that voters have requested
- 6 assistance from election workers or others in understanding what
- 7 is on the ballot regardless of what language it's in.
- 8 Q. Does Harris County provide assistance in the form of
- 9 translation when it is requested?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. But you're saying Harris County doesn't provide the
- 12 assistance that goes beyond translating the ballot?
- 13 A. By law, election workers are not allowed to provide any
- 14 assistance in regards to translating directly what is on the
- 15 ballot.
- 16 Q. So we've talked about assistance provided by the
- 17 election workers who are at the polling place. Now I want to ask 18 you about the assistance provided by third parties. And you may
- 19 remember earlier we discussed that voters can request assistance
- 20 from a person of their choice; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Has Harris County ever prohibited a third-party
- 23 assistant from translating a ballot for a voter?
- 24 A. Has Harris County ever prohibited -- no.
- 25 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, has Harris County

Isabel Longoria April 20, 2022
Pages 70 to 73

Page 70

- 1 ever prohibited a third-party assistant from providing assistance
- 2 that goes beyond translation?
- 3 A. It -- no.
- 4 Q. To the best of your knowledge, has Harris County ever
- 5 prohibited any third-party assistant from providing any
- 6 assistance at all in a polling place?
- 7 A. To clarify, it's the election workers, not Harris
- 8 County, but yes.
- 9 Q. Sorry. So there are two things I need to clarify
- 10 there. The election workers work for Harris County; right?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Are they volunteers for Harris County?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Who are the election workers?
- 15 A. During the early voting period, the election workers
- 16 are essentially deputized individuals of the election office.
- 17 During the election day period, those election workers work for
- 18 the election judge who is technically a district judge and
- 19 independent of Harris County, though they follow the Texas
- 20 election laws and are organized by our office. So especially on
- 21 election day, election workers and election judges essentially
- 22 act independently of Harris County.
- 23 Q. Okay. So during the early voting period, the election
- 24 workers work for the Harris County Elections Administrator's
- 25 Office; right?

- Page 72 1 voter who requested that a third-party assistant, their mother,
- 2 be their assistant for voting, and I believe it was at the
- 3 Tomball voting location. The election judge at the time shared
- 4 that she did not believe that voter needed assistance from their
- 5 mother and that election workers -- that election judge or the
- 6 election worker would be able to assist the voter if needed. The
- 7 voters then reported to us in an ADA grievance, that they felt
- 8 that that was not correct, and we took corrective matter --
- 9 action against that election judge to let them know they could
- 10 not deny an election -- a voter who had expressed to us they
- 11 needed assistance the ability to choose between a third-party
- 12 assistant versus election worker assistant.
- 13 Q. And, so, the corrective action, you discussed with a
- 14 communication to the election judge?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was there any other corrective action?
- 17 A. Corrective action being a retraining, a write-up, and a
- 18 note in that judge's file of the incorrect manner in which they
- 19 approached that situation.
- 20 Q. Did the voter in question ultimately receive the
- 21 assistance she wanted?
- 22 A. I can't remember exactly what assistance he did or did
- 23 not receive, but I know he was dissatisfied in his voting
- 24 experience. I just can't remember the exact details of what he
- 25 did or did not receive.

Page 71

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that's part of Harris County; right?
- 3 A. True, yes.
- 4 Q. On election day, the election workers you say work for
- 5 the election judge; right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. That's an election judge in Harris County; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. For all the previous questions related to Harris
- 10 County, would your answers change at all based on the
- 11 clarification of who election workers work for?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Which ones?
- 14 A. Harris -- broadly, Harris County employees, employees
- 15 of my office, are not at these election sites making these
- 16 decisions or prohibiting assistants from doing anything. It
- 17 might be election clerks or election workers that have taken such
- 18 actions in the past.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of any election workers in Harris County
- 20 who have prohibited any third-party assistant from providing any
- 21 kind of assistance?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Please give me those examples.
- 24 A. If I remember correctly, the prime example that comes
- 25 to memory is in the March 2022 primary. There was a voter -- a

- Page 73 Q. Was the voter in question ultimately able to cast a
- 2 ballot?

1

- 3 A. I believe so, yes.
- 4 Q. Are you aware of any other examples in which someone
- 5 working at a polling place in Harris County has prohibited
- 6 someone from providing assistance requested by a voter?
- 7 A. I can't remember specific cases, but I know generally
- 8 in elections cases have come up or issues have come up where
- 9 again voters have assistants and election workers or poll
- 10 watchers will interrupt about the translating assistant; for
- 11 example, a voter needing or using an assistant to translate a
- 12 ballot in another language and the election worker saying, you
- 13 know, "You've got to speak English. Don't provide that kind of
- 14 assistance." You know, "you've got to speak English." Voters
- 15 have reported to us when election clerks are outside the bounds
- 16 in trying to or attempting to prohibit an assistant from
- 17 providing the appropriate help.
- 18 Q. When did those incidents occur?
- 19 A. I can't remember a specific case. Generally, in the
- 20 time that I've been an elections administrator over the last year
- 21 and a half there have been cases that came up.
- 22 Q. And what did you do in response to those incidents?
- 23 A. When the voters or other election clerks report those
- $24\,$ incidences to us, we investigate by calling the election clerks
- 25 or election judges in question, gathering information on what

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX Q

Jennifer Colvin March 21, 2023

```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                       WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                         SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
     LA UNION DEL PUEBLO
                                  S
 4
     ENTERO, et al.,
           Plaintiffs,
                                  §
 5
                                   §
                                      Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
                                   S
     v.
 6
                                  S
     GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et
                                  S
 7
     al.,
           Defendants,
 8
                                   S
                                   S
 9
     OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et
10
     al.,
           Plaintiffs,
                                   S
11
                                   S
                                      Case No. 1:21-cv-780-XR
                                  S
     v.
12
                                  S
     JANE NELSON, et. al.,
                                  S
13
           Defendants,
                                   S
                                   S
14
                                   S
15
     HOUSTON JUSTICE, et
     al.,
                                  S
16
           Plaintiffs,
                                  §
                                  S
17
                                      Case No. 5:21-cv-848-XR
     v.
                                  S
     GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT,
18
                                  S
     et al.,
                                   S
19
          Defendants,
                                   S
20
                                   S
21
     LULAC Texas, et al.,
                                   S
           Plaintiffs,
                                  S
22
                                  S
                                      Case No. 1:21-cv-0786-XR
                                  S
     v.
23
                                  S
     JANE NELSON, et al.,
                                  S
24
          Defendants,
                                  §
25
```



Jennifer Colvin

March 21, 2023

Pages 2 to 5

			Pages 2	
_	Page 2			Page 4
1	MI FAMILIA VOTA, et §	1	LEIGH TOGNETTI (Via Zoom)	
2	al., § Plaintiffs, §		LISA CUBRIEL, BEXAR COUNTY (Via Zoom)	
	Plaintiffs, § §	2	LUCIA ROMANO (Via Zoom)	
3	v. § Case No. 5:21-cv-0920-XR	3	MIKE STEWART, DOJ (Via Zoom) URUJ SHEIKH, LDF (Via Zoom)	
	§	3		
4	GREG ABBOTT, et al., §	4	ZACHARY DOLLING, TCRP, OCA (Via Zoom) REGGIE WRIGHT, THE VIDEOGRAPHER	
	Defendants. §	5	REGGIE WRIGHT, THE VIDEOGRAPHER	
5		6		
6		7		
7		8		
8		9		
9	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	10		
10	JENNIFER COLVIN	11		
11	MARCH 21, 2023	12		
12		13		
13		14		
14	ODAL AND UTDEODADED DEDOCTETON OF TENRITED COVICES	15		
15 16	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JENNIFER COLVIN, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendants	16		
17	and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled and	17		
18	numbered cause on Tuesday, March 21, 2023, from	18		
19	12:20 p.m. to 3:43 p.m., before DONNA QUALLS, Notary	19		
20	Public in and for the State of Texas, reported by	20		
21	computerized stenotype machine, at the offices of Harris	21		
22	County Attorney's Office, 1019 Congress Street, 15th	22		
23	Floor, Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of	23		
24	Civil Procedure, and any provisions stated on the record	24		
25	or attached hereto.	25		
	Pana ?			Page 5
1	Page 3	1	INDEX	Page 5
2	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR:	1 2 3		Page 5
	APPEARANCES	2 3		_
2	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN	2 3	INDEX Appearances	PAGE
3	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor	2 3	INDEX	PAGE
3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002	2 3 4 5 6	INDEX Appearances JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker Examination by Ms. Paikowsky	PAGE 3
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor	2 3 4 5	INDEX Appearances JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker	PAGE 3
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS:	2 3 4 5 6	INDEX Appearances JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker Examination by Ms. Paikowsky Examination by Ms. Holmes Further Examination by Ms. Hunker	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov	2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX Appearances JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker Examination by Ms. Paikowsky Examination by Ms. Holmes Further Examination by Ms. Hunker Corrections & Signature	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX Appearances JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker Examination by Ms. Paikowsky Examination by Ms. Holmes Further Examination by Ms. Hunker	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX Appearances JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker Examination by Ms. Paikowsky Examination by Ms. Holmes Further Examination by Ms. Hunker Corrections & Signature	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX	7 47 74 92 104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washighton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals	7 47 74 92 104 106
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals	7 47 74 92 104 106
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants'	7 47 74 92 104 106
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories,	7 47 74 92 104 106
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert Exhibit 14 Ballot insert Exhibit 15 Call log spreadsheet Exhibit 16 Harris County Elections	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert Exhibit 14 Ballot insert Exhibit 15 Call log spreadsheet	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert Exhibit 14 Ballot insert Exhibit 15 Call log spreadsheet Exhibit 16 Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's supplemental responses to state defendants' second set of	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom) BREANNA WILLIAMS, NAACP (Via Zoom) CARRIE LEBEL (Via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert Exhibit 14 Ballot insert Exhibit 15 Call log spreadsheet Exhibit 16 Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's supplemental responses to state	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom) BREANNA WILLIAMS, NAACP (Via Zoom) GERMAINE HABELL (Via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert Exhibit 14 Ballot insert Exhibit 15 Call log spreadsheet Exhibit 16 Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's supplemental responses to state defendants' second set of	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. 700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005 (347) 573-0197 jholmes@naacpldf.org FOR THE UNITED STATES: DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225 dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS: KATHLEEN T. HUNKER OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom) BREANNA WILLIAMS, NAACP (Via Zoom) CARRIE LEBEL (Via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Appearances. JENNIFER COLVIN Examination by Ms. Hunker. Examination by Ms. Paikowsky. Examination by Ms. Holmes. Further Examination by Ms. Hunker. Corrections & Signature. Reporter's Certificate. EXHIBIT INDEX NUMBER DESCRIPTION Exhibit 11 Election reconciliation official totals Exhibit 12 Defendant Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's responses and objections to state defendants' second set of interrogatories, and third set of requests for Production Exhibit 13 Ballot insert Exhibit 14 Ballot insert Exhibit 15 Call log spreadsheet Exhibit 16 Harris County Elections Administrator, Clifford Tatum's supplemental responses to state defendants' second set of	PAGE 3 7 47 74 92 104 106 PAGE 24 38



Jennifer Colvin March 21, 2023 Pages 42 to 45

4

6

8

10

11

21

Page 42 Q. Is this different than the insert that you

included in the either primary elections or the runoff?

3 A. It is --

4 Q. And --

5 A. Similar but different.

Q. And what differences were made? 6

7 A. We added a visual. Like the section where

8 the -- this is the actual part of the ballot they have

to fill out; so they can reference it to the envelope.

10 Q. And did you get any feedback from voters about

11 the change?

12 A. No.

18

13 Q. And if you turn the page over, you'll notice

14 that it is in a different language, correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And I believe you included inserts in -- you

17 had inserts for four different languages; is that right?

19 Q. And that would have been English, Spanish,

20 Vietnamese, and Chinese; is that right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Did you provide inserts in any other language?

23 A. Other than the four?

24 Q. Yes.

25 A. No. 1 methodology in determining the mail ballot rejection

Page 44

Page 45

2 rate in previous elections as this election?

3 A. I would say yes.

Q. And was this something your office did

5 routinely after each election?

A. I can only speak for how long I've been here.

7 Yes, we've done it in the past couple of elections.

MR. BIRRING: Kathleen, can we go off the

9 record for a second?

MS. HUNKER: Sure.

MR. BIRRING: I think we have --

12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the

13 record at 1:06 p.m.

14 (Recess from 1:06 p.m. to 1:08 p.m.)

15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the

16 record at 1:08 p.m.

17 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Ms. Colvin, we had spoken a

18 little bit about the fact that your county is an off

19 county -- offline county; is that correct?

20 A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Back in the March primary, many counties

22 had experienced difficulty syncing their county's

23 database with the TEAM's database. Was that something

24 Harris County experienced?

25 A. I can't answer that.

Page 43 Q. Did any other counties contact you about

2 replicating or getting information about the inserts?

A. No.

3

9

4 Q. And did you get this approved by the secretary

of state's office?

A. Not that I'm aware of. Our outreach team

7 created this one.

8 Q. You can put that aside.

Did you find it easier to implement the

10 previsions in SB1 in the November 2022 general election

11 with respect to ballots by mail as compared to the

12 primary?

13 MS. HOLMES: Objection to form.

MS. HUNKER: You can answer. 14

15 A. It was the same.

16 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay. Now, we had discussed

17 the mail ballot rejection rate. Have you looked at the

18 mail ballot rejection rates for previous general

19 elections?

20

23

25

A. I have in the past. Not recently.

21 Q. Okay. Were they -- if you're aware, were they

22 measured using the same metrics?

MS. BINGHAM: Object to form.

24 A. Can you explain the question?

Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Yeah. So did you use the same

Q. Okay. Did you... 1

> 2 A. That's a different department.

Q. Okay. Do you know if you were able to -- when 3

4 you were checking the numbers, was able to access the

TEAM's system, if needed?

A. Yes. 6

7 Q. And so I had asked a couple of questions

regarding accommodation for disabilities with the last

witness, but she had mentioned she thought you would be

10 a better person with respect to the vote by mail. And

11 so I'm going to ask these questions. If you do not know

12 the answer, just state so.

You are aware --

14 A. Oh --

13

18

15 Q. -- voters with disabilities have the option of

16 requesting an accommodation or change to normal voting

17 procedures, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any 19

20 requests for accommodation regarding the requirement

21 that mail-in voters put their social security number or

22 ID number on the application for ballot by mail?

23 A. We did have some voters that couldn't come into

24 the building. So we would take a clipboard and go out

25 to them.



Jennifer Colvin March 21, 2023

Pages 46 to 49 Page 46 Page 48 Q. And so I know there's an option for the county 1 BY MS. PAIKOWSKY: 2 to go to the voter cure the vote in -- in person; is 2 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Colvin. 3 that correct? 3 A. Hello. 4 A. Correct. 4 Q. My name is Dana Paikowsky. I am with the 5 Q. And your county utilized that option; is that 5 Department of Justice, and I'm going to pick up on the thread of asking you some questioning about mail voting 6 right? 7 A. Yes, at our facility. We didn't go to their 7 during the November 2022 general election. So to begin, 8 house. during the last deposition, the person who testified on 9 behalf of the Harris County election officials -- or Q. Can you clarify? 10 A. The voter would come to our facility, and we 10 Election Administrator's Office testified that voters 11 would go out to their car. We wouldn't drive to their had difficulty with SB1's mail ballot identification 12 house. 12 requirement. 13 Q. Okay. And was that the only request for 13 Was that still true during the 14 accommodation you received regarding the requirement 14 November 2022 general election? 15 that mail-in voters put their social security number or 15 MS. HUNKER: Objection; form. Lack of 16 ID number on their application for ballot by mail? 16 foundation. 17 17 A. Voters still did have issues as far as 18 Q. And you were able to accommodate that request? 18 providing the ID. They would forget to put it on there, 19 A. Yes. 19 or they didn't feel comfortable providing it even though 20 Q. To your knowledge, did you receive any request 20 it was covered. 21 21 for accommodation regarding the requirement that mail-in Q. (BY MS. PAIKOWSKY) Did any voters -- strike 22 voters put their social security number or ID number on 22 that. 23 23 their application for ballot by mail? Were any voters confused -- sorry. Strike 24 A. No. 24 that. 25 25 Q. Did your office receive any complaints from Do you have any reason to believe that Page 47 Page 49

1 voters with disabilities about the vote-by-mail 2 requirement? 3 A. First --4 (Simultaneously speaking.) 5 MS. HOLMES: Objection to form. 6 A. I don't know. It could be in the call logs. 7 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay. 8 MS. HUNKER: I think I'm ready to pass the 9 witness. We can go off the record. 10 MS. BINGHAM: Let's go off the record. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the 12 record at 1:12 p.m. (Recess from 1:12 p.m. to 2:09 p.m.) 13 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record at 15 2:09 p.m. 16 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Did you have additional 17 questions? 18 MS. HUNKER: I can ask them after you. 19 MS. PAIKOWSKY: After me. Okay. 20 MS. HOLMES: Did we unmute the Zoom? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 MR. BIRRING: You can minimize it if it

23 gets distracting, Jennifer. Yeah, then you don't have

EXAMINATION

24 to see everybody else.

25

1 voters were confused by SB1's mail ballot identification 2 provision during the November '21 -- November 2002 3 general election period? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And what gave you that impression? A. Because they would not realize that they need 6 7 to put it on there. They feel like, if they put it on their application, they didn't need to include it on the ballot or vice versa. They didn't feel like they needed 10 to include it on both. 11 Q. Did any voters have difficulty during the 12 November 2022 general election with which ID they needed 13 to provide on either their ABBM or carrier envelope? 14 A. Can you clarify your question? 15 Q. Yes. So did any voters have difficulty 16 either -- I'm sorry. Scratch that. Withdrawn. 17 So during the November 2022 general 18 election, did any voters have difficulty complying with the identif- -- mail ballot identification requirement 19 20 because they put down the wrong ID number? 21 A. We did have voters put down the wrong --22 they -- what do you mean by wrong ID? Let me clarify

Q. What do you mean by wrong ID?

A. Okay. Wrong ID to me means they gave us the



24

25

23 that first.

Jennifer Colvin

March 21, 2023

Pages 78 to 81

Page 78

1 numbers on the bottom so that you'll see it's not

- 2 sequential. So you can see the parts that I have
- 3 excerpted it according to where the page numbers jump
- 4 around.

7

- 5 Do you recognize -- looking at the -- the
- 6 first couple of pages, do recognize this document?
 - A. From looking at the information on it, looks
- 8 like it's our call log.
- 9 Q. Okay. And are you -- or is your department
- 10 involved in maintaining the call log?
- 11 A. We enter data on the call log.
- 12 Q. To orient you, the spreadsheet doesn't fit on a
- 13 single page because there's a lot of columns. So the
- 14 first -- the rows cut across four pages. So if you look
- 15 at the first four pages --
- 16 A. That's one.
- 17 Q. -- you'll see the first row goes across all
- 18 first four pages from Columns A through R.
- 19 Does that make sense?
- 20 A. (Nonverbal response.)
- Q. Would you agree with how I've described --
- 22 described it?

23

10

- A. So it's through page 8.
- 24 Q. The Columns A through R --
- 25 A. Okay.

1 guess. 2 Q.

6

8

- Q. Okay. Just tell me if you don't know --
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. -- or if you do know. Code 6282?
- 5 A. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. Code 1122?
- 7 A. November.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. In Column G the header is
- 9 "issue question." What does this column represent?
- 10 A. From looking at it, what department the problem
- 11 of -- the caller is calling about.
- 12 Q. Okay. Is it the department or the issue that
- 13 the caller is calling about -- about?
- 14 A. The issue. Not detail, though. It's not
- 15 detailed. I guess the details would be under
- 16 description.
- 17 Q. Okay. And I see in Column G some of the
- 18 entrees say "VBM-General," "VBM-Ballots," "VBM-Apps."
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Does that refer to issues related to vote by
- 22 mail?

21

- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. I'd like to ask you about just a couple
- 25 of descriptions in the call log.

Page 79

- 1 Q. -- go from pages 1 through 4. Does that look 2 correct?
- 3 A. Oh, hold on. Sorry. I jumped a page.
- 4 Correct.
- 5 Q. Are you familiar with the headings used in
- 6 this -- in the call log?
- 7 A. From looking at them, yes.
- 8 Q. Sure. So in Column A, it says "election
- 9 period." And what do these numbers mean in the column?
 - A. That's the election code.
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you, off the top of your head,
- 12 know what the code 5722 refers to?
- 13 A. I would say the primary. No, that's the May
- 14 entity election.
- 15 Q. Okay. And how about the code -- other codes
- 16 that are in this document are Code 522. Do you know
- 17 what that refers to?
- 18 A. Yes. That's the primary.
- 19 Q. All right. Code 622?
- 20 A. Would be the runoff for the entity election.
- 21 Q. Code 6282? Oh, I'm sorry. If you're not sure,
- 22 please tell me.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. I don't want you to guess.
- A. I don't want to guess, yes. Don't let me

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. Exhibit 15. So if you turn to page 4111. It's
- 3 near the end.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Do you see the third to last row, Row 28620?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And this describes a voter who called upset --
- 8 or I'll say a person who called upset because he has
- 9 received a VBM correction letter for no TDL, last four
- 10 off SSN. "He stated that he cannot come in due to his
- 11 disability and wants to know how he can correct it.
- 12 Gave number and attempted to transfer to VBM department
- 13 for answers, but no one ever answered. Suggested
- 14 e-mailing caller's concern to them, and he" -- and I
- 15 apologize. It's cut off. The last word is "agreed."
- 16 Are you aware of issues where a voter had
- 17 their -- their vote-by-mail ballot rejected but couldn't
- 18 come in to cure because of limitations due to a
- 19 disability?
- 20 A. Some of our clerks mentioned -- I don't have
- 21 details but that they were able to walk the voters
- 22 through how to cure online. That helped a lot of our
- 23 voters that couldn't physically come into the office.
- Q. And was there any option for a voter whocouldn't physically come into the office to cure and



Page 80

Page 81

Jennifer Colvin

March 21, 2023

Pages 82 to 85

6

16

1

5

6

8

13

Page 82
1 also was unable to access the online cure function?
2 A. No.

3 Q. Were you aware of any voters with disabilities

4 who asked for a -- an accommodation during the cure

 $5\,\,$ process to enable them to not come into the office but

6 also not use the online cure function?

A. I'm aware of voters that came up to the officebut couldn't come inside the office, yes. And we would

9 go out and meet them with a clipboard and have them do

10 the cure form.

11 Q. During her deposition the last year, the -- the

12 former elections administrator testified that -- that,

13 during the March primary, she had received requests for

14 accommodations for voters who were seeking to cure their

15 ballot but were unable to come to the office and unable

16 to go online.

17 Are you familiar with that at all?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Okay. And did you receive anything like that

20 for the November 2022 election?

21 A. No. Not me personally, no.

22 Q. Okay. Can I ask you to turn to page 931 in

23 this document.

24 A. (Witness complies.)

25 Q. Do you see Row 6672?

1 multiple times; is that correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. You mentioned the E- -- E-7 system?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What is that?

A. That's a rejection code for the State.

7 Q. And what does it indicate?

8 A. E-7 means it's -- once the early voting ballot

9 board convenes and there's not enough time for the voter

Page 84

Page 85

10 to cure via mail -- I mean, for us to send the ballot

11 back to the voter to cure, we send them a letter in

12 place of the ballot.

13 Q. Are there particular codes that stand for

14 rejections related to SB1's ID requirement?

15 A. Yes. E3 and E7.

Q. Okay. And those were due -- used during the

17 November 2022 election?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Were those the same codes used in the primary?

20 A. In the primary, the code was R-1. And my

21 apologies. I'm drawing a blank. But there was another

22 code. The State changed the codes to E-3 and E-7.

23 Q. Got it.

24 A. -- from R-1. And there was another one. I'm

25 sorry. I just -- I'm drawing a blank on the other code.

Page 83

A. Yes.

1

14

Q. Okay. And that states that the caller was

3 upset due to a -- "due to carrier envelope defect

4 letter. And she stated she put her TDL and last four of

5 SSN on it and don't understand why it was rejected for a

6 third time. Transferred to Desiree with VBM department 7 for answers."

8 Did I read that correctly?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Were you aware of any voters who had their

11 ballots rejected -- their ballot by mail rejected

12 multiple times due to ID issues?

13 A. I'm aware --

MS. HUNKER: Objection; form.

15 A. I'm aware of instances where we -- at the -- we

16 would mail the ballot back to the voter because they

17 didn't include their ID, and they would mail it back

18 without the ID again. And that's when they would be

19 switched to an E-7 status which allowed them the six

20 days to cure. But we would call them and e-mail them

21 and mail them another letter stating you still didn't

22 provide your ID or they provide mixmatch ID. They

23 provided one, and we didn't have it in our system.

24 Q. (BY MS. HOLMES) So sometimes the rejection

25 would happen more than once and you have to send it back

Q. That's okay. It's not a memory test. But,

2 yes, the code did change.

Okay. In Exhibit 15, the call log, can you

4 turn to page 3183, please.

A. (Witness complies.)

MS. PAIKOWSKY: Can you repeat the number?

7 MS. HOLMES: Oh, 3183.

MS. PAIKOWSKY: Thank you.

9 Q. (BY MS. HOLMES) And let me just ask,

10 Ms. Colvin, in the call log, is each row a -- a separate

11 contact to your office, if you know?

12 A. Can you elaborate.

Q. Oh, sure. I guess my question is -- there's a

14 lot of rows in this spreadsheet.

15 A. Right.

16 Q. And I'm wondering if each row represents a

17 separate time that a person contacted the Harris County

18 Elections Office --

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. -- with a voting question.

21 So back to page 3183.

22 A. Uh-huh.

Q. If you look at Row 22904, do you see?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. All right. It says that the caller "was



23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX R

Lauren Smith March 21, 2023

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                       WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                         SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
     LA UNION DEL PUEBLO
                                  S
 4
     ENTERO, et al.,
           Plaintiffs,
                                  S
 5
                                   §
                                      Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
                                   S
     v.
 6
                                  S
     GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et
                                  S
 7
     al.,
           Defendants.
 8
                                   S
                                   S
 9
     OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et
10
     al.,
           Plaintiffs,
                                   S
11
                                   S
                                      Case No. 1:21-cv-780-XR
                                  S
     v.
12
                                   S
     JANE NELSON, et. al.,
                                  S
13
           Defendants,
                                   S
                                   S
14
                                   S
15
     HOUSTON JUSTICE, et
     al.,
                                  S
16
           Plaintiffs,
                                  S
                                  S
17
                                      Case No. 5:21-cv-848-XR
     v.
                                  S
     GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT,
18
                                  S
     et al.,
                                   S
19
          Defendants,
                                   S
20
                                   S
21
     LULAC Texas, et al.,
                                   S
           Plaintiffs,
                                  S
22
                                  S
                                      Case No. 1:21-cv-0786-XR
                                  S
     v.
23
                                  S
     JANE NELSON, et al.,
                                  S
24
          Defendants,
                                  §
25
```



Lauren Smith March 21, 2023
Pages 2 to 5

	Page 2				Page 4
1	MI FAMILIA VOTA, et §	1	LEIGH TOGNETT		Ü
	al., §		LISA CUBRIEL,	BEXAR COUNTY (Via Zoom)	
2	Plaintiffs, §	2	LUCIA ROMANO	(Via Zoom)	
	§		MIKE STEWART,	DOJ (Via Zoom)	
3	v. § Case No. 5:21-cv-0920-XR	3	URUJ SHEIKH,	LDF (Via Zoom)	
	§		ZACHARY DOLLI	NG, TCRP, OCA (Via Zoom)	
4	GREG ABBOTT, et al., §	4	REGGIE WRIGHT	T, THE VIDEOGRAPHER	
	Defendants. §	5			
5		6			
6		7			
7		8			
8	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	9			
9	LAUREN SMITH	10			
10	MARCH 21, 2023	11			
11					
12		12			
13		13			
14		14			
15	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LAUREN SMITH,	15			
16	produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendants	16			
17	and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled and	17			
18	numbered cause on Tuesday, March 21, 2023, from 9:33	18			
19	a.m. to 12:07 p.m., before DONNA QUALLS, Notary Public	19			
20	in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized	20			
21	stenotype machine, at the offices of Harris County	21			
22	Attorney's Office, 1019 Congress Street, 15th Floor,	22			
23	Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil	23			
24	Procedure, and any provisions stated on the record or	24			
25	attached hereto.	25			
١.	Page 3				Page 5
1 2	APPEARANCES	1 2		INDEX	PAGE
1	FOR THE HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR:	3			FAGE
3	SAMEER S. BIRRING TIFFANY BINGHAM	١.	Appearances.		3
4	OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY CHRISTIAN	4 5	LAUREN SMITH		
5	D. MENEFEE 1019 Congress, 15th Floor	6	Examination 1	by Ms. Hunker	
	Houston, Texas 77002	7		by Ms. Holmesination by Ms. Hunker	
6	(713) 274-5142 sameer.birring@harriscountytx.gov	8	rurcher Exam	inaction by Ms. Hunker	80
7			Corrections	& Signature	88
8	FOR THE HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE (HAUL) PLAINTIFFS: JENNIFER A. HOLMES	9	Reporter's C	ertificate	90
9	NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC.	11	Reported b e	crefficace	50
10	700 14th Street N.W., Suite 600 Washignton, District of Columbia 20005			EXHIBIT INDEX	
	(347) 573-0197	12	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
11 12	jholmes@naacpldf.org		Exhibit 1	Deposition notice	10
	FOR THE UNITED STATES:	14	Exhibit 2	Notice to voters with	14
13	DANA PAIKOWSKY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	15	Exhibit 3	disabilities Complaint form	19
14	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Exhibit 4	Postelection report from the	23
15	Washington, District of Columbia 20530 (202) 353-5225	16		Elections Administrator's Office for the November 2022	
	dana.paikowsky@usdoj.gov	17		election	
16 17	FOR THE STATE DEFENDANTS:		Exhibit 5	November 8, 2022 general and	30
	KATHLEEN T. HUNKER	18		special elections early voting schedule	
	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	19	Exhibit 6	KHOU 11 article	50
18	P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009)		Exhibit 7	KHOU 11 article	53
19	P.O. BOX 12548 (MC-009) AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548		Exhibit 8	Information of person that	75
1	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100	20	DANIEDIC O	provided transportation to	
19	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov	20	EMILDIC 0	provided transportation to seven or more voters	
19 20 21	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present:	21	Exhibit 9	seven or more voters Information of person that	75
19 20 21 22	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom)			seven or more voters Information of person that provided transportation to	75
19 20 21	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom) BREANNA WILLIAMS, NAACP (Via Zoom)	21		seven or more voters Information of person that	75
19 20 21 22	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom) BREANNA WILLIAMS, NAACP (Via Zoom) CARRIE LEBEL (Via Zoom) GERMAINE HABELL (Via Zoom)	21 22 23		seven or more voters Information of person that provided transportation to seven or more voters for	75 82
19 20 21 22 23	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 (512) 463-2100 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov Also Present: STEPHEN KENNY (Via Zoom) BRADLEY PROWANT (Via Zoom) BREANNA WILLIAMS, NAACP (Via Zoom) CARRIE LEBEL (Via Zoom)	21	Exhibit 9	seven or more voters Information of person that provided transportation to seven or more voters for curbside voting	



Lauren Smith

March 21, 2023

Pages 10 to 13

3

4

7

Page 10 A. Uh-huh.

2 Q. Also, if you hear an objection from counsel,

3 that is typically for the Court to decide at a later

4 date. I, therefore, ask that you go ahead and answer

5 the question unless you were instructed otherwise by

6 your counsel.

7 You understand?

8 A. I do.

Q. Now, I'm obliged to ask the following

10 questions:

9

11 Have you consumed any alcohol today?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Have you consumed any drugs today?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Are you aware of anything that would affect

16 your ability to testify truthfully and accurately today?

17 A. No.

18 Q. And you haven't brought any documents with you

19 today, correct?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Now I'm going to introduce our first exhibit.

22 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked.)

23 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Ms. Smith, do you have the

24 document in front of you?

25 A. I do.

1

6

7

10 into territory that you think are best left to another11 designee, please just let me know.

today are binding on the organization?

12 A. Okay.13 Q. How did you prepare for today's deposition?

Q. And do you understand that your answers here

Q. Now, an e-mail I received from your counsel

sometimes it's more of a spectrum than as opposed to

discrete categories. So if anytime the questions veer

5 last night said that you were going to be testifying on

Topics 16, 17, 20, 22 in part, 25, and 26. For the

topics that you are designated, I understand that

Page 12

Page 13

14 A. With numerous deposition preps- -- with my --

15 our counsel.

16 Q. Okay. And did you meet with anybody else

17 besides counsel?

A. Yes.

18 A. No, ma'am.

19 Q. And how many times did you meet with counsel,

20 if you recall?

21 A. Three.

22 Q. And do you know roughly how long those prep

23 sessions would have lasted?

24 A. Roughly an hour each.

25 Q. Did you review any documents in preparation for

Page 11

Q. And I want you to turn the page over.

A. (Witness complies.)

3 Q. Have you seen this document before?

4 A. Have I -- no -- I don't know. Have I? I've

5 seen a lot of documents.

Q. Okay. That's fair enough.

Can you look at the title where it says

8 "State's Defendants' Amended Notice of Intent to Take

9 Oral and Videotaped Deposition of the Office of the

10 Harris County Elections Administrator, Pursuant to

11 Rule 30(b)(6)"?

12 A. Yes, I've seen this.

13 Q. And did I read that correctly?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And if we turn the page a couple of times,

16 you're going to get to where it says "Topics for

17 Examination."

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. Do you understand that you are here pursuant to

20 this notice?

21

25

A. Yes.

22 Q. And do you understand that the Office of Harris

23 County Elections Administrator has designated you to

24 provide testimony on its behalf on certain topics?

A. Yes.

1 today's deposition?

2 A. Other than our internal documents that we

3 submitted to y'all, no.

4 Q. Okay. Did you review Senate Bill 1 for today's

5 deposition?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. When was the last time you familiarized

B yourself with the provisions of SB1?

9 A. I mean, I reference it often, so over the last,

10 you know, two and a half years, several times.

11 Q. And did you review any election advisories

12 prior to this deposition?

A. No, ma'am.

14 Q. When was the last time you would've reviewed

15 some of the advice -- the advisories issued by the

16 secretary of state's office regarding Senate Bill 1?

17 A. I can't recall.

Q. Did you review any of the written discovery

19 that Harris County produced in this case?

A. Yes.

21 Q. Are you prepared to testify on the topics for

22 which you've been designated?

A. Yes.

Q. So let's start with Topic 16. It reads "Your

25 policies, practices, and procedures for voters with



13

18

20

23

Lauren Smith March 21, 2023 Pages 14 to 17

Page 14

- 1 disabilities to request changes, accommodations, or
- 2 modifications to the voting procedures outlined in the
- Texas Election Code during the November 8, 2022, General
- 4 Election."

5

16

- Did I read that correctly?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Has Harris County implemented any new policies
- with respect to voters with disabilities in the -- since
- end of May 2022?
- 10 A. New policies, no.
- 11 Q. Has Harris County introduced any new procedures
- 12 for voters with disabilities and how Harris County
- 13 treats voters with disabilities since May of 2022?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. I'm going to introduce our second exhibit.
 - (Exhibit No. 2 was marked.)
- 17 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Do you have the exhibit in
- 18 front of you?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 21 A. I do.
- 22 Q. And what is the exhibit?
- 23 A. It's the notice to voters with disabilities.
- 24 Q. And what is the purpose of this document?
- 25 A. It's a form required by the secretary of state

- 1 voting program is accessible to voters with
- disabilities?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And can you maybe give me a short summary of

Page 16

Page 17

- 5 steps that Harris County took to ensure that the 2022
- general election was accessible to voters with
- 7 disabilities?
- 8 A. It's quite broad. We -- on the have top level
- 9 we have our accessibility department that maintains our
- 10 vote centers in terms of insuring that their
- 11 architectural barriers are rectified, should we find
- 12 any. We have a subject mattic -- matter expert and a
- 13 team of surveyors that survey each of our vote centers
- 14 that we intend to use for the upcoming election,
- 15 whatever election that might be, with a very extensive
- 16 checklist. And again, architectural barriers being kind
- of top level there as well as connectivity, internal 17
- 18 room sizes, et cetera.
- 19 And once those surveys are reviewed for
- 20 subject matter experts, then we -- in order to -- if --
- if the vote center is found noncompliant as it pertains
- 22 to the American -- Americans with Disabilities Act, then
- 23 we make a plan for each of those vote centers with
- 24 temporary remediations whether they be ramps, cones,
- 25 doorstops, plates, et cetera, alternate entrance and

Page 15

- 1 for us to post in all active voting centers as well.
- 2 And it lists our -- the ADA requirements and how a voter
- 3 with disabilities can distribute a -- or complete and
- 4 distribute a disability complaint form to our office
- should they have one.
- 6 Q. And this is the notice that was issued by your 7 particular office; is that correct?
- A. Yes. We produced this.
- Q. So turning to the first paragraph, it reads:
- 10 "The Americans with Disabilities Act requires that
- 11 Harris County voting program be accessible to
- 12 individuals with disabilities. Harris County Elections
- 13 Department has a grievance procedure providing for
- 14 prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging
- 15 actions prohibited by the ADA."
- 16 Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Then if we move to the next paragraph, it
- 19 begins with "If you or a person with a disability has
- 20 encountered obstacles to voting or has been
- 21 discriminated against in violation of the ADA, you can
- 22 file a complaint using the Disability Complaint Form."
- 23 Did I read that correctly?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Does Harris County work to ensure that its

- 1 exits. And that is again -- is -- is reviewed and 2 approved.
- And so those remediations are planned for
- 4 each of those vote centers. Additionally, our machines
- 5 that we have, our Duo-Gos for curbside voting for our
- voters with disabilities, are implemented on -- during
- early voting and Election Day. And then our, you know, 7
- we have our interpreter iPads. We have our interpreter
- requests. So there's -- there's a myriad of things that
- 10 we're able to implement for voters with disabilities.
- 11 Q. Would you agree me that Harris County takes its
- 12 responsibilities under the ADA seriously?
 - A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And would you agree with me that Harris County
- 15 has been successful in ensuring that its voting program
- 16 is accessible to voters with disabilities?
- 17 A. I do.

13

20

- 18 Q. Did any voter submit a disability complaint
- 19 form for the November 2022 general election?
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And generally, what were the substance of these 22 complaints?
- 23 A. There was three, and they were provided in
- 24 our -- the spreadsheet with our complaint forms.
- 25 Unfortunately, I don't know them off the top of my head,



Lauren Smith

March 21, 2023

Pages 18 to 21

4

5

7

Page 18

but there were three, I believe within that document.
 MS. HUNKER: And. Counsel, just for

MS. HUNKER: And, Counsel, just for clarification, do you know when that document was

4 produced?

5 MR. BIRRING: I don't think we have 6 produced that one.

7 MS. BINGHAM: No, that's the -- that's the 8 call log.

9 MR. BIRRING: No, no. This is a separate 10 one. This is the ADA complaint form.

11 MS. BINGHAM: Oh.

12 MR. BIRRING: It's a spreadsheet, and I

13 think there were three. I think I have it. I might

14 have it. Should we go off the record?

15 MS. HUNKER: Yeah, can we go off the

16 record?

MR. BIRRING: Yeah, let's go off the record for a second.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record at 9:47 a.m.

21 (Recess from 9:47 a.m. to 9:56 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 9:56 a.m.

Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) So, Ms. Smith, during the break, your counsel provided me a electronic copy of the

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And the third one had to do with a father

3 assisting his son to vote; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. To your knowledge, did any of these complaints

Page 20

Page 21

6 address the requirements through SB1?

A. The one where the father was helping his son,

8 that would pertain to our oath of assistance or the oath

9 of assistance.

10 Q. And do you recall what the controversy in that

11 case was?

12 A. Not to the specifics. But the judge had

13 confusion on what an assistant -- what the definition of

14 an assistant was as it relates to the SOS form or the

15 form -- the updated form, I should say.

Q. Okay. And it says here that the election judgewas advised that the son is eligible to vote because he

18 is registered and was also advised to allow the father

19 to assist; is that correct?

A. Correct. The judge has the opportunity to call

21 in to our ADA line to receive information as -- as it

22 regards to -- to any ADA policies.

Q. And so in this case, are you aware if the

24 father was in fact able to assist the son?

25 A. Yes, he was.

Page 19

1 complaint form you had just mentioned. I believe he

2 also showed you a copy as well; is that correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And we are going to be waiting for the printout

to be submitted as an exhibit. But to save time, youand I are going to discuss the electronic version whichwill be identical.

8 Is that understood?

9 A. That's correct, yes.

10 Q. And this will be Exhibit 3.

(Exhibit No. 3 was marked.)

12 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And so you had said you

13 received three complaints through the disability

14 complaint form for the November 2022 general election;

15 is that right?

11

16

A. That's correct.

17 Q. And so I'm just going to quickly talk about the

18 description of the complaints. It seems one of the

19 complaints involved a judge asking the voter to leave

20 the service dog with her while he voted; is that

21 correct?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And then the second involved an issue regarding

24 the court control with voting room setup, and that was

25 later addressed by a tech; is that correct?

1 Q. And just to -- let me know if I'm misstating

2 what you had said. But it sounded as if the dispute was

3 over what it meant for voter assistance?

4 A. Correct. The definition of a voter assistant,

5 yes.

23

6 Q. And so outside of the, I guess, submission

7 regarding voting assistance, did any of the other

8 complaints address requirements or provisions of SB1?

9 A. No.

13

10 Q. And so you received no complaints, disability

11 complaint forms, regarding mail-in ballot requirements;

12 is that correct?

A. Mail-in ballot -- I'm not designated to talk

14 about mail-in ballots.

15 Q. I'm simply asking if you received any complaint

16 forms that were -- with respect to voters with

17 disabilities having a problem or concern regarding

18 mail-in ballots?

19 A. I'm sorry. No, I didn't -- we did not receive

20 a complaint form regarding mail-in ballot -- ballots

21 from voters with disabilities.

22 Q. Thank you.

23 A. Sorry.

24 Q. And you're aware that voters with disabilities

25 have the option of requesting an accommodation or change



Lauren Smith

March 21, 2023

Pages 22 to 25

1 to the normal voting procedure, correct?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Generally, do you know if there were any
- 4 accommodations requested during the 2022 general
- 5 election?
- 6 A. Specifically, we -- no. But we -- our -- well,
- 7 that's not true. We have our requests for our
- 8 interpreters, the SIS interpreters. But largely
- 9 specifically, no, I don't -- not any specific requests.
- 10 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any
- 11 request for accommodation regarding any of the
- 12 provisions in SB1?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any
- 15 requests for accommodation regarding the requirement
- 16 that mail-in voters put their social security number or
- 17 ID number on their application for ballot by mail?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any
- 20 request for accommodation regarding the requirement that
- 21 mail-in voters put their social security number or ID
- 22 number on their ballot by mail?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Thank you. I'm going to introduce our fourth
- 25 exhibit.

1

- Page 22 Did I read that sentence correctly?
 - 2 A. Yes.
 - 3 Q. To your understanding, is that a fair
 - 4 description of the report?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. To your knowledge, has Harris County ever
 - 7 before issued a postelection report of this nature for
 - 8 previous elections?
 - 9 A. Yes.

11

- 10 Q. Are these also available publicly?
 - A. I would assume. I don't know.
- 12 Q. And did you have any role in crafting this
- 13 particular postelection report?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what was your role?
- 16 A. As it pertains to vote centers, polling
- 17 locations, counts, anything -- our election night
- 18 operations, Election Day operations, probably some of
- 19 the planning and execution.
- 20 Q. So I'm going to read the next two para- -- two
- 21 more paragraphs and ask a couple of questions. If you
- 22 do not know the answer to these questions, just please
- 23 let me know.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. So I want to turn to the second paragraph. It

Page 23

(Exhibit No. 4 was marked.)

- Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) And as a heads up, we're going
- 3 to be going back to this particular exhibit a couple of4 times.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Do you have the exhibit in
- 8 front of you?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. What is it?
- 13 A. This is the public postelec- -- the
- 14 postelection report from the Elections Administration --
- 15 Elections Administrator's Office for the November 2022
- 16 election.
- 17 Q. Okay. And so, the opening sentence of this
- 18 report reads: "The Post Election Report includes an
- 19 overview of the Election Administrator's Office planning
- 20 and execution of the November 8th, 2022, General
- 21 Election including Early Voting and Election Day
- 22 operations, media and community outreach activities.
- 23 Election Day operations, election night tabulation, and
- 24 a post-election day analysis from election judges,
- 25 election workers, and senior EAO staff."

Page 25 1 reads: "The EAO normally submits an election survey to

Page 24

- 2 all judges and clerks seeking feedback on the election
- 3 process and potential training and curriculum topics for
- 4 future elections. However, in the interest of
- 5 confirming and better understanding certain events and
- 6 problems reported in the media on Election Day and
- 7 after, including paper ballot issues and opening and
- 8 closing problems, the EAO recruitment staff expedited
- 9 its post-election assessment."
- 10 Did I read that correctly?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And EAO in this report means Elections
- 13 Administrator's Office, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Turning to the next paragraph, the second
- 16 sentence reads: Through an expedited evaluation
- 17 process, the EAO has created this preliminary report of
- 18 successes and challenges for review and implementation
- 19 for the next election cycle. Standard practice would
- 20 require the EAO to take approximately 90 to 120 days to
- 21 compile a final report of this magnitude; however, given
- 22 the level of stakeholder interest and inquiry, the EAO
- 23 believed it important to provide its preliminary
- 24 observations as quickly as possible without jeopardizing
- 25 the integrity of the data and the process."



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	§ §	
ν .	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	§ §	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX S

Second Supplement to

Report on Identification Number Requirements for Mail Balloting under SB 1

United States v. Texas

United States District Court for the Western District of Texas

Eitan Hersh Associate Professor Department of Political Science Tufts University Medford, MA

I. Abstract

- 1. This report contains three analyses. First, using new data supplied to me in January 2023, I calculate the number of registered voters in Texas whose registration records indicate that they could have a mail ballot application or carrier envelope rejected on account of identification verification requirements imposed by Senate Bill 1 ("SB 1"). My analysis shows similar results as in two prior expert reports that I have filed in this case. I find that 1 in 7 Texans can accurately and completely fill out a mail ballot application and the application could still be rejected on account of SB 1's verification procedures.
- 2. Second, I conduct an analysis of rejected mail ballots during the first federal election held since the passage of SB 1, the November 2022 election. Among other findings, I show that the overwhelming majority (more than 80%) of rejected mail ballots were rejected on account of SB 1 identification rules.
- 3. Third, I analyze the behavior in the November election of individuals who I have flagged as having records that could lead to mail ballot rejections. Those deemed at risk have a significantly lower rate of successfully voting by mail than the general population.

II. Replication of Previous Analyses and Meta-Analysis

4. In a February 28, 2022 report ("Initial Report"), I described a methodology for evaluating the number of Texans who were particularly vulnerable to having a mail ballot application rejected on account of identification verification rules in SB 1. For several reasons, a registered voter could fill out a mail ballot application (or mail ballot carrier envelope) correctly but the materials would be rejected by election officials:

- a. Many Texans have multiple identification numbers because they have multiple Department of Public Safety IDs ("DPS IDs"). But Texas only stores up to one DPS ID Number on its list of registered voters (the Texas Election Administration System, or "TEAM"). If a registrant lists a correct DPS ID number on mail ballot materials but not the number that happens to be listed in TEAM, the voter's mail ballot or mail ballot application will be rejected.
- b. Some Texans are listed on TEAM without a DPS ID Number. Many of these individuals do in fact have DPS IDs. They are instructed by the language in the mail ballot application and on the carrier envelope to list only their DPS ID when filling out mail ballot forms. However, because their DPS ID Number is absent from TEAM, their materials will be rejected.
- c. Administrative records are not perfect. Tens of thousands of Texans are listed on TEAM with typos in their Social Security numbers ("SSN") and/or their DPS ID numbers. When a registered voter fills out a mail ballot application (or ballot carrier envelope) correctly, the material will be rejected on account of these administrative typos.
- 5. In my Initial Report, I established a methodology for linking TEAM records to DPS records in order to count the number of individuals who might have their materials rejected. In addition to explaining the methodology, I was able to test the validity of the methodology. I reported that 1 in 7 registered voters in Texas could have their mail ballot materials rejected on account of SB 1 even if they followed instructions correctly. I concluded that "Texas's databases are simply not well-suited to SB 1's demands" (Paragraph 114). On account of incomplete records as well as typos and other

inconsistencies across state databases, the law can prevent citizens from voting. I conducted subgroup analyses to show that the affected population includes many senior citizens, Texans living overseas, disabled veterans and homebound citizens, all of whom rely on mail voting more than the general population.

- 6. In a May 4, 2022 supplemental report ("First Supplemental Report"), in addition to some new analyses, I replicated the analysis described above with updated DPS and TEAM records. Whereas DPS and TEAM data in the Initial Report were produced in January 2022, data in the First Supplemental Report were produced by the State in March and April 2022. The results were substantively the same.
- In January of 2023, I received yet another update to DPS and TEAM records. The DPS
 records were drawn in December of 2022, and the TEAM records were compiled in early
 January 2023.
- 8. In Appendix A to this report, I describe the details of this additional replication with the new data from December 2022/January 2023. In Table A, here, I provide the top-line summary statistics from that analysis and place the results in context, alongside results from the Initial Report and First Supplemental Report.

TABLE A: Summary of Results from Three Analyses of DPS and TEAM Records

	Initial Report	First Suppl. Report	Second Suppl. Report
	February 2022	May 2022	January 2023
No DPS ID in TEAM; Possesses DPS ID	276,405	209,137	189,095
One DPS ID in TEAM; Possesses Multiple DPS IDs	2,213,676	2,392,733	2,394,435
Typo/Inconsistent SSN4 in DPS vs TEAM	44,444	44,915	44,353
Typo/Inconsistent DPS ID in DPS vs TEAM	68,190	74,897	62,461
Total Issues	2,602,715	2,721,682	2,690,344
Total TEAM Records	17,026,054	17,330,189	17,451,752
Issues/Total (Percent)	15.3%	15.7%	15.4%

- 9. As the first line of data in Table A shows, the results indicate a decline in the number of individuals who are listed in TEAM without a DPS ID Number but who do in fact possess a DPS ID Number. The number went from 276,000 when I first ran the analysis a year ago to 209,000 a few months later, to 189,000 in the current analysis.
- 10. During the same period, the number of Texas registered voters who are listed in DPS with more than one DPS ID number has increased from 2,214,000 to 2,393,000 to 2,394,000. As noted in the Initial Report, according to SB 1, a voter may use a current or expired ID in filling out mail ballot materials, but TEAM only stores zero or one ID number per registered voter.
- 11. The number of typos or other data errors in the storage of Social Security numbers (specifically in the last four digits of the Social Security numbers ("SSN4")) is nearly exactly the same across the three analyses. The number of typos or errors in DPS ID numbers went from 68,000 to 75,000 and then down to 62,000. The last rows of Table A summarize the total number of issues and divides these by the total number of TEAM

records. The analysis was performed three times over the course of twelve months with three different snapshots of Texas administrative data. The results are consistent, with 15-16% of records affected by issues that might lead to ballot materials being rejected on account of SB 1 requirements, even with voters dutifully following mail ballot instructions.

III. Analysis of November 2022 Federal Election

- 12. In this section, I analyze mail ballot data from the November 2022 federal election. First, I measure the consistency between statistics reported by Texas and the January 3, 2023 data transmitted to me regarding participation in the November election. Then, I report three key findings.
 - a. I estimate that the initial mail ballot rejection rate in Texas at 4.1%. This is higher than the final rejection rate of 2.7% that Texas has reported.
 - b. I estimate that over 80% of rejected mail ballots are rejected on SB 1 grounds. I count 5 Federal Post Card Application ("FPCA") voters—military and overseas voters—whose ballots were rejected on SB 1 grounds.
 - c. I estimate that more than 50% of individuals who are flagged for having a mail ballot rejected due to SB 1 did not end up voting in the November election, either by mail or in person.

- 13. According to the website of the Texas Secretary of State, in the November 2022 election, 7,775,713 votes were cast in person and 359,526 ballots were cast by mail.¹ The count of 359,526 mail ballots submitted is higher than 345,697, which is the count provided by state officials to the media.³ It is also higher than 345,679, which is the count disclosed by Texas in court documentation in January 2023.⁴
- 14. In the January 2023 TEAM database I received, there are 8,178,445 voter history records associated with the November 8, 2022 election. However, about 70,000 of these records are instances of registrants who are listed on more than one line of the TEAM database, for instance a line representing that they requested a mail ballot but another line representing that they actually voted in person.
- 15. In order to gauge turnout as it is represented in the TEAM records, I retain only the most recent voter history record for each registrant, by selecting the date listed as most recently

¹ See "November 08, 2022 GENERAL ELECTION Cumulative Totals Thru Close of Business November 08, 2022" https://earlyvoting.texas-election.com/Elections/getElectionEVDates.do. The counts as reported by Texas here are dynamic and are regularly updated. The counts listed reflect numbers as of January 30, 2022. The mail ballots in this tabulation come from county numbers and appear to reflect ballots submitted rather than ballots officially counted. This is evidenced by the fact that the state website records ballots submitted as early as October 2, 2022, a date prior to when signature verification committees began to meet and review mail ballots. For information on the timeline for reviewing ballots, see: "Early Voting Ballot Board & Signature Verification Committee, Handbook for Election Judges and Clerks 2022," Texas Secretary of State Elections Division. See Page 9: "A [signature verification] committee may not begin operating before the 20th day before election day." https://www.sos.state.tx.us/elections/forms/ballot-board-handbook.pdf.

² Note that there is more than one way to tabulate voter turnout. For instance, elsewhere on its website, the Texas Secretary of State's office refers to ballots counted in the gubernatorial election (the highest office on the ballot in 2022) as its voter turnout. See Texas Secretary of State, "Turnout and Voter Registration Figures (1970-current)," https://www.sos.texas.gov/elections/historical/70-92.shtml. For matching turnout statistic in gubernatorial election, see Texas Secretary of State, "Official Canvas Report," Page 8, https://results.texas-election.com/static/data/Reports/47009/OfficialCanvassReport.pdf?v=1673559121148.

³ Ashley Lopez, "Despite Mail Voting Changes, Ballot Rejections Remain Relatively Low in 2022 Midterms," NPR, January 13, 2023.

⁴ See "State Defendants' Supplemental Objections and Responses to the United States' Second Set of Interrogatories," Page 13, *United States v. Texas*, January 19, 2023.

updated. After this procedure, all in-person ballots cast (election day ballots and early ballots, including provisional ballots) yield a tally of 7,775,194. This number is nearly identical to the count of 7,775,713 in-person ballots received according on the State's website as referenced above. Since the website is continuously updated but the voter file I received is static and dated January 3rd, it is expected that the number counted on the website would be slightly higher than tabulated based on the static voter file. Note that some of these ballots (5,901 of them) have a code indicating that they were ultimately rejected. Nearly all the others (7,768,874) have a code indicating that they were accepted. The remaining 419 records have neither an accepted nor rejected code.⁵

16. 378,487 other records have a code associated with a mail ballot. Nearly all of these have one of the following "ballot status" codes:

TABLE B: Ballot Status Codes of Mail Ballot Records in November 2022 Election

CODE	Definition	Count
AB	Absentee ballot received	1,492
AC	Absentee ballot cancelled by voter	16,420
AM	Absentee ballot mailed	29,719
AV	Absentee ballot accepted	322,423
AX	Absentee ballot rejected	8,366
RB	Absentee ballot returned by PO	20
(No Coc	le)	47

17. Of these records, the total ballots submitted are those with codes AB (Absentee ballot received), AV (absentee ballot accepted), and AX (absentee ballot rejected). These sum

⁵ The method of voting is defined by a field in the database called "ballot type." The rejected/accepted status comes from a different field, called "ballot status". For in-person ballot types, 7,768,874 have a code of "A" (accepted); 5,901 have a code of "R" (rejected); and 419 have no code.

- to 332,281.⁶ The other codes reflect ballots that either never reached the voter (returned by Post Office) or were received by the voter but the voter never mailed back the ballot or else cancelled the request.
- 18. This number (332,281) is lower than the other counts mentioned above, both in public reports (345,697 ballots received) and in the State's count on its website (359,526 ballots received). One possible explanation for the discrepancy is if those sources include in their counts ballots that were cancelled by voters. Adding in these 16,420 records would bring the voter file count up to 348,701. However, based on the codes and definitions made available to me, I will count ballots submitted as only those that have the codes of AB, AV, or AX.
- 19. According to the January 2023 data, there are 18,187 records listed with a reason that a ballot was rejected. Of these, 13,638 were mail ballot rejections. About 40% of records that indicate a reason for a mail ballot being rejected have a status code that indicates the ballot was accepted. Presumably, these are cases in which a ballot was initially rejected but eventually cured and accepted. Of all the mail ballot rejections, 11,430 (83.8%) have a code indicating that identification verification was the reason for the rejection. With 13,638 rejections and 332,281 mail ballots submitted, the rejection rate is estimated as 4.1%. This number is higher than the publicly reported rate of 2.7%.

⁶ If one calculates this number without removing the duplicate voters listed in TEAM, as described above, the total count of submitted ballots would nevertheless be quite similar: 332,885.

⁷ These codes are: IS, ISR, EVBIS, EVBISR, EVCIS, EVCISR, and ISF. The first two of these codes are identified in Defendant's disclosed document STATE057755. The remaining codes are identified by county disclosed documents, such as Dallas County document, MS016221.

⁸ According to NPR, 9,348 of 345,697 mail ballots were rejected. These numbers are based on "NPR inquiries with state officials." Ashley Lopez, "Despite mail voting changes, ballot rejections remain relatively low in 2022 midterms," NPR, January 13, 2023

- 20. Among those with rejected ballots include 5 individuals who use Federal Post Card Applications, which are voter registration forms used by members of the military, their families, and American citizens who are residing overseas.
- 21. Of the 11,430 records indicating voters who were rejected on SB 1 grounds, less than half of them (44.4%) are associated with a registrant whose record also shows that they were able to vote either by mail or in person. Most (55.6%) failed to vote successfully following their ballot being rejected.
- 22. If one calculates the rate of rejected ballots as those that appear as uncured in the TEAM file, the rejection rate would be lower than 4.1%. For example, of all 13,638 records listed with a reason that a mail ballot was rejected, 8,306 show no indication that the registrant successfully voted, whether by curing the mail ballot or cancelling the mail ballot and voting in person. If we divide 8,306 by the sum of 8,306 and 322,423 (the number of successful mail votes), then the uncured/uncanceled rejection rate would be 2.5%, which is close to the rejection rate of 2.7% reported by the State. Such a calculation does not take into account those voters who, in order to resolve a rejection attributable to SB 1, needed to vote in person rather than by mail.
- 23. The analysis above suggests a mail ballot rejection rate of 4.1% and an uncured/uncanceled rejection rate of 2.5%. However, estimates of rejection rates must be observed with caution, as some cured ballots may have had their codes in TEAM overridden once they were cured. According to Kristi Hart, the State's Director of Election Administration and Voter Registration, TEAM records that are rejected but eventually cured would have the rejection flag overridden. At the same time, in some

⁹ Deposition transcript of Kristi Hart, Director of Election Administration and Voter Registration, Office of Texas Secretary of State, Pages 133-135, *United States v. Texas*, June 30, 2022.

cases registrants have multiple records on TEAM, one indicating a rejection and one an accepted form. Thus, from the TEAM data alone, it is not possible to offer a definitive account of the number of ballots rejected and cured. The numbers reported here reflect what can be discerned with the available data.

24. In comparison to the March 2022 primary, which was the first election held under SB 1 rules, two facts are apparent. First, the rejection rate reported in the March 1, 2022 state primary was higher than in November; 12% of ballots were reportedly rejected in March. Second, a smaller share of voters who cast ballots did so by mail in November 2022 (4.4% according to the State's reporting) compared to the share in March 2022 (5.6%). 11

IV. Relationship between Records Flagged and Mail Voting in 2022 Election

- 25. The first part of the analysis in this report showed that 15% of Texas registered voters could have a problem voting by mail on account of SB 1. The second part of the analysis showed that some 4% of mail voters had ballots rejected on account of SB 1 identification rules. Here, I will briefly put these two analyses in context of one another.
- 26. As I noted in my First Supplemental Report, a useful analogy to the problems identified here is that of a hospital that has poor record-keeping practices. Suppose the hospital had incorrect records for 15% of the population. In any one year, few people go to the hospital, so it isn't the case that 15% of the total public will be mistreated by the hospital on account of poor record-keeping. But when those in that 15% "at-risk" population

¹⁰ Robert Garrett, "Rejection of Texans' Mail Ballots Decline Markedly from the Big Surge in March Primary", *Dallas Morning News*, Dec 23, 2022.

¹¹ https://earlyvoting.texas-election.com/Elections/getElectionDetails.do.

suddenly need to visit the hospital, they may be inconvenienced or harmed on account of the record-keeping. The analogy is helpful because it clarifies that while there is a large pool of citizens at risk of having a problem, most will not be confronted with that problem in any one election year.

27. Consider the 2.7 million records of registrants whose data demonstrate a possible barrier to meeting SB 1 requirements. I merge these with the records of individuals who requested a mail ballot in November 2022. Of all the 2.7 million registrants with record issues, 28,437 requested a mail ballot. It is not surprising that only a small percent of these 2.7 million voters requested a mail ballot, as only about 2% of all registered voters in Texas voted by mail in the November election. 12 Among those 28,437 registrants who tried to cast a mail ballot, 6,380—or 22.44%—never cast a successful mail ballot. These registrants include those rejected due to SB 1 and those rejected for reasons other than SB 1, as well as those who never returned their ballot or returned their ballot late. In comparison, among those registrants who are not in the "at risk" pool of the 2.7 million voters, only 16.17% of them who began the mail voting process never cast a successful mail ballot (a statistically significant six percentage-point difference, p < .0001). Again, this baseline of 16.17% includes those whose mail ballot applications or ballots are rejected for reasons unrelated to SB1 as well as individuals who do not end up returning a mail ballot at all or returning it late. The fact that the subpopulation of 2.7 million has a

¹² According to Texas, in November 2022, there were approximately 346,000 mail voters and 17,672,000 registrants, so 2% of all registrants cast a mail ballot. This figure represents the percentage of all *registered voters* who cast mail ballots in November 2022, rather than the percentage of *voters who cast ballots* in the November 2022 election who did so by mail, as discussed in Paragraph 24. For mail ballot estimates, see above. For registration counts, see: https://www.sos.texas.gov/elections/historical/70-92.shtml.

much higher rate of non-voting by mail *conditional on requesting a ballot* is likely to be related to SB 1 requirements.

V. Conclusion

28. In this analysis, I have replicated my main analysis for the second time. The analysis

shows (as it has shown twice before) that about 15% of registration records in Texas

could have an issue with the SB 1 identification verification rule if the registrant was to

apply to vote by mail. Second, I have shown that while only 2% of registrants voted by

mail in the 2022 general election, more than 11,000 ballots were rejected on account of

SB 1 identification issues. Most of the individuals who submitted those rejected ballots

did not successfully vote, either by mail or in-person. Third, I have shown that "at-risk"

registrants who tried to cast a ballot by mail in the 2022 general election were

significantly less likely to successfully vote by mail than individuals who are not in the

pool of the 2.7 million "at-risk" registrants.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the forgoing is

true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

DATED this 3rd Day of February, 2023.

Eitan Hersh

APPENDIX A: REPLICATION OF ANALYSIS USING DEC 2022/JAN 2023 VERSIONS OF DATA

A. Databases

- 1. <u>DPS</u>. The updated DPS database I received is dated December 17, 2022. The database contains 37,377,423 records, an increase of almost a million records from the 36,417,304 records identified in the March 2022 data I analyzed in my prior supplemental report. As in the versions previously analyzed, the December 2022 version of the data has complete or nearly complete records in all fields of interest (e.g., name, date of birth, address, gender) except that 5% of records are not populated with Social Security numbers, which was also the case in the prior versions. Table 1 below updates Table 1 of my Initial Report with the new data.
- 2. The percent of DPS records with missing SSNs has declined from 5.02% in the Initial Report to 4.98% in the First Supplemental Report, to 4.85% here. The percent of records missing a *unique* SSN9 (i.e., the number of SSNs associated with more than one DPS ID Number) has increased from 21.6% to 27.5% here (the First Supplementary Report had this rate even higher, at 28.7%).

TABLE 1: Rate of Missing Information in DPS

Missing SSN	4.85%
Missing unique SSN9	27.49
Missing First Name	0.00
Missing Last Name	0.00
Missing Birthdate	0.00
Missing M/F Gender	0.00
Missing Street Number	0.03
Missing ZIP Code	0.00
TOTAL IN DATABASE	37,377,423

- 3. TEAM. The updated version of TEAM records is dated January 3, 2023. The TEAM data was transmitted to me as a single data file. (In prior transmissions, the data had been stored by county in separate files). Registered voters can be listed on many lines within the file. For instance, Texas counts 28,868 registered voters in Anderson County as of January 2023. In the January 2023 TEAM export I received, there are 57,459 rows of registrants in Anderson County. Different rows show different interactions that registrants have had with the election system. I processed the data so that each person, represented by their Voter Unique Identification Number (VUID), is listed one time. Specifically, I observed the dates labeled "ballot_last_updated" and retained the most recent date for each registrant. I also retained registrants who had no date listed in this field.
- 4. After the de-duplication process, the January 2023 TEAM file consists of 17,451,752 records. I sought to confirm that this number is close to the State's official reporting. According to the Secretary of State's website, as of January 2023, there were 17,450,474 registered Texas voters. Given the typical fluctuation in registration numbers, a difference of less than 0.01% suggests the data I have been given by the State is consistent with the State's publicly reported information.

B. Data Quality Checks in the TEAM Voter Records

5. I performed the same set of data quality checks as described in my prior reports. Tables 2 and 3 offer summary statistics on key fields of interest. In the updated data, 2.27% of records are missing SSN (compared 2.52% in March 2022 and 2.34% in January 2022).

¹³ https://www.sos.state.tx.us/elections/historical/jan2023.shtml

- In the January 2023 data, 2.62% are missing a DPS ID Number (compared to 2.85% in April 2022 and 3.16% in January 2022).
- 6. The numbers are all similar to those in my previous reports, with one exception. In the January 2023 data as well as the January 2022 data, less than 1% of records are missing street number and ZIP code. In the March 2022 data, over 20% of the records were missing this information.

TABLE 2: Rates of Missing Information in TEAM

Missing SSN	2.27%
Missing Unique SSN9	5.86
Missing First Name	0.00
Missing Last Name	0.00
Missing Birthdate	0.01
Missing M/F Gender	3.65
Missing Street Number	0.07
Missing ZIP Code	0.03
TOTAL IN DATABASE	17,451,752

TABLE 3: Summary of Field Completeness in TEAM

TOTAL Records in TEAM analysis	17,451,752	
Records with unique SSN9	16,428,895	94.14%
Records with SSN4	17,056,442	97.73
Records with DPS ID Number	16,994,311	97.38
Records lacking SSN4 and DPS ID No.	93,208	0.53

C. Methodology

7. The methodology employed is the same as in my Initial Report. See Paragraphs 50-73 in that report for a detailed explanation. My goal is to look up each registered voter in the

DPS database and determine if the registered voter has one (or more) DPS ID Numbers listed, and to see if there are typos or other inconsistencies between the DPS ID Numbers and SSN4s listed on TEAM versus those listed on the DPS Database. I create three linkage fields to connect the TEAM and DPS databases together. The first field is the nine-digit Social Security number (SSN9). The second field is the combination of name (First and Last) and date of birth. I call this linkage field "ND" for Name + Date of Birth. The third field, a combination of Address (Street Number and ZIP code) + Date of Birth + Gender, I call "ADG". Table 4 (a replica of Table 6 from my Initial Report) illustrates the three linking fields with an example of a fictional registered voter named John Smith.

TABLE 4: Summary of Fields Used in Linking TEAM Database to DPS Database

Field Name	Explanation	"John Smith" example
SSN9	9-digit Social Security number	"123-45-6789"
ND	First name + Last name + Date of birth	"JOHNSMITH1976-07-04"
ADG	Address + Date of birth + Gender	"655782051976-07-04M"

8. As in the prior reports, in addition to calculating statistics for the whole population of registered voters, I also look at several subpopulations, including registrants over 65, registrants who voted in 2020, registrants who have homebound/disabled veteran statuses, and registrants who are listed with specific codes in TEAM signaling that they have applied using Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) registration forms, which are voter registration forms used by members of the military, their families, and Americans who are residing overseas. I refer to these as FPCA voters. In the April report, I did a separate analysis of "voluntary surrender" designations in DPS records, but I concluded, based on disclosures from Texas, that these designations did not contain sufficient information to determine if the citizen holding a surrendered card would or would not be

able to use the ID card for the purposes of mail voting. Accordingly, I do not repeat the analysis of surrendered licenses here.

D. Linkage Part I: TEAM Records with missing DPS ID Numbers

- 9. I divide the analysis into two parts. First, I examine individuals whose TEAM records do not show any DPS ID Number. Second, I examine individuals whose TEAM records do show a DPS ID Number.
- 10. Out of 17,451,752 records in TEAM, 457,441 do not have a DPS ID Number listed in the voter registration records. If any of these individuals actually has a DPS ID Number, they are instructed by the mail ballot forms to include that DPS ID Number (and *only* that identification number). But SB 1 requires these forms—the application and the ballot carrier envelope—to be rejected because the DPS ID Number is not listed within registration records.

TABLE 5: TEAM records by Presence/Absence of Unique SSN9 and DPS ID

Number

	Unique SSN9			
		Present	Absent	TOTAL
DPS ID	Present	16,340,755	653,556	16,994,311
Number	Absent	88,140	369,301	457,441

- 11. I link the 457,441 individuals who do not have DPS ID Numbers recorded in TEAM to the DPS database using the SSN, ND, and ADG linkage fields.
- 12. I first link by SSN9. Of the 457,441 individuals without a DPS ID Number in TEAM, 88,140 have a unique SSN9 listed (as noted in Table 5). Of those, 71.42% match to the DPS database by their SSN9. A total of 64.81% of the records match to a single DPS ID Number, and 6.61% link to multiple DPS ID Numbers.

- 13. I next link these individuals based on ND. As noted in Table 5, most individuals who lack DPS ID Numbers on TEAM also lack an SSN9. However, nearly all of the records have a unique ND combination. Of the 457,441 lacking a DPS ID Number on TEAM, 449,224 (98.2%) have a unique ND. Of those who have a unique ND, 23.72% match to a single DPS record and 4.22% match to multiple DPS records.
- 14. I next link these individuals based on ADG. Of 457,441 records lacking a DPS ID

 Number on TEAM, 401,073 (87.68%) have a unique ADG. Of those with a unique ADG,

 26.81% match to a single DPS record and 3.48% match to multiple DPS records.

TABLE 6: Results of Linking TEAM to DPS Records for TEAM Records without a DPS ID Number listed

	No Match to DPS	Single Match to DPS	Multiple Match to DPS	Attempted to Match
SSN9	28.58%	64.81%	6.61%	88,140
ND	72.06	23.72	4.22	449,224
ADG	69.70	26.81	3.48	401,073
Any	58.36	36.25	5.39	454,073

- 15. Table 6 summarizes the analysis of records that do not have a DPS ID Number listed on the TEAM voter file. Each of the first three rows summarizes a linkage between TEAM and DPS based on SSN9, ND, and ADG, respectively. For instance, the first row shows that I attempted to match 88,140 records in TEAM based on SSN9. This reflects the number of TEAM records without a DPS ID Number that include a unique SSN9. Of those, 28.58% did not match to DPS. The others did match.
- 16. The bottom row of Table 6 provides the overall summary for the TEAM records lacking a DPS ID Number. Of the 457,441 total records lacking a DPS ID Number, I attempted to link 454,073 (99.26%) to the DPS database. (The other 0.74% did not have a unique or

complete value for SSN9, ND, or ADG). Of the individuals I attempted to find on DPS, 42% matched to a DPS record. That amounts to 189,095 registered voters. These individuals possess a DPS ID Number and therefore are instructed by Texas's mail ballot forms to list their DPS ID Number (and only their DPS ID Number) on their mail ballot forms. However, if they follow those instructions and list only that DPS ID Number, SB 1 requires their ballot applications, and their ballots, to be rejected because the number is not listed on TEAM.

- 17. <u>Subpopulations:</u> Of the 189,095 individuals who match to DPS and have a DPS ID Number even though no such number is listed on TEAM,
 - 74,982 are over age 65
 - 52,157 voted in the 2020 election
 - 28,152 are over 65 AND voted in the 2020 election
 - 1,475 have FPCA statuses
 - 391 individuals matched to DPS records indicating homebound status or disabled veteran status.

These numbers show that many active voters who are eligible to vote by mail under

Texas law are listed in TEAM without DPS ID Numbers but do in fact possess DPS ID

Numbers.

18. Typos in Social Security Number. Among registrants who lack a DPS ID Number recorded on TEAM but who match to a record in DPS based on ND or ADG, I compare the SSN4 as recorded on the two databases. I find that 3,431 individuals who appear on both databases have discrepancies in their SSN4s. If the DPS records of SSN are correct, then these 3,431 cases result from inaccuracies on TEAM. They would cause election

officials to reject mail ballot forms from citizens who include their correct SSN4 on the forms. A voter may include an SSN4 on ballot materials as a precautionary measure based on supplemental instructions from election administrators. ¹⁴

E. Linkage Part II: TEAM Records Listed with DPS ID Numbers

- 19. I now turn to the analysis of the 16,994,311 records in which a DPS ID Number is listed in the TEAM databases. As noted above in Table 5, 96.2% of these records have a unique SSN9 associated with them. I first link these records to DPS by matching on SSN9. Of 16,340,755 records with a unique SSN9 on TEAM, 99.71% match to a record in DPS. However, 16.34% of the records match to more than one DPS ID Number.
- 20. Next, I link records based on the ND combination, as described in Paragraph 11 above.
 The ND combination is unique for 16,869,025 individuals who have a DPS ID Number listed on TEAM. Of these, 97.66% match to a record in DPS. However, 15.89% match to more than one DPS ID Number.
- 21. Next, I link records based on the ADG combination. The ADG combination is unique for 16,316,427 individuals who have a DPS ID Number listed on TEAM. Of these, 85.19% match to a record in DPS. However, 11.01% match to more than one DPS ID Number.

TABLE 7: Results of Linking TEAM to DPS Records for TEAM Records with a DPS ID Number listed

	No Match to DPS	Single Match to DPS	Multiple Match to DPS	Attempted to Match
SSN9	0.29%	83.36%	16.34%	16,340,755
ND	2.34	81.76	15.89	16,869,025
ADG	14.81	74.19	11.01	16,316,427

¹⁴ See discussion of the State's instructions in my Initial Report, Footnote 4, and in First Supplemental Report, Paragraphs 50-55.

Any	0.82	85.07	14.10	16,980,669

- 22. Table 7 summarizes the analysis of records with a DPS ID Number on the voter file.

 Consider the bottom row. Of the 16,994,311 records in TEAM listed with a DPS ID

 Number, I attempted to link 16,980,669 (99.92%) of them to the DPS database. The

 remaining 0.08% did not have a unique or complete record on SSN, ND, and ADG. Of

 these 16,980,669 records, 99.18% matched to a record in DPS. However, many of them

 are listed more than once on DPS, with multiple ID numbers. These 14.10% of records

 sum up to 2,394,435 records. That is, for 2.4 million Texas registered voters who have a

 DPS ID Number listed, they may put a correct DPS ID Number on their mail ballot

 application form but nevertheless have their application (or their ballot envelope) rejected

 because the DPS ID Number they write down, while correct, happens not to be the DPS

 ID Number recorded on the TEAM file.
- 23. The 2.4 million figure may be an underestimate. Many DPS ID holders are listed not just with one DPS ID Number but they have alternative ID numbers stored as "AKA DL/ID Numbers." Of the TEAM records that linked to a *single* DPS ID Number, 1,407,010 of them linked to a record that listed alternative ID numbers in the "AKA DL/ID Number" field. During her April 20, 2022 deposition, Sheri Gibson, chief of the Texas DPS driver's license division, explained that these AKA DL/ID numbers are identification numbers associated with the ID holder that are either erroneous or originate from a prior version of the State's license system. If registrants use these alternative identification numbers when submitting a mail ballot application or mail ballot, they will also have their forms rejected because the AKA DL/ID Numbers are not the identification numbers on the voter registration records that are associated with these

registrants. Because some AKA ID Numbers are considered by DPS to be erroneous or otherwise invalid (i.e., not just "expired," which does not impact validity for SB 1 purposes), in order to perform a conservative analysis, I focus here on registered voters who have multiple DPS ID Numbers exclusive of the AKA DL/ID Numbers.

- 24. Subpopulations: Of the 2,394,435 individuals who match to multiple DPS ID Numbers,
 - 174,880 are over age 65
 - 1,073,661 voted in the 2020 election
 - 91,469 are over 65 AND voted in the 2020 election
 - 1,325 have FPCA status
 - 5,279 individuals matched to DPS records indicating homebound status or disabled veteran status.

These numbers show that many active voters who are eligible to vote by mail under

Texas law are listed in TEAM with a DPS ID Number but possess multiple DPS ID

Numbers. If they list a DPS ID Number other than the one that happens to be recorded in

TEAM, SB 1 requires their mail ballot forms to be rejected.

25. Typos in Social Security Number. Looking just at the last 4 digits of Social Security numbers, I calculate the number of individuals in TEAM who match to records in DPS based on ND and ADG, but whose TEAM records show a different SSN4 than is shown on DPS. There are 40,922 individuals who appear on DPS with different SSN4s than shown on TEAM. If the DPS database is correct and these individuals mark down their correct SSN4 on a mail ballot application, then their application will be rejected because of incorrectly recorded data in TEAM.

- a. <u>Subpopulations:</u> Of the 40,922 individuals whose SSN4s listed on TEAM do not match the SSN4s listed in the DPS database
 - 14,945 are over age 65
 - 25,795 voted in the 2020 election
 - 10,294 are over 65 AND voted in the 2020 election
 - 100 have FPCA status
 - 110 individuals matched to DPS records indicating homebound status or disabled veteran status.

These numbers show that many active voters who are eligible to vote by mail under

Texas law are listed in TEAM with an SSN4 that is inconsistent with the SSN4 listed in
the DPS database.

- 26. Typos in DPS ID Numbers. Just as there are discrepancies between the SSNs listed in TEAM and the corresponding SSNs listed in DPS, there are also discrepancies in DPS ID Numbers. Using the methodology for calculating these discrepancies that was explained in my Initial Report, I calculate that 62,461 individuals have a DPS ID Number in TEAM that does not match any DPS ID Number in the DPS database.
 - a. <u>Subpopulations</u>: Of the 62,461 individuals on TEAM who match to DPS on SSN9, ND, and ADG, but have DPS ID Numbers that do not correspond to the DPS database,
 - 14,602 are over age 65
 - 27,441 voted in the 2020 election
 - 9,006 are over 65 AND voted in the 2020 election
 - 75 have FPCA status

 359 individuals matched to DPS records indicating homebound status or disabled veteran status.

F. Summary of Results from Updated Analysis

- 27. To comply with the identification verification requirements in SB 1, registered voters who have a DPS ID are instructed to record their ID number on a mail ballot application and again when submitting a mail ballot. If they do not have an ID number, they must record their SSN4. The analysis from the updated TEAM and DPS files show the following:
 - a. 189,095 registered voters are not listed in TEAM with a DPS ID, but they do have a DPS ID. Official forms instruct these individuals to list only their DPS ID, but if they do so, their ballot or ballot application will be rejected.
 - i. Of these, **74,982** are over 65 years old, **28,152** of whom voted in the most recent presidential election.
 - ii. Of these, **1,475** have FPCA status or are listed as disabled veterans or as homebound citizens.
 - b. 2,394,435 individuals who are listed on TEAM with an associated DPS ID have more than one DPS ID Number. If they happen to write down a DPS ID number that is correct but is not the DPS ID number recorded in TEAM, their ballot or ballot application will be rejected.
 - i. Of these, **174,880** are over 65 years old, **91,469** of whom voted in the most recent presidential election.
 - ii. Of these, **5,279** have FPCA status or are listed as disabled veterans or as homebound citizens.

- c. **44,353** individuals have SSN4s listed in their TEAM records that do not match the SSN4s listed in the DPS database.
- d. At least 62,461 individuals who are listed on TEAM registration records with a DPS ID Number do not show the same DPS ID Number listed in the DPS database.
- 28. Altogether, <u>2.7 million</u> registered voters in Texas (out of 17.5 million) are at an increased risk of having a mail ballot or mail ballot application rejected due to identification number requirements in SB 1. These numbers do not include individuals who have misplaced or surrendered their IDs or who mis-enter information on a mail ballot form.

 These 2.7 million individuals can correctly fill out their forms, but their applications and ballots would be rejected nonetheless.

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX T

Hilda Salinas April 20, 2023

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION			
LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO,			
et al. Plaintiffs) () (
VS.	, ,	CASE NO.	
GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et al. Defendants		5:21-cv-844-XR (LEAD CASE)	
OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et al.) (
Plaintiffs) (CASE NO.	
VS.) (1:21-cv-780-XR	
JANE NELSON, et al. Defendants) () () (
HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE, et al.) () (
Plaintiffs) (CASE NO.	
VS.		5:21-cv-848-XR	
GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT, et al. Defendants			
LULAC TEXAS, et al. Plaintiffs) () (
) (CASE NO.	
VS.) (1:21-cv-0786-XR	
JANE NELSON, et al. Defendants) (



Hilda Salinas April 20, 2023
Pages 2 to 5

	Page 2	Page
1		1 COUNSEL FOR INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS:
2	MIFAMILIA VOTA, et al.)(2 STEPHEN KENNY, via Zoom
	Plaintiffs)(JONES DAY
3)(CASE NO.	3 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001
	VS.)(5:21-cv-0920-XR	4
4)(COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE,
١,	GREG ABBOTT, et al.)(5 et al.:
5	Defendants)(6 VICTOR GENECIN, via Zoom
6		NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC. 7 40 Rector Street, 5th Floor
ľ	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)(New York, New York 10006
7	Plaintiff)(8
)(CASE NO.	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
8	VS.) (5:21-cv-1085-XR	9
) (MICHAEL STEWART, via Zoom 10 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9	THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al.)(950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, 4CON 8th Floor
1	Defendants)(11 Washington, DC 20530
10		12 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO,
11		et al.:
1,0	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	NINA PERALES
12	HILDA ANN SALINAS	14 JULIA LONGORIA, via Zoom
13	APRIL 20, 2023	MALDEF
14		15 110 Broadway, Suite 300
15		San Antonio, Texas 78205
16		ALSO PRESENT:
17	ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF HILDA ANN	17 Mark Longoria, Videographer
18	SALINAS, OFFICE OF THE HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTION	Abigail Young, via Zoom
19	ADMINISTRATOR, produced as a witness at the instance of	18
20	the State Defendants, taken in the above-styled and	19 20
21	numbered cause on APRIL 20, 2023, between the hours of	21
22	9:32 a.m. to 12:08 p.m. at the Office of Texas Attorney	22
23 24	General, Child Support Division, Pharr Regional Office, 3508 North Jackson Road, Suite 100, Pharr, Texas, and	23
25	1:32 p.m. to 4:55 p.m. at Bryant & Stingley, Inc., 1305	24 25
		25
1		Dogo
1	Page 3	Page
1	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported	1 INDEX
2	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court	1 INDEX PAG
2 3	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas,	1 INDEX
2 3 4	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and	1 INDEX PAG Appearances
2 3	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas,	1 INDEX 2 PAG Appearances
2 3 4 5	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached	1 INDEX 2 PAG Appearances
2 3 4 5 6	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein.	1 INDEX 2 PAG Appearances
2 3 4 5 6	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS:	1
2 3 4 5 6 7	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON	1
2 3 4 5 6 7	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL	1
2 3 4 5 6 7	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON	1 INDEX 2 PAG Appearances 2 3 HILDA ANN SALINAS 4 Examination by Ms. Hilton 6 Examination by Mr. Stewart 137 Examination by Mr. Genecin 162 6 Examination by Mr. Hilton 191 Examination by Mr. Stewart 198 Examination by Mr. Stewart 201 Reporter's Certificate 203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS	1 INDEX 2 PAG Appearances 2 3 HILDA ANN SALINAS 4 Examination by Ms. Hilton 6 Examination by Mr. Stewart 137 Examination by Mr. Genecin 162 6 Examination by Mr. Hilton 191 Examination by Mr. Stewart 198 Examination by Mr. Stewart 201 Reporter's Certificate 203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR:	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 COUNSEL FOR EL PASO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR:	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 COUNSEL FOR EL PASO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: GERMAINE HABELL, via Zoom	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 COUNSEL FOR EL PASO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR:	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 COUNSEL FOR EL PASO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: GERMAINE HABELL, via Zoom COOLEY LLP	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	East Nolana, Suite D, McAllen, Texas, reported stenographically by DONNA McCOWN, Certified Court Reporter No. 6625, in and for the State of Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or attached therein. APPEARANCES COUNSEL FOR STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON OFFICE OF THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 Austin, Texas, 78711-2548 COUNSEL FOR HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: JOSEPHINE RAMIREZ SOLIS LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 100 East Cano Street Edinburg, Texas 78539 COUNSEL FOR TRAVIS COUNTY DEFENDANTS: TONY NELSON, via Zoom TRAVIS COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767 COUNSEL FOR BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: LISA CUBRIEL, via Zoom BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 101 West Nueva, 7th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205 COUNSEL FOR EL PASO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR: GERMAINE HABELL, via Zoom COOLEY LLP Wells Fargo Center, South Tower	1



Hilda Salinas April 20, 2023 Pages 30 to 33

Page 30

- A. I don't know anybody personally, but I do know
- 2 that it did happen.
- 3 Q. In the November 2022?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any individual who
- 6 refused to transport seven or more people to a polling
- 7 location because of the requirement to fill out the
- 8 form stipulated by the election code?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And so you're not aware of any voter who was
- 11 unable to find transportation to the polls because of
- 12 the requirement that a person who transports seven or
- 13 more people to a polling place fill out the form
- 14 stipulated in the election code?
- 15 A. No, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Okay. So -- sorry. So that would be correct,
- 17 you're not aware?
- 18 A. No, I'm not aware.
- 19 Q. Thank you. It gets a little bit -- gets a
- 20 little bit mixed up there.
- 21 Did Hidalgo County receive any complaints
- 22 from any voters during November 2022 election related
- 23 to a disability?
- 24 A. Related to a disability, no.
- 25 Q. And I believe you testified to this earlier,

- Page 32 1 accommodation regarding the requirement that mail-in
- 2 voters put their Social Security or ID number on their
- application for ballot by mail? 3
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did your office receive any requests for
- accommodation regarding the requirement that mail-in 6
- 7 voters put their Social Security or ID number on their
- 8 ballot by mail?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And so it would be correct to say that Hidalgo
- 11 County did not receive a request from a voter with a
- 12 disability to amend any of the procedures related to
- 13 mail-in voter requirements?
- 14 A. No. To my knowledge, no.
- 15 Q. Okay. Sorry. So it would be correct to say
- 16 that there were no requests to amend the procedures?
- 17 A. No requests to amend.
- 18 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any voters with
- 19 disabilities whose application to vote by mail in the
- 20 November 2022 election were rejected?
 - A. If somebody with a disability --
- 22 Q. Uh-huh.

21

- 23 A. -- had their application for ballot by mail
- 24 rejected? I'm not aware of any.
- 25 Q. Okay. And are you aware of any voters with

Page 31

- 1 but Hidalgo County works to ensure that its voting
- 2 program is accessible to voters with disabilities,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And you're aware that voters with disabilities
- 6 have the option of requesting an accommodation or a
- change to the normal voting procedures; is that right? 7
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were any accommodations requested in the
- 10 November 2022 election?
- 11 A. None were.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. Say that again.
- 13 A. None were requested.
- 14 Q. None were. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 A. Huh-uh.
- Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any 16
- 17 requests for accommodation regarding any of the
- 18 provisions in SB 1?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. To your knowledge, did your office receive any
- 21 requests for accommodation regarding the requirement
- 22 that mail-in voters put their Social Security or ID
- 23 number on their application for ballot by mail?
- 24 A. Can you repeat that?
- 25 Q. Yes. Did your office receive any requests for

- Page 33 1 disabilities whose mail-in ballots were rejected?
- 2 A. I -- I don't know. I would have to see the
- 3 information.
- 4 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's talk a little bit more
- 5 about mail-in voting. Would you consider Hidalgo
- County's mail-in early voting program a success for
- November 2022? 7
- 8 A. Mail-in early voting program a success? In
- 9 what terms do you mean?
- 10 Q. Well, we can just go through some more specific
- 11 questions. But would you consider the mail-in ballots
- 12 for the November 2022 election, would you consider the
- 13 way that Hidalgo County administered that successful?
- 14 A. Well, it's through the ballot board. But I
- 15 would say that it's successful.
- 16 Q. And I just want to pick up. You made a
- 17 distinction there between Hidalgo County and the ballot
- 18 board. Could you just describe for the record the
- 19 relationship between the election administrator of
- 20 Hidalgo County and the early voting ballot board and
- 21 their different responsibilities.
- 22 A. Okay. Well, the early voting ballot board is
- 23 brought in, you know, only to handle and process the
- 24 ballots that do come in, you know, to the Hidalgo
- 25 County Elections Department.



LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX U

Transcript of the Testimony of **Yvonne Ramon**

Date:

April 21, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Yvonne Ramon April 21, 2022
Pages 78 to 81

Page 78

- 1 you see that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. We also have a signature from the Secretary of
- 4 State. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that this is
- 7 a true and accurate copy of Senate Bill 1 that was
- 8 passed and signed into law?
- 9 A. I do not.
- 10 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. Are you familiar
- 11 with how signed legislation reads with regard to what
- 12 the various markings mean?
- 13 A. I have a good understanding, I believe.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this. So if I flip
- 15 over to Page 2 of the document, do you see that some
- 16 portions of Senate Bill 1 are underlined?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What's your understanding of what the
- 19 underlining means?
- 20 A. That has been added to the exiting law.
- 21 Q. Okay. And if I flip over to, for instance,
- 22 Page 4, do you see that at Line 19, there are areas that
- 23 are struck through?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What is your understanding of what the strike

1 Q. Okay. You don't dispute that that was the

Page 80

Page 81

- 2 purpose as laid out in the bill, right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. And if we go down to Section 1.03 at
- 5 (4), Line 22, it reads, The reforms to the election laws
- 6 of this state made by this act are not intended to
- 7 impair the right of free suffrage guaranteed to the
- 8 people of Texas by the United States and Texas
- 9 Constitutions, but are enacted solely to prevent fraud
- 10 in the electoral process and ensure that all legally
- 11 cast ballots are counted. Integral to the right to vote
- $12\,$ is the assurance of voter access and the right for all
- 13 votes legally cast to be counted. Did I read that
- 14 correctly?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right. And you would agree with that
- 17 statement, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. And you agree that the
- 21 Texas legislator has authority to prescribe laws
- 22 governing elections in Texas, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And you would agree that the legislator's
- 25 authority to prescribe laws to prevent election fraud in

Page 79

- 1 through of a word means?
- 2 A. That has been removed from existing law.
- 3 Q. Okay. What is your understanding of the
- 4 language that has no marking?
- 5 A. That it was existing and will stay.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you see at -- on Page 1 at Line 9,
- 7 and I'll just -- for purposes of reference, do you see
- 8 the left-hand column on Senate Bill 1?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And do you see that it goes from one through --
- 11 up to 27 lines, but this one has 24?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I'll be referencing those lines when I'm trying
- 14 to direct you to areas of the bill. Do you understand
- 15 that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you see where it says Line 9?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And next to that, Section 1.02?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Can I get you to read Section 1.02 for me?
- 22 A. Yes. Purpose, the purpose of this act is to
- 23 exercise the legislature's constitutional authority
- 24 under Section 4, Article VI, Texas Constitution, to make
- 25 all laws necessary to detect and punish fraud.

- 1 Texas, right?
- 2 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) And you would agree that the
- 5 legislator has the authority to pass election laws to
- 6 guarantee uniformity in Texas elections, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. You would also agree that the
- 9 legislature has the authority to pass laws that, for
- 10 instance, protect disabled voters' right to vote?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, let's look at one provision that
- 13 discusses that. If you go over to Page 4 at Line 22.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you see where it says, Reasonable
- 16 Accommodation or Modification?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, we discuss previously that provisions that
- 19 are underlined are provisions that are added; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What is your understanding of what
- 23 Section 1.022 ad -- adds to Texas Election Law?
- A. That when a section of the law requires a
- 25 certain act of some sort, that a person who is disabled

Yvonne Ramon April 21, 2022
Pages 82 to 85

Page 82

- 1 is able to ask for an accommodation to -- to make voting 2 easier.
- 3 Q. Okay. So that is now codified in Texas law,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Let me ask you, do you deal with accommodations
- 7 for people with disabilities --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- as you've run elections in Hidalgo County?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Tell me how that process works.
- 12 A. We have employees that specifically work at
- 13 procuring our polling locations, for example, and their
- 14 job entails going to a poll location before it's
- 15 selected and working with the ADA compliance laws to
- 16 make sure that it's accessible. And if -- these are
- 17 buildings that are public buildings and do not belong to
- 18 the county, some of them, so if there are needs to
- 19 create temporary measures to assist voters who may, in
- 20 fact, have handicap or are disabled in some way, that we
- 21 would be able to help them, if they do decide to walk
- 22 into a poll location, which they have a right to.
- 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that as the election
- 24 administrator for Hidalgo County, one of your jobs is to
- 25 make sure that voting complies with federal disability

- A. If it -- if it was under the umbrella of the
- 2 code of elections, we would work with the -- with the
- 3 voter, with the disability, to see how we could work
- 4 together.
- 5 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. Do you understand that

Page 84

- 6 you're required, as election administrator, to make sure
- 7 that people with disabilities, to the extent that they
- 8 need accommodations, receive them, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when I refer to accommodations, do
- 11 you know what I'm talking about?
- 12 A. The -- a change in the procedure so that a
- 13 person is able to do what he or she needs to do in
- 14 voting.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, Section 1.022 reads, The Reasonable
- 16 Accommodation Or Modification, a provision of this code,
- 17 referring to the Texas Election Code, may not be
- 18 interpreted to prohibit or limit the right of a
- 19 qualified individual with a disability from requesting a
- 20 reasonable accommodation or modification to any election
- 21 standard, practice, or procedure mandated by law or rule
- 22 that the individual is entitled to request under federal
- 23 or state law. Did I read that correctly?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So you understand that not only has

Page 83

2 A. Yes.

1 law?

- 3 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 4 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) And you're also required to
- 5 make sure that elections that you oversee comply with
- 6 state disability law?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) To the extent that -- well,
- 10 let me ask you this. Are you familiar with anyone ever
- 11 having requested what's referred to as a reasonable
- 12 accommodation?
- 13 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 14 A. I am not aware that someone has requested a
- 15 reasonable accomodation.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. Do you know what the
- 17 term reasonable accommodation means in the context of
- 18 disability rights?
- 19 A. I wouldn't be able to define it.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. Let's suppose
- 21 someone with a disability came to your office and said
- 22 that one of your processes for voting was impossible for
- 23 them on account of a disability. Would you modify that
- 24 process for them so that they could vote?
- 25 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.

- Page 85
 1 Senate Bill 1 added 1.022 as a complication, it also
- 2 refers to making sure that any person who needs an
- 3 accommodation is able to get that to any provision of
- 4 the Texas Election Code?
- 5 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 6 A. So what is your question? That I understand
- 7 that this states that?
- 8 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Yes.
- 9 A. I see that, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. You don't disagree with that, do you?
- 11 A. No
- 12 Q. Okay. Have you ever denied an accommodation to
- 13 a person with a disability who has sought one from -- or
- 14 during a voting in Hidalgo County?
- 15 A. There was an instance where a blind person
- 16 asked for a braille ballot, paper ballot, and we do not
- 17 have braille paper ballots.
- 18 Q. Did the Secretary of State's office tell you
- 19 not to create braille ballots?
- 20 A. The Secretary of State's office, because I did
- 21 call, this was a while back, said the law does not
- 22 mandate that you provide one, so you don't have to 23 provide one.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I wouldn't even begin to know how to provide

Yvonne Ramon April 21, 2022
Pages 86 to 89

Page 86

- 1 one, but --
- Q. Who did you talk to from the Secretary of
- 3 State's office who told you that?
- 4 A. I don't remember. It's been many years that
- 5 that request came to my office. I probably had been the
- 6 elections administrator for just a few years.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. It's been that long.
- 9 Q. Let me ask you this. If a blind voter came to
- 10 your office now and asked for a braille ballot, what
- 11 would you do?
- 12 A. We would --
- 13 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 14 A. -- not be able to provide one because Hidalgo
- 15 County does not have braille paper ballots.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Well, would you do anything to
- 17 help that blind voter who asked for a braille ballot
- 18 vote?
- 19 A. We would provide accommodation. There are
- 20 always accommodations that are available to a person.
- 21 Q. The voter that you're referring to from several
- 22 years back, did you ultimately help that blind voter to
- 23 vote?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So they were able to vote in Hidalgo

- Page 88 Q. You would agree that the legislature has just
- 2 as much an interest as you in ensuring public confidence
- 3 in voting?
- 4 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 5 A. I -- I would hope that they would, yes.
- 6 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. Take a look at
- 7 Defendant's 2 for me, again, on Page 1. At Line 16, it
- 8 reads, (2) Fraud in elections threatens the stability of
- 9 a constitutional democracy by undermining public
- 10 confidence in the legitimacy of public officers chosen
- 11 by election. Did I read that correctly?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. It goes on to read, (3), Reforms are needed to
- 14 the election laws of this state to ensure that fraud
- 15 does not undermine the public confidence in the
- 16 electoral process. Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned some of the reasons
- 19 that you understood the legislature to have passed
- 20 Senate Bill 1 included fraud, concerns, as well as
- 21 concerns and uniformity, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Would you agree with me that public confidence
- 24 in elections is also another reason?
- 25 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.

Page 87

- 1 County?
- 2 A. Yes.3 Q. Are you aware of anybody in Hidalgo County
- 4 who's been unable to vote on account of a disability?
- 5 A. I'm not aware.
- 6 Q. Okay. Does that include the March 22
- 7 primaries?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you see it as part of your role as elections
- 10 administrator to promote public confidence in voting?
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. How do you do that?
- 13 A. Number one, through communication. We make
- 14 ourselves available. We answer all calls. We do our
- 15 best to help the voter understand and answer any
- 16 question that he or she may have to the best of our
- 17 ability. We are public, people know who we are.
- As I said, we are big on press releases, on 19 talking with the media, on being at Commissioners Court
- 20 and all land and the same that are also become a second
- 20 and giving updates so that people know who we are and
- 21 that we're there to help them in any way that we are
- 22 able to.
- 23 Q. So it's important to you that Hidalgo voters
- 24 have confidence in the -- in the voting process?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 A. Yes.
 - 2 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. Now, for the 1 --
 - 3 Section 1.04, which is over on Page 2. At Line 11,
- 4 again, this is from State Defendant's 2, Section 1.04,
- 5 Chapter 1, Election Code, is amended by adding Section
- 6 1.0015 to reads as follows. Section 1.0015, Legislative
- 7 Intent. It is the intent of the legislature that the
- 8 application of this code and the conduct of elections be
- 9 uniform and consistent throughout this state to reduce
- 10 the likelihood of fraud in the conduct of elections.
- 11 protect the secrecy of the ballot, promote voter access,
- 12 and ensure all legally cast ballots are counted. Did I
- 13 read that correctly?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that based on
- 16 that paragraph, secrecy of the ballot, promoting voter
- 17 access, and ensuring legally casts ballots are counted,
- 18 are also reasons that the legislature provided in the
- 19 body of Senate Bill 1 for having passed the bill?
- 20 A. That was their intent.
- 21 Q. You don't disagree with that, do you?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. Do you think any provisions in Senate
- 24 Bill 1 made it easier for people to vote?
- 25 A. I already mentioned the cure period, which is

Page 89

Yvonne Ramon April 21, 2022 Pages 150 to 153

Page 150

1 has changed, so -- but prior to Senate Bill 1.

- 2 As soon as the second week of early vote,
- 3 so we bring them in because there are various processes
- 4 that I can speak to if you want me to after this. So
- 5 they start to meet early enough, and -- and then they
- 6 make the determination. It's the early voting ballot
- 7 board, not my office, that makes the determination to
- 8 accept or reject a carrier envelope with the ballot that
- 9 is inside.
- 10 Q. Have you ever served as a member of a early
- 11 voting ballot board?
- 12 A. I have not.
- Q. Have you ever witnessed an early voting ballot 13
- 14 board processing mail-in ballots?
- 15 A. When I started, I did, and as per the Election
- 16 Code, I am not involved. It is the early voting ballot
- 17 board, the members who are part of the ballot board that
- 18 make these determinations, not mine. So I have a person
- 19 who is in charge of and over -- and helping in
- 20 overseeing because the law does allow a tabulator, is in
- 21 a sense it's called because we have to help them.
- 22 But -- but basically, that's the extent of our
- 23 involvement.
- 24 Q. Does the Hidalgo County Elections
- 25 Administrator's office assist in any way with cure

Page 152 Q. Okay. Do you have any idea of the number of

- 2 mail ballots that were cured through the process that
- 3 you put in place under Senate Bill 1?
- A. I do. 4
- 5 Q. What's the number?
- A. 95. 6
- Q. Okay. So it's 95 voters that prior to Senate 7
- 8 Bill 1 would have their ballots rejected?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. To your understanding, what is the
- 11 method for matching identification numbers on a carrier
- 12 envelope at a ballot -- a mail ballot?
- 13 A. So the process has changed from when senate
- 14 bill was enacted on December the 2nd to now.
- 15 Q. Well -- well, let's start with the before time
- 16 if we can. So can you walk the Court through the
- 17 process for examining a mail ballot by the early voting
- 18 ballot board prior to the passage of Senate Bill 1?
- 19 A. So the -- the process is quite extensive
- 20 because the law requires that once the application has
- 21 been reviewed and deemed to be a qualified voter to vote
- 22 by mail, there is -- and I don't want to call it a kit,
- 23 but there -- there are envelopes that are created,
- 24 pocket envelopes that now hold that application in place
- 25 while the carrier envelope, which has various pieces, is

Page 153

Page 151

- 1 process for --
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. -- carrier envelopes?
- A. Yes, we do. When the ballot board has advised
- 5 us that there is a ballot to be cured, then we do create
- 6 a list and that voter comes to our office, and we then
- 7 set the process for curing and assisting the voter to 8 cure the ballot, and then that ballot carrier/envelope
- 9 is returned to ballot board.
- 10 Q. So you do have a process in place to cure
- 11 defective mail ballots?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. Have you used that process prior to
- 14 Senate Bill 1?
- 15 A. No. There was no curing of the -- of the
- 16 carrier envelope. Once it's with ballot board, that --
- 17 those laws were completely different from now.
- 18 Q. And so since Senate Bill 1, you don't have this
- 19 cure process?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Have you used the cure process since the
- 22 passage of Senate Bill 1?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Was it effective in your opinion?
- 25 A. I believe for being the first time it was, yes.

1 sent to the voter.

- 2 Then when that carrier envelope is
- 3 returned, then it's matched up with the application,
- 4 because prior to Senate Bill 1, what needed to be
- 5 matched were the signatures, the signatures on the
- 6 application and the signature on the back of the carrier
- 7 envelope.
- 8 Q. Now, let's talk about that for a moment. So
- 9 prior to Senate Bill 1, the only way to marry up an
- 10 application and a ballot was by looking at the
- 11 signature, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if Hidalgo County had ever
- 14 been sued over the signature match requirements prior to
- 15 passage of Senate Bill 1?
- 16 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 17 Q. Okay. Let me ask you, in -- in your opinion,
- 18 do you think the signature match is the best way to
- 19 match ballots and applications?
- 20 MR. WHITE: Objection; form.
- 21 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 22 A. It's my opinion, not the law, but I don't
- 23 believe it is. I -- I always have wanted there to be a
- 24 new signature on file every so many years. I don't know
- 25 what that length of time would be, but we see the

Yvonne Ramon April 21, 2022
Pages 154 to 157

Page 154

- 1 elderly especially that registered at a young age whose
- 2 signature is no longer the same signature.
- Mine isn't the same signature now that
- 4 they're voting by mail. So that in and of itself was a
- 5 difficult process sometimes.
- 6 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. Now, under Senate Bill
- 7 1, you have the signature, but you also have the
- 8 alternative of the identification numbers, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Assuming that somebody doesn't get a second
- 11 driver's license, are they going to have the same
- 12 driver's license number throughout their voting history
- 13 in Hidalgo County?
- 14 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) What about Social Security
- 17 numbers? Are you aware of anybody getting a different
- 18 Social Security number over the course of their
- 19 lifetime?
- 20 A. I am not aware. I don't know that process.
- 21 Q. Okay. So if someone were, for instance, to use
- 22 a Social Security number as opposed to a signature, in
- 23 your experience and in your opinion, you would assume
- 24 that that Social Security number belonged to the person
- 25 who used it, right?

- Page 156
- 1 which is called Team, and they work with DPS directly
- 2 and they upload to Team, but we had to work out to the
- 3 process of also uploading to the offline counties.
- 4 Q. Well, let's explain to the court what you mean
- 5 by offline county. So can you describe what that term
- 6 means, offline --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- so that the judge understands?
- 9 A. Yes. So as I mentioned, the official voter
- 10 registration database is called Team, and it is managed
- 11 by the Secretary of State's office. They have a whole
- 12 department on this.
- So when we are an offline county, and if
- 14 this was before my time that I came in, we were already
- 15 deemed an offline county. Apparently at that time, the
- 16 Secretary of State's team division was not strong enough
- 17 to handle all 254 counties.
- 18 So the larger counties broke away and, in
- 19 fact, we pay for a voter registration vendor while Team
- 20 is still providing that service to the counties that are
- 21 with them, I believe at no cost still. I'm not sure
- 22 because I'm not an online county.
- 23 Regardless, every day we have to submit to
- 24 the State whatever has been processed. So every day
- 25 there is an upload to the State, and we work very hard

Page 155

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you think that that's a more
- 3 effective way to match ballots and applications?
- 4 MR. WHITE: Objection; form.
- 5 MS. RAMIREZ: Object to form.
- 6 A. It is effective. It doesn't -- this Senate
- 7 Bill 1 does not exclude the signature, because if there
- 8 is no signature on that carrier envelope, it is also 9 rejected.
- 6 (D) (MD)
- 10 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Uh-huh.
- 11 A. But it is not the bate -- primary basis for the
- 12 acceptance of that carrier envelope.
- 13 Q. Okay. Do you think that the identification
- 14 number system is superior to the signature match system?
- 15 MR. WHITE: Objection; form.
- 16 A. As we have -- as we have worked with the
- 17 Secretary of State to -- to work out all the bumps and
- 18 bruises along the way, eventually we hope that it will
- 19 be a more effective way.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay.
- 21 A. To begin with, it was difficult.
- 22 Q. Okay. Why was it difficult?
- 23 A. Because -- because not all IDs were in offline
- 24 county, which is a Hidalgo County databases. The
- 25 Secretary of State has the official data -- database,

- Page 157
 1 at synchronizing our data so that both Team and our
- 2 vems, is our vendor under VOTEC, databases are
- 3 synchronized and the same.
- 4 Q. Okay. So that it's clear, when you say
- 5 offline, what you're referring to is your offline from
- 6 the Teams database?
- 7 A. Yes. And we -- they, that are online, actually
- 8 go into the team portal and set up their election. We
- 9 also do that, but as an upload --
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. -- when it comes to voter records.
- 12 Q. Now, the third-party vendor that Hidalgo County
- 13 uses to manage its voter registration information, does
- 14 that synchronize with the Team database operated by the
- 15 Texas Secretary of State?
- 16 A. We do. We utilize their software, but we are
- 17 the ones that have to synchronize with the state, not
- 18 our vendor.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. We manage our -- our software.
- 21 Q. So Hidalgo County has access to the Team
- 22 database --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- to identify voter identification numbers,
- 25 right?

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX V

Transcript of the Testimony of **Yvonne Ramon**

Date:

May 10, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs STATE OF TEXAS

Yvonne Ramon May 10, 2022
Pages 234 to 237

Page 234

- 1 although she doesn't drive anymore, so then we had to 2 fill out the form to update the record to put that on in 3 order to be able to do the tracker.
- I have not gone to see her this week, so we've not tried it yet. Because we got her mail ballot 6 that did come in for the runoff starting on Monday. But 7 I would like to try it to see.
- 8 Another issue that we had was that every 9 cell required -- for example, if I was going to pull 108 10 South 10th Avenue, 109 Tab, South Tab, Avenue.
- 11 And if you don't realize this, you are 12 typing the whole address.
- And so, you know, there were just some of 14 those types of issues that had me go back and tap, tap, 15 tap, and erase and delete, and trying it out for myself. 16 So those were my experiences with my elderly mother that 17 I assist her with her voting process.
- 18 Q And you mentioned earlier today in your 19 questioning with Mr. White that you had served on an 20 advisory committee for the Secretary of State's office; 21 is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Have you shared these particular concerns or 24 issues you've noted with the ballot tracker website in 25 the course of those meetings with the Secretary of

1 Q (BY MR. MALHI) Understood. I just have a 2 couple more questions. I think the first one that comes 3 to mind is, can you share any other sort of -- that we 4 haven't discussed already, any sort of feedback you 5 received from voters about difficulties voting since SB1 6 went into effect?

Page 236

- 7 MR. HERBERT: Objection, form.
- 8 A Difficulty voting in general or difficulty 9 specific to what?
- 10 Q (BY MR. SINGH) I can be more specific.
- 11 So have you heard any feedback from voters 12 about difficulties with mail ballot voting with respect 13 to the ID number requirements.
- 14 MR. HERBERT: Objection, form.
- 15 A I have not myself. But, again, this would be16 something that I would to have to specifically ask my17 division manager.
- 18 Q (BY MR. SINGH) Are you aware of any voters in 19 your county who have been unable to receive reasonable 20 accommodations?
- 21 A I am not.
- Q At this point I think I'm fairly confident I
 23 have exhausted my questions. But we have been going to
 24 for about an hour. So why don't we take a break. I
 25 will review my notes and make sure that is the case.

Page 235

- 1 State's office?
- 2 MR. HERBERT: Objection, form.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q When exactly did you share these with them?
- A It had to have been during the -- during the
 primary because that's when my mother had received her
 ballot and it had -- I, myself, had sent it back and I
 wrote in that I was assisting her in that.
- 9 And then trying to track her ballot to see 10 where it was, had it been received, had it not? I had 11 those issues, and I did. Just like I said, the link 12 only said poll worker training on the poll watcher.
- 13 So we are able to help each other out 14 during this advisory committee to point things out that 15 maybe had been overlooked because there was so much --16 so much change.
- 17 Q To your knowledge, has the Secretary of State's 18 office fixed those issues you identified in the course 19 of the March '22 primary with respect to the ballot 20 tracker website?
- 21 MR. HERBERT: Objection, form.
- 22 A I don't know because this last week that we had 23 the advisory committee meeting, it was short. And I 24 didn't think to bring that up. I want to try it, I 25 guess, with my mother before saying anything.

- Page 237 1 And if so, I will pass you on to the next questioner.
- 2 Does that work?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 MR. SINGH: Great. So let's just take a 5 five-minute break. So 3:31 Central, we can come back on 6 the record.
- 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
- 8 The time is 3:26 p.m.
- 9 (Break taken.)
- 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 11 record. Time is 3:34 p.m. Central Time.
- 12 MR. SINGH: Ms. Ramon, I have no further 13 questions. I will take a moment to thank you for being 14 with us here today, also thanks for your service.
- 15 Our elections administrators are unsung 16 heroes in our system. So thanks so much for your time 17 and all the work you do.
- 18 I will now pass you to our next counsel 19 here, Ms. Lorenzo-Giguere.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 Q (BY MS. LORENZO-GIGUERE) Good afternoon, 22 Ms. Ramon.
- 23 A Good afternoon.
- 24 Q I'm Susana Lorenzo-Giguere. I'm an attorney 25 with the OCA Greater Houston plaintiffs.

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	§ §	
v.	8	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX W

Transcript of the Testimony of Janet Eickmeyer

Date:

June 13, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Janet Eickmeyer June 13, 2022
Pages 22 to 25

Page 22

- Q. And you're currently registered to vote in
- 2 Dallas County?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And have you been registered to vote in Dallas
- 5 County that entire period?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I hate to ask this, but you identified that
- 8 time period based on your age, what's your birth date?
- 9 A. Year, or?
- 10 Q. Well, just your birth date in general, I mean
- 11 yeah, including the year, the whole thing?
- 12 A. February 13, 1950.
- 13 Q. Same age as my mom.
- 14 So do you vote frequently?
- 15 A. When I know about the candidates, yes.
- 16 Q. Have you ever voted in person in Dallas
- 17 County?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Have you ever voted by mail in Dallas County?
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. Approximately, how many times do you think
- 22 you've voted by mail?
- 23 A. I started voting by mail, I think with COVID,
- 24 so for the past, I guess, two and a half years, three
- 25 years, maybe, or -- I'm guessing.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And how did you vote in the 2022 election?

Page 24

Page 25

- 3 A. By mail.
- 4 Q. I guess there have actually been a couple
- 5 elections so far in 2022, so let me get a little bit
- 6 more specific.
- 7 Did you vote in the March 1st primary
- 8 election?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Which party?
- 11 A. Democratic.
- 12 Q. So I believe you said that you voted by mail
- 13 in that election, is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So I'm going to hand you what I've marked as
- 16 Exhibit 2.
- 17 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
- 18 identification.)
- 19 Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) Do you recognize this
- 20 document at all?
- 21 A. Yes, I guess. I haven't seen it. I didn't
- 22 recall what I had written back then --
- 23 Q. So what --
 - A. -- but yes.
- 25 Q. -- is this?

Page 23

24

- Q. What is the reason that you're eligible to
- 2 vote by mail?

1

- 3 A. I'm over 65.
- 4 Q. Do you have any disability that would enable
- 5 you to vote by mail?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Have you ever requested an accommodation or
- 8 used an assistor to vote?
- 9 MS. HARRIS: Objection, form.
- 10 A. I don't know what that is.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) Okay. So would it be fair
- 12 to say that you're somewhat familiar with the voting
- 13 practices for Dallas County?
- 14 A. Somewhat.
- 15 Q. Did you vote in the 2020 election?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And how -- how did you vote, by what
- 18 mechanism?
- 19 A. In 2020, as I recall, we voted by mail. We
- 20 may have voted, let's see, primary -- I'm -- I don't
- 21 recall exactly when we started voting by mail, but 2020,
- 22 seems like COVID was in full bloom, so everything was
- 23 shut down in March. I guess that's when the primary
- 24 was, so probably by mail.
- 25 Q. And did you vote in 2022 as well?

- 1 A. Pardon?
- 2 Q. Could -- could you tell us what this is?
- 3 A. On the League of Women Voters website they had
- 4 a, seems like a, among other information about upcoming
- 5 meetings, and so forth. They said did you have
- 6 difficulty voting? And click on to respond. And then
- 7 they had a place where you could respond to that, so I
- 8 -- after some frustration, I did.
- 9 Q. And let me read this here.
- 10 So it says, "Your Election Experience,
- 11 poor; Voting Experience" and it -- you replied, "I voted
- 12 by mail and can't determine whether my ballot was
- 13 counted. Dallas County is supposed to be calling me
- 14 back, but the SOS website says I'm not even registered",
- 15 and then it has your name and phone number", did I read
- 16 that correctly there?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So what -- what made your election experience 19 poor?
- 20 MS. HARRIS: Objection, form.
- 21 A. Soon after the first of the year, when it was
- 22 possible, I downloaded the application for voting by
- 23 mail from, I guess it's the Dallas County. I don't even
- 24 know what entity the application was for absentee -- an
- 25 absentee ballot to vote in the primary. And I filled it

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX X

Transcript of the Testimony of **Julie Espinoza**

Date:

June 09, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Julie Espinoza June 09, 2022
Pages 46 to 49

Page 46 Page 48 Q. And let's revisit that. We'll come and talk A. Okay. I've got it pulled up. 1 2 about that more. I just want to make sure I understand 2 Q. Okay. This is a page on the Collin County the full sort of story of this -- this first election website. At the top, it's entitled "Voting For People 3 after Senate Bill 1 was enacted. 4 With Disabilities." 5 Did you -- why didn't you reach out to the 5 Is that right? 6 election administrator when your mail-in ballot did not 6 A. Yes. 7 arrive? Q. And the first sentence in the first paragraph 7 8 A. I wanted to see how this was going to work. reads: "Curbside voting is available for persons unable 8 And as a last resort, I know that I would do research to 9 to enter the polling place." Did I read that correctly? 10 figure out where to go in person to vote. But at that 10 11 time, my mom was in hospice; and so after work, I was 11 A. Yes. 12 always hurrying to get to see her. And so I didn't take Q. So you would agree that curbside voting is 12 13 the time at the last minute -- I waited 'til the last 13 available in Collin County, right? 14 minute thinking it's going to show up. The ballot's 14 A. It is. 15 going to show up. It never did. 15 Q. Let's look at the second paragraph. 16 But I just -- I chose hurrying to see my 16 And the first sentence of the second 17 mom instead of figuring out where to go to McKinney and paragraph reads: "All polling places used by the Collin 18 what to tell them and how to vote. In other words, I County Elections Office are accessible." 18 19 just -- I was just too short on time, and it wasn't 19 Did I read that right? 20 working. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. I'm sorry. Just to clarify, what wasn't 21 Q. The final sentence of that second paragraph 22 working? 22 reads: "All have wheelchair accessible voting 23 A. Getting the ballot by mail. 23 machines." 24 Q. Okay. So you had said that, you know, had you 24 Is that right? 25 not had all of these sort of personal tribulations that 25 A. That's what they say. Page 47 Page 49 1 you were dealing with, your -- your mom's health, that Q. So you would agree that polling places in 1 you had looked up where you would have needed to have Collin County are also accessible; is that right? 3 aone --3 MS. HARRIS: Objection -- objection; calls 4 (Simultaneously speaking.) 4 for a legal conclusion. 5 A. I would have. 5 A. Are you asking me if I know that every single 6 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) -- to vote by mail and -- to 6 polling site is accessible? Or are you asking me if 7 vote in person in -- in McKinney; is that right? 7 this is saying that? 8 A. I would have. But I also would have checked 8 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) I'm asking that you would 9 out is that legal for my husband to go. Because, again, agree that Collin County makes its voting locations, its 10 he would have been assisting me in that building with --10 polling places accessible? 11 with getting to the right person. So I would have 11 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Objection; 12 calls for a legal conclusion. 12 checked out is that legal still. I believe -- I hope 13 that that would have been okay. 13 A. I believe they try. But I know that some sites 14 Q. Okay. But you never looked that up; is that may not be as accessible as others. 14 15 right? Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. Let's look at another 15 16 A. No. 16 exhibit which I'm going to drop into the chat and I am 17 Q. Okay. Just clarifying. Sorry. 17 marking as Exhibit 4. 18 18 A. No. I was just very distracted with the (Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) 19 hospice thing. 19 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Let me know when you have 20 Q. Okay. Let's -- I'm going to introduce another 20 that up. 21 exhibit. I'm going to drop it in the chat. I'm marking 21 A. It's open. 22 it as Exhibit 3. 22 Q. Okay. So this is another page from the Collin 23 23 County website, and at the top, it's entitled (Exhibit No. 3 was marked.)

24

25

"Elections."

Is that right?

Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Let me know when you've

downloaded that and pulled that up.

24

Julie Espinoza June 09, 2022 Pages 50 to 53

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

Page 50

A. Yes.

1

7

- 2 Q. And at the top of the page -- or rather in the
- 3 middle of the page, it also lists the e-mail and the
- phone number for the Collin County elections department.
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you ever contacted the Collin County
- 8 elections department to seek a voting accommodation?
- 9
- 10 Q. Have you ever contacted them to ask about
- 11 accessibility at the polling places?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever called them to ask about curbside 13
- 14 voting at polling places?
- 15 A. I have called them about the curbside voting.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you have contacted the Collin County
- 17 elections department before; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And when did you contact them about the
- 20 curbside voting?
- A. I would say, when it first came out, I went to 21
- 22 several different polling locations. I drove around,
- 23 making sure that they had the -- the signs up that
- 24 curbside voting was available. And there were some
- 25 locations that didn't have the signs. They weren't set

this a little bit, but I just want to make sure I have a full -- full picture.

Q. Okay. So I know that we already talked about

1 years. But I did check on that to see. The report -- a

Q. Okay. So that was the only time that you

contacted the Collin County elections department?

Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. So I was going to -- I

report is made for each county on that.

A. I would say about five years ago.

was going to ask when was this?

Page 52

Page 53

- Generally speaking, can you run me through 13 the sort of challenges that you face as a result of your disability when you go to vote?
- 15 A. When I go to vote, it needs to be adequate

A. I believe so.

- 16 accessible parking spaces that have the right terrain to
- 17 get up to the correct building. Help with getting in
- 18 the door. Help with getting the wheelchair out.
- Getting pushed up to the door. Getting registered with 19
- 20 the person at the front. And then making sure that the
- 21 voting machine is within my range of motion.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 23 And before the enactment of SB 1, these
- 24 challenges did not prevent you from voting; is that
- 25 right?

1

Page 51

- 1 up for that. So I contacted Collin County to let them
- 2 know which sites were not ready for that.
- Q. Was that the only time that you ever contacted
- 4 the Collin County elections department?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. After you contacted them, what did they say?
- 7 A. It was reported, and that's all I know.
- 8 Q. Do you know if the locations that you were
- calling to report were later made accessible for
- 10 curbside voting? 11
 - MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.
- 12 A. I believe they were, but I don't know how many
- 13 hours it took.
- 14 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) When you say you don't know
- 15 how many hours it took, what do you mean?
- 16 A. Well, when that report is made, every hour
- 17 counts. Voters are -- are, you know, going away without
- 18 that available. So it needed to be corrected that day.
- 19 It needed to be correctly -- corrected as quickly as
- possible so that they didn't lose voters. I don't know
- 21 in each location how long that took.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know if in each location the
- 23 correction was made that day?
- 24 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.
- 25 A. I would have to research that. It's been five

- A. No. not at all.
- 2 Q. Okay. Before the enactment of SB 1, did you
- ever have an application to vote by mail rejected?
- A. I've -- I've had applications before Senate 4
- 5 Bill 1. I've never had one rejected.
- 6 Q. Did you ever have a mail-in ballot of yours
- 7 rejected before SB 1?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Before SB 1, were you ever denied assistance at
- 10 the polls?
- 11 A. I was not ever denied. They've always been
- 12 very accommodating. But, typically, they do rely on my
- husband to help figure out how to lower the machine or
- moving the machine over. I mean, one time, there was no
- lower table. So he stood there and held it within my 15
- 16 range of motion, you know.
- 17 Q. Before SB 1, did you ever request an
- 18 accommodation?

19

- MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.
- 20 A. They allowed my husband to do what was needed
- 21 while he was there. So I was not officially requesting
- 22 it. We'd just go in and do what we do, and they see it,
- 23 and they're okay with it.
- 24 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) So you had mentioned that
- 25 you're familiar with Ms. Amy Litzsinger and

Julie Espinoza

June 09, 2022

Pages 90 to 93 Page 90 Page 92 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Calls for a 1 1 Did I read that accurately? 2 legal conclusion. 2 A. Yes. 3 A. I'm -- the way I see it, without being a 3 Q. Were you aware that Texas's election law lawyer, no, it does not. 4 prohibits election authorities from denying a voter a 5 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Sorry. That's not quite my 5 reasonable accommodation? 6 question. I -- I'm asking not how you see it but rather 6 MS. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a legal 7 what I'm trying to understand is whether you -- well, 7 conclusion. 8 strike that. Let me -- let me rephrase. 8 A. I question that under Senate Bill 1. 9 Based on this statement from the director 9 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) What's the basis for your 10 of the elections division of the Texas Secretary of 10 questioning of that? 11 State -- I'm not asking what your personal 11 A. I don't believe they will allow me an 12 interpretation is, but do you understand that the 12 accommodation if they deem it not to fit under their 13 secretary of state's office's position is that Senate 13 list of accommodations. And --14 Bill 1 does not foreclose these sorts of physical 14 Q. So just so I make sure I'm understanding -- oh, 15 assistants at the polls? 15 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you, 16 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Calls for a 16 Ms. Espinoza. 17 legal conclusion. 17 A. Basically, what you've shown me is they will 18 A. I do not see that. allow for a specific type accommodation. That's it. 19 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. I'm going to introduce And that's not the type accommodation I need. 19 20 one more new exhibit which I'm going to mark as Exhibit 20 Q. So just so I'm understanding, and please 21 No. 9 and I'm dropping into the chat. 21 correct me if I'm wrong, is it the case that you 22 (Exhibit No. 9 was marked.) 22 believe -- strike that. 23 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) And I promise you that I will 23 Do you believe that Senate Bill 1 overrides 24 try to make this the last exhibit that we -- that we 24 this reasonable accommodation provision in the Texas 25 look through, or at least the last new exhibit that we 25 Election Code? Page 93 Page 91 look through. MS. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a legal 1 1 So let me know when you have that up, if 2 conclusion. 2 you wouldn't mind. 3 A. I do not know. 4 A. It is open. 4 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. Let me try and 5 Q. Okay. So this is a copy of the Texas Election 5 rephrase it a little differently. 6 Code, specifically Chapter 1. 6 Do you believe that even despite this 7 Did I represent that accurately? You can 7 provision, this law in the Texas Election Code that requires reasonable accommodations, do you believe that 8 see at the top. 8 9 A. Yes. 9 Senate Bill 1 somehow reverses that? 10 Q. Okay. Let's flip to the last section of this 10 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Calls for a 11 chapter which is on the very last page, page 14. 11 legal conclusion. 12 A. Okay. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. This section reads -- or rather the -- the 13 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. 14 title of this section reads: "Section 1.022. 14 A. I'm afraid it might override it. 15 Reasonable Accommodation or Modification." Q. Okay. Have you ever been denied a reasonable 15 16 Did I read that right? 16 accommodation? 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Let me think. 18 18 Q. Okay. And the section itself reads: "A When voting? MS. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a legal 19 provision of this code may not be interpreted to 19 20 prohibit or limit the right of a qualified individual 20 conclusion. 21 with a disability from requesting a reasonable 21 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Yes, when voting. 22 accommodation or modification to any election standard, 22 A. How far back do you want me to go?

23

24

Q. Well, let's just start in general.

Can -- can you recall any time in which you

requested a reasonable accommodation to vote and you

23 practice, or procedure mandated by law or rule that the

24 individual is entitled to request under federal or state

25 law."

Julie Espinoza

June 09, 2022

Pages 94 to 97 Page 94 Page 96 1 were denied it? MS. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a legal 1 2 conclusion. 2 A. No. 3 Q. Okay. So is it the case that -- strike that. 3 A. I would still be putting them at risk. 4 4 So is your harm from SB 1 really just about Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. And what do you 5 not being able to receive assistance at the polls that 5 understand the nature of the criminal charges or the you need? 6 6 nature of the risk that your husband or others who would 7 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Calls for a 7 help you at the poll would face under SB 1? 8 8 legal conclusion. (Simultaneously speaking.) 9 A. No. 9 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Calls for a 10 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) What else is it about? 10 legal conclusion. 11 A. It is about feeling threatened with criminal 11 A. I do not know -- I do not know what the charges 12 charges for anybody that might assist me and not knowing would be, the dollar amount. But I know there would be 12 13 how to stop that from happening when I'm there fees. I don't know if there would be anything on their 13 14 physically voting. 14 record. I don't know if they would have to show up at city hall. I don't know what it -- burden it would put 15 Q. So you're concerned that others might face 15 16 criminal charges? on them. But I know it would not be pleasant, and I 16 17 A. Yes, very concerned. Very, very concerned. 17 would probably lose an attendant. 18 (Simultaneously speaking.) 18 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Are you concerned only about the oath that assisters have to take in order to help 19 A. I'm not -- I'm not going to risk that. 19 20 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) How does this harm you? 20 you at the polls? 21 A. It's horrible to think of somebody helping you 21 MS. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a legal 22 facing criminal charges. I find that unacceptable. I 22 conclusion. 23 depend upon help from others. I'm not going to risk 23 A. No. 24 them. 24 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) What else are you concerned 25 25 about? Q. So is it a -- is it a moral concern, then? Page 97 Page 95 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. A. The whole experience. The poll watchers' 1 2 A. Yes. treatment of the attendant -- what if there is an 3 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. argument with the poll watcher? What happens then? 4 How -- how far can it go? I mean, people are emotional A. And a civil concern. I feel like my civil rights to go in and just vote like I used to, they've enough at the polls. Let's not start a discussion about 6 been -- they've been taken away. They are -- it's 6 whether or not they can help me go in and vote. And I 7 cloudy. It's very cloudy. 7 just don't know how far it can go. Q. Now, when you say that, are you saying that 8 And what if the attendant disagrees or -- I 8 9 you're not able -- your -- your husband is not able to 9 just -- I don't know how far -- I don't know how much 10 serve as an assister for you? 10 the experience -- how extreme it will be. 11 MS. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a legal 11 I wasn't concerned about the oath. I was concerned about what if the attendant wanted to help me 12 conclusion. 12 13 13 anyhow and an argument broke out? A. I don't believe he can. 14 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) So is that -- is that what 14 Q. Okay. So it's not so much the oath that you're concerned with. It's the other elements of SB 1. 15 you mean by you are not able to vote? 15 16 A. If I'm not able to have an attendant when I am 16 Is that right? 17 there physically, helping me with what I need to do, 17 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. 18 A. Yes. It's about whether or not they will be 18 then I am not able to vote. 19 Q. If someone other than your husband were able to allowed to help me, and if they're not, what will 19 provide assistance that you need at the -- at the polls, 20 happen. 21 would that --21 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Do you believe that someone 22 helping you vote needs to be compensated in order to 22 A. No. I would --23 23 receive the -- the assistance that you need? (Simultaneously speaking.)

24

25

Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Would SB 1 still harm you?

24

25

A. Yes.

A. You mean do I have to pay them?

Q. No, not necessarily.

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX Y

Transcript of the Testimony of Kara Ayers

Date:

May 10, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Kara Ayers May 10, 2022 Pages 170 to 173

Page 170 talked about this already, but you would agree there 1 you read the guestion back to Dr. Ayers, please. 2 2 already was an oath in Texas law, correct? (Whereupon the Court Reporter read the 3 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 3 previous requested question.) 4 THE WITNESS: I think that's very broad. 4 BY MR. SWEETEN: 5 5 Q. For assistors? I don't know for sure. 6 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 6 BY MR. SWEETEN: 7 Q. Okay. One question I didn't ask is, in THE WITNESS: I've looked at the part that 7 8 wasn't underlined, and concluded, you know, as providing this report, did you reach out to any 8 9 we've discussed, there was already something in 9 person that had served as an assistor to a Texas 10 10 voter? place. I'm not sure if it was an oath or not. A. I'm familiar with assistors. Some of them 11 BY MR. SWEETEN: 11 partake in the SABE development, the advisory council 12 Q. Is your opinion -- just to understand it, 12 13 is your opinion that no oath should ever be required 13 that I sit on. I have also done some training for 14 of an assistor? 14 assistors and voters with disabilities that have 15 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 15 included a national sample. So I'm not sure if -- if 16 16 THE WITNESS: I really wasn't asked to Texas assistors were or were not part of the 17 consider that. I was asked to consider what we 17 training. But I did not reach out to an individual 18 have before us with the current oath. assistor to ask them questions about this report. 18 BY MR. SWEETEN: 19 19 Q. Here you say in your report, "I have 20 Q. Do you -- do you believe that a voter who 20 concluded" -- and we're at the -- we're in the middle 21 is getting assistance should be able to express his 21 of paragraph 28. "I have concluded that a threat of 22 or her own opinion and vote in the way that they want 22 23 to? 23 criminalization will result in less -- not more --24 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 24 interest and commitment from potential assistors to THE WITNESS: I think all voters should be 25 25 learn and understand how they can effectively and Page 171 appropriately assist a voter with a disability." 1 able to vote the way that they want, including 1 2 those who get assistance. 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 BY MR. SWEETEN: 3 A. Yes, you did. 4 Q. And you believe it would be wrong, right, 4 Q. Now, you've told me that -- you've sort 5 of -- you've expressed today, and in this report, to -- for an assistor to manipulate someone with a 5 6 disabilities vote? 6 your qualitative opinion. I'm going to ask a 7 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 7 quantitative question. THE WITNESS: It would be wrong to 8 What -- how many voters -- how many 8 9 manipulate anyone's vote, including a voter with 9 assistors do you believe, or have expressed to you, 10 a disability. 10 that -- Texas assistors have expressed to you that BY MR. SWEETEN: 11 11 they can effectively -- that they will no longer have 12 Q. Now, on the bottom of page 12, top of 13, 12 interest in assisting a voter as a result of the you say, "Per SB1's revised oath, assistors are 13 13 oath? prohibited from answering questions posed by the 14 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 14 THE WITNESS: My threshold would be more 15 voter." Did I read that correctly? 15 16 A. Yes, you did. 16 than one. So again, I don't have exact -- I 17 Q. Do you know if a poll worker in Texas, 17 don't think it's possible to have exact numbers. 18 under the Texas election code, is prohibited from 18 I don't think there would be an exact source of 19 answering questions posed by the voter? 19 accurate nature. 20 A. I'm not sure how that relates to this 20

21

22

23

24

25

But my approach to issues like this, because disability is often hampered by the idea of -- well, it's not many people. So I, you know, try to factor in instances, even if it's not a significant number to some people. To the people that are left out, it is significant.

MR. SWEETEN: Madam Court Reporter, can

question about assistors, or this statement about

Q. I'm asking -- I mean, I'll have her read

assistors. Are those two things connected?

back the question, if you want to hear it.

21

22

23

24

25

Page 172

Page 173

Kara Ayers May 10, 2022
Pages 174 to 177

Page 176 Page 174 BY MR. SWEETEN: MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 1 2 Q. As you were writing this report, how many 2 THE WITNESS: I think if anyone's going to Texas assistors expressed to you that, "Gosh, as a vote, they should be able to express their 3 3 result of this oath, I'm not helping a disabled choices. And disability often gets in the way 4 4 5 person again"? 5 of voting. 6 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Object to form. 6 BY MR. SWEETEN: 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it was -- you know, as 7 Q. All right. Is your plan to be -- to 8 we were preparing for this, it was not yet in 8 travel to Texas for the -- for the trial of this 9 place in its final form, and with primary. So 9 case? 10 I -- I think there will be time that we'll have 10 A. Yes, if --11 to learn from that experience. 11 Q. If asked? 12 What I could do is look to similar A. -- you'd like to have me. 12 13 instances, where a threat of criminal 13 Q. They'd have to ask you. 14 consequences is made should a person make a 14 A. Yeah. 15 mistake, even inadvertently, and can conclude Q. They're paying your freight, so . . . 15 16 that it would deter at least some people. 16 Okay. Dr. Ayers, I think I'm finished. 17 MR. SWEETEN: Okay. Objection. 17 MR. SWEETEN: I'll go ahead and pass the 18 Nonresponsive. 18 witness. BY MR. SWEETEN: MS. PAIKOWSKY: I think at this time we're 19 19 20 Q. My question was, how many Texas assistors 20 going to take maybe a 15-minute break, if that's 21 have said that "As a result of SB1's oath provision, 21 okay? Around 20? I'm not going to help disabled people anymore"? MR. SWEETEN: So you're going to have 22 22 23 A. I have not interviewed Texas assistors 23 questions for the witness? 24 since it was implemented. 24 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Just a few. 25 Q. You agree that it is -- "It is paramount 25 MR. SWEETEN: Okay, okay. Got it. All Page 175 Page 177 that voters with disabilities cast ballots that right. 1 1 reflect their choices," right? 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 15:05. 2 3 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection. Form. 3 (A recess transpired from 3:05 p.m. until 4 4 BY MR. SWEETEN: 3:14 p.m.) 5 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 15:14. Q. That's what you say in paragraph 32. 6 Right? 6 **EXAMINATION** 7 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection to form. 7 BY MS. PAIKOWSKY: 8 THE WITNESS: Were you reading a section 8 Q. Dr. Ayers, I heard you testify earlier 9 of paragraph 32 or --9 today that we met between four and six times in preparation for this deposition. And I just want to 10 BY MR. SWEETEN: 10 Q. Well, I can read it again. make sure you understood the question. 11 11 You know, thinking specifically about the 12 A. Yes. 12 Q. There's a sentence in the middle that meetings that we had to prepare substantively for 13 13 says, "The restrictions on asking and answering 14 this deposition, how many times would you say we had 14 questions precludes assistors from effectively 15 met? 15 16 assisting certain people with disabilities to vote." 16 A. For this deposition? 17 Then you say, "It is paramount that voters 17 Q. For this deposition. with disabilities cast ballots that reflect their A. Just once, yesterday. Our prep session 18 18 19 choices." 19 vesterday. 20 Did I read that correctly? 20 Q. And when you were discussing earlier what 21 21 you were asked to give your expert opinion on, were A. You did, yes. you asked to conduct original research in this 22 Q. Okay. And you agree that -- that if a 22 23 disabled person's going to vote, that they should be 23 report? 24 able to express their choices. Right? We can agree 24 A. I was not at any point asked to conduct 25 25 on that. original research.

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX Z

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023

```
1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                      SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
     LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO,
 3
     et al.,
                      Plaintiffs,
 4
          vs.
                                     )Civil Action No.
     STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,
                                    )5:21-cv-844(XR)
                      Defendants. )(Consolidated Cases)
 5
 6
 7
                           ORAL DEPOSITION OF
                           KEITH INGRAM
                           March 28, 2023
 8
                               Volume l
 9
10
11
          ORAL 30(b)(1) DEPOSITION OF KEITH INGRAM, Volume
12
     1, produced as a witness at the instance of the
13
     Plaintiffs, and duly sworn, was taken in the
14
     above-styled and numbered cause on March 28, 2023, from
15
     9:15 a.m. to 4:18 p.m., before Dana Shapiro, CSR, in
16
     and for the State of Illinois, reported by machine
17
     shorthand, at 209 W. 14th Street, Austin, Texas 78701,
     pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
18
19
     any provisions stated on the record or attached
20
     hereto.
21
22
23
24
25
```



1 APPEARANCES 2 3 FOR PLAINTIFFS OCA/REVUP TEXAS (via ZOOM): MS. LUCIA ROMANO 4 DISABILITY RIGHTS TEXAS 5 1500 McGowen, Suite 100 Houston, Texas 77004 713-974-7691 6 lromano@disabilityrightstx.org 7 -and-MS. COURTNEY LUTHER DISABILITY RIGHTS TEXAS 8 2222 West Braker Lane 9 Austin, Texas 78758 512-454-4816 10 cluther@disabilityrightstx.org 11 FOR INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS HARRIS COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY, DALLAS COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY, REPUBLICAN 12 NATIONAL COMMITTEE, NATIONAL REPUBLICAN SENATORIAL COMMITTEE AND NATIONAL REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL 13 COMMITTEE (appeared via ZOOM): 14 MR. STEPHEN J. KENNY JONES DAY 15 51 Lousiana Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 16 202-879-3939 skenny@jonesday.com 17 FOR PLAINTIFFS HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE; DELTA SIGMA 18 THETA SORORITY, INC.; THE ARC OF TEXAS; AND JEFFREY LAMAR CLEMMONS (appeared via ZOOM): 19 MS. KATHRYN SADASIVAN 20 MR. VICTOR GENECIN MS. URUJ SHEIKH 21 NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC. 40 Rector Street, Fifth Floor 22 New York, New York 10006 212-965-2200 23 ksadasivan@naacpldf.org vgenecin@naacpldf.org 24 usheikh@naaacpldf.org 25



1 APPEARANCES (continued): 2 FOR PLAINTIFFS LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO SOUTHWEST VOTER REGISTRATION EDUCATION PROJECT: 3 MS. NINA PERALES 4 MS. JULIA R. LONGORIA(via ZOOM) MS. FATIMA L. MENENDEZ(via ZOOM) 5 MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND 110 Broadway, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205 6 210-224-5476 7 nperales@maldef.org jlongoria@maldef.org fmenendez@maldef.org 8 -and-MR. JASON KANTERMAN (via ZOOM) 9 MR. KEVIN ZHEN (via ZOOM) 10 FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP One New York Plaza 11 New York, New York 10004 212-859-8519 12 Jason.Kanterman@friedfrank.com Kevin.Zhen@friedfrank.com 13 FOR PLAINTIFFS LULAC, TEXAS, VOTO LATINO, TEXAS 14 ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED AMERICANS, TEXAS AFT (via ZOOM): 15 MS. MARISA O'GARA ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 16 250 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20001 17 202-968-4490 mogara@elias.law 18 19 FOR THE UNITED STATES: MR. JUSTIN BENNETT(via ZOOM) 20 MR. RICHARD A. DELLHEIM 21 MR. MICHAEL E. STEWART U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 22 CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW 23 Washington, D.C. 20530 800-253-3931 24 Justin.Bennett@usdoj.gov Richard.Dellheim@usdoj.gov 25 Michael.Stewart3@usdoj.gov



1 APPEARANCES (continued): 2 -and-MR. DANIEL J. FREEMAN U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 3 CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION 4 4 Constitution Square (4CON) 150 M Street, N.E./8.143 5 Washington, D.C. 20530 202-305-4355 6 Daniel.Freeman@usdoj.gov 7 FOR DEFENDANTS THE STATE OF TEXAS, GREG ABBOTT, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS GOVERNOR OF TEXAS, JANE NELSON, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY OF THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE, 8 WARREN K. PAXTON, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE TEXAS 9 ATTORNEY GENERAL: 10 MS. KATHLEEN HUNKER MR. ETHAN SZUMANSKI 11 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 12548 12 Austin, Texas 78711-2548 512-463-2100 13 kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov ethan.szumanski@oag.texas.gov 14 FOR OFFICE OF THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE: 15 MR. ADAM BITTER 16 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE Capitol Building, Rm 1E.8 17 P.O Box 12697 Austin, Texas 78711-2697 18 512-475-2813 abitter@sos.texas.gov 19 FOR DEFENDANT LISA WISE, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE EL PASO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR (via ZOOM): 20 21 MR. GERMAINE HABELL COOLEY LLP 22 Wells Fargo Center, South Tower 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 900 23 Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 213-561-3227 24 ghabell@cooley.com 25



```
1
                 APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
     FOR DEFENDANTS BEXAR COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR,
     JACOUELYN CALLANEN AND BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
 3
     JOE D. GONZALES (via ZOOM):
     MS. LISA V. CUBRIEL
 4
     ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY-CIVIL SECTION
 5
     BEXAR COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
     7th Floor Paul Elizondo Tower
 6
     101 West Nueva
     San Antonio, Texas 78205-3030
 7
     210-335-2142
     Lisa.Cubriel@bexar.org
 8
     FOR PLAINTIFF MI FAMILIA VOTA (via ZOOM):
 9
     MS. COURTNEY HOSTETLER
     FREE SPEECH FOR PEOPLE
10
     1320 Centre Street, #405
11
     Newton, Massachusetts 02459
     617-249-3015
12
     chostetler@freespeechforpeople.org
13
     FOR DEFENDANTS CLIFFORD TATUM, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
     AS HARRIS COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR (via ZOOM):
14
     MR. SAMEER S. BIRRING
15
     SENIOR ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY
     OFFICE OF THE HARRIS COUNTY ATTORNEY
16
     1019 Congress Plaza, 15th Floor
     Houston, Texas 77002
17
     713-274-5101
     Sameer.Birring@harriscountytx.gov
18
     FOR DEFENDANT HIDALGO COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR
19
     DELIA GARZA (via ZOOM):
20
     MS. LEIGH ANN TOGNETTI
     ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY
21
     100 East Cano, First Floor
     Hidalgo County Courthouse Annex III
22
     Edinburg, Texas 78539
     956-292-7609
23
     leigh.tognetti@da.co.hidalgo.tx.us
24
     ALSO PRESENT (via ZOOM):
25
     MS. MORGAN HUMPHREY
     MS. SAMANTHA KOBOR
```



		Page 0
1	INDEX	
2	PAGE	
3	Appearances2-5 KEITH INGRAM VOLUME 1	
4	Examination by MR. FREEMAN	
5	Examination by MR. GENECIN	
6	EXHIBITS	
7	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	
8	No. 1 Interrogatories 27 No. 2 absentee ballot mail form 39	
9	No. 3 email 44 No. 4 email 48	
10	No. 5 carrier envelope 50 No. 6 secrecy envelope 53 No. 7 email 57	
11	No. 8 voter instruction sheet 58 No. 9 email 60	
12	No. 10 signature sheet 62	
13	No. 11 presentation 65 No. 12 votetexas.gov page 72	
14	No. 13 portal 73 No. 14 email 76	
15	No. 15 voter registration application 82 No. 16 notice of rejected application 93	
16	No. 17 notice of rejected application 93 No. 18 texas.gov page 96	
	No. 19 texas.gov page 97	
17	No. 20	
18	No. 22 email 124 No. 23 email 145	
19	No. 24 email 148	
20	No. 25 SB 1 149	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023

1 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly 2. sworn.) 3 KEITH INGRAM, 4 called as a witness herein, having been first duly 5 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN: 7 My name is Dan Freeman. This is the 8 0. 9 general election deposition of Mr. Keith Ingram in the matter of La Union Del Pueblo Entero v. Abbott, U.S. 10 District Court for the Western District of Texas, 11 12 docket No. 5:21-cv-844. 13 Again, as you know, my name is Dan Freeman. 14 I represent the United States in this matter. 15 here today are Mr. Richard Dellheim and Mr. Mike 16 Stewart. And I will let everyone else introduce 17 themselves. 18 MS. PERALES: Nina Perales for Plaintiffs LUPE, 19 L-U-P-E, et al. 20 Kathleen Hunker and Ethan Szumanski MS. HUNKER: representing the State Defendants along with individual 21 22 legislators for the purposes of legislative privilege 23 to the extent it's raised today. With me is Adam 24 Bitter, General Counsel for The Office of the Texas 25 Secretary of State.



MR. FREEMAN: If those who are on Zoom who intend to ask questions could introduce themselves as well.

MR. GENECIN: This is Victor Genecin,

G-E-N-E-C-I-N, of NAACP LDF for the HAUL Plaintiffs. I

do intend to ask questions.

MR. FREEMAN: Anyone else on Zoom who intends to ask questions today?

MR. FREEMAN: Thank you very much. I will ask those who are on Zoom to please put themselves on mute when you are not speaking. I will ask everyone else in the room to just remember to please silence your cell phones.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Mr. Ingram, I know you have been deposed many times in this matter and others. We do need to go through a few quick ground rules for the record. The deposition will proceed as a question and answer. The court reporter will be recording my questions and your answers so it's important for both of us to articulate rather than gesture. Do you understand?
 - A. I understand.
- Q. The purpose of the deposition is to obtain your full testimony regarding your opinions -- or excuse me -- your knowledge with respect to the matters in this case. So I need you to provide full and



1 complete answers. Do you understand? 2. Α. I do. 3 I may not always be clear. If you don't Ο. 4 understand, will you please ask me to restate the 5 question in a clear manner? Α. I will. 6 7 If you need a break, will you let me know Ο. 8 and we'll finish the question and see about a break? 9 Α. Sure. 10 If you need coffee -- I see you already Ο. 11 have water -- please let me know between questions, and 12 we will see about getting you some. Okay? 13 Α. Okay. 14 0. If you want to talk to your attorney, 15 that's fine, but if there is a question pending or you 16 are in the middle of an answer, let's finish up the 17 answer first and then you can talk with your attorney. 18 Will that work? 19 Α. Sure. 20 Sometimes you will remember things later in 0. 21 If that happens, let me know while it's on 22 your mind and we can add to the record. Will you do 23 that? 24 I will. Α. 25 I will give you a chance to do that towards Q.



the end as well.

Sometimes after we have been talking for awhile, you realize a prior answer was not entirely accurate. If you realize that, will you let me know so we can correct the record?

- A. I will.
- Q. Sometimes while you are answering, you may think of a document that will help you remember or help you answer more accurately. If you do, will you let me know?
 - A. I will.
- Q. We may have the document here. If not, we may be able to help you get it. I see that you also have a copy of the Election Laws of Texas 2022 edition in front of you. Can I ask you, do you intend to refresh your recollection, if need be?
 - A. If need be, yes, sir.
- Q. Mandatory question. Are you on any medication or drugs of any kind that might make it difficult for you to answer or understand my questions?
 - A. I'm not.
- Q. Is there any other reason you can think of why you would not be able to answer my questions fully and accurately?
 - A. Seasonal allergies are making my throat



dry, but that's about it.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Well, we'll make sure you have enough water. We can take breaks if need be. If truly necessarily, we'll make a Claritin run.

Last thing. I want to remind you that you are under oath and subject to federal penalties for giving false or misleading testimony. So it's important to answer my questions truthfully, accurately and completely. Do you understand?

- A. I do.
- Q. Any questions so far?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Just for the record, are you represented by counsel here today?
 - A. I am.
 - O. Who is that?
- A. Kathleen Hunker, and Adam Bitter is our general counsel for our agency.
- Q. Without going into the substance of any discussions you had with counsel, what did you do to prepare for this deposition?
- A. Did meet with Kathleen and Ethan a couple of times, and reviewed some documents.
 - Q. What were those documents?
 - A. They were our answers to discovery, I



believe, the most recent set of discovery questions, interrogatories, request for production, request for admission. I also reviewed our results from the comparison that we did in December after the general election in '22. So that we tried to harvest more driver's license numbers. And I looked at the spreadsheet for the mail ballot rejection rates for all of the elections in '22 including the general.

- Q. Do you know --
- A. Then a few mass emails that we sent over the summer.
- Q. Do you know if that spreadsheet from mail ballot rejection rates has been produced in this litigation?
 - A. As far as I know it was, yes.
- MS. HUNKER: It was.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Was anyone else present besides Kathleen and Ethan during sessions?
- A. In the first session, Adam Bitter was there. The next two Zena was there as deputy general counsel.
 - Q. I see. Thank you.
- Did you bring any documents with you here today besides the Election Laws of Texas Manual?



A. I did not.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you speak with anyone else about your deposition today outside of your immediate family?
- A. I did. I talked to Christina Adkins in our office, I talked to Kristi Hart, and I talked to Donna Davidson at the Republican Party of Texas.
 - Q. What did you discuss with Ms. Davidson?
- A. The same thing that I talked to Christina about. There was an incident with regard to a poll watcher in Northern Hidalgo County. For the life of me I can't remember any details, neither could Christina, and neither could Donna.
 - Q. Anything else?
 - A. That's it.
- Q. Okay. So since we last spoke in April of 2022 has your role in the office of the Texas Secretary of State changed?
 - A. It has.
 - O. What's your current title?
- A. I'm not sure what the title is. I think it's project manager or special projects. I have been designated to work on one project.
 - Q. What's that project?
- A. The project is potentially replacing the ERIC system that we have that we're currently using to



use to compare voter registrations across state lines.

Q. What was the impetus for your change in role?

- A. We had an organizational hearing at the Texas house for the House Elections Committee on March 10 or March 9, and then the Secretary was not pleased. She thought that my exchange with Representative Swanson was indicator that maybe it would be good for her and for me to change roles.
- Q. What was the impetus for the creation of the role that you are now in?
- A. Well, we have been discussing -- there is legislation that would require us to leave the ERIC system, Electronic Registration Information Center, and if any of that passes then we still have a law, legal obligation to compare voter roles across state lines. So we have a need to figure out what comes next if ERIC -- if we have to withdraw from ERIC.
- Q. In your new role do you report to the acting director of the elections division?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. That's Ms. Adkins?
- A. It is.
- Q. In your new role will you have any role in the implementation of SB 1's mail voting requirements



in future elections?
A. I will not. I might be asked for advice.
I might be asked for research, but that would be the
limit of it.
Q. So at this time would it be fair to say
that your knowledge concerning implementation of SB 1's
mail voting requirements is strictly looking back at
past elections, and not looking forward as to planned
changes?
MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I would agree with that.
BY MR. FREEMAN:
Q. Would it be fair to say you do not have
personal knowledge about future improvements to
implementation of SB 1?
MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Well, I don't know what you mean by
improvements. I know that, you know, we are always
planning and preparing for the next election. That
began immediately after November 2022 we began
preparing for 2024. And so obviously I'm aware of



24

25

those discussions.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Okay. Are you no longer a part of the discussions in terms of planning for the next election and implementation of SB 1?

- A. Well, I don't know because right now it's all legislation all of the time. We will pick up the planning discussion again this summer.
- Q. Well, you guessed my next topic. Did you testify before the Texas Legislature in the interim between the third special session of the 87th leg and the opening of the 88th Texas legislature?
- A. I don't remember. Did we have an interim hearing and house selections? I know there was one scheduled in Senate State of Affairs, but they cancelled, so I don't know. I don't know if I had a house -- can you tell me if I did?
 - O. I don't know.
 - A. I don't think we had an interim hearing.
 - Q. So not to your knowledge?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Just to close the loop. Did you help any other staff of the elections division prepare for testimony during the interim between the 87th legislature and the opening of the 88th?
 - A. No.

Q. Are you aware of any other instances when



staff of the elections division testified before the 1 2. Texas Legislator between 87th Legislature and opening of the 88th? 3 4 Α. I'm not. 5 Since the opening of 88th Texas Legislator, 0. have you testified before any committee of Texas House 6 7 or Texas Senate? I have. 8 Α. 9 Which committee? O. 10 House elections. Α. 11 That's it? Q. 12 That's it. Α. 13 Was that on March 9 you said? O. 14 Α. It was. 15 Q. Was that the only time you testified? 16 Α. It was. 17 Since the opening of the 88th Texas Q. 18 Legislature, have any other staff of the elections 19 division testified before any committee of the Texas 20 House or Texas Senate? 21 Yes, Christina Adkins has. Α. 22 Which committee? Q. 23 House Elections and Senate State Affairs, Α. 24 and I believe that she was called up in the House. 25 There is a special committee on security. I don't know



if she was called up in that. There was elections bill there, but I don't know if she actually had to testify.

- Q. What's a sec -- did you -- sorry.
- A. Oh, she did have to testify. It was on Tuesday, that's right. Tuesday a week ago.
- Q. Did you help her prepare for any of those committee hearings?
- A. We discussed some of the bills that were going to get heard at some of those hearings just generally.
- Q. Did any of those bills impact or modify the provisions of SB 1?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Which one is that?
- A. Well, it's not a direct impact on SB 1. It was more modification of House Bill 1382 in the regular session of last time, but it was a Bucy bill and house elections that modifies the requirements for accessing the ballot tracker.
 - Q. Is that HB 357?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Anything else?
 - A. I think that might be it.
- Q. What's a Secretary of State clean up bill?
 - A. That's usually we will have a bill with



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY THE WITNESS:

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 19

some suggested small tweaks and changes throughout the election code to make it more harmonious or to get rid of obsolete language. We don't have a clean up bill this time. Getting ahead of me, which is helpful. 0. At any time did you expect to testify on March 16 before the House Elections Committee? Α. No. Let's turn to March 9 hearing. During your 0. testimony before the House Elections Committee on March 9, did you testify concerning the statewide mail ballot rejection rate in Texas; do you recall? I did. Α. 0. Did you say that it was under 3 percent? Α. I did for the general election, yes. Am I correct this figure represents only Q. final rejections of mail ballots? Α. That's correct. Does it exclude those that were rejected, O. then cured? It does. Α. Does it exclude those that were rejected, Q. then cancelled? MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.



You mean if a voter decided to vote in 1 Α. 2 person and cancelled the mail ballot? 3 Yes. Ο. Α. I don't know. 4 Do you know if it excludes any other forms 5 Ο. of rejections? 6 7 It shouldn't. I mean ballots that came in Α. late aren't technically rejected, they are just late. 8 9 Did you testify to the notion that you O. 10 expected that the number of statewide mail ballot 11 rejections would continue to improve as you go forward 12 in implementation of SB 1? 13 That's my belief. Α. 14 0. What's your basis for that belief? 15 Α. The trend that we've got so far, and past 16 experience. 17 Why do you expect that the trend will Q. 18 necessarily continue? 19 Because voters get more used to it and Α. 20 ballot boards get more used to it as it goes through 21 election, continuous elections. 22 With respect to voters, are there a Q. 23 different set of voters in every election that are 24 eligible to vote by mail in Texas?



Well, yes, I presume so.

25

Α.

Ο.	Mew	woters	turned	652
O .	71 C M	AOCETP	LULIIEU	00:

- A. But there is overlap as well. People that voted by mail continue to vote by mail.
- Q. Will the new voters who are eligible have had an opportunity to learn about the process?
- A. Well, they will learn about it as they vote by mail for the first time.
- Q. But the first time they won't have had experience or a learning curve?
- 10 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. They have peers who experience and a learning curve. They talk to each other at Sunday school, they talk to each other at church, and at the rotary club. So the fact is that the experience of all of the voters increases even as new voters vote by mail.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. With respect to the ballot boards, were there errors of any kind by the ballot boards that contributed to the rejection rate in the November general election?
- A. I don't know for sure because, you know, obviously we are not on the ground other than in a few counties observing the ballot boards. Anecdotally I



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 22

heard some ballot boards weren't comparing signatures at all, and some ballot boards were still giving rigorous examination of signatures. So those two things probably offset each other.

- Q. So what further learning by the ballot boards do you expect will contribute to reductions of the mail ballot rejection rate?
- A. We have been training -- doing direct trainings for ballot boards for a couple years now and we will continue that. And in the context of that training, we talk about the rebuttable presumption that's in place after SB 1.

So, you know, if a signature -- if a voter has a number on their carrier envelope that matches a number in voter registration record, and then there is a rebuttal presumption that signatures are of the same voter. Of course we know as lawyers rebuttal presumption means it shifts the burden of proof. Well, ballot boards don't think that way. But they do need to understand the signatures are starting from a position of you've got to accept it unless there is evidence to reject it. So that doesn't mean you don't look at the signature at all. It doesn't mean you do the same level of comparison that you would have done before.



2.

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 23

Q. Is there any learning by the ballot boards that you think you anticipate will lead to reductions in rejections based on the driver's license numbers or Social Security number requirement of SB 1?

- A. Yes, I think as the ballot boards get more used to this they will accord the number the appropriate way. The number is designed to take the place of a less objective measure, which is the signature. So they -- as they rely on the number and then give the signature the weight it's supposed to have and only overcome it if there is some sort of evidence to overcome it then I think more ballots will get accepted.
- Q. Were some ballot boards not recording the information the appropriate way.
- A. No. They weren't giving it the weight it's supposed to be given.
- Q. I see. Any rejection -- just so I'm clear. Any reduction in the mail ballot rejection rate based on learning from the ballot boards will be related to this signature, and not a reduction in rejections for failure to match a number for the driver's license field or Social Security number field?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
 BY THE WITNESS:



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 24

Α. That is not exactly true, but because they also have to integrate the early voting clerk process from 86011D. From previous law, not SB 1, early voting clerk has the ability to intervene if a voter has some sort of facial compliance issue on their carrier envelope. What we have discussed with counties and with ballot boards is that if a ballot board sees something that could be corrected by the early voting clerk, they can pass that carrier envelope back to the early voting clerk. You know, something like missed number or mismatched number. Then the early voting clerk can have either a personal trip to the voter or a telephone call to the voter, email to the voter. They can do all of those things that the ballot board can't necessarily do. They have more flexibility in talking to the voters and curing the problem.

So as we communicate that to the ballot boards, I expect that there is going to be more of a shift from the ballot board whenever they have got a preliminary rejection back to the early voting clerks because the early voting clerks has more flexibility in dealing with that preliminary rejection and getting the voter successfully in place with the vote. So they want to make sure that that happens. And so I think that's going to increase over time as well.



Q. Are you aware of any counties where the early voting clerks were not engaged in this preliminary review of SB 1 compliance?

- A. Well, I don't know if early voting clerks are aware that they can do that. It's something that we wanted to make sure that they understood, that really the general election in '22 was the first time we had a full fledged effort into educating with regard to that. And so we expect that that education will penetrate more as we go from election to election in the future.
- Q. Certainly early voting clerks in large counties were engaged in this effort throughout the November 2022 general election period, correct?
- A. I don't know if every large county, but I would assume the larger counties, they are more plugged into our advice. And so yes, generally I would agree with that.
- Q. Any improvement in terms of the actions early voting clerk be mostly concentrated in smaller counties; is that right?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 23 | BY THE WITNESS:

A. Most of the counties in Texas are smaller counties. We need to penetrate to the smaller



1 counties. That's the whole goal of our office's 2. education program. BY MR. FREEMAN: 3 4 Most of the voters are in the larger Q. 5 counties? 6 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 7 BY THE WITNESS: Agree with that. 8 Α. 9 BY MR. FREEMAN: 10 Do you expect that rejections based on the 11 mail ballot provisions of SB 1 will ever go away 12 entirely? 13 I think that, you know, rejections happen Α. 14 for all kinds of reasons. There is always going to be 15 rejections in an election, yes. 16 Has your office responded to any legislator 0. 17 request for a county by county breakdown of November 18 2022 rejection rates? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Have you produced that document in this Q. 21 litigation? 22 Α. We have. 23 Did you testify in the March 9 hearing that Ο. 24 you do not know the breakdown of the reasons for mail 25 ballot rejections in November 2022 election?



1	A. We know what the counties have told us.
2	Experience indicates that's not entirely accurate. If
3	you wanted more accurate assessment of the reasons you
4	would need to talk to the counties.
5	Q. Did you pull together that information for
6	any legislators after the hearing?
7	A. Did not.
8	Q. Did you testify at the March 9 hearing that
9	a county's inserts and mail ballot packages were
10	helpful in reducing mail ballot rejection based on SB
11	1?
12	A. I did.
13	Q. To your knowledge, how many counties
14	included inserts of this type into mail ballot
15	packages?
16	A. I don't know. A lot. Most. I don't know.
17	It's not something we have any oversight over. It's
18	something we can suggest.
19	MR. FREEMAN: If we could mark this document as
20	Exhibit 1.
21	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
22	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 1,
23	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
24	BY MR. FREEMAN:
25	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?



1 Α. It's answers to interrogatories, most 2. recent set. 3 Is this one of the documents that you Ο. 4 reviewed in preparation for your deposition? 5 Α. It is. 6 0. If you could turn to page 4, the answer to 7 interrogatory No. 11 on to page five. Does this refresh your recollection as to which counties included 8 9 supplemental materials in the mail ballot package? 10 This question asked about ones we reviewed. 11 So these are the ones we reviewed. That's not the only 12 ones that used an insert. I know Travis did. I know 13 Bastrop did. We talked to them about it. 14 How many did your office review? 0. 15 Α. The four that are in answer to that 16 interrogatory that we know of. 17 How many additional counties are you aware Q. 18 of that included supplements in the mail ballot 19 package? 20 It's a lot. I mean I don't know. Α. 21 You said Travis, Bastrop. How many others? 0. 22 Chambers, Houston. I mean there is a lot, Α. 23 Fannin. A lot of counties did this. This was --24 I mean I don't know. We don't ask them. Potter. Wе 25 don't take a survey. We don't, you know, get them.



This was something that we talked about as a good practice. I'm sure a lot of counties did it. I don't have any idea how many.

MS. HUNKER: Dan, I want to clarify for the record this is his 30(b)(1) individual deposition, correct?

MR. FREEMAN: Yes. That was just to refresh his recollection.

MS. HUNKER: I wanted to make sure he was speaking on behalf of himself in this deposition as opposed to the Office of the Secretary of State.

MR. FREEMAN: Understood.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. What characteristics of these inserts made them helpful to voters?
- A. You know, I don't know all of the characteristics of all of the inserts because obviously we didn't look at them all. But the ones we did see were brightly colored either blue or green or orange, they were smaller than the rest of the papers so they had a tendency to fall out of the packet whenever you pulled it out of the envelope. So that drew attention to them as well.
- Q. What information did they convey that was useful to the voters?



A. That you need --

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. You need to be sure and put identification number under the flap of the envelope, and they would have a picture of the boxes at the top of the carrier envelope on the back where they are covered up when you put the flap down so they could see those boxes, see what they looked like, and get reminded they needed to fill one of them out.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Did these inserts suggest that voters include both a driver's license number and Social Security number if they had them?
- A. I don't know. We told counties they could suggest that as a possibility, but they couldn't require it.
- Q. Was that suggestion something that you considered to be helpful to the voters?
- A. Absolutely, yes. We strongly suggested they do suggest it to their voters. But make sure that your voters know it's not required to put both, but they increase their chance of success if they do.
- Q. Did you also testify before the house committee on elections on March 9 that putting the



resident's address in the ballot tracker log-in was keeping voters out?

A. Yes.

- Q. Did you suggest that the legislator enact legislation that would replace resident's address with date of birth in the log-in requirements?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Is this the only change that you recommended with respect to the mail voting provisions of SB 1?
- A. I don't know what you mean by that question. In the hearing, yes.
- Q. Have you suggested any other changes in other venues?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What changes have you suggested?
- A. It's our belief that the correction process, the corrective action procedure needs to have a standardized date where anybody who's correcting a mail ballot has that date by which to fix it. The way the current law is, if the early voting clerks send back the mail ballot to the voter the voter has until 7:00 p.m. on election day to get that back. And if a ballot board sends a collective action notice to a voter, they have until six days after the election to



come in person and fix it. So we want to match those two dates up, and we also believe that ballot boards need more time on the front end, more days to meet. So we suggested that change. And there is one other thing. I know there is three. I can never remember the third.

- Q. Well, if you remember later.
- A. I will.

2.

Q. Thank you very much.

This is the type of thing that's going to bother you.

A. It is going to bother me. Our other suggestion is have them where they can just mail back the corrective action form and where the ballot doesn't ever leave the voting clerk's office. If the voter sends it in right now, the early voting clerk has the option of sending the ballot back to the voter. The early voting ballot board has the option of sending the ballot back to the voter. We would like to take that away and keep the ballot in the office and just send a corrective action form and have them be able to mail it back instead of deliver it in person.

- Q. Is that the existing correction process for FPCA voters?
 - A. Yes, sort of. I mean an FPCA voter can



send a new signature sheet. So yes, it's kind of like that, but it's a different form. Since FPCA voters have the ability to do a signature sheet in the first place, they have more flexibility.

- Q. Did a bill to replace resident's address with date of birth in the ballot tracker come before the House Elections Committee on March 16?
- A. It wasn't exactly what the bill language did. The bill language made the DL or social optional, you know, pick one or the other instead of both and add date of birth and take away resident's address.
- Q. Who testified on behalf of the Office of the Secretary of State on that bill?
 - A. Christina Adkins.

2.

- Q. Do you know if Ms. Adkins testified for the bill or merely on the bill?
- A. We are never for or against legislation. It's not our office's role. We are resource witness to answer any questions about the implementation that they might have.
- Q. If your office favors the substantive provisions of a bill you are still merely on the bill rather than for the bill?
- A. Our office doesn't officially favor anything.



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 34

Q. So the changes that you suggest, the three changes to SB 1?

- A. Well, three changes to SB 1, one to the ballot tracker, so four changes.
- Q. How would you describe those if they are sort of suggestions coming out of your office, but you are never for a bill? How does that fit?
- A. It's our office's role to advise on technical implementation process. And any time you have got a new thing like a corrective ballot, corrective action procedure for mail ballots, you are going to have some kinks in it that need to be worked out. It's our office's role to point out those kinks and suggest ways to work those out.

Whenever we implemented annual ballots by mail, the first law was House Bill 666 in 2013. It was about this long, and it just said that a voter can ask one time for all of the ballots by mail. So there were so many things. That was the hardest thing we've ever had to implement before SB 1. It was so complicated. The next session there was a bigger -- much bigger bill to correct that process and make it more uniform. And then there was another bill the next session. So any time that there is a big change like that you expect there is going to be some need to correct the



implementation to make it more smooth. And that's our office's role to suggest those changes. Not that they were for or against them. If you want to make a change, here's something you might think about.

Would you say then that during your time in the elections division at the Office of the Secretary of State, SB 1 has been the hardest bill to implement?

Thank you for clarifying. I appreciate it.

- A. It was by far the most comprehensive set of changes we ever had. It was every single form, every single bit of educational material, every outline, every everything had to change.
- Q. Going back to the March 9 hearing. Did you testify that statewide there were 163 ballots rejected based on SB 1 requirements for voters who did not have either a Social Security number or a driver's license number in the system?
 - A. I did.

2.

Q.

- Q. Were those ballots or were those ballot requests?
 - A. Those were ballots.
- Q. How did those voters get ballots sent to them if they didn't have driver's license numbers or Social Security numbers in the system?
 - A. That I don't know. You would have to talk



to the counties.

Q. With respect to the voter who lacked driver's license or Social Security number information in their voter registration files, what purpose do the mail ballots provisions of SB 1 serve?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. The same as they serve for any other person, which is to identify the voter.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Is it possible for SB 1 to serve that purpose if the voter doesn't have a driver's license number or Social Security number on file?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. How would that happen?
- A. They put one on file as part of the corrective action process.
- Q. So absent the voter taking further action to supplement their registration file, can it serve any purpose?
- A. Absolutely. It serves the purposes of making them supplement their voter registration file so we have a more complete file. That helps us with all kinds of matching on our list maintenance. It serves a purpose, absolutely.



Q. With respect to voters who do not currently have a driver's license number or Social Security number on file, is there any connection between those numbers and the voter's qualifications to vote in Texas elections?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. Well, I mean obviously to vote successfully they are going to have produce an ID when they vote in person, and they are going to have to do the same thing when they vote by mail.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Not produce an ID, but produce a number?
- A. Produce an ID.
- Q. When they vote by mail?
- A. That's right, that's what the number is it's an ID number.
- Q. Sorry. When you say ID I thought you meant like a copy of a card.

With respect to a voter who does not have a Social Security number or driver's license number on file, is there any connection between that number and establishing the voter's identity prior to any supplementation of their registration record?

A. I'm not sure I understand that question.



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 38

Q. Well, if they don't have a driver's license
number or Social Security number on record, is there a
connection between the voter providing that number and
the voter establishing their identity?
A. Yes.
Q. Does the voter establish their identity
when they submit a mail ballot request with their
driver's license number if the driver's license number
isn't on TEAM?
MS. HUNKER: Objection, form, asked and answered.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I don't know what you are getting at. Yes.
BY MR. FREEMAN:
Q. So switching gears, and we don't need to
take a break yet, that's good.
How long did you serve as director of the
elections division in the Office of the Texas Secretary
of State?
A. 11 years, two months, five days.
Q. Based on your experience would you agree

- A. Not that I was counting.
- Q. Based on your experience, would you agree that a form provided to voters should be designed so that a voter who follows the instructions will have the form accepted?



1 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 Yes, that's true. That's the goal of the Α. 4 form. BY MR. FREEMAN: 5 Since the May 2022 runoff, did the Office 6 Q. 7 of the Secretary of State make any changes to the absentee ballot by mail application? 8 9 We changed several forms. I'm pretty sure Α. 10 the application if it's got an oath of assistance on it 11 it changed, yes. MR. FREEMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 2. 12 13 (WHEREUPON, a certain document was 14 marked Deposition Exhibit No. 2, 15 for identification, as of 3/28/23.) 16 BY MR. FREEMAN: 17 Mr. Ingram, is this the current absentee Q. 18 ballot by mail form? I will represent to you this 19 form, I don't believe the date is on it, but it's the 20 form that's currently on your website and it's dated 21 December 9, 2021. 22 Α. I mean it looks like it, yes. Yes. 23 Okay. This form is still in effect, the Ο. 24 form that's on the website? 25 Α. It is.



1	Q. Any current plans to alter the form?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Has your office considered altering the
4	form since it was issued?
5	A. No, not this form.
6	Q. Why not?
7	A. There is not a need to.
8	Q. Is there a statutory reason this form could
9	not inform voters that they may provide both a Texas
10	driver's license number and a partial Social Security
11	number?
12	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
13	BY THE WITNESS:
14	A. It's not what the law says. The form
15	outlines the law.
16	BY MR. FREEMAN:
17	Q. Okay. And so if the form outlines the law,
18	is it not allowed for the form to inform voters that
19	they may provide both numbers?
20	A. Not on the form. It's not the law.
21	Q. Understood.
22	Has your office suggested any kind of
23	amendments to SB 1 that would permit including that
24	information on this form?
25	A. No. There is plenty of outside channels



1	that emphasize that point.
2	Q. So is it not necessary to your mind?
3	A. Agree with that.
4	Q. This form does clarify that the Texas
5	driver's license number is not your voter registration
6	VUID number, correct?
7	A. Agree.
8	Q. Is that in the law
9	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
10	BY MR. FREEMAN:
11	Q that clarification?
12	A. Well, it's in the law is Texas election
13	identification certificate number. People think that
14	means their voter registration number.
15	Q. Why is it permissible to include this
16	clarification and not the clarification that a voter
17	may include both numbers if they wish?
18	A. Because if we did that you would be sitting
19	there asking me questions about why we are requiring
20	people to do something the law doesn't require. That
21	would be a different lawsuit, but it would still be a
22	lawsuit.
23	Q. Do other forms promulgated by your office
24	include a red box around required information



frequently omitted by voters?

1	A. The carrier envelope does.
2	Q. Is this a reason why this form doesn't have
3	a red box around the SB 1 identification number
4	requirements?
5	A. It's not needed.
6	Q. Why is it not needed?
7	A. Because the application can just be redone
8	any time. It's a much less formal document. It's not
9	the vote.
10	Q. Can it be redone if it's rejected strike
11	that.
12	Can it be redone if the voter does not
13	become aware of the rejection until after the ballot
14	application deadline?
15	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	A. It can't. But the voter can vote in person
18	at that point. They have still complete ability to
19	vote.
20	BY MR. FREEMAN:
21	Q. Just to confirm. During the 2022 general

election, did your office continue to advise election administrators not to apply a hierarchy between DPS numbers and Social Security numbers when determining whether this form meets SB 1 requirements and identify

22

23

24

25



1 the correct voter? I lost your thread there. 2 Α. 3 Just confirming. During the 2022 general, Ο. 4 did your office continue to tell local election 5 administrators, county clerks they didn't need to apply a hierarchy between the DPS number and the SSN when 6 7 they were determining whether an ABBM adequately identifies a voter for SB 1 purposes? 8 9 Α. Absolutely. From an election administration 10 Ο. 11 perspective, during the 2022 general election did the 12 language on this form directing voters to give their 13 SSN for quote, if you do not have a Texas driver's 14 license, Texas personal identification number or a 15 Texas election identification certificate number, serve 16 a purpose? 17 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 18 BY THE WITNESS: 19 It follows the law. Α. 20 BY MR. FREEMAN: 21 What is the purpose of the law in stating 0. 22 that --23 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. BY MR. FREEMAN: 24 25 -- to your knowledge? Q.



1	A. You would have to ask the legislature that	
2	question.	
3	Q. Fair enough.	
4	MR. FREEMAN: We can mark this as Exhibit 3.	
5	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was	
6	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 3,	
7	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)	
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:	
9	Q. Before we turn to Exhibit 3 can I ask one	
10	more question about the form marked as Exhibit 2.	
11	Regardless of what the legislature's intention is, are	
12	you able to identify a valid election administration	
13	purpose for including the language beginning, if you do	
14	not have a Texas driver's license on the ABBM form?	
15	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.	
16	BY THE WITNESS:	
17	A. The election administration purpose is to	
18	accurately state the law on the form.	
19	BY MR. FREEMAN:	
20	Q. Nothing else?	
21	A. That's it.	
22	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's the document that has	
23	been marked as Exhibit 3?	
24	A. It looks like an email.	
25	Q. If you go to the last page. Just take a	



look at that real quickly. The email from Ms. Oehlschlager.

A. Okay.

- Q. Am I correct that Ms. Oehlschlager wrote that because her mother followed the directions on the ABBM and nonetheless did not receive her mail ballot, this is an error in the application for a ballot by mail?
- A. I'm not sure exactly what she is trying to say here. I don't understand the issue with it.
- Q. Well, if I'm correct, Ms. Oehlschlager's mother submitted her driver's license number, and the county said she should have used the last four numbers of her Social Security rather than her driver's license number; is that your understanding of this email?
- A. That I think is what she is trying to say, yes.
- Q. Do you agree that because she followed the instructions on the form and provided her driver's license number rather than her Social, and did not receive a ballot, that the error is in the application?

 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

23 BY MR. FREEMAN:

- O. The form itself?
- A. No, I do not agree with that.



O. Why not?

- A. Because that's not the problem. The problem is she didn't put a number that was in her voter registration record.
- Q. Did she provide the number that the form instructed her to provide?
- A. I don't know. It could be that the number was wrong. I don't know what the problem is. I would have to talk to Hector County to find out why they rejected this.
- Q. It at least appears from the email that they rejected it because she provided her driver's license number rather than her Social, correct?
 - A. That's what she says.
- Q. If an ABBM is rejected rather than a mail ballot, that rejection is not included in the mail ballot rejection rate that you conveyed to the legislature, correct?
 - A. I agree with that.
- Q. If Ms. Oehlschlager provided her driver's license number, and only her Social Security number was on file and her ABBM was rejected as a result, that's not her fault in terms of why the ABBM was rejected, correct?
 - MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.



BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

A. Well, I mean if she doesn't put a number that's in her voter registration record then she either needs to supplement her voter registration record or supply a different number.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Did she do anything wrong in completing the ABBM?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. She didn't identify herself with a number from her record.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Was there a way for her to know prior to submitting this form which number was in her record?
- A. No. I mean she could call and ask or she could fix it after the fact.
- Q. Do you instruct local officials to tell voters which number is on their file if they call and ask before submitting mail ballot materials?
- A. That's something they can do, yes, absolutely.
- MS. PERALES: Objection, non-responsive.

24 BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Do you instruct them it's something they



1	may do?		
2	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.		
3	BY THE WITNESS:		
4	A. We don't have to instruct them they can do		
5	that. They can answer voter questions. They answer		
6	voter questions all the time.		
7	MS. PERALES: Objection, non-responsive.		
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:		
9	Q. Let's turn to another voter email. If we		
10	could mark this as Exhibit 4.		
11	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was		
12	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 4,		
13	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)		
14	BY MR. FREEMAN:		
15	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?		
16	A. This looks like an email.		
17	Q. If you go to the email or the original		
18	email Ms. Martin sent, can you describe the problem		
19	that Ms. Martin's daughter experienced in the 2022		
20	general election?		
21	A. That she doesn't have both numbers on her		
22	file apparently.		
23	Q. Did Ms. Martin's daughter submit her		
24	driver's license number, but only her Social Security		
25	number was on file?		



1	A. That's apparently what Comal County
2	informed them.
3	Q. Am I correct that this is the same problem
4	that Ms. Oehlschlager experienced?
5	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	A. I don't know that.
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:
9	Q. At least the same problem that Ms.
LO	Oehlschlager represented to your office that she
L1	experienced?
L2	A. It was her mother that experienced it, but
L3	yes.
L4	Q. Am I correct that Ms. Martin describes the
L5	ABBM form as very misleading?
L6	A. I don't know. I haven't gotten to that
L7	part yet.
L8	Q. In the first paragraph, couple sentences
L9	in, beginning with, "first of all," does she describe
20	the application as very misleading?
21	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
22	BY THE WITNESS:
23	A. She says those words, yes.
24	BY MR. FREEMAN:
25	Q. And for the record do you agree?



1	A. No.	
2	Q. Do you consider the rejection of Ms.	
3	Martin's daughter's ABBM to be her daughter's fault?	
4	A. I don't know what your interest in	
5	assessing fault is. I'm not going to say it's	
6	anybody's fault.	
7	Q. Is the ABMM designed to maximize the	
8	likelihood a voter who follows the instructions will	
9	have the form accepted?	
10	A. I don't know. It follows the law.	
11	Q. Going about an hour. Do you want to take a	
12	break for a minute or are you okay?	
13	A. I'm fine.	
14	Q. Since the May 2022 runoff we're changing	
15	gears. Did the Office of the Secretary of State make	
16	any changes to the mail ballot carrier envelope?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	MR. FREEMAN: We can mark this as Exhibit 5.	
19	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was	
20	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 5,	
21	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)	
22	BY MR. FREEMAN:	
23	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?	
24	A. Well, it's an $8 1/2 \times 11$ copy of pieces of	
25	a carrier envelope.	



1	Q.	Is this the up-to-date version of the
2	carrier env	elope?
3	Α.	It looks like it.
4	Q.	What changes were made during the general
5	election pe	riod?
6	А.	We put a red box around the numbers and we
7	changed the	oath of office.
8	Q.	Did your staff consider any further changes
9	to the mail	ballot carrier envelope during the general
10	election pe	riod?
11	А.	We did not.
12	Q.	Why not?
13	Α.	There wasn't a need.
14	Q.	Did your office have any concerns about the
15	red box bei:	ng fully accessible to voters with any kinds
16	of disabili	ties?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	Is there an issue with the red type and
19	voters with	disabilities?
20	Α.	I understand that to be the case, yes.
21	Q.	What's the issue?
22	Α.	There's some people with some kinds of
23	visual impa	irments don't see it.
24	Q.	Would it be helpful to Texas voters if the
25	mail ballot	carrier envelope itself informed voters



1 that they may provide both a Texas driver's number and 2. Social Security number? 3 Objection, form. MS. HUNKER: 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 That's not the law. Α. BY MR. FREEMAN: 6 7 Would it be helpful if it did? Q. 8 Doesn't matter if it's helpful or not. Α. 9 MS. HUNKER: Objection. 10 BY THE WITNESS: It's not the law. We can't suggest to 11 Α. 12 voters that both numbers are required. We can suggest 13 to voters that they go ahead and voluntarily use both 14 numbers, and that they increase their chances of 15 success if they do, and we can hound that message big, 16 but we can't make any suggestion on the official form 17 that both numbers are required. 18 BY MR. FREEMAN: 19 Just so I understand it. Why can you not 0. 20 say it's optional on the form? 21 Because that's not the law. Α. 22 Okay. Are there any current plans to alter Q. 23 the carrier envelope? 24 If the law changes we will change the 25 carrier envelope.



1	MR. FREEMAN: On to Exhibit 6.
2	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
3	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 6,
4	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
5	BY MR. FREEMAN:
6	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?
7	A. This is the secrecy envelope that's put
8	inside of the carrier envelope that contains the voter
9	ballot. So copy of the front and back of it.
10	Q. Is this up to date?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What changes were made during the general
13	election period to this document?
14	A. I don't know what specific changes were
15	made. It looks like it was modified in July of '22,
16	but I don't know what changed.
17	Q. Were the assistants instructions changed?
18	A. Well, it has to be, but the oath is not on
19	here, so I don't know why we would change it.
20	Q. Any other changes you are able to identify?
21	A. Yes, it's No. 2 on the instruction to
22	assistants that's what changed.
23	Q. Paragraph 2 under instructions states that,
24	"A voter must provide one of the following numbers,"
25	correct?



1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Paragraph two also includes the sentence
3	"If a voter has not been issued one of these numbers, a
4	voter must check the box" strike that. I'm sorry.
5	Paragraph 2 also includes a sentence
6	beginning, "If a voter has not been issued one of these
7	numbers, voter may give the last four digits of his/her
8	Social Security number; is that correct?
9	A. That's right.
10	Q. One of these numbers in that sentence
11	refers to a Texas driver's license number; is that
12	right?
13	A. Texas DL, Personal Identification Card,
14	Election Identification Certificate.
15	Q. Thank you.
16	Do you agree this indicates to the voter
17	that the voter may give the four digit Social Security
18	number only if they lack a Texas driver's license
19	number, ID Card or Election Identification Certificate?
20	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
21	BY THE WITNESS:
22	A. I agree that the instruction tracks the
23	law.
24	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to object as
25	non-responsive.



BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. My question was whether this indicates to the voter that the voter may give the partial Social only if they lack a DPS ID?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, from.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. That's what it says, but it follows the law.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

O. Got it.

Just again so I understand, I assume the answer is the same as the last form. But is there a statutory reason that the instructions here could not inform the voter they may provide both a Texas driver's license number, and partial Social?

- A. That's not the law.
- Q. Even if it's not the law that a voter may provide both, does that fact prevent you from adding language on instructions informing voters of their option to provide both?
- A. I think I have told you before that forms are a road map to the law. They are not helpful hints. Helpful hints are something outside of the forms. Helpful hints we can do a voter education campaign. We don't put helpful hints on forms unless it's just



really really necessary, you know. I can think on the candidate application, you know, it's very important that candidate fill out every single blank on that application. There are a couple of blanks that are optional. We have made it very clear in the form that those blanks are optional because we have an obligation to track the law with regard to forms.

- Q. Who decides what's really really necessary when it comes to including hints in a form?
- A. Well, the hints track the law as well. That's my whole point. That the legislature has made that decision. Whenever they make a blank on a candidate application optional, then we need to put optional in parentheses next to that information so that the candidate knows the law says this is an optional blank even though everything on here is otherwise required. That's the legislature is the one that makes the law. The form tracks the law. If the legislature thinks we need to put a hint on there that's what we do.
- Q. Could you put optional for voters who include a driver's license number next to the blank for the Social?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 25 | BY THE WITNESS:



1	A. That's not what the law says. We have to
2	track the law.
3	BY MR. FREEMAN:
4	Q. Okay. Did your office consider any further
5	changes to these instructions on Exhibit 6 during the
6	general election period?
7	A. No, just what was necessary because of the
8	litigation.
9	Q. Do you have any current plans to alter
10	these instructions?
11	A. We do not.
12	MR. FREEMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 7.
13	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
14	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 7,
15	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
16	BY MR. FREEMAN:
17	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?
18	A. It appears to be an email.
19	Q. Is it from a Ms. Susan Johnson to the
20	elections office?
21	A. It is.
22	Q. Did Ms. Johnson ask whether she would be
23	penalized if she put more than one type of ID?
24	A. That's the question.
25	Q. Did your office direct her to the list of



1 early voting clerks rather than offering a substantive 2 response? We did. 3 Α. 4 Why was that? Q. I don't know. 5 Α. Is that the standard procedure in your 6 Ο. 7 office when voters pose questions regarding how to successfully complete a form? 8 9 I would have thought we could answer this Α. 10 I don't know why we directed them to early 11 voting clerk. 12 Q. Okay. Another form for you Exhibit 8. 13 (WHEREUPON, a certain document was 14 marked Deposition Exhibit No. 8, 15 for identification, as of 3/28/23.) 16 BY MR. FREEMAN: 17 Mr. Ingram, what's this document? Q. 18 This is the voter information voter Α. instruction sheet. 19 20 Does this go in --Q. 21 That goes in a mail ballot packet. Α. 22 Q. Thank you. Is this form up to date? 23 Yes. Α. 24 No changes were made during the election Ο. 25 period?



March 28, 2023 Keith Ingram Page 59

1	A. I agree with that.
2	Q. Why not?
3	A. No need.
4	Q. We previously discussed some of the useful
5	elements of county inserts. Does this instruction
6	sheet share any of those useful elements?
7	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
8	BY THE WITNESS:
9	A. Well, I don't know what color a county
10	prints it on, but as it looks here in Exhibit 8 it's
11	black and white.
12	BY MR. FREEMAN:
13	Q. Is it small and designed to fall out of the
14	packet?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Does it inform a voter that they have the
17	option of including both a Texas driver's license
18	number and a partial Social?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Why not?
21	A. Because it's not the law.
22	Q. This is not a form they fill out. It's an
23	instruction sheet. I wanted to understand if the same
24	set of rules apply.
25	A. The instructions are part of the form.



1	Q.	Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. FR	EEMAN: We can mark this as Exhibit 9.
3		(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
4		marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9,
5		for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
6	BY MR. FREEM	AN:
7	Q.	What's this document?
8	A.	It's an email exchange.
9	Q.	If you could go to the third page, the
10	final paragra	aph can you describe the problem Ms.
11	Pfluger had	in a 2022 election?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	What's the problem that Ms. Pfluger had?
14	A.	She says she was rejected because she
15	didn't inclu	de the DL under the flap.
16	Q.	Am I correct Ms. Pfluger compliments the
17	instructions	on the Secretary of State's website?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Does Ms. Pfluger raise concerns about the
20	absence of s	imilar instructions on the carrier
21	envelope?	
22	A.	She said the voter should be reminded
23	before the ca	arrier envelope is sealed they need to
24	provide this	information.
25	Q.	Go back to the third page. Did she say,



"Your website clearly indicates this change, and 1 provides excellent instructions of what's required. 2. I'm concerned that not enough voters will see this 3 4 information before voting by mail." 5 Is that right? That's what she says. We hear that form of 6 Α. argument a lot. I saw that, but I don't know if those 7 other dummies will see it. We hear that argument a 8 9 lot. 10 Ο. Am I right that limitations in the law 11 itself preclude your office from providing similar instructions that are on your website on the form 12 13 itself? 14 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 I agree the form is a road map to the law. Α. 17 BY MR. FREEMAN: 18 Your website provides additional Ο. 19 information beyond what's in the law, correct? 20 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 21 BY THE WITNESS: 22 Sometimes. Α. 23 BY MR. FREEMAN: 24 Does it provide additional information with 0. 25 respect to how to comply with the mail ballot



1	provisions of SB 1?
2	A. We try to say things in a more English and
3	flowing manner.
4	MR. FREEMAN: I think it's a good time to take a
5	quick break.
6	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
7	BY MR. FREEMAN:
8	Q. Mr. Ingram, since the May 2022 runoff, did
9	The Office of the Secretary of State make any changes
10	to the FPCA signature sheet?
11	A. Yes.
12	MR. FREEMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 10.
13	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
14	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 10,
15	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
16	BY MR. FREEMAN:
17	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?
18	A. This is the signature sheet for voters from
19	overseas or military who's domestic or oversees.
20	Q. Is this the up-to-date version of that
21	form?
22	A. It is.
23	Q. What changes were made during the general
24	election period?
25	A. The oath language was changed.



1	Q.	That's all?							
2	Α.	That's it.							
3	Q.	Did you or your staff consider any further							
4	changes to t	changes to the FPCA signature sheet during the general							
5	election period?								
6	Α.	We did not.							
7	Q.	Why not?							
8	Α.	There was no need.							
9	Q.	Is there a statutory reason, just to							
10	confirm, that the FPCA signature sheet could not inform								
11	military overseas voters that they may provide both a								
12	Texas driver's license number and a four digit Social?								
13	Α.	That's not required by the law.							
14	Q.	Just to close the loop, if it's not							
15	required by the law it can't be on this form, correct?								
16	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.								
17	BY THE WITNESS:								
18	Α.	The form is a map to the law.							
19	BY MR. FREEMAN:								
20	Q.	Any current plans to alter the signature							
21	sheet?								
22	Α.	No.							
23	Q.	Are you aware of how many FPCA voters had							
24	their ballot	rejected during the 2022 general election							



because of SB 1 requirements related to numbers

25

1 associated with the voter registration record? 2 Α. I don't know. 3 Are you aware of how many active duty Ο. 4 members of the military had their ballots rejected during the 2022 general because of SB 1 number 5 requirements? 6 7 I don't know. Α. Do you have any practical basis to believe 8 0. 9 that any rejected ballots submitted by FPCA voters were 10 not returned by eligible Texas voters who were who they 11 said they were? 12 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 Α. I'm sorry. I don't understand the 15 question. BY MR. FREEMAN: 16 17 Do you have any reason to believe that any Q. 18 FPCA voters -- strike that. 19 Do you have any reason to believe that any 20 FPCA ballots that were rejected due to SB 1 were 21 submitted by individuals who were not eligible Texas 22 voters? 23 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 24 BY THE WITNESS: 25 I don't know. Α.



BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Do you have any future plans to address ballot rejections among active duty military specifically?
- A. Not other than, you know, the what we are going to do with ballot boards, educate them on the early voting process and their opportunities there.
- Q. My colleague intends to address training conducted by The Office of the Secretary of State during Rule 30(b)(6) deposition, but I have a few quick questions about updates to the training prior to the end of last year. So if we could mark this document as Exhibit 11 I promise we will only talk about a few pages.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition Exhibit No. 11, for identification, as of 3/28/23.)

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?
- A. It appears to be a presentation on ballot by mail.
 - Q. Is this the most recent presentation on ballot by mail that your office has provided?
 - A. I believe so. I mean what I find on those power points is the date that it's printed is the date



1 that shows up on here. So it's not really a very 2. useful guide. But as far as I know, we didn't change 3 our quidance or instructions in our presentations 4 throughout the '22 year. 5 It's from the election law seminar. Do you know when that was held? 6 7 I don't. It was in July or August. Α. 8 Okay. Did you participate in the drafting Ο. 9 of this document? 10 I did review it, yes. Α. 11 So others drafted, but you reviewed after Q. 12 it had been drafted; would that be right? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 Q. Did you give the training based on this 15 document? 16 Α. No, sir. 17 Q. Who did? 18 I don't remember, maybe Heidi Martinez. Α. 19 Who is Ms. Martinez? O. 20 She is one of our staff attorneys. Α. 21 Does this presentation -- are you aware of 0. 22 whether this presentation instructed local clerks to 23 inform voters upon request whether they had a driver's 24 license or SSN on file? 25 As I stated before, we don't have to tell Α.



1	them to do that. That's something they do, they answer						
2	voter's questions.						
3	Q. Just to be clear, they you don't train						
4	them to do that, that's just something you expect them						
5	to do?						
6	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.						
7	BY THE WITNESS:						
8	A. I expect county election officials to						
9	answer voter questions, yes, I do.						
10	BY MR. FREEMAN:						
11	Q. Including that question?						
12	A. Yes, including that question very much so.						
13	Q. Turning to page 31. What are the matters						
14	set on page 31?						
15	A. The best practices when reviewing an						
16	application for ballot by mail.						
17	Q. So this is the review conducted by the						
18	early voting clerk?						
19	A. Early voting clerk is the one who reviews						
20	applications for ballot by mail, yes.						
21	Q. The early voting clerk has to look up the						
22	registration status of the voter as part of that						
23	process?						



And do you suggest as part of that training

That's correct.

24

25

Α.

Q.

1 how they should look up the voter registration status 2 of an applicant? 3 No. Α. Do you have an understanding of how they 4 Q. typically go about doing that? 5 They either use TEAM or they use their 6 Α. local system. And some off-line counties use TEAM for 7 this. 8 9 What information do they plug in when they Ο. 10 are trying to pull up the registration status like 11 name? 12 Well, I mean if you're using TEAM you can Α. 13 search by voter name. That's probably the way they do 14 They are limited to their county. it. 15 If we turn to page 32. What are the 16 matters set out here? 17 Α. This talks about the new law. 18 This is talking about looking up Ο. 19 identification numbers; is that correct? 20 That's correct. Α. 21 That is separate from looking up Ο. 22 registration status? 23 It's part of the registration status. Α. 24 But it's --Ο.



That's what it says at the last sentence

25

Α.

you talk to the voter registrar to confirm the voter registration and status.

- Q. But am I correct that the numbers provided here, driver's license, Social Security number, they are not used to look up the voter, they are used to confirm the voter; is that correct?
- A. They are used to make sure the voter has properly identified themself on the application, yes.
- Q. Those numbers are not used to find the voter in TEAM as part of the ABBM processing, correct?
- A. No, sir. I mean not usually. I guess they could look it up by DL number if they wanted to.
- Q. Do you have any understanding as to whether -- strike that.

Do you instruct local officials to do that?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. We don't tell them how they use TEAM. All of the fields are available to look up anything they want to look up.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Are you aware of any local officials using the Texas driver's license number or Social Security number to look up a voter as part of the initial determination of their registration status?



A. If that's the way they do it's the way we do. We don't know about it.

Q. You don't know about it personally?

- A. That's right. Or as an office that's not something we get into.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- A. We tell them how to do a voter search.
- Q. Turn to page 40. You look at pages 40, 41 and 42. What are the matters set out on these pages in the presentation?
- A. They are talking about the new law, the requirement for a number on the carrier envelope.
- Q. Is there any information here about how the numbers are to be used in the mail voting process other than as a basis for rejection of a mail ballot?
- A. That's not what this says. I don't know why would you say -- what are you asking?
- Q. I'm asking if there is any information here about how the numbers are to be used in the mail voting process other than as a basis to confirm voter identity or reject the ballot?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 23 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A. They are supposed to look up the number provided by the voter to see if it's in the voter



registration record. I don't know.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

2.

- Q. Is there any information here about using the number to look up the voter in the first instance as opposed to using the record that has already been pulled up with other information to confirm that the Texas driver's license number and Social Security number line up?
- A. Again, how they look up a voter is how they look up a voter. We don't get into that.
- Q. I have to ask you a few questions about the ballot tracker. When we last met last year we discussed the log-in requirements of the mail ballot tracker. We talked a little bit about it here today as well. Have there been any changes thus far to the log-in requirements for the ballot tracker since we met in April of last year?
 - A. There have not.
- Q. Does The Office of Secretary of State have the ability to change these log-in requirements absent changes to the election code?
 - A. We do not.
- Q. Do you know if the ballot tracker log-in page provides information as to how to add information to a voter TEAM record if the voter doesn't have a TDL



1	and SSN on file?						
2	A. I don't know. We were talking about						
3	putting a notice on there. I don't remember if it's						
4	there or not.						
5	MR. FREEMAN: We can mark this as Exhibit 12.						
6	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was						
7	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 12,						
8	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)						
9	BY MR. FREEMAN:						
10	Q. What's Exhibit 12?						
11	A. It's appears to be a page from						
12	votetexas.gov.						
13	Q. Does this inform voters how to use the						
14	ballot tracker?						
15	A. That's what it's for, yes, sir.						
16	Q. Does it inform them how to fill in missing						
17	information if they don't have both a TDL and SSN on						
18	their TEAM file?						
19	A. I don't think we talk about it here, no.						
20	Q. To your knowledge, it's not on the log-in						
21	page for the ballot tracker either, is it?						
22	A. The My Voter portal I'm thinking has a link						
23	to texas.gov if you want to add numbers.						
24	MR. FREEMAN: Given it's just for the purpose of						
25	refreshing, Kathleen, would you object to me using the						



image of the My Voter portal on an electronic device without submitting it as an exhibit?

MS. HUNKER: I do not as you represent that you will submit a printout of that as an exhibit?

MR. FREEMAN: Happy to do that. Let's leave a hole for Exhibit 13. We will just use a copy of my voter portal.

MS. HUNKER: Though I would like the witness to confirm that the portal on the phone would be the same as if --

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition Exhibit No. 13, for identification, as of 3/28/23.)

BY THE WITNESS:

A. It's not. Over on the left-hand side -there we go. See that need to change your name or
address, the link that's the link to texas.gov. The
log on to texas.gov it adds both their numbers.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. It asks need to change your name or address. Does it also ask or does it indicate anywhere that that same form can be used to add a driver's license number or a Social Security number?
- A. When you get to that link it says, "If you are here to add your numbers, this is what you do."



Q. On the ballot tracker web page, however,
does it indicate that the need to change your name or
address page is also the means by which you can add a
driver's license or Social Security number?

A. I don't think so.

MS. HUNKER: Just to clarify, we have somebody designated as 30(b)6) to talk about voter education that includes the website from texas.gov.

MR. FREEMAN: This is a topic we discussed at his prior deposition so I'm just updating.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Currently does the off of -- prior to your no longer being elections director, had you developed any plans to provide additional information on the ballot tracker website to voters who attempt and fail to log in to the tracker as to how to add driver's license or Social Security number information to their TEAM record?
 - A. I don't know. We might.
- Q. Well, in the past when you were the elections director, had you developed plans to do that?
- A. We talk about all of those kind of things, yes.
- Q. Why did you decide not to do that at least thus far?



	Α.	Ιt	just	hasn't	come	up	as	а	thing	to	do
yet.											

- Q. Are you aware of any public efforts during the 2022 general election period to communicate to voters who have an ABBM or carrier envelope rejected because of SB 1 the means by which they can add information to their TEAM record, any public voter ad campaigns on that specific subject?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

10 BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. I don't know. I don't know if that was specifically addressed in the campaign. You would have to talk about Sam about that. It's something our office has communicated to county election officials to communicate to voters.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. When did your office communicate that to county election officials?
- A. Any meeting we had with them in '22. Texas Association of Election Administrators meeting in January, the county clerks, whenever we met with them down in Galveston, our summer seminar. Any chance we had that's what we talked about how to tell voters to fix the issues.
 - Q. When you say the issues, these are the



1 issues caused by SB 1 for voters who don't have 2. complete information on their team file; is that right? 3 Any problem with their mail ballot, if Α. No. 4 they didn't sign it, if they didn't fill out a state of 5 residence, any problem. There is lots of problems that would result in a rejection of a mail ballot or the 6 7 application, and we told them how to fix the issues. That includes issues --8 0. 9 Α. Subset --10 Q. -- ruling from SB 1? 11 Α. The subset identification requirements. 12 They didn't successfully complete those. 13 We can mark this as Exhibit 14. MR. FREEMAN: 14 (WHEREUPON, a certain document was 15 marked Deposition Exhibit No. 14, 16 for identification, as of 3/28/23.) 17 BY MR. FREEMAN: 18 What's this document? Ο. 19 It appears to be an email from Colleen Α. 20 Stadnik and a response. 21 Did Ms. Stadnik send this email asking for Ο. 22 help correcting the driver's license information on her 23 ballot envelope? 24 She wants to know how to find the 25 corrective action form.



1 0. But she was told her ballot was -- that was 2. missing a driver's license number; is that right? 3 That's right. Α. What time did she send that email? 4 Q. 5 She sent it on November 10 apparently. Α. When did your office respond? 6 0. 7 November 14. Α. At what time? 8 0. 9 5:54. Α. 10 0. When were corrections to ballots missing driver's license numbers due after the November 2022 11 12 general election? 13 The sixth day. Α. 14 0. Was November 14 the sixth day? 15 Α. I believe so. 16 Were they due by 7:00 p.m.? Q. 17 I don't know about 7:00 p.m. I thought we Α. 18 talked about until midnight. 19 Is that only possible if a clerk's office 0. 20 is open until midnight? 21 Α. No. 22 How would they submit a corrective action Q. form if the clerk's office is closed? 23 24 They don't have to do a corrective action Α. 25 They had to go to votetexas.gov and confirm form.



their numbers are correct on there. That produces a task on the dashboard of the county that this one has been corrected.

- Q. Did your office inform this voter, "You must deliver the completed corrective action form in person to the early voting clerk's office by the end of business today"?
 - A. It says or.
- Q. So the first information it does not say or would you agree then it subsequently says or?
 - MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. It says, "You make correct missing or incorrect personal ID numbers through the vote ballot tracker or by votetexas.gov or by delivering a corrective action form."

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Right. But first it says, "The county should have sent you a notice with the corrective action form on the back. You must deliver the completed corrective action form in person to the early voting clerk's office by the end of business today, Monday, November 14, 2022," isn't that right?
 - A. Then it gives alternative.
 - Q. Yes. But with regards to the -- with



1	regards to specific information requested by the voter
2	on how to submit a corrective action form, your office
3	provided that information at 5:55 p.m., and instructed
4	the voter to submit the form by close of business that
5	same day; is that correct?
6	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
7	BY THE WITNESS:
8	A. I'm not going to agree with that
9	characterization. That's not what it says. It says
10	what it says.
11	BY MR. FREEMAN:
12	Q. Was that your office's typical practice in
13	terms of their ability to respond to requests for
14	assistance regarding ballot corrections?
15	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
16	BY MR. FREEMAN:
17	Q. To not be able to respond until the day
18	that the corrective action forms were due?
19	MS. HUNKER: Same objection. Objection.
20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	A. The responses were made when they were
22	made. You can go back and look at all of the emails if
23	you want to.
24	BY MR. FREEMAN:



Was there a volume of responses such that

25

Q.

it was difficult for your office to respond more
quickly than by the day the corrective action forms
were due?
A. Our response time is within 24 hours. This
is an exception of that.
Q. When do those exceptions occur?
A. I don't know. When they occur that's what
makes it an exception.
Q. I'm just trying to understand, you know, if
you have a typical practice, but there are exceptions.
Is there a set of circumstances when you typically view
or experience those exceptions?
A. Our goal, our practice, our policy is to
respond to email and phone calls within 24 hours.
Q. Okay. Do you know how many exceptions
occurred in the November 2022 general?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know if this voter was
disenfranchised by SB 1?
MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I have no idea.
BY MR. FREEMAN:
Q. We previously discussed a number of ways



that a voter can update their TEAM record after the

close of registration so they may successfully submit an ABBM. Prior to the November 2022 general could a voter submit a new voter registration form after the close of registration?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Could they submit a copy of their driver's license and their ID card with the ABBM?
 - A. They could.
- Q. Did they visit texas.gov to provide information already in DPS databases to TEAM?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Was there anything else?
 - A. Those are the ways, I think.
- Q. Is there any indication on the Texas voter registration form that was in use at the time that it can be used to add missing information to a voter registration record as opposed to changing an existing name or address?
- A. No, there is not anything special about it. It could be used for that purpose.
- Q. Is there any indication on the form itself that it can be used for that purpose?
- A. There is a form -- form says it could be used to change your record, yes.
 - Q. Well, let's mark this --



1	A. There is one of the boxes that says update
2	existing record, isn't there? Isn't that one of the
3	boxes on the form? I think so.
4	MR. FREEMAN: Let's use this as Exhibit 15.
5	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
6	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 15,
7	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:
9	Q. What's this document?
10	A. It's a copy of voter registration
11	application.
12	Q. In box one this indicates it can be used as
13	a new application to change address, name or other
14	information or to request replacement card, correct?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. It does not indicate it can be used to add
17	missing information; is that right?
18	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
19	BY THE WITNESS:
20	A. What do you think change other information
21	means?
22	BY MR. FREEMAN:
23	Q. I would well, when you see
24	MR. FREEMAN: You know what, I'm going to object
25	to that as non-responsive and ask again.



BY MR. FREEMAN:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. It does not indicate that you can add missing information on this form, correct?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I disagree. It says you can change other information, and that includes updating.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. If a voter submits a voter registration application to add missing information to a voter registration record, would your office or the local registrars run a live check on the driver's license number or Social Security number?
 - A. Probably.
 - Q. Do you know for certain?
- A. I don't. But it wouldn't be our office. I mean our office is the one that does it, but the county would do the live check.
- Q. Is there anything other than the live check which may or may not occur that is used to verify the authenticity of the driver's license number or Social Security number being submitted?
 - A. No.
- Q. So if a voter submits a voter registration application that provides the same information as the



ABBM and a driver's license number or Social Security number signed and submitted, correct, is there any differential in the information on this voter registration application from the information being submitted on the ABBM?

- A. Yes, there is quite a lot of difference.
- O. What's the difference?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. On ABBM you have your name, address, and date of birth. Here you have got a lot more than that.
- Q. Name, address, date of birth, Texas driver's license number or Social, what else is present here?
- "Are you a U.S. citizen? Will you be 18 or Α. of age on or before election day? I understand that giving false information to procure a voter registration is perjury and a crime under State and Federal law. Conviction of this crime may result in imprisonment up to one year in jail, a fine of 4,000. Please read actual three statements to affirm before I'm a resident of the county, and a U.S. signing. I have not been finally convicted of a felony citizen. or if a felon I have concluded all of my punishment including any term of incarceration, parole, supervision, a period of probation or I have been pardoned, and I have not been determined by a final



judgment of a court exercising probate jurisdiction to be totally mentally incapacitated or partially mentally incapacitated without the right to vote."

- Q. But a voter who has previously registered has already provided all of that information, correct?
 - A. Yes.

Q. So if a voter has to submit this voter registration application and then submit to ABBM, they are providing the same information they provided before plus the driver's license number so that they can provide the same information that they have provided before plus a driver's license number on an ABBM; is that right?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. The difference is they are signing under a statement that says affirming on penalty of perjury the accuracy of the information in this voter registration document.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. If you go back to ABBM here, they are also filing under penalty of criminal law that the information on the APBM is accurate, correct?
- A. I understand giving false information on this application is a crime.



Q. Okay.

2.

A. Then the voter registration application, "I understand that giving false information to procure voter registration is perjury and a crime under State and Federal law. Conviction of this crime may result in imprisonment up to one year in jail, a fine of 4,000 or both. Please read all three statements to affirm before signing. I'm a resident, I've not been finally convicted, I'm not mentally incapacitated."

- Q. More details?
- A. That's different.
- Q. I understand it's different. But it's a crime to submit false on information on an ABBM, correct?
- A. Agreed. It's a crime to put false information on any government document.
- Q. To be clear, when a voter submits -- a registered voter submits a new voter registration application for purpose of adding a driver's license number and a Social Security number, they are submitting the same name, address information, date of birth, and signature on the voter registration application that they do on the ABBM with slightly different language concerning crime, and concerning qualifications, correct?



1 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 2. BY THE WITNESS: 3 I will reject that characterization. Α. No. 4 Slightly different. It's not slightly different. It's 5 much more explicit. BY MR. FREEMAN: 6 7 With additional, more explicit information 0. 8 on the voter registration? 9 You can characterize it in that way. Α. 10 That's the only way I would say. It's a different 11 form, different affirmant of perjury. In order to add the driver's license number 12 Q. 13 or Social Security number information using a Texas 14 voter registration application, a voter is submitting 15 the same name, address, date of birth, and driver's 16 license or Social Security number information that's on the ABBM as well; is that correct? 17 18 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form, asked and answered. BY MR. FREEMAN: 19 20 Q. You may answer. 21 Α. I'm not going to answer that again. 22 Q. You may answer. 23 I'm not going to answer it again. Α. 24 Sir? 0. 25 You are going to tell me what I'm going to Α.



do?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You are under oath and required to answer questions in a deposition, sir.
 - A. I have --
- MS. HUNKER: He's answered this question multiple times in different ways.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I haven't answered it the way you like it, but I have answered it.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. I'm trying to cut out the part of the question that you don't like so that we can get a clean record. So I would appreciate if you would answer my question.
- A. Cutting out something that makes it a different answer is not going to be acceptable to me.

 My answer comes in all of the context.
- Q. The voter registration application asks a voter for last name and first name, correct?
- A. The voter registration application asks for what it asks for. It asks for a lot more than that.
- Q. One of the things that the voter registration application asks for is voter last name and first name, correct?
 - A. You can read the form for yourself. That's



1 what it says. 2. Q. We are in a deposition, sir. 3 Α. So. 4 I ask questions. Q. 5 Α. I hear you. You answer them. 6 Ο. 7 Α. I am answering. 8 That was not the answer to my question. 0. 9 It was. Α. 10 Does the voter registration application ask Q. for a voter's last name and first name? 11 The voter registration application asks for 12 Α. 13 a voter's last name and first name and a lot of other 14 information. 15 0. Thank you. Does the voter registration 16 application ask for a voter's residence address? 17 Α. The voter registration application asks for what it asks for. One of those things is a residence 18 19 address, but there is a lot of other things it asks for 20 as well. 21 Does the voter registration application ask O. 22 for the voter's date of birth? 23 The voter registration application asks for Α. 24 the date of birth along with a lot of other 25 information.



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 90

Q. Does the ABBM ask for the voter's last name, first name, residence address, date of birth, and other information as well?

- A. The voter registration or the voter application for ballot by mail asks for what it asks for. It asks for those things plus some other stuff, yes.
- Q. Do both documents ask for a driver's license or a driver's license number or Social Security number?
- A. Both of them are required to put the ID number on there, one or the other. They are not the same document. They are not the same application. They have different purposes, and they have different statements with regard to perjury.
- Q. Both documents required must be signed under penalty of -- must be signed with certification as to accuracy under penalty of criminal prosecution?
- A. Both of them have different statements about what the penalty is with regard to signing false information.
- Q. But they are both signed under penalty of some criminal prosecution for providing false information; is that correct?
 - A. They are both signed with whatever their



1 statement is on the particular document. 2 Q. But if a voter does not have driver's 3 license information and Social Security number 4 information on their voter registration record, one of 5 the ways they can fix that is by first submitting the voter registration application, and then submitting 6 7 ABBM with the exact same information on it plus a signature under somewhat different language; is that 8 9 correct? 10 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 Definitely not going to agree with that, Α. 13 sir. 14 BY MR. FREEMAN: 15 Q. Why? 16 Because voter registration has a different Α. 17 Number two, it doesn't have to be done purpose. 18 before, it can be done afterward. 19 Then the voter would have to submit a new Ο. 20 ABBM; is that correct? 21 Α. That's not correct. 22 But they would have to do both; is that Q. 23 correct? 24 Objection, form. MS. HUNKER: 25 BY THE WITNESS:



1	A. They have to have a number on the
2	application for ballot by mail that's in their voter
3	registration record.
4	BY MR. FREEMAN:
5	Q. One of the ways they can add that is by
6	submitting a form, a Texas voter registration form,
7	correct?
8	A. That's what we have discussed way early on.
9	Q. Right.
10	MS. HUNKER: Dan, can we take a quick five-minute
11	break?
12	MR. FREEMAN: Sure.
13	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
14	BY MR. FREEMAN:
15	Q. Mr. Ingram, was there any publicly
16	available information in the general election period
17	indicating that a voter could submit a copy of their
18	driver's license or ID card with their ABBM to address
19	the SB 1 ID number requirement?
20	A. Not that I know of. You would have to ask
21	Sam to make for sure, but I don't think that was part
22	of our campaign.
23	Q. Do you know if there was any indication on
24	Texas.gov during this period that the website could be
25	used to update voter registration information other



1	than name and address?
2	A. I don't think so. I mean by website are
3	you talking about Texas.gov?
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. Okay.
6	MR. FREEMAN: We can mark this as Exhibit 16.
7	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
8	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 16,
9	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
10	BY MR. FREEMAN:
11	Q. Mr. Ingram, what's this document?
12	A. This is a notice of rejected application
13	for ballot by mail for missing or incorrect personal ID
14	number.
15	Q. Was this the version of the form that was
16	in use in the November general election?
17	A. I believe so, yes.
18	Q. I apologize. I had intended to provide you
19	with form 6-4, which is a different notice of rejected
20	application for ballot by mail. If we hold Exhibit 17
21	for that, and I will show that to you on this laptop.
22	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
23	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 17,
24	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
25	BY MR. FREEMAN:



O. What's form 6-4?

- A. It's a notice of rejected application of ballot by the mail that says required ID number is not in your record.
- Q. So that's a different notice of rejection application for ballot by mail from form 6-3. Is it specific for folks who don't have anything on their TEAM record; is that right?
- A. Well, whatever they put on their application for ballot by mail is not in their record.
- Q. Okay. So it's not just folks who have nothing, it's also for folks who put something and it didn't match; is that right?
- A. It didn't -- if it didn't match because it's incorrect, they get the other form. If it didn't match because there is nothing there, they get this form.
- Q. Okay. Great. Was this form in use in November 2022?
 - A. It was.
- Q. Does it state there are only two ways to add the required numbers?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you have any intention to update this form to tell voters they can mail in a copy -- strike



1	that.
2	Did you ever discuss with your colleagues
3	updating this form to inform voters that they can mail
4	in a copy of their Texas driver's license with their
5	ABBM to update?
6	A. No. We got that question as a county who
7	received a copy of DL and what do we do with this, so
8	we answered that question. It was never going to be us
9	telling a voter that they have to mail in a copy of
10	their DL.
11	Q. The form only directs voters to go to
12	Texas.gov generally, not to a specific page on that
13	website; is that correct?
14	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
15	BY THE WITNESS:
16	A. It says you can update your voter
17	registration record at Texas.gov.
18	BY MR. FREEMAN:
19	Q. Not like
20	Texas.gov/updateyourvoterregistrationrecord?
21	A. Well, during the election season, Texas had
22	change your name and address on your voter registration
23	on front and center on the front page on Texas.gov.
24	MR. FREEMAN: If we could mark this as Exhibit



25

18.

1	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
2	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 18,
3	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
4	BY MR. FREEMAN:
5	Q. Before we do will to your knowledge,
6	will Texas.gov continue to have the update your name
7	and address information on the home page during every
8	election season moving forward?
9	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
10	BY THE WITNESS:
11	A. So we coordinate with DIR, Department of
12	Information Resources, they are the ones who run the
13	Texas.gov page, and we coordinate with them about when
14	we would like that message to be prominent.
15	BY MR. FREEMAN:
16	Q. What's document Exhibit 18?
17	A. Well, it's a page that's on Texas.gov.
18	Q. Is this the page on which voters can update
19	their name and address?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Is this do you know if this is a current
22	page that's in use?
23	A. I don't know. I mean if it is there is
24	different information one more page in.
25	Q. Well, I think I have that page as well.



1	But on this page is there any indication that this
2	website can be used to update Texas driver's license
3	number or Social Security number information on a voter
4	registration record?
5	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	A. There is not any indication of that on this
8	page, no.
9	MR. FREEMAN: Mark this as Exhibit No. 19.
10	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
11	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 19,
12	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
13	BY MR. FREEMAN:
14	Q. What's Exhibit 19?
15	A. This is the identity management page for
16	the Texas.gov.
17	Q. Is this the other page that you were
18	thinking of?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Do you know if this is the current page
21	that's in use on Texas.gov?
22	A. For identity management, yes.
23	Q. This is the page that's used to update a
24	voter's name and address, correct?
25	A. This is for voter registration changes



through Texas.gov.

- Q. Is this the page that voters would use to update their Texas driver's license number or Social Security number on their voter file?
- A. If they wanted to add to voter file this was the one that replaces zero, no value with a number.
- Q. Is there any indication on this website that this is the page that can be used to update Texas driver's license number or Social Security number on voter registration record?
- A. No. But if you fill this out, the next page in says, "If your purpose is to update your voter record with your numbers you have done it so log out. You are finished."
- Q. Okay. In some are there any instructions prior --
 - A. Act of logging in supplies no values.
- Q. Understood. In some are there any instructions on Texas.gov prior to logging in that this site may be used to add a Texas driver's license number or Social Security number to voter registration records?
 - A. I don't think so.
- Q. We previously discussed a number of ways voters can add or correct identification numbers on a



ballot envelope or FCPA signature sheet. Is there any change in those procedures since May of 2022?

A. There is not.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

- Q. So I'm clear, a voter can correct on the envelope or signature sheet and send it back, they can hand return the envelope or they can cancel the mail ballot and vote in person; is that right?
 - A. Those are some of the options.
 - O. What are the other options?
- A. They can correct the ballot tracker and add numbers to Texas.gov.
 - Q. But if a mail ballot has been sent back to them, they have to physically return the ballot; is that right?
 - A. They have to physically return a ballot, yes.
 - Q. They can only correct on the ballot tracker if the early voting ballot board has retained the ballot; is that right?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

21 | BY THE WITNESS:

A. Well, if they've got the ballot they've got to get it back, but they can also correct the information on the ballot tracker. Those are not mutually exclusive.



BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. The ballot tracker is only accessible to voters who have both a Texas driver's license number and a Social Security number on their TEAM file, correct?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. As of right now?
- A. That's correct. Which is over 96 percent of voters.
- Q. Mr. Ingram, do you recall when we met back in April of 2022 that we discussed whether a single voter could be issued more than one DPS number?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall whether you knew at the time whether DPS had in fact issued multiple numbers to particular individuals over the course of their lifetime?
- A. If I didn't say that's a DPS question I am saying it now, it's a DPS question.
- Q. Do you know whether DPS has done that in the past?
 - A. To my knowledge, you get one number.
- Q. I have some document I'm hoping can clear this up. We can mark this as Exhibit 19.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was



1 marked Deposition Exhibit No. 20, 2 for identification, as of 3/28/23.) This is 20. 3 MR. FREEMAN: BY MR. FREEMAN: 4 What's this document? 5 Ο. Α. It appears to be an email exchange with 6 7 Mr. or Mrs. Mickey Marvins and our office. If you go to the original email on page 3 8 0. 9 what's the problem that Ms. Marvins describes. 10 That she got an ID to replace her driver's 11 license, and the ID number wasn't in her voter record. 12 As a result, her friend who had this Q. 13 experience had a problem getting an absentee ballot because the number on her DPS identification was 14 15 different when she went from a driver's license to an 16 ID card; is that right? 17 Agree with that. Just in case you are Α. 18 wondering, that's not inconsistent with what I said. 19 You get one number. You surrender your DL and you get 20 the ID number. I don't want you under the impression 21 you got two numbers. You got one number. It just 22 changed. 23 Okay. Bit of a clarification. Ο. 24 Α. I want to make sure we are clear. 25 Over the course of a lifetime, is what I Q.



asked before, a voter can be issued more than one ID number by DPS; is that correct?

- A. If you change from one form of ID to another then yes.
- Q. When did you first become aware of that fact?
- A. I have always known that. I don't know -it's not a strange or unusual piece of information. I
 went to Arkansas, I had to surrender my driver's
 license. I came back, I had to surrender my Arkansas
 license.
- Q. Am I correct that SB 1 permits voters to submit a driver's license number that is expired, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Even if I have surrendered my driver's license number, if that's the number -- if I have surrendered my driver's license and gotten an ID, if my driver's license is still on file with TEAM, I can vote using the number on my driver's license; is that right?
- A. You can for up to four years for a person under 70. Then for a person over 70 it can be expired for however long you need it.
- Q. Are you sure that's the rule for SB 1 and not for the voter ID?



A. It's the same. It incorporates 63101 into mail ballots.

- Q. In any case, has your office taken any actions to address issues created by voters who have and hold DPS ID number on file and who have received a new DPS ID number on a new form of ID?
- A. That's voter responsibility to update their information in TEAM. And they can do that very conveniently, they are at DPS, say, "Use this information to update my voter record." They just have to check yes on a box.

MR. FREEMAN: Off the record for a moment.

(WHEREUPON, a discussion was had off the record.)

BY MR. FREEMAN:

2.

- Q. Has your office done anything to address the issue of voters who submit the number of old identification that's no longer the number on TEAM, but remains valid for SB 1 purposes?
 - A. I don't know what that question means.
- Q. Sure. Let's say a voter had a driver's license, surrenders it, gets an ID card and does update TEAM with the ID card number -- thumbs up from the witness -- but then they submit their old driver's license number because they are concerned or



misunderstand and think that's what they have to submit. Has your office done anything to address that specific scenario?

- A. You would have to talk to Sam about our education campaign. But, you know, what we tell voters if they call our office is that they need to use whatever is currently in their voter registration, and that's why we encourage them to use both numbers so that if one of them hits they are good.
- Q. Is a voter able, to your knowledge, to call their local clerk or election administrator and ask specifically what number is on their registration record?
 - A. Of course.
- Q. So I could call and say what's the driver's license on my registration record and then fill that in on an ABBM?
 - A. Sure.
- 19 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

- A. It would go through some questions to validate that it's you and not some vote harvester trying to steal your vote, but yes.
- 24 BY MR. FREEMAN:
 - Q. What questions would they use?



1	A. I don't know. Whatever the county uses
2	whenever they validate someone's identity on the phone.
3	Q. Any information that isn't also on the
4	ABBM?
5	A. Well, it's information that would be in
6	their voter record.
7	Q. But it's information that was on the
8	application prior to SB 1, right, name, date of birth,
9	address, things like that, correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. In theory if a voter strike that.
12	So if an individual wanted to cast an ABBM
13	in someone else's name, the only security addition
14	created by SB 1 is the driver's license number or a
15	Social Security number, correct?
16	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
17	BY THE WITNESS:
18	A. Well, I mean signature still counts.
19	BY MR. FREEMAN:
20	Q. Sure. That was pre signature counted
21	pre-SB 1, right?
22	A. Agreed.
23	Q. In fact, it's easier to meet the signature
24	requirement after SB 1?
25	A. Agreed.



1	Q. All the voter needs to do to get that
2	driver's license number is to call the clerk with
3	pre-SB 1 information and ask for which driver's license
4	number is on file, no?
5	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	A. No.
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:
9	Q. Why not?
10	A. Because they can call and ask, "What do I
11	have on file?" They will say DL or SSN or both.
12	Q. What if they say what number is on file?
13	A. If the voter then I would imagine, I
14	don't know because I'm not a county, but if I was a
15	county voter registrar I would say, "What driver's
16	license number what's your driver's license number?"
17	They would look and say, "Yup that's what you got."
18	Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
19	How many DPS ID numbers can be associated
20	with a voter's TEAM record?
21	A. One.
22	Q. Has there been any discussion, to your
23	knowledge, of adding a field to TEAM so that additional
24	driver's license numbers could be listed?
25	A. That's something that we have recently



discussed to think about the next iteration of TEAM, and whether or not they want to have another field for an ID number. That decision has not been made yet.

- Q. What's the stage of the procurement process for the next iteration of TEAM at this point?
- A. We are going through the drafting of the RFP, RFO, whatever we are calling it.
 - Q. Do you know when that will be complete?
- A. Soon. If I had my way it would have been two weeks ago.
- Q. To be clear, if a voter has been issued multiple DPS numbers and provides a DPS ID number different from the one listed in TEAM on an ABBM and does not also provide a Social Security number, that ABBM will be rejected, correct?
- A. If they don't provide a number that's in their voter registration record they will be rejected, yes, at least temporarily.
 - Q. Same thing on mail ballot?
 - A. Same thing on mail ballot.
- Q. Would you agree a duly registered voter whose ballot was rejected under these circumstances was not at fault?
- MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 25 | BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



1	A. I'm not going to get into assignment of
2	fault.
3	BY MR. FREEMAN:
4	Q. Do you know if the fact that DPS has issued
5	multiple ID numbers to the same individuals over their
6	lifetimes has led to the rejection of mail ballot
7	materials under SB 1?
8	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
9	BY THE WITNESS:
10	A. It's my understanding that's happened at
11	least in Bexar County because I have a member of the
12	ballot board who has been coming up here for the
13	election meetings because of it.
14	BY MR. FREEMAN:
15	Q. Have you conducted any further inquiry into
16	the extent to which such voters have had their mail
17	ballot materials rejected?
18	A. Just what she says.
19	Q. Is there anything else that could have been
20	done for voters who have multiple DPS ID numbers to
21	ensure their ballots are counted?
22	A. I don't know how to answer that question.
23	Q. I don't run an elections office. I'm
24	asking if you know of anything else that could have



been done by your office to help those voters?

A. I don't know how to answer that question.

- Q. Okay. Is there anything else that the voter could have done if they have an old number on TEAM and submit number on their new ID card or vice versa?
- A. Well, the voter has the responsibility to make sure their information in the voter registration record is correct and accurate and updated. The voter bears that responsibility.
- Q. Do you know how many registered voters in Texas have been issued multiple numbers in their lifetimes?
 - A. I do not.

- Q. Do you know how many ABBM or mail ballots have been rejected on account of the voters submitting a correct DPS ID number that was not listed on TEAM?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. Have there been any actions taken by your office other than in-filling driver's license numbers as part of the HB2515 process to address the absence of driver's license numbers or up-to-date driver's license numbers on voter registration records?
- A. We have made sure we have got a pipeline from Texas.gov so that we can capture that log-in information whenever someone logs in to fill in the



1	values for us.
2	Q. Anything else?
3	A. That's not an unsubstantial thing.
4	Q. Understood. Is there anything else so I
5	have your full testimony?
6	A. I mean we have told the voters they need to
7	use both. We told voters the way they can add numbers
8	if they want to add numbers.
9	Q. Anything else?
10	A. That's it, I think.
11	MS. HUNKER: I know we took a short break before,
12	but sort of a good place to take five if that's all
13	right?
14	MS. HUNKER: Yes.
15	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
16	MR. FREEMAN: Back on the record.
17	BY MR. FREEMAN:
18	Q. Mr. Ingram, to the extent you know, what
19	was the final mail ballot rejection rate in the 2022
20	primarily?
21	A. You know, it's obviously two different
22	primaries, and there were different democrats were
23	higher than the republicans, but I believe the
24	composite rate was under 13 percent, under 12.8, 12.7,
25	something like that.



1 Do you know what the rate in the democratic Ο. 2. primary was? 3 I think it was a little over 13 maybe. Α. No. It's not a quiz. It's all right. 4 Q. 5 We can look it up. Α. So the republican rate was a little bit 6 0. 7 lower than that? It was a little under 12. 8 Α. 9 In the primary runoff, do you know what the O. 10 aggregate rate was? 11 Α. Right at 12 percent. 12 The democratic runoff, do you know what the Q. 13 rate was then? 14 Α. I don't. 15 0. Was the democratic rate higher than the 16 republican rate in the primary runoff as well? 17 Α. I think in the runoff it went the other 18 I just have to go look and make sure, but it was 19 close. 20 Then we already discussed, but just so we 0. have it here, what was the final rejection rate in the 21 22 2022 general election? 23 2.7 percent. Α. 24 Based on your knowledge and experience, how Ο. 25 do these figures compare, 2.7 for 13 or so to the rate



of mail ballot impersonation in Texas elections before passage of SB 1?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. I have no idea what the rate of mail ballot impersonation is or ever has been.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. After serving for over a decade as the director of elections for the State of Texas, do you know whether or not 2.7 percent of mail ballots cast in elections pre-SB 1 were actually cast by individuals other than the registered voter on whose behalf the ballots were cast?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. The rejection rate of 1 to 3 percent is historically what it's always been. So we are back in the zone. One of the reasons for rejection is that the mail ballot was not signed by the voter. It was signed by somebody other than the voter. The voter was not the one who signed the carrier and the application.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. So that wasn't the answer to my question.

My question was, to your knowledge, were 2.7 percent or

more of mail ballots cast in any statewide election in



1 Texas fraudulent because they were cast by someone 2 else? Objection, form. 3 MS. HUNKER: 4 BY THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know how many were 5 fraudulent because they were cast by somebody else. 6 Ι 7 know ballot boards reject mail ballots because the voter was not the one who signed them. 8 9 BY MR. FREEMAN: 10 Do you have any basis to believe based on 11 your knowledge and experience that over 2 percent of 12 mail ballots in any statewide election were cast by 13 someone other than the voter in whose name the ballot 14 was cast?

A. Again, I don't know the answer to that question. The answer I have got is rejection, and rejection because they're not the same person is the most common rejection reason.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Because they are not the same person or because they didn't sign?
- A. Because they are not the voter. The signatures don't match.
- Q. When a signature doesn't match -- strike that.

If an election administrator or ballot



board has reason to believe that voter fraud has occurred, are they required to refer that for criminal prosecution?

- Α. They are not required to. They are required to report mail ballots that are rejected for signature mismatch to the Attorney General's Office.
- Do you know how many of those signature Q. mismatches have led to successful prosecutions for mail ballot impersonation?
- I do not. That's a question for the Attorney General's Office.
- Do you personally in your work as an Q. election administrator statewide presume all signature mismatches are incidents of mail ballot impersonation?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Signature mismatch is what we colloquially Α. But the standard is, were the application and carrier envelope signed by the voter? That's the If they are rejected because the signatures standard. don't match, they are rejected really because they are not made by the voter or the ballot board can't determine that they were made by the voter.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

But can't determine is very different from Q.



2.

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 115

an affirmative determination of voter impersonation, correct?

- A. I don't know that they are that different. I mean if there is doubt then there is doubt.
- Q. So you said that rejection at 2.7 percent was back in the zone. Is there any statewide federal election that has had rejection over 2 1/2 percent other than the 2022 election in your time as election administrator?
- A. I don't know. You would have to go back and look at the data on the AC's website. I don't know.
- Q. But when you say 1 to 3 percent is back in the zone, when were those 3 percent elections?
- A. That's just the general idea that I have in my head of mail ballot rejection rates.
- Q. Okay. But how did you develop that general idea in your head?
- A. Over time. It's obviously higher in primaries because there is more reasons to fail in primaries.
 - Q. What are those reasons just so we know?
- A. The extra reasons are that you don't on your application submit a party reference. You are not going to get a primary ballot if you don't submit a



party preference because they don't know which one to send you. Obviously people get applications sent to them by campaigns and political parties, and they will often keep sending in every application they get even if it's change in their primary preference from one to the other. So a lot of them come in late because they didn't get a ballot sent to them on time because they kept changing what they wanted.

- Q. What would you consider in the zone for a primary election?
- A. I don't have a sense for that. I know it's more because there is many more issues that can come up.
- Q. Okay. Can we all agree the decline in mail ballot rejection rates from the primary to the primary runoff to general is a good thing?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. There are many factors that contributed to that decline?
 - A. Agreed.

2.

21 MR. FREEMAN: If we could mark this as Exhibit 22 21.

(WHEREUPON, a certain document was marked Deposition Exhibit No. 21, for identification, as of 3/28/23.)



1	BY MR. FREEMAN:							
2	Q. What's this document?							
3	A. It appears to be an NPR printout of a							
4	story.							
5	Q. It's a story about ballot rejections in							
6	Texas?							
7	A. That's what it looks like, yes, sir.							
8	Q. I ask you to start by turning to page 3.							
9	For the record he's been mentioned a few times, but who							
10	is Sam Taylor?							
11	A. Sam Taylor is Assistant Secretary of State							
12	for Communications.							
13	Q. Did you work with Mr. Taylor to develop any							
14	talking points or answers regarding mail ballot							
15	rejection rates in the 2022 general?							
16	A. It's not exactly how it works. Sam usually							
17	asks our side of the street for information, then he							
18	crafts his own talking points.							
19	Q. Did you contribute information that he used							
20	to craft talking points regarding mail ballot rejection							
21	rates in the 2022 general?							
22	A. So the numbers are the numbers. I mean so							
23	the numbers were most important in determining what							
24	talking point he was going to have.							
25	Q. Did you contribute any other information?							



A. You know, we are on the county advisory
call every other week, and Sam usually is on those
calls as well. So he hears the information and
feedback we get at the same time we get it, and we
collaborate based on that information.
O. Would you agree that in this article Sa

- Q. Would you agree that in this article Sam

 Taylor attributes the decrease in mail ballot rejection rates to several different items?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. So starting on page 3, Mr. Taylor contributes the decrease in mail ballot rejections in part to updates to the return ballot in some counties; is that right?
- A. Agreed to the carrier envelope specifically, but.
- Q. Do you agree with what Mr. Taylor said there?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was this simply the addition of a red box on the carrier envelope?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Any other updates to the return ballot?
 - A. To the carrier envelope, I don't think so.
- Q. That applied in all 254 counties; is that right?



A. No. I mean -- so we updated the carrier envelope with the red box, but a lot of counties had stock from the primary, and so they are still using their own stock. They didn't have to purchase new carrier envelopes because we put a red box. Not all counties used the red box one.

- Q. Do you know how many counties continue to use the old version of the envelope?
 - A. I have no idea.

- Q. Did counties have to use the same envelope for all voters in a given election or could they use a mix of the old and new stock over the course of the election?
- A. They could use a mix if they needed to, sure.
- Q. Okay. Do you expect any further gains in reducing mail ballot rejection due to updates on the carrier envelope?
- A. I don't know. Depends on what laws do or do not change in this legislative session.
- Q. Absent further legislative change, do you expect further gains in reducing mail ballot rejection due to updates to the carrier envelope?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Does Mr. Taylor attribute the decrease to



additional voter information included in mail ballots 1 2 by local officials? 3 I agree with that. Α. Do you agree with Mr. Taylor's position 4 Q. 5 there? I do. 6 Α. 7 We already discussed this to some extent. Ο. But is this referring to the inserts that we discussed 8 9 previously? 10 Α. That's correct. 11 Ο. Anything besides the inserts that we 12 discussed previously? 13 Α. Well, whatever other information counties 14 might have put in. We don't have any ability to 15 control what goes into the mail ballot instructions. 16 So the counties can add to that if they want to. 17 Do you know right now if any additional Q. 18 counties or other local officials intend to include 19 additional voter information in mail ballot 20 envelopes --21 Α. I would expect --22 -- in future elections who didn't in the Q. 23 November general? 24 I would expect counties that haven't added Α. 25 an insert probably will as the information propagates



1	amongst themselves.									
2	Q. Do you know if there were any large									
3	counties who didn't include an insert previously?									
4	A. I think you have asked me that question. I									
5	don't know what they did or didn't include.									
6	Q. Do you expect substantial further									
7	reductions in mail ballot rejections due to inclusion									
8	of additional voter registration in mail ballot									
9	envelopes?									
10	A. In the counties that haven't added an									
11	insert, if they do add an insert, yes, it will help, I									
12	think.									
13	Q. But you don't know which counties those									
14	are?									
15	A. I do not.									
16	Q. Could it be that all counties did include									
17	inserts previously?									
18	A. It could be, but it's very doubtful.									
19	Q. Did Mr. Taylor also attribute the decrease									
20	in mail ballot rejections to the voter education									
21	campaign?									
22	A. He did.									
23	Q. Do you agree with that point?									
24	A. I do.									
25	Q. Do you know how much of the voter education									



campaigns were earned media versus paid media?

- A. I don't. That's a Sam question.
- Q. Again, my colleague is going to be speaking with Mr. Taylor about some of these issues in greater detail. But, to your knowledge, what were the effective forms of voter education conducted by the Office of the Secretary of State to bring down ballot rejection rates in the general election period?
 - MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

10 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes. Effectiveness of the campaign is not my area.
- 13 BY MR. FREEMAN:
- Q. Okay. So you don't have any knowledge about effectiveness in general?
 - A. I don't.
 - Q. Do you know if the Office of the Secretary of State intends to conduct different forms of voter education concerning SB 1 requirements in the future?
 - A. You know, we will do another request for proposal for ideas from vendors about the voter education campaign for '24 and we will see what they come up with.
 - Q. Do you know if your office intends to conduct a greater quantity of voter education



1	concerning SB 1 mail ballot requirements in the future?								
2	A. Depends upon whether or not we get more								
3	money. You know, the initial drafts of the budget								
4	include more money for that purpose. So yes, we would								
5	be spending more.								
6	Q. Did the legislator provide less for their								
7	education funding in 2022 than they did in 2020?								
8	A. Yes.								
9	Q. So would the budget that you are seeing								
10	just be a restoration to presidential cycle levels?								
11	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.								
12	BY THE WITNESS:								
13	A. That's what we ask for in LAR. I think								
14	they are going beyond that. We will see.								
15	BY MR. FREEMAN:								
16	Q. How much media attention did ABBM and								
17	ballot rejection in the March 2022 primary receive?								
18	A. How much?								
19	Q. Uh-huh.								
20	A. I don't know. I don't know. I have no way								
21	of quantifying that.								
22	Q. Was there a lot?								
23	A. There was there were quite a few								
24	stories, yes.								



By comparison, how much media attention did

25

Q.

1	ballot rejections and ABBM rejections in November
2	receive?
3	A. Not as much.
4	Q. So would you agree that that's a decrease
5	in sort of free media educating voters?
6	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
7	BY THE WITNESS:
8	A. No.
9	BY MR. FREEMAN:
10	Q. Why not?
11	A. Because the free media that educate voters
12	is usually done locally at the county level with the
13	county election administrator having a news story
14	before early voting or during the ballot by mail time
15	period. Whether that increased or decreased I have no
16	idea. That has nothing to do with reporting on
17	rejection rates in November of 2022.
18	Q. You don't think that voters became aware of
19	these requirements based on stories about the problems?
20	A. I don't know how they became aware of it,
21	but those public interest stories that occur when mail
22	balloting begins are the way that I think a lot of
23	voters learn about it. We will see.
24	MR. FREEMAN: If we can mark this as Exhibit 22.



(WHEREUPON, a certain document was

1 marked Deposition Exhibit No. 22, 2. for identification, as of 3/28/23.) 3 BY MR. FREEMAN: 4 What's this document? Q. 5 It's a mass email. Α. 6 Q. Sent by? 7 Α. Me. 8 To? 0. 9 County election officials. Α. 10 What are you asking them to do in this Q. email? 11 12 Α. Consider trying to place an opinion piece 13 in their local newspapers. 14 Q. Is this something you have done previously? 15 Α. No. 16 Why did you do it --Q. 17 I take it back. We have definitely offered Α. 18 templates for opinion pieces that they could use in 19 local newspapers before. 20 Had you asked them --Q. 21 Α. What's new is taking an example from a 22 particular county and saying, "Here's one. Use this." 23 We have -- generally when we've done templates in the 24 past and offered them to counties, we have just drafted 25 a template ourselves.



Q. Okay. How often in the past did you provide templates for op-eds?

- A. I don't know. Several times. It's -- what we have done them for is the ID requirements. The ID requirements when they changed for photo ID, and they changed again in 2017 --
 - Q. So --

2.

- A. -- or 2016.
- Q. -- for SB 14 the voter ID law, the change to voter ID law, and SB 1, those are the three times you can recall?
- A. That we have tried to use this form of communication to get the counties to propagate something, yes. I mean we offer templates to the counties for everything every year, every election year, but the ones that we specifically drafted, you know, for part of voter education were usually ID related.
- Q. Do you know whether any other election administrators or officials were able to place op-eds about these requirements after this email went out?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. So you can't -- can you identify any other counties that did manage to place op-eds?
 - A. I don't know.



1	Q. Do you intend to submit templates or
2	examples to county officials about SB 1 ID requirements
3	again in the future?
4	A. Sure.
5	Q. Do you expect newspapers to be receptive to
6	op-eds when the requirements are no longer new?
7	A. It's not just the op-eds. It's also
8	talking to them about how to approach their local news
9	media and getting a story placed. It's also handouts
10	and colorful material they can use to give to the
11	voters. So it's a full fledged campaign that Sam would
12	know more about than I do.
13	Q. Do you expect newspapers to be as receptive
14	to similar op-eds in the future when the requirements
15	are no longer new?
16	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
17	BY THE WITNESS:
18	A. Sure.
19	BY MR. FREEMAN:
20	Q. Why is that?
21	A. Because they are always hungry for content.
22	Local news needs content. This is good content for
23	them. They like it.
24	Q. Do you expect substantial further
25	reductions in mail ballot rejections due to voter



education?

2.

- A. I don't know. I mean I think as voters talk amongst themselves it's going to get better, yes. I don't know if it's substantial. You say substantial reductions. I don't know about that.
- Q. Does your office have plans to reach different voters from those reached previously by voter education efforts on SB 1?
- 9 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. That again is going to be part of the request for proposal. Depends on how much money the legislature gives us.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Do you have any knowledge of targeted plans to reach voters who didn't understand prior voter registration efforts?
- A. No. I mean part of every education campaign is the feedback loop. And, you know, determination of what can be done better next time. But again, that's all done by the communications team and the vendor.
- Q. Going back to Exhibit 21. Did Mr. Taylor attribute the decrease in voters -- strike that.
 - Did Mr. Taylor attribute the decrease in



1	mail ballot rejection rates to voters getting used to
2	SB 1 requirements?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you agree
5	A. He said that, you know, one of the things
6	we have always expected was that the voters would get
7	used to it.
8	Q. Do you agree?
9	A. Absolutely.
10	Q. If a voter had their ballot rejected, they
11	failed to cure, correct?
12	A. If it's finally rejected, yes.
13	Q. So the cure process affords a voter an
14	additional opportunity code to comply with SB 1
15	requirements during a single election; is that right?
16	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
17	BY THE WITNESS:
18	A. If that was the reason for the initial
19	rejection or notice of defect.
20	BY MR. FREEMAN:
21	Q. Would you agree then that even when
22	presented with multiple opportunities to comply with SB
23	1, each final rejection represents a voter who failed
24	to learn and comply even with multiple opportunities?



Objection, form.

MS. HUNKER:

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. Sometimes the clock runs out on folks.

But, you know, I don't know what you are trying to get at. But you are assuming that the rejections were all because of SB 1. That's not a safe assumption. There are lots of reasons why mail ballots get rejected. If you want to know the list, you should go to a county and ask them.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

- Q. Let's just bracket to SB 1 rejections. And say with respect to SB 1 rejections, because of the cure opportunity, everyone has multiple chances to get down their voter information, right, their ID numbers?
- A. I don't necessarily agree with that because again the clock runs out.
- Q. But prior to the clock running out, there is supposed to be an opportunity to cure, correct?
- A. If they got notice before the clock ran out.
- Q. Some voters get notice after the clock runs out?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. With respect to the voters who did get notice before the clock ran out, many of them had a second opportunity, and nonetheless failed to get the



1	information required by SB 1 on to their ballot,
2	correct?
3	A. Maybe. Maybe they decided not to. Maybe
4	they deeded to cancel their mail ballot and vote in
5	person. You know, I don't know what happened with
6	those.
7	Q. In light of the cure opportunity, why do
8	you expect that yet more exposure to the SB 1
9	requirements would continue to drive down rejection
10	rates?
11	A. Because as people learn about something and
12	talk about it amongst themselves they get better at it.
13	It's just a fact.
14	Q. In each new election cycle, do a new batch
15	of voters turn 65?
16	A. We have talked about that before.
17	Q. We have. They won't have to get used to SB
18	1 mail ballot requirements?
19	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	A. No. But they would have heard about it
22	from their peers.
23	BY MR. FREEMAN:
24	Q. Have additional trainings of state and
25	local election officials helped to reduce ballot



1 rejection rates between the March primary and November 2 general? 3 Yes. Α. 4 What's the county election seminar? Q. That's a seminar that we do every year for 5 Α. county election officials to teach them about election 6 7 law requirements and how to implement them in their 8 county. 9 When was the county election seminar in Q. 2021? 10 11 Α. I don't remember. 12 Q. Was it before --13 July or August. Α. 14 O. So before SB 1 was passed? 15 Α. In '21, yes. 16 When was the seminar in '22? Ο. 17 July or August. Α. 18 After the March primary, before the Ο. 19 November general, correct? 20 Agree with that. Α. 21 So based on your experience as director of 0. 22 elections, during the 2022 election cycle do you do 23 most -- sorry. Did most county election officials 24 understand the SB 1 acceptance and cure process during 25 the November 2022 general?



A. I don't know. That's a hard question. I don't know what they hear. I only know what we say. We had an election official email us a question in 2017, 2017, four years after photo ID requirements were in place, asking if photo ID was required for voting.

- Q. That was after there had been --
- A. No.

- Q. -- a decision by the Federal court saying that the original law violated the Voting Rights Act, right?
- A. She was asking if photo ID was required at all. She didn't have any clue. She had been in office the whole four years. She had been to our seminars, read our materials, I presume. I don't know. But I'm saying I don't have any control at all over what the counties hear and how they interpret it.
- Q. Are you aware of any ballot rejection in the November general that resulted from misapplication of SB 1 by local officials?
 - A. Any what?
- Q. Ballot rejections, mail ballot rejections in November that resulted -- that were the result of misapplication of SB 1 by local officials?
- A. I think we have talked about the misapplication of the signature requirement after the



introduction of rebuttal presumption.

Q. I mean the rejection of mail ballots based on the driver's license or Social Security number requirements?

- A. I don't know how you can separate the two. The driver's license and Social Security number being in the voter's record is supposed to create a rebuttable presumption that the signatures are of the voter. So that signature comparison requirement, that the degree of scrutiny that's applied to the signatures part and parcel of SB 1 requirements. If the signatures were overanalyzed even though the number already matched the number in their system, then that results in a rejection because of a mistake by the ballot board.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of any rejections of ballots for failure to put a driver's license number, Social Security number on the carrier envelope that matches TEAM where the rejection was a result of misapplication of SB 1 by local officials?
- A. So a voter puts a number on the envelope, that number is in their TEAM record, and they still rejected it --
 - Q. Any rejection --
 - A. -- because of that?



1	Q. Are you aware of any rejection of a mail
2	ballot based on the number requirements because of some
3	kind of error by a local official?
4	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	A. I have already told you what I know about
7	rebuttable presumption not being evenly applied.
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:
9	Q. Separate from the rebuttable presumption,
10	just the number requirements?
11	A. I don't even know how that would be. I
12	don't know what you are talking about.
13	Q. Okay. Let's try and ask in a way that
14	works for you then.
15	Just a yes/no requirement, you have to have
16	a number that matches TEAM, driver's license number,
17	Social Security number, that requirement standing
18	alone, that sort of bar to acceptance, are you aware of
19	any rejection based on that bar to acceptance the
20	ballot where the rejection was a result of a
21	misapplication of SB 1 by local officials?
22	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
23	BY THE WITNESS:
24	A. I don't even know how that would be. I
25	don't know what you are talking about.



BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Are you aware of any instances where the official rejected a mail ballot where a voter had put down their SSN, and had a driver's license number, and SSN on TEAM and they applied the hierarchy, for example, and shouldn't have, would that be an error by a local official in applying SB 1 to the numbers?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I'm not aware of anything like that happening. What we've told them is if the number that the voter puts is in the record, they are supposed to accept it. I'm not aware of any county rejecting it with a number in the record. That would be crazy.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Good. Are you aware of any other similar types of errors by local officials where they rejected a ballot and they shouldn't have in relation to the numbers themselves?

A. No.

MS. HUNKER:

Q. Okay. Would you agree then you don't expect any substantial further rejection or further reductions in mail ballot rejections based on the numbers alone from training of local officials --



Objection, form.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

2.

- Q. -- not based on signature?
- A. No, I wouldn't agree with that at all.

 Because we also train ballot boards now. We do

 webinars for the ballot boards themselves. Ballot

 boards themselves are the ones who make the call

 whether to accept or reject. Now I'm not aware of a

 ballot board rejecting a carrier envelope because

 somebody had a number and they should have used the

 other number. That's crazy talk. But there are a lot

 of ballot boards who either didn't give the signatures

 any weight at all or gave them too much weight and then

 rejected even though the number was in the system. So

 that's the part that we are going to work on educating

 and correcting.
- Q. But nothing related to the numbers standing alone and not in relation to signatures?
- A. Again, I don't know what that means. I don't know how you would do that.
- Q. Okay. Are there any other reasons why mail ballot rejection rates decreased from the March primary to the November general that we haven't talked about?
- A. You know, I just think -- I mean Sam calls it getting used to, but it's a process of permeating the side geist. You know what I mean? It's something



2.

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 138

that filters into people's consciousness over time, that that is something that I don't know if we just call it getting used to it. But whatever it is it gets better over time, the requirements.

- Q. Mr. Ingram, do you know what share of voters cast ballots by mail in November of 2022?
- A. I did think of another reason they get better over time. It's because our data gets better over time. You know, the more voters that update their information with one of the numbers, the better we are going to have. If they make the ballot tracker easier to access, that's an easier way to correct the defect.
- Q. Do you know how many voters added driver's license numbers or Social Security numbers to their voter registration file using Texas.gov during the general election period?
- A. I don't know. I know we had over 40,000 people update their registration using Texas.gov. I don't know how many of those were numbers only.
 - Q. A lot could have been address changes?
- A. A lot could have been address changes and a lot of them could have been numbers. I don't know how many of each there are.
- Q. You are not getting new registrants who don't provide either a driver's license number or



1	Social Security number anymore into the system; is that
2	right?
3	A. Well
4	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	A they've got the opportunity to have
7	registration without either one of the numbers.
8	BY MR. FREEMAN:
9	Q. Other than the very small fraction of
10	people who check that box, you are not getting
11	everyone is providing either a driver's license number
12	or a Social Security number on their voter registration
13	applications now, correct?
14	A. There is a box for them, but there is also
15	a box for "I don't have either one of those."
16	Q. Do you know how many folks that you
17	register with the box that says, "I don't have a
18	driver's license number or Social"?
19	A. I don't. I'd agree with you it would be a
20	small number.
21	Q. Prior to Helping America Vote Act, you
22	could register without a driver's license number or
23	Social?
24	A. I don't know if you could in Texas. I know
25	there was a period of time a long time ago. But before



the Help America Vote Act you had to have one of those.

I think we required the full nine, not the last four.

Help America Vote Act made us reduce it to the last four only.

- Q. Okay. But you are not getting a large influx of additional voters now with no SSN, no DL?
 - A. Agree with that.

2.

- Q. And so attempts to add information are not going to make further substantial changes in the future because you have that for most of them already, right?
- A. Well, I mean that's the whole issue, right? We have got less than half a percent who have neither one of those numbers in the record, right. Only if that half of a percent tries to vote by mail and then adds the number, will we get it, but that does happen, and we will work on that last 93,800 folks to get them a number.
- Q. What are you doing affirmatively to work to get them a number?
- A. Well, what I talked about. We have changed Texas.gov where we get no value supplied to us if anybody logs on to us. We are telling voters if they have their application rejected for lack of a number in the system that's how you add the numbers to your record. I mean I don't know how else you would do it.



2.

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 141

The voter has to take responsibility to do that themselves. They have a mechanism for doing it electronically or they can fill out a paper application. But over time as they try to vote by mail, that number is going to decrease. It will not get bigger.

- Q. Did you see substantial movement in that number between the March primary and the November general?
 - A. Not then, no. We didn't check then.
- Q. You didn't check to see how many people had no driver's license number and no Social Security number?
- A. That's right. We had already checked it at the end of '21. And then we added as many as we could so we knew what the number was going into the end of the year, and we did it at the end of December '22?
- Q. What was the change from the end of '21 to the end of '22?
- A. I don't know. I have got the end of '22 numbers in my head, but I don't remember what they are. I mean before we did anything at all, we had about a million records that didn't have a driver's license, and a million that didn't have a Social, right. We had, I don't know, 160,000 that didn't have either one.



At	the e	nd	of '	22	we	ha	.ve	got	389	tha	t hav	re a	DL,	but
no	Socia	1,	and	we	har	ve	got	298	tha	at h	ave a	a Sc	cial	but
no	DL.	We	have	e go	ot !	93,	000	tha	t d	on't	have	e ei	ther	one.

Q. Do you expect substantial further changes in decreasing that -- those numbers moving forward?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

A. I don't know what you mean by substantial. I expect that the numbers are going to decrease over time. They are never going to get bigger than they are now. They will decrease.

BY MR. FREEMAN:

Q. Okay. Did the rate at which they decreased -- strike that.

Did the share of numbers that you decreased -- you know what, we will move on then.

Mr. Ingram, do you know what share of voters cast ballots by mail in November of '22?

- A. I don't.
- Q. Do you know if it was greater or lessor than the share that cast ballots by mail in November of 2018?
- A. I don't know. I think it would probably be comparable number to 2018. It was less than 2020.
 - Q. Do you know whether turnout in general was



higher in 2022 or lower in 2018?

2.

- A. I don't remember. They were both quite a lot higher than normal mid-term elections, but I think '22 may have been a little less.
- Q. To your knowledge, did March 2022 ballot rejection deter some eligible voters from trying to vote by mail in November?
 - A. No idea.
- Q. Did any election administrators or clerks indicate to you that voters were concerned about mail ballot rejection in November of 2022?
- A. Well, as you can see from the email, voters aren't shy about expressing directly to us. I don't know if we have to rely on county officials for that.
- Q. Did any county officials indicate to you that voters were concerned in November of 2022 about ballot rejection?
 - A. Not any more than normal.
- Q. What's normal in terms of those types of concerns?
- A. Well, I mean whenever you send mail ballots you are relying on the post office and you are relying on whatever else. There is always trepidation until you get confirmed that your ballot was accepted.
 - Q. Are these concerns valid?



1	A. Sure. Absolutely.									
2	Q. Do you trust the post office? We already									
3	discussed concerns that were raised with your office									
4	directly about rejection of mail ballots. Were these									
5	concerns valid?									
6	A. I don't know.									
7	MR. FREEMAN: Take a break for a minute.									
8	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)									
9	BY MR. FREEMAN:									
LO	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ingram. I will pass you to									
L1	Ms. Perales. But I think we all need a lunch break									
L2	before then.									
L3	A. Great.									
L4	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)									
L5	EXAMINATION									
L6	BY MS. PERALES:									
L7	Q. We are back on the record. Mr. Ingram, my									
L8	name is Nina Perales and I represent the LUPE									
L9	Plaintiffs. I'm with MALDEF. We know each other,									
20	don't we?									
21	A. We do.									
22	Q. We have met in the past usually at the									
23	legislature?									
24	A. Agreed.									
25	Q. Yes. You have been to so many more									



1	hearings than I have, much to your credit.
2	I am going to endeavor not to tread the
3	same ground as Mr. Freeman did, but if that means that
4	from time to time I'm pausing or flipping pages
5	forward, it's only because I'm trying to make sure I
6	don't re-ask any questions that are in my outline. I
7	hope you don't take it as me trying to delay or
8	anything. If I'm quiet, I'm skipping questions. How
9	about that?
10	A. That's fine. Yes.
11	Q. Thank you. Thank you. Just a few more
12	emails to go over with you along the same lines of
13	emails that Mr. Freeman went over with you?
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. I'm going to mark those.
16	MS. PERALES: Can we mark this one, please.
17	(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
18	marked Deposition Exhibit No. 23,
19	for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
20	BY MS. PERALES:
21	Q. Mr. Ingram, can you identify this document?
22	A. It looks like an email to our office from
23	Barry Brandt, and the response.
24	Q. Was it an email to well, it says the
25	email is addressed to elections internet. Is that the



elections division of the Texas Secretary of State?

A. It is.

- Q. Then there is -- is there at the very top of the document a response from the Texas Elections -- Texas Secretary of State Elections Division?
 - A. Agree with that.
- Q. It seems to be coming from an administrative group and the CC line is elections internet. Do you know who actually sent this email?
 - A. I don't. I don't.
 - Q. Do you have an A.T. Montgomery?
 - A. We do have an Andre Montgomery.
- Q. I noticed in among the letters there is an A.T., then the beginning of the name Montgomery. Would it be fair to say that the person who sent the email, Barry Brandt, is expressing that he was having a problem -- well, he says he was having a problem with registration, but he also says that he and his wife sent in the form with an a SSN but not a license number. Then he's talking about ballot processing. Do you see that there?
 - A. I do.
- Q. He says that he received confirmation that he was registered to vote, but that also received a notice saying he didn't provide the required



information; is that right?

A. Right.

- Q. I notice that the response from the Secretary of State mentioned both getting a ballot and registering to vote because perhaps there was some confusion on the part of the voter about being -- whether or not to -- whether or not his and his wife's ballots were processed. Did you see that there?
 - A. I agree with that.
- Q. Do you know whether this was identified by the Secretary of State as an issue involving providing an ID number for email voting?
- A. I don't know. The voter doesn't know what they are really talking about. Our response covers both because we don't know what they are talking about.
- Q. Okay. There were some mentions in here about ballot by mail TEAM in the email. I wasn't sure --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- if you understood perhaps more.
- A. I don't. I mean I know if somebody registered to vote and supplied their last four of SSN of course they would be registered. It wouldn't be rejected. So that obviously wasn't a voter registration. Then he says they received a notice that



their application for registration had been reviewed
and included all of the information. So I have no idea
what's going on with this fellow. We would have to
look him up to even begin to have an idea. Call up the
county, figure out what county it is.
Q. He even included what might be his and his
wife's VUID numbers there at the very end, didn't he?
A. He did. Which indicates he's registered to
vote. So really this doesn't make very much sense.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
MS. PERALES: Can we mark this one, please.
(WHEREUPON, a certain document was
marked Deposition Exhibit No. 24,
for identification, as of 3/28/23.)
BY MS. PERALES:
Q. Mr. Ingram, can you identify this email?
A. Yes, it appears to be an email from Susan
Johnson to our office. And we did talk about this one
earlier.
Q. Did you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I will put it aside.
A. We can talk about it again, but it's
already in the stack.
Q. So we did mark it, but we are not going to



1 discuss it if you have already discussed it. 2. I'd like to ask you some questions about SB 1 that are not related to mail ballots? 3 4 Α. Okay. I think what might make the most sense is 5 Ο. to go ahead and mark SB 1 just so that you have it for 6 7 reference. 8 Okay. Α. 9 Because I understand that it's kind of Ο. 10 spread out all over the election code, and I don't want 11 to make you have to look it up that way. 12 Α. Sure. 13 Let's go ahead and mark that as No. 25. O. 14 (WHEREUPON, a certain document was 15 marked Deposition Exhibit No. 25, 16 for identification, as of 3/28/23.) 17 BY MS. PERALES: 18 If you would turn with me to section 2.04. Ο. 19 MS. HUNKER: Nina, for clarity of the record, can 20 you confirm this is the enrolled version? 21 MS. PERALES: I can confirm it's the enrolled 22 version. 23 Thank you. MS. HUNKER: 24 What I'm thinking about is whether MS. PERALES: 25 I want to go over it now or in the next portion of the



deposition. Let me think about this. I think we might put it aside. Don't laugh at me, Dan. I'm thinking my way through it as I go.

BY MS. PERALES:

- Q. I think, Mr. Ingram, I'm going to -- it is the enrolled, and I can confirm that for you even though it's not signed on the back, but I think I should probably save that so I don't have to ask you the questions twice, first as Keith Ingram, and then later as the Secretary of State if that's okay with you?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. I said out loud that Dan was laughing at me. Now he's worried about the record.
- I have some questions for you about voter assistance.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Some of which I will ask of the Secretary of State's office, but I do have a few Keith Ingram questions about voter assistance.

I will ask you to turn to Exhibit 25 section 6.04, I believe. Would you agree with me that SB 1 section 6.04 modifies the oath that a voter assistor takes when they help a voter in the polling place?



A. Agree with that.

- Q. There are other provisions that modified the oath of assistants that an assistor would complete on a mail ballot; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. So if you go to the top of page 53 with me. And we see starting in line two and ending with line four, would it be fair to say that SB 1 added to the assistor oath the following language, quote, reading the ballot to the voter, directing the voter to read the ballot, marking the voter's ballot or directing the voter to mark the ballot, unquote.
 - A. Agreed.
- Q. When SB 1 was enacted, it's correct that the Secretary of State's Office changed the voter assistance oath form to include this additional language; is that correct?
- A. Agreed, and to strike the language that was stricken in law.
- Q. Yes. There are some other additions and strike out as well. But I just wanted to stick with the language that follows confining the assistance of the assistor?
 - A. Agreed.
 - Q. You also incorporated this confining



1 language with the mail ballot assistor oath as well, 2. correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Then at a certain point in time the Q. 5 Secretary of State modified the assistance form again; is that correct? 6 7 That's correct. Α. Would it be fair to say that happened after 8 Ο. 9 the 2022 primary election? 10 Yes, it happened in June of '22. 11 change happened in July, but the order from the court 12 requiring the change happened in June. 13 When you say order of the court, is that Ο. 14 the order of the District Court Judge Pitman in the 15 litigation known as Organization of Chinese Americans? 16 I agree it's that case. I think of it as Α. 17 the Mallika Das case. 18 Ms. Mallika Das was the original first Ο. 19 plaintiff, correct? 20 That's right. Α. 21 She passed away during the course of the O. 22 litigation? She did. 23 Α. 24 Then the group that we call as shorthand Ο.



OCA, Organization of Chinese Americans, they continued

1	the case, correct?
2	A. They did.
3	Q. That case went up to the Federal Circuit
4	and then came back down, correct?
5	A. It did.
6	Q. And would it be fair to say that it was the
7	District Court's opinion in 2018 in validating portions
8	of the election code on voter assistance at that time
9	that went up to the Fifth Circuit and was affirmed?
10	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
11	BY THE WITNESS:
12	A. Agreed that the Fifth Circuit said this
13	order was a little too broad, and told him to narrow
14	it, but basically.
15	BY MS. PERALES:
16	Q. The language strike that.
17	The order from the court that the
18	District Court that was upheld had to do with the scope
19	of assistance that could be given by a voter assistor,
20	correct?
21	A. Agreed that it included interpretation.
22	Q. Yes. So in July of 2022 as you mentioned
23	when the Secretary of State modified the assistance
24	oath form it was in response to a later order of Judge



Pitman in the OCA case, correct?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. That later order had to do with
3	invalidating language that had been put in by SB 1,
4	correct?
5	A. Agreed.
6	Q. And that language was invalidated, and you
7	modified the form both for the in-person voter
8	assistance as well as on the mail ballot, correct?
9	A. That's correct. And the signature sheet
10	for FPCA voters.
11	Q. Would you agree with me that the language
12	that Judge Pitman invalidated was the language that you
13	and I reviewed a little bit earlier on page 53 of this
14	exhibit where the assistor says they will confine their
15	assistance to quote, reading the ballot to the voter,
16	directing the voter to read the ballot, marking the
17	voter's ballot or directing the voter to mark the
18	ballot, unquote?
19	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	A. Agreed. Yes.
22	BY MS. PERALES:
23	Q. And that order of Judge Pitman came down
24	approximately June 6 of 2022, correct?



That's my recollection, yes, ma'am.

25

Α.

Q. How did you learn of the June 6, 2022 decision by Judge Pitman, which went to this language in the oath that had been added by SB 1?

MS. HUNKER: I'm going to object on attorney-client grounds and advise my client to only answer the question to the extent it doesn't get into the substance of any attorney-client privilege.

BY MS. PERALES:

- Q. You could answer if it didn't involve having a conversation with your attorney.
- A. Right. Well, what about an email from my attorney?
 - Q. That counts as attorney-client.
- A. That's what I think. I don't know how to answer that question.
- Q. Okay. I figured since you are an attorney yourself, that you might have been on some distribution list or something where you learned about it otherwise?
 - A. No, it got sent to us by the lawyers.
 - Q. Then I won't ask any more about that.

Had you been following the impact of Judge Pitman's 2018 decision on the scope of voter assistance in your job as the director of elections?

- A. Sure.
- Q. It would effect how the election judges or



poll workers conduct voting in the polling place, correct?

A. That's right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. To some degree, although it might not have been visible to you, it would effect how people might offer assistance in the mail ballot process?
- Yes. The thing that changed is we Agreed. Α. used to have a qualification for interpreter that they had to be registered voter of the county where they were interpreting, and voter assistant obviously didn't have that limitation. It could be anybody of the voter's choosing except for their boss or their labor union. The court's order in 2018 said that interpretation is assistance, a form of assistance, and it needs to have the same standard so you can't require it be a limited registered voter of the county. that's what changed. And of course we implemented that order.
- Q. An elegantly succinct summary of the court's ruling.

Did you also understand Judge Pitman's 2018 ruling and the Fifth Circuit's affirmant to mean that assistance was broad enough to encompass more activity than just reading or marking the ballot? For example, it would include interpretation, but it might also



1 include other acts that could assist a voter in casting 2 a ballot, like helping them physically navigate the 3 polling place? 4 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: 5 I don't know. That wasn't the 6 Α. Riaht. 7 focus of the Court's order, but obviously the assistant has to assist the voter in mobility if that's what they 8 9 need in order for them to vote. That's not anything 10 that's ever been in question. What was in question was 11 interpretation. BY MS. PERALES: 12 13 Specifically in that case. But did you Ο. 14 notice language in that case that said that the act of 15 voting encompasses a range of activities and thus might 16 imply a range of types of assistance? 17 Agreed with that. Α. 18 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 This language didn't change that fact. Α. BY MS. PERALES: 21 22 So --Q. 23 The language in SB 1 that we are talking 24 about didn't change the facts that assistance 25 encompasses all of the forms of assistance a voter



needs to get to the poll, get to the booth, get out of the polls.

- Q. In your view, that's how you understood it?
- A. That's how I understood it. That's how we implemented it.
- Q. It's also possible though that if somebody had to sign a form under oath saying that they would confine their assistance to reading and marking the ballot, that that assistor, who is a member of the public, would know -- not necessarily need special training, might think they had to limit their assistance to just those acts?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

- A. I don't know if that ever happened. We didn't get any questions about it. If we had gotten the question about it we said obviously whatever the voter needs to vote successfully you can assist with. BY MS. PERALES:
- Q. That question would have come from a poll worker or an election judge or county election official?
- A. Or a voter who needed an assistant or assistant. We get calls from all of those folks.
 - Q. You mentioned you weren't sure if it ever



happened. Would it be fair to say your office never received any queries of that sort?

- A. I don't believe we did, but we could have gotten one or two I don't know about.
- Q. I'm trying to figure out the best way to ask this question. When SB 1 was moving through the 2021 legislative sessions, and of course in the regular session, it had a different number, right?
 - A. Yes, it did.

2.

2.0

- Q. HB 6, SB 7. So there were predecessor bill numbers to what ultimately got passed as SB 1. But as SB 1 was moving through the 2021 legislative session, did you think that the language in SB 1 section 6.04 might run contrary to Judge Pitman's decision in the OCA case?
- A. It never entered our mind that it was changing the scope of assistance.
- Q. So would it be fair to say then you never raised that concern to any legislator who might have sought your view?
- A. I agree with that. This is not something we raised.
- Q. When Judge Pitman decided in June of 2022 that the language that SB 1 added to the oath was almost indistinguishable from the language that he had



1 invalidated in the prior version of the election code, 2. and then he said that that language could not go 3 forward from SB 1, were you surprised? 4 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 5 BY THE WITNESS: I don't know if I was surprised. 6 Α. I mean 7 disappointed, because I didn't think it changed 8 anything, but happy to take it out if that's what he 9 thinks. 10 BY MS. PERALES: 11 Did you have any sense that Judge Pitman 0. 12 might decide that the language from SB 1 which tracks 13 so closely with the invalidated provisions in the 14 election code might be problematic? 15 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. 16 BY THE WITNESS: 17 Again, never entered our mind it was Α. 18 changing the scope of assistance. 19 BY MS. PERALES: 20 Besides changing the forms in the summer of 0. 21 2022, in July of 2022, and by the forms I mean the oath 22 of assistance for in-person assisting and for mail 23 ballot assistance, what additional steps did you 24 remember your office taking to implement Judge Pitman's June 2022 order? 25



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 161

A. So we sent out a mass email with a revised oath of assistance forms in July, and then we sent a follow-up email after that where we had changed everything else that had the oath of assistance on it.

- Q. Do you remember getting any questions either from election administrators or election workers or the public about this change in the oath of assistance?
- A. We did get questions from election officials, but the questions were not regarding the substance. The questions were, "Can I use the old stock of the form?" So we made sure to tell everybody that you can use old stock, but you have to redact the offending language from the Judge's order. So where it starts with, "I will confine," that since had to be redacted either with Sharpie or White Out or some sticker or some kind of way.
- Q. When you say where it starts with, "I will confine," you are pointing to line two of page 53 of Exhibit 25?
 - A. That's correct. I'm sorry.
 - Q. Thank you.

Did you get any questions about the permissible scope of assistance activities for either in-person or mail voting after the 2022 primary?



A. No. Again, that's never been an issue so it never was.

- Q. Would you say it's largely handled by the counties?
- A. I would say that the counties are the ones who deal with it directly, but our office is the one teaching them. We didn't teach this as a change.
 - Q. But you did amend the form?
 - A. We did.

- Q. Because SB 1 required it?
- A. The law changed, the words change, but the scope of assistance was substantively the same.
 - Q. That was the way you understood it?
 - A. That was the way we understood it.
 - Q. I have a clarifying question for you.

 Prior to HAVA, the Help America Vote Act, there wasn't a requirement on Federal forms for a voter to provide either their driver's license number or the last four of their Social; is that correct?
 - A. I agree with that.
 - Q. You had mentioned earlier in the deposition, and this is what I wanted to follow up on, that Texas prior to HAVA did require a voter registrant to put a Social Security number; is that correct?
 - A. I'm not sure. I would have to go back and



look at the form. I know that we have registrations from before HAVA that have full nine Social, and DL. We have had those for a long time before HB2512. They were part of the voter registration system that I inherited when I began this job in January of 2012. At that point we had about six million full nines, and the only we way would have gotten them is from a voter registration application.

- Q. In my mind I was trying to figure out how you could have voters who didn't have either a social or a driver's license if they are registered to vote, but they didn't have either of those numbers on file with the county. Is it possibly the case that there was a time period where folks could register to vote without the full nine?
 - A. I agree with that, yes, absolutely.
- Q. Do you think that's where this group was coming from that has neither a driver's license or a Social in their record?
 - A. I do, and also ones without date of birth.
 - Q. Yes.

2.

So these would necessarily have been people who registered prior to 2012 and possibly even earlier than that?

A. I agree they would have registered to vote



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 164

registration system came into play. And, you know, sometime between then and the '90s, you know. I have one of my employees in my -- former employees in my office who registered to vote in the '60s. She's got neither number on her record.

- Q. Would it also be true that that person would have had to not move from one county to another?
- A. Well, there would have to have been no changes, that's right. So she would have still lived in the same place which, you know, her mother's house is where she is registered to vote. That's where she still has mail.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that folks who registered that long ago are a good number of them might also be eligible to vote by mail because they are over age 65?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:

A. I would agree with that. Like I mentioned before, we have got about half a percent of the voter records that don't have either number in them. And in the last election, November of '22, we had 163 mail ballots that were rejected for not having a number.

And so that's half of a half percent. So the numbers



don't exactly match up the way you would expect them to, but that's because people voted in-person. Some portion of them obviously are deceased. We don't have a record. We don't have a way to match their record and get them to move from the roles unless the family notifies us they are deceased.

BY MS. PERALES:

- Q. Did you say earlier about 93,000 people appear in your database as having neither Social or driver's license number?
- A. About 93,800, close to 94,000, but not quite.
- Q. But the number of -- now, when you mention the 163, that's tied to the number of mail ballots that have been marked as rejected because of some failure to provide an ID number; is that right?
- A. No. They were rejected specifically because there was a number provided by the voter, but there wasn't a number in their record.
 - Q. Okay. Got it.
- A. That's what's reported to us by the counties. That's the best information we have. I don't want to be giving the impression it's 100 percent accurate.
 - Q. Thank you.



In your position as director of elections, would it be fair to say that you frequently testified before the legislature as a resource witness on election related bills?

A. Yes.

2.

- Q. That's probably how the public knows you best is that you were at so many of these hearings and testifying on bills, right?
- A. I don't know how they know me, but they do know me.
- Q. Yes. Well, now with video they can watch you from Amarillo and still see you testify on election bills?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. In addition to testifying in public hearings, would it be fair to say that you also provided advice to either committees or individual legislators about bill language?
 - A. Agree with that.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that you offered proposed language for bills to achieve what a particular legislator or committee chair might want to accomplish?
- A. Agreed that's one of the things that we try to do. If they have a goal and they don't have the



exact verbiage that they want to use, then we try to provide that for them if we can, if they ask for it.

- Q. Is it the case also that legislators have asked you whether certain language that they have in mind would essentially work in the real world under the view of your office The Secretary of State?
- A. Agree that's one of the thing -- one of the advice areas that we give.
- Q. Is it the case that sometimes you might get bill language from another source and pass that along to legislators?
 - A. Not usually, no.

2.

- Q. Did you ever receive proposed bill language or proposals from Alan Vera that you passed along to legislators?
 - A. I don't think so.
- Q. You don't ever remember saying in one of the hearing rooms that you had gotten language from Alan Vera and passed it along to legislators for a bill?
- A. I mean if a legislator asked for Alan's language we would give it to him if we had it.
- Q. I'm going to catch up with myself. Can you tell me who is Alan Vera?
 - A. Alan Vera is the chairman of the Ballot



Security Committee in Harris County Republican Party.

2.

- Q. That title is a mouthful. You got it just right.
 - A. I have heard it a bunch of times.
- Q. Let's say for the last session, 2021 session when we saw HB 6, SB 7, SB 1 moving along, approximately how many times did Alan Vera communicate with you by any means?
- A. About those bills in particular? Not often.
 - Q. Would you say every week?
- A. Well, I mean I would see him every week at the elections committee, sometimes at state affairs, but we didn't talk about SB 1 or SB 7 every week. I didn't talk to him about substantive legislation very often at all.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that he emailed you from time to time with suggestions on substantive legislation?
- A. He definitely emails, but rarely. It's not an everyday occurrence.
- Q. Would he sometimes, as they say, button hole you in the hallways and try to talk to you about legislation or proposals touching on some of the sections of SB 1?



A. Occasionally, yes.

2.

- Q. Do you ever remember asking Mr. Vera for a copy of his poll watcher training presentation that he does over there in Harris County?
 - A. He volunteered it.
- Q. Did you at some point acquiesce and end up having him send it to you?
- A. My standard answer when anybody wants to send something is, "Please send it. I look forward to reading it." I never would say the opposite.
- Q. Do you ever recall getting any other types of documents that Mr. Vera would send to you or to your office?
- A. He corresponds with us, yes. I don't know what you are talking about in particular, but yes.
- Q. In addition to emailing you and catching you in the hall for conversation or in the committee room, would Mr. Vera ever call you or other people in your office to your recollection?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. He would call to make suggestions about legislation; is that right?
- A. If he called me and made a suggestion about legislation, I would refer him to the bill office. I wouldn't purport to carry his water ever.



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 170

Q. Would he sometimes though want to engage you on substantive proposals?

- A. I don't know what you mean. I mean people often try to engage us. They -- I think of it as them working the ref. They see us as the referee, they try to work the ref. Alan Vera is one of those people that does that.
- Q. Would it be fair to say then he would have specific ideas in mind of how he, let's say, substantive proposals that he had in mind that maybe he would want to see added either as an amendment or as a committee substitute and he might want to talk to you about it in case you would have the ear of someone else?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:

A. I never purported to carry his water. I want to make that very clear. He never demanded that we talk to a legislator on his behalf because he's perfectly capable of going to their offices himself. That's not the way that worked. The way that worked is I'm advocating for this or that, will it work? He asked us the same kind of questions that legislators ask. Generally he already thought it would work so it's not even a question that he would ask.



BY MS. PERALES:

- Q. So I'm definitely not trying to get you to say that you personally advocated for his proposal.

 Not asking so much about what Keith Ingram did with information, but I'm asking more about from the Alan

 Vera side of things. Would he send you things? Would he approach you with substantive proposals that he had in mind?
- A. Maybe. I can't think of anything. But that's just not the way it works for him and us.
- Q. I know there is this other part of Alan Vera where he might have been trying to get you to follow up on complaints about, you know, irregularities he wanted you to follow up. I definitely am not asking about that so much as I'm asking about the legislative advocacy he was doing.
- A. I understand. But he's a very capable advocate on his own behalf with legislators. He doesn't need us to help him with that.
- Q. When you -- okay. Now we are going to leave Alan Vera behind. I'm not going to ask you more Alan Vera questions.

When you would communicate with legislators, committee chairs or staff, is it fair to say that you might have that communication by email?



1 Α. Agreed. Would it be fair to say that that 2 Q. 3 communication might also happen by telephone? 4 Α. Agreed. Would it also happen by text? 5 Q. Occasionally, but mostly by phone. 6 Α. 7 The communication might involve -- well, 0. Scratch that. 8 no. 9 Would it be fair to say that you provided 10 feedback to legislators or staff with respect to either 11 SB 1 or its predecessor bills? 12 When requested, sure. Α. 13 But you did get those requests and you did Ο. 14 provide that feedback for this bill SB 1? And SB 7 and HP 6 before it. 15 Α. Sure. 16 Okay. Did you provide any feedback prior Ο. 17 to the bills initially being introduced into the 18 regular session of 2021? 19 I don't believe so. Α. 20 So did you have any involvement in the 0. formation or drafting of these bills prior to their 21 22 introduction for the 2021 regular? 23 We did not. Not that I know of. Α. 24 It was more, here's the bill, and then you Ο. 25 would be asked to come and testify on it?



A. Yes.

2.

- Q. So then just to be clear, you were not approached by legislators or staff who said, we have this idea for what we want to accomplish in the 2021 session, and we -- how would you, the Secretary of State or Mr. Ingram, advise us to accomplish that?
 - A. Not beforehand, no.
- Q. Would you ever find yourself in a situation where you might be having a conversation with a legislator or staff and you would be saying, "This is going to make things really difficult for counties"? Would you ever provide that kind of practical advice?
 - A. Sure.
 - O. Did you do that for SB 1?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. What was that advice?
- A. There was the part about -- the wording changed over time, but the part that said that a number on the voter registration application had to be provided by the voter for a mail ballot application or a mail ballot. And we were trying our best to get them to not say that, to say a voter -- number in their record, voter registration record number instead of on their application because we didn't want voters to be confused into thinking they had to use whatever number



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 174

they originally registered to vote with, and that's not the case. We have got both numbers for most voters because we have worked real hard to get them. That's not necessary. It's just going to confuse things because the way we are going to implement this law is if they have got a number in the record we are going to tell the early voting ballot board and the early voting clerk to accept them.

- Q. When you say a number on the voter registration, do you mean the VUID?
- A. No, I mean a number that was supplied by the voter when they originally registered to vote. So if they gave their last four, chances are we have got the full nine now. If they gave their driver's license, probably we have the Social Security now as well. So we didn't want the language to tie the number that they had to provide as identification for their mail ballot process to be tied to the application because that was going to confuse voters because it's not true. We were going to look at whatever number is in their record.
- Q. I see. So you were advising that as long as the voter provided a number that could be found in their record by the county, that it shouldn't necessarily have to match what was on the original



registration form?

- A. That's right. We wanted to make that change. We talked about that in at least one committee hearing. I followed a tax assessor who made the same point.
 - Q. Was that change ultimately made?
- A. I think it was in one place and it wasn't in another. So I think we still have some of that voter registration application language in here, but we have just maneuvered our way around it.
- Q. Give me any other example that you have of advice that you offered around SB 1 and its provisions to legislators?
- A. There were three provisions in SB 7 and later in SB 1 that we felt were very problematic for Texas elections that were an absolute show stopper, train wreck, will kill Texas elections. The first one was that any communication between the voting system vendor and our office was public information per se no exceptions. I said if y'all do that then source code is out there for bad guys. You cannot keep this without an exception. Ultimately exception was put in for critical infrastructure and proprietary information. That one was solved. The second one was --



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 176

Q. How about phones in polling places?

A. Uh-uh. The second one was like that, but it
said that anything that's done on a central account
computer has to be logged with a key logger. So it was
going to require that we voluntarily insert a virus on
our central account computers that of course has not
been certified and would not be certified because it's
a virus. And so we absolutely said you can't do that.
What you need if you are talking about audit logs,
we already have audit logs. Here's what an audit log
looks like. It's complete. It tells who did it and
what they did and when they did it. You don't need to
add to an audit log, but we don't have audit log
requirements in the law. If you would put a
legislative history with the amendment. So Chairman
Glick is the one that offered this amendment, and she
did make this clear what they were talking about with
that was an audit log. Okay. Great. We got that one
solved.

The third one was write ones media requirement a/k/a WOMR. So the write ones media requirement is what they had in the bill and still have is a requirement that any device or system used in central count cannot be capable of reading a thumb drive or optical scan disk that can be written more



Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 177

than once. There is no such thing. There never will be such a thing. Such a thing is a flying car. I told them you are going to kill Texas elections and make us go back to hand counted ballots if you keep that provision. The best I can do is get it kicked until September 26 effective date. So those were the three provisions that I was red in the face talking about.

- Q. How about some of the other provisions? I mentioned allowing poll watchers to use video recording in polling places. Did you provide feedback on that?
- A. I don't think we were ever asked about that.
- Q. Okay. Of course I'm searching my mind because there were a lot of twists and turns on the legislation as it moved through the sessions. Were there any -- was there any feedback that you provided besides everything that you have just mentioned that the legislator did not resolve to your satisfaction? I know you mentioned in the last example you got at least an effective date to 2026. So there can be an effort to do an amendment before it goes into effect I presume?
 - A. Agreed.
- Q. Was there anything you raised as a concern that ended up in SB 1 even though you were concerned



about it?

2.

A. No, the three concerns that we raised were those three things. We got them either resolved or delayed.

- Q. One more question that popped into my head. There was language originally in SB 1 talked about how a poll watcher couldn't be removed unless they violated the election code. But it didn't say anything about being able to remove a poll watcher for violating, for example, the penal code?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you recall having any conversations with the committee about that?
- A. Yes, but that was on the record at a hearing where I remember Representative Bucy and I had a pretty good colloquy about that.
- Q. Can you think of any other conversations that were not in the public eye that related to provisions, for example, that you might understand being challenged in this lawsuit?
 - A. No.
- MS. PERALES: I don't know how long we have been on, but it would be helpful for me to take a quick break.
 - MS. HUNKER: Sure.



1 (WHEREUPON, a recess was had.) 2. BY MS. PERALES: 3 Mr. Ingram, the last set of questions that 0. 4 I have for you are about your change in job position. 5 I want to follow up on some things that you said at the very beginning of the deposition regarding a March 9, 6 7 2023 hearing that you testified at. Do you recall mentioning a March 9, 2023 hearing? 8 9 Yes. Α. 10 0. You mentioned an exchange with, I believe, 11 it's Representative Swanson; is that correct? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 Can you tell me what specifically in the Ο. 14 exchange led to the Secretary of State being not 15 pleased? 16 Α. It was the fact that I was frustrated with 17 Representative Swanson for mischaracterizing my prior 18 testimony. 19 Can you -- was it then what you said or how Ο. 20 you said it or some combination? It was more how I said it than what I said. 21 Α. 22 The fact that I was getting frustrated meant that maybe 23 11 sessions is or 11 years 6 sessions you have had 24 enough in front of the legislature because one thing 25 you have got to do is keep your cool.



Q. In what way did Representative Swanson mischaracterize your testimony when she was speaking out loud there?

A. Sure. My previous exchange with Representative DeAyala was to the effect that this notwithstanding the challenges that the Harris County election had in '22, that November general election was the best one they had had since they had an election administrator. Representative Swanson took that to mean that I was saying the election in 2022 in Harris County was good. When in fact I was just saying it was the best one they had since they had an EA, which in fact is a very low bar. That's the one I wanted to make with Representative Swanson. I cut her off and I was a little short and that was my mistake.

Q. Do you -- were you -- when you were speaking though were you making accurate statements regarding the election in Harris County?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I believe so.

BY MS. PERALES:

Q. Do you think your change in job roles was in any way a reaction to your having said that the 2022 general election in Harris County was the best one they



have had since they got an EA?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

- A. I don't think it was that so much as my reaction to Representative Swanson. I mean the whole idea was, "Keith, you have done your time. You have served well. You know, if you are going to get frustrated we can't take that chance. And obviously you are at a point in your career where it's a short fuse and we can't take the chance." So it's not like it was a punishment or anything like that. It's, "We want to protect you and we want to protect our office if you are going to be having trouble staying under control."
- Q. In your new role will you still respond to questions from county election administrators about how to administer elections?
- A. I'm not sure exactly what position. I mean how I'm going to do with the phones. That's yet undetermined.
- Q. Do you know if you will be responding to any email inquiries from county election administrators?
 - A. Probably not.
- Q. Do you know if you will be doing any trainings of county election officials?



1	A. If I do it will be related to the voter
2	cross-check system.
3	Q. Do you know if you will be providing any
4	legal analysis or legal interpretation of election code
5	for The Secretary of State?
6	A. If requested, sure. They have mentioned
7	they would like me to do some research projects.
8	Q. Prior to March of 2023, did Ms. Christina
9	Adkins report to you?
10	A. She did.
11	Q. You mentioned earlier in the deposition you
12	will now report to her?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Is your position still described as
15	director for Secretary of State?
16	A. I do not believe so. I'm not sure if I'm
17	project manager or an attorney five, but something
18	other than a director four.
19	Q. Do you know if there has been any change in
20	your annual salary?
21	A. It should stay the same.
22	Q. Will your new duties turn in part on
23	whether the Texas legislature enacts new legislation in
24	this current session?



Sort of, but not really. The sort of that

25

Α.

is that, you know, assuming that they pass legislation that makes the withdrawal from ERIC, which makes the need more pressing. But either way with ERIC losing members we need to fill those gaps. So probably there needs to be a supplemental system no matter what.

- Q. Very clearly explained. Thank you. I think I understood what you said. It's a complicated topic.
 - A. Agreed.

MS. PERALES: I believe that I'm done. I will pass the witness. I think the next attorney is Victor Genecin if he's there. Shows him on screen.

MS. PERALES: Victor, are you there?

MR. GENECIN: I'm here.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Q. I believe I'm unmuted. Let's just see.

 Yes, I can either turn on the screen. Can you see me?
 - A. I can.
- Q. Very good. So, Mr. Ingram, my name is
 Victor Genecin. I'm with NAACP LDF. We represent the
 Houston Area Urban League Plaintiffs here. I don't
 believe you and I have ever met, have we?
 - A. I don't believe so, no, sir.
 - Q. I'm sorry not to be able to meet you today



1 Like Ms. Perales, if I go quiet it's in person. 2 because I'm eliminating questions from my outline. 3 Unlike Ms. Perales if I suddenly start swearing, you 4 should know it's not about you, it's about trying to make the technology work because I have got exhibits I 5 6 would like to show you and I'm not convinced that I can 7 do that properly. But to start I just have a few 8 questions. 9 First of all, during the 2022 general 10 election was any data collected by the State concerning 11 the race of voters? Α. 12 No. 13 How about concerning the ethnicity of Ο. 14 voters? 15 Α. No. 16 Am I correct that the State does not Ο. 17 collect any such data? 18 Agreed. Α.

- Q. Turning to what happens after elections.

 Does your office conduct any of what I would call after action reports or after action meetings in which you get together with either people in your office or with
- 23 the counties to discuss issues or problems they
- 24 | encountered during the election?

19

20

21

22

25

A. Yes, we do. We do a version of that



March 28, 2023 Keith Ingram Page 185

1	internally as well as with county advisory group.
2	Q. Who are the members of your county advisory
3	group?
4	A. I will forget some. It's better if I just
5	provide a list later then try to recall them off the
6	top of my head.
7	Q. Why don't we do this. We will leave a
8	blank in the transcript here and we can fill that in
9	with your list. How's that?
10	Α.
11	That's fine. It's about 30 some odd
12	counties.
13	Q. In terms of people with whom you meet
14	internally after an election to conduct an after action
15	group, who are those people?
16	A. It is our attorneys as well as our election
17	trainers.
18	Q. In what form does that internal after
19	election review take?
20	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
21	BY THE WITNESS:
22	A. It's just a meeting in the conference room
23	and some people appear on TEAMS.
24	BY MR. GENECIN:
25	Q. So it's a meeting, people talk?



	í	
1	Α.	That's right.
2	Q.	Are there written reports collected?
3	A.	No.
4	Q.	Are minutes taken at the meeting?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	Is anyone in the meeting taking notes?
7	A.	Not usually. I mean there is sort of
8	action items	•
9	Q.	So there are action items that come out of
10	the meeting?	
11	A.	Usually.
12	Q.	Do you circulate those internally?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Who has the action items?
15	A.	Well, historically it's been Christina
16	Adkins.	
17	Q.	Have Ms. Adkins' items coming out of the
18	2022 general	election been produced to us?
19	A.	It's not a document to produce. It's I
20	don't know.	I doubt it.
21	Q.	It's not a document?
22	A.	No. I mean
23	Q.	Is there a list of items?
24	A.	I don't know. I mean it's on a yellow note
25	pad if it's a	anything. There is not any formal document
	ĺ	,



produced.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. For the record, we will request that those list of action items in whatever form they may be even if they are scribbled on a yellow note pad. We will do our best to see if we can read them.

Mr. Ingram, let me ask this. With regard to your meeting with your counties -- and what do you call that group?

- A. The county advisory group.
- Q. In terms of your after election meeting with the county advisory group, how does that meeting take place?
- A. That's a phone call or I guess it's a Webex.
- Q. In that meeting is there a discussion of what happened during the election?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. People raise problems, right?
 - A. Sometimes, yes.
- Q. People share lessons learned?
 - A. Usually, yes.
- Q. Does anybody compile notes of what went on in that meeting?
 - A. Sometimes.
 - Q. How about with regard to the 2022 election?



March 28, 2023 Keith Ingram Page 188

1	A. I don't know. I mean we have had several				
2	county advisory calls since that election.				
3	Q. Who would know if there are notes about				
4	what happened in the 2022 election?				
5	A. Well, anybody that's participating in the				
6	meeting.				
7	Q. So we would learn that from the list you				
8	provide?				
9	A. Well, the people on that list know whether				
10	they kept notes or not.				
11	Q. Are you aware of any notes being				
12	circulated?				
13	A. No.				
14	Q. Are the meetings with the county advisory				
15	are those the same or different than the meetings that				
16	you hold with events that are called the county				
17	information calls?				
18	A. I don't know what a county information call				
19	is.				
20	Q. Well, you indicated earlier in your				
21	testimony, maybe I got the words wrong, that you had a				
22	meeting every other week with the counties?				
23	A. We have a meeting every other week with our				
24	county advisory group.				
25	Q. Okay. So it's the county advisory group				



you meet with every other week?

2.

- A. Agree with that. It's not a rigid schedule. It bows and flexes as we have legislative sessions and holidays.
- Q. I guess in the run up to elections it may happen a little more frequently?
- A. Usually it kind of goes the other way as we get close to an election.
- Q. What's the content of your meeting with the county advisory group?
- A. Depends upon what we have to talk about. Usually the format of meeting is that we start out with a topic or two that we think that they need to know about, and we want to solicit feedback on, and then open the floor up to whatever they want to bring up.
- Q. Is there an agenda for the meetings with the county advisory group?
- A. That's what I mean. Usually we start off with a couple things we want to talk about and those are listed on one slide, that that's the meeting.
- Q. Have the slides of your office's presentations to the county advisory group been produced?
- A. I don't know that any of them are relevant to anything in this case.



MR. GENECIN: Well, I will just ask that the record reflect the request for the production of any slides shown to the county advisory group between May of 2022 and today's date.

- Q. Other than the slide that's put up it at the beginning of the meeting with the county advisory group, is there any other form of agenda that's circulated in advance of meeting?
 - A. No, not usually.

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Q. So the first part of the meeting you have described which is presentation from Secretary of State's Office concerning whatever your office wants to present. What's the next part of the meeting?
- A. Well, you have mischaracterized the first part. The first part often is things we want feedback on specifically. So it's not a presentation. It's a solicitation of feedback usually directed, you know, to a topic, but then there is an open mic, anybody can bring up anything that's on their mind.
- Q. So in terms of the feedback that you request, have you requested feedback from the county advisory group concerning specific sections of SB 1?
 - A. We wouldn't characterize it that way.
 - Q. How would you characterize it?



2.

Keith Ingram March 28, 2023
Page 191

A. Well, if we want feedback on the corrective action procedure and how to improve it, we would ask the counties, "What did you think of the corrective action procedure? How would you improve it?" It's not an SB 1 related thing, it's a corrective action procedure question.

- Q. So if I understand correctly, you open the meeting with a request for feedback about a topic or topics that the Secretary of State's Office is looking for feedback about; is that right?
- A. Sometimes. And sometimes it is more of a presentation. This is what we are planning to do. But even if it's that. It's this is what we are planning to do. Can you think of anything else we need to do or anything we need to take off of this list? You know, it's talking about webinars that are upcoming. It's talking about all kinds of things that we are planning to train on and produce for the counties, documents that we are producing. All kinds of things that involve election administration that involve election administration that involve election administration that we have and advisory group so that we can bounce it off them before we send it to the whole list of counties.
- Q. Is there anybody within the the Secretary of State's Office who takes notes during the meeting



for the county advisory group?

2.

- A. Sometimes, yes.
- Q. Who is that person or are those people?
- A. There is usually notes that are taken by Lena Proft, one of our staff attorneys, and Alexa Bump Kemper, one of our trainers, but they are not on every call.
- Q. Now, if neither of them is on the call how do you record the feedback that you have obtained from the counsel advisory group?
 - A. We don't.
- Q. Well, I will request the production of any notes taken during the county advisory group meetings between May of 2022 and the present. I will ask that those be produced as well.

Do you have an understanding, Mr. Ingram, of when the counties assemble the packets of materials that their election judges are going to need during an election?

- A. Well, I don't know what you mean by assemble.
- Q. Am I correct that in order to do his or her job, an election judge needs certain materials?
 - A. Agreed.
 - Q. And that those include a handbook and whole



1	variety of forms?		
2	A. Agreed.		
3	Q. There are other materials as well an		
4	election judge is going to need in order to perform		
5	their duties?		
6	A. There is different signs and stuff for the		
7	polling place, yes, sir.		
8	Q. When do the election administrators put		
9	those materials together for each election judge?		
10	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.		
11	BY THE WITNESS:		
12	A. That's not a question that we answer. You		
13	would have to ask the county that.		
14	BY MR. GENECIN:		
15	Q. Do you have an understanding of when they		
16	do it by?		
17	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.		
18	BY THE WITNESS:		
19	A. They do it before election day.		
20	BY MR. GENECIN:		
21	Q. I understand that. I expect they do it		
22	before early voting as well; is that right?		
23	A. Well, for the early voting locations, sure,		
24	but there is a lot fewer early voting locations than		
25	there are election day places.		



Have any of the counties discussed with you the issue of how much lead time they need to have their packets together for their election judges in advance of elections? It's not usually counties that are asking

- that question. It's usually print vendors.
- And have the print vendors discussed that Q. issue?
 - Α. Sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- What have they said to you? Q.
- 11 Α. They need to have the list of forms by 12 July.
 - By July, that would be for a November 0. election; is that right?
 - Α. For a November election by July at the latest. They prefer it earlier than that.
 - Do you attempt to avoid changes after you Q. have gotten the list of forms out to the print vendors?
 - Α. Yes.
 - In the event that you have got a change 0. that's got to be made, what do you do to make sure that the counties and election judges know that a form they've got has been replaced with something else?
 - We send a mass email. Α.
 - Now, are you familiar with the term wet Q.



1	signature?		
2	A. Yes.		
3	Q. What does it mean?		
4	A. It means the signature from an ink pen on a		
5	paper.		
6	Q. Are there documents that are required under		
7	the election code to have a wet signature?		
8	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.		
9	BY THE WITNESS:		
10	A. Yes.		
11	BY MR. GENECIN:		
12	Q. Are you able to tell me what those		
13	documents are?		
14	A. Well, anything that's a voter registration		
15	form, there is several different documents that are		
16	actually voter registration forms such as a statement		
17	of residence, a provisional ballot, and a voter		
18	registration application.		
19	Q. Any others that you can think of?		
20	A. Application for ballot by mail.		
21	Q. In the case of an application for ballot by		
22	mail, although that document can be submitted initially		
23	by fax or by email, the applicant has to follow it up		
24	with a hard copy, right?		
25	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.		



1	BY THE WITNESS:
2	A. Agreed, unless it's an FPCA. Those can be
3	sent electronically.
4	BY MR. GENECIN:
5	Q. To your knowledge, are there any documents
6	that are required by the election code to be signed but
7	might need not have a wet signature on them?
8	A. Sure.
9	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
10	BY MR. GENECIN:
11	Q. What are those documents?
12	A. It's just about everything else. 1007 of
13	the election code says unless it's otherwise specified
14	anything required can be sent electronically or by fax.
15	Q. Now, you remember at the very beginning of
16	your testimony this morning you said that in
17	preparation for your testimony today you reviewed
18	spreadsheets of ballot rejections?
19	A. Agreed.
20	Q. What are the names of those spreadsheets?
21	What are they called?
22	A. I have no idea.
23	Q. How do you know what they were?
24	A. Well, because I can look at them and see



what's in them. It's one excel spreadsheet with

several pages for different elections.

2.

- Q. You testified that parts of that document were produced. Do you know which parts were produced?
 - A. That's not what I said, sir.
- Q. Then I'm sorry. If it's not what you said, please correct me.
- A. The whole thing has been produced as far as I know.
- Q. What information is shown on the spreadsheet that you reviewed in preparation for your testimony?
- A. The ballot rejection rates by county for each of the elections held in 2022, the democratic and republican primary elections, the constitutional amendment election in May, the primary runoffs for democrats and republicans in May, and the general election in November.
- Q. You also testified this morning that you spoke with Ms. Davidson of the republican party of Texas?
 - A. Agree with that.
- Q. You said that was to ask her about an incident involving a poll watcher in North Hidalgo County?
 - A. That's correct.



1 O. Why did you speak particularly to Ms. Davidson? 2 Because she is one of the ones that I 3 Α. called with regard to the original incident back in 4 October of '22. 5 6 Ο. And how do you know that she is one of the 7 ones you called? Because I remember. 8 Α. 9 Do you remember calling her? Do you have O. 10 any kind of note or memo about the content of your call 11 with her? I do not. I looked for it. I didn't find 12 Α. 13 it. 14 Do you remember speaking with anybody else Q. 15 about this particular incident? 16 I talked to people in our executive Α. Sure. 17 office as well as Christina. 18 What do you remember about that incident? Ο. 19 Α. Just what I have already told you, there 20 was an issue with the poll watcher, but I don't 21 remember the nature of the issue. 22 So you remember there was an issue with a Q. 23 poll watcher, you remember calling Ms. Davidson about 24 it, you remember speaking with some other people about 25 it, but you don't remember what the issue was?



A. That's correct. I remember Ms. Davidson whenever I talked to her, I talked to her a couple of times or three times about this incident. She mentioned that there was an early voting clerk in Weslaco or maybe Pharr that was she thought being problematic in some way. I don't remember what it was. I also talked to -- so I talked to Gloria, who was the acting elections administrator for Hidalgo County about that, and that both issues were successfully resolved.

- Q. Does your office have any written record of either of those issues?
 - A. We do not.

2.

- Q. Do you recall during either early voting before the November election of 2022 or in connection with the November 2022 general election any other problems with poll watchers?
- A. There was an incident in Dallas County where a poll watcher was asked to show ID and they refused to do so and it escalated so that both sides, both the poll watcher and the election judge, called the sheriff's office.
- Q. Do you remember anything else about that incident?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you remember what happened after the



sheriff's office was called?

2.

A. Well, we were contacted and we were asked whether they needed to show ID, and said the law doesn't require it. The election judge or early voting clerk allowed the poll watcher to enter the polling location.

- Q. The matter was resolved?
- A. The matter was resolved.
- O. Do you have any written record of that?
- A. Not that I know of, no, sir.
- Q. Do you have -- do you have any recollection of any other issues involving poll watchers during the November 2022 election?
- A. Do you mean issues with the poll watcher interacting with election officials?
- Q. Any complaints about the behavior of poll watchers?
- A. Yes, there weren't any. I don't remember anything, but it would have been whatever is normal in an election.
 - Q. What's normal in an election?
- A. Normally we have a few reports where the poll watchers and the election officials have a disagreement about what can and can't be viewed or how close people can be.



Q. Does your office keep any records of any such disputes or complaints?

- A. No. I do remember that in November of '22 the number of those complaints was much decreased. We attributed that to our required poll watcher training that was put in place by SB 1.
- Q. Now, you testified that late ballots are not classified as rejections, they are just late; do you recall that testimony?
 - A. I do.

2.

- Q. Are any records kept of ballots by mail that are received too late to be counted?
- A. Well, the records for the election including the late mail ballots are preserved for 22 months. So the counties have them.
 - Q. The counties have those late mail ballots?
 - A. If they received them, yes.
- Q. Are those -- the mail ballots, do we know how many of them there were in the 2022 election?
- A. It's not -- that's not a number that's kept anywhere. That's not a number that's recorded. I think some counties if they are received pretty early on before the canvas or whatever do classify them as rejected, do send a rejection notice that your ballot was received after the deadline. But there is not a



legal requir	ement they do it, and not all counties do
it. There i	s no time period during which they are
supposed to	do it.
Q.	Are there any statistics at all concerning

- ng ballots that are received too late to be counted?
 - The counties would have those. Α.
 - Q. If there are any?
 - Α. If there are any.
- You said this morning that breakdown county O. by county of rejected ballots has been produced in this I would ask you, do you know what that litigation. county by county breakdown of rejections is called?
- 13 Objection, form, asked and answered. MS. HUNKER:

14 BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You just asked me that question. know the name of it.

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Do you know how it is done? How do you Q. prepare -- how does your office prepare the county by county breakdown of rejections?
 - I don't know how it's prepared. Α.
- Do you know what the data is that's the Q. basis for it?
- 24 The information inputted into TEAM from the Α. 25 counties.



Q. So would it be fair to say each county puts into TEAM the number of rejections of mail-in ballots that it performed?

- A. Not exactly not that way. They --
- Q. How does --

2.

- A. They are required to record when an application for a ballot by mail is received, action taken on that application for ballot by mail, when the mail ballot is mailed to the voter, and when the mail ballot is received from the voter, and whether or not it is ultimately accepted or rejected. So we in TEAM can run a report for the number that are rejected by county. The county doesn't do that work. TEAM does that work for us.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that the spreadsheet that you talked about is a spreadsheet that shows information that's been pulled from TEAM?
 - A. Agree with that.
- Q. You told us a little bit earlier today that some voters get notice of defects in their ballot by mail after the clock has run out. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Can you tell us how it would happen that they would get the notice of the defects too late for



them to cure?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. For instance, I heard from Starr County in the general election in November of '22 that their ballot board was planning to send the notice of defect after their last ballot meeting, which would happen after the deadline for curing mail ballots. I informed the election administrator in Starr County that was not acceptable, and that they needed to get those notice of defects right now so that the voter might have a chance to fix the problem.

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Q. When you say right now, when was that conversation taking place?
 - A. It was shortly after the election, maybe on Wednesday or Thursday.
 - Q. How much time at that point did the voters have left to cure?
 - A. Until Monday.
 - Q. To your knowledge, did they have to get those out right now as you asked them to?
- A. As far as I know. But I don't have any way to check.
 - Q. Can you describe to me any other ways that



1	voters would get notice of defects after the clock has
2	run out?
3	A. Well, the post office delays the delivery
4	then they got it late.
5	Q. Is there any way to know how many voters
6	got notice of defects too late to cure?
7	A. No idea.
8	Q. I take it the thing that's knowable is when
9	notice was sent to voters of the defects in their
10	ballots; is that right?
11	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	A. It's knowable by the counties. It's not
14	knowable by our office.
15	BY MR. GENECIN:
16	Q. Is that one of the pieces of information
17	that's sent to TEAM?
18	A. No.
19	Q. The counties are not required to enter into
20	TEAM any information concerning the date on which a
21	ballot was rejected?
22	A. That's not what I said, sir. Don't twist
23	my words.
24	Q. Please. I'm not trying to twist your
25	words. I'm trying to understand this. You have been



1	doing this a long time. I haven't. I'm just trying to				
2	understand.				
3	Are the counties required to enter the date				
4	of rejection of a ballot into TEAM?				
5	A. Yes.				
6	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.				
7	BY MR. GENECIN:				
8	Q. And so are the counties required to enter				
9	the date of notification to the voter of the rejection				
10	of their ballot into TEAM?				
11	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.				
12	BY THE WITNESS:				
13	A. I want to make sure we are communicating				
14	here. Rejection to me means a final rejection after				
15	the time period for cure has elapsed. What we are				
16	talking about I think is notice of defect before there				
17	is a finality to it, right?				
18	BY MR. GENECIN:				
19	Q. Right. Thank you for the correction.				
20	A. So the notice of defect status is not				
21	entered into the ballot tracker.				
22	Q. Okay.				
23	A. The interim stages are not kept.				
24	Q. So it's not possible to reconstruct from				
25	TEAM what those interim stages were?				



1	Α.	Agreed							
2	Q.	Right.	Or	what	effort	s were	made,	if	any,
3	to notify	the voter	tha	t his	or her	ballo	t could	d be	<u> </u>
4	cured?								
5	Α.	Agreed							

- Q. Now, you also spoke earlier today about concept of not trusting the post office?
 - A. Agreed.
- Q. I would ask you why in your view should voters not trust the post office?

MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. The post office is suffering under budget constraints that have grown worse and worse and worse over time such that their service delivery times where they guarantee delivery by a certain date have increased and gotten longer and longer and longer. That means that their expected time of delivery, the first class mail is now five to seven days. And just because that's their service delivery time, doesn't mean that's what they always do. Sometimes it takes a lot longer than that.

BY MR. GENECIN:

Q. I guess it would be fair to say in a situation where there are short deadlines it's hard to



1	have confidence that people will receive notices by		
2	mail?		
3	A. I would say		
4	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.		
5	BY THE WITNESS:		
6	A. I would say by mail is sort of the last		
7	choice if you want to have actual notice. The best		
8	idea is for ballot boards to meet earlier and to send		
9	notice earlier.		
10	BY MR. GENECIN:		
11	Q. I think you said earlier today that 93,800		
12	Texas voters have either a driver's license or a Social		
13	Security number on file; is that right?		
14	A. In their voter registration record.		
15	Q. And what percentage is that 93,800 people?		
16	What percentage is that of the total of electorate in		
17	Texas?		
18	A. It's roughly half a percent.		
19	Q. How many registered voters all together		
20	does Texas have on the RULES?		
21	A. About 17 1/2 million, give or take.		
22	Q. For the 2022 general election did your		
23	office hire temporary staff?		
24	A. We did not.		
25	Q. In connection with the enactment of SB 1		



and in order to comply with it did your office add staff?

- A. We did not.
- Q. Did your office acquire additional equipment of any kind in connection with the enactment of SB 1 in order to comply with it?
 - A. We did not.
- Q. Did you require additional equipment for the 2022 general election?
- 10 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
- 11 | BY THE WITNESS:

- A. We did not.
- 13 | BY MR. GENECIN:
 - Q. Did your office maintain a hotline for complaints during the 2022 general election?
 - A. Can we back up one second. One thing that we did to comply with SB 1 is it's not an acquiring of new equipment, but we did expand the capability of Texas.gov to be able to register voters electronically into a new county. So it's not acquisition of new equipment, but it is new capability of existing equipment so that voters could update their registration electronically into new counties. It's a version of electronic voter registration for people who are registered to vote.



Q.	Did tha	t expansion	of capacity	of existing
equipment re	equire th	e assistanc	e of software	e engineers
or other ted	chnical p	eople?		

- It's something that we did with the Α. Department of Information Resources and their vendor Deloitte. Deloitte would be the one who had to actually hire any technical people to perform the change.
 - Do you know what that project cost? Q. MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Roughly 100,000. But because we spent that money there were more voter registrations that were done electronically, which meant that we didn't have to hire temporary workers to sort paper applications, which is what we have had to do before every other general election since I have been in this job.

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Turning to complaints. Did your office O. maintain a hotline for complaints during the general election in 2022?
- We have a hotline for anything the public Α. wants to talk to us about.
 - Who staffs that hotline? Ο.
 - We do. Α.



1 0. Are the calls to that hotline recorded in 2. any way? 3 Α. They are not. 4 Is any written record kept of those calls? Q. 5 There is not. Α. Focusing specifically on complaints related 6 0. 7 to the election. Do you keep a written record of those? 8 9 So I don't know what you mean by Α. 10 complaints. You mean if somebody calls to complain 11 that a poll worker was rude to them, there won't be a 12 record of that. But if they send in an election log 13 complaint that says the poll worker was rude to me, we 14 will have a record of that. We do maintain a log of 15 election log complaints that we receive before an 16 election, during election, after election, but people 17 who phone call, we don't keep a record of those. 18 So when you say an election log complaint, Ο. 19 is that done on a specific form or is that just a 20 complaint in writing? 21 Α. Before SB 1 it was on a specific form. 22 After SB 1 the form can be anything that's in writing. 23 So people can send you emails, they can Q. 24 send you letters?



They can and they do.

25

Α.

1 Q. Do they send you texts? 2. Α. Not usually. Okay. The email and letters are saved; is 3 0. 4 that right? 5 They are and there is a log kept of those. Α. 6 0. Who keeps that log? 7 My assistant or my former assistant, Kate Α. Fisher. 8 9 We will request that that log for period of O. 10 the 2022 general election be produced. 11 Α. Sir, with the caveat that we still get 12 complaints about the '22 election. 13 Well, I quess what we will do is we will 0. 14 request that the log to date be produced. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Can you tell me approximately how many Q. complaints you received in connection with the 2022 17 18 general election? 19 I don't have that number at hand. Α. 20 Are you able to approximate it? Q. 21 Α. No. 22 It doesn't matter because you will produce Q. 23 the log and we will see the exact number. 24 Yes, I would rather you do that because I Α. 25 don't know. I know it's been a lot. I know there is a



lot that haven't been reviewed yet so.

MS. HUNKER: For clarification of the record, I believe this complaint log at least an earlier version was produced. To the extent that the complaint logs are produced, there would probably some redactions due to investigator privilege. Any type of referrals that would have been forwarded to the Attorney General's Office that are currently being processed would still fall under that privilege.

MR. GENECIN: Thanks very much for that clarification.

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Q. Let me ask this. Does the log reflect receipt of complaints or does it -- or are entries made only when the complaints have been resolved in some way?
 - A. It has received as well as resolution.
- Q. Would you know how many complaints of fraudulent activity? Do you know how many complaints concerning fraudulent activity your office received in connection with the general election for 2022?
 - MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

23 | BY THE WITNESS:

- 24 A. I do not as we sit here today.
 - BY MR. GENECIN:



```
1
                  Do you know as of today approximately how
           0.
 2.
     many referrals your office has made to the Attorney
     General for fraudulent activities in connection with
 3
 4
     the 2022 general election?
 5
           MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
     BY THE WITNESS:
 6
 7
                  I do not.
           Α.
     BY MR. GENECIN:
 8
 9
                  Can you tell me approximately?
           Ο.
                  I don't know. I don't want to guess.
10
           Α.
11
                  Are you aware, sir, of any fraudulent
           Ο.
12
     activity that you believe was reduced in the 2022
13
     general election compared with the 2018 general
14
     election as a result of any section of SB 1?
15
           MS. HUNKER:
                        Objection, form.
16
     BY THE WITNESS:
17
                  Yes, I don't know.
           Α.
18
           MR. GENECIN: I'd like to take just a brief break
19
     if it's a good time.
20
                         That works.
           MS. HUNKER:
21
                          (WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
22
     BY MR. GENECIN:
23
                  So, Mr. Ingram, does the -- well, not does.
           Q.
     I will withdraw that.
24
25
                  For the 2022 general election, did the
```



Texas Secretary of State track rejections of applications for ballots by mail?

2.

- A. We had the capacity to do so if the counties entered the information. What we found is that not all counties were entering the application information.
- Q. What counties were not entering? Do you have a way of knowing which counties were and were not entering that information?
- A. I can't think of a good way to get that information. We don't know if we don't have because there wasn't any or because they didn't enter it.
- Q. How did you find out there were counties not entering this information?
- A. Because they are entering ballot by mail information without an application information.
- Q. Was it your understanding that the counties were supposed to enter the application information?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What efforts, if any, did your office undertake to contact those counties that were not entering the information to say we need that information to be entered?
 - A. Well, we would call them.
 - Q. Do you have a list of the counties that did



not provide the information?

2.

- A. Not that I know of. We might have had a list at the time, but we don't now.
- Q. Is there a report you could run in -- from TEAM that would show length for certain counties for the applications for ballot by mail?
 - A. I don't know. I don't think so, but maybe.
- Q. In connection with the 2022 general election, did the Texas Secretary of State's Office track rejections of ballots in the general election?

 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So we were required by House Bill 1382 in regular session as modified by SB 1 to have in place a method for ballots to be tracked by voters, and the activity with regard to those ballots to be tracked by voters.

BY MR. GENECIN:

- Q. Is that the information that you provided to voters, is that -- is it possible to collect that information statistically to see numbers of rejections?
- A. Well, we have what information was put into the tracker by the counties.
- Q. Does TEAM include a field for counties to input the reason code for the rejection and application



1	for ballot by mail?
2	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
3	BY THE WITNESS:
4	A. Yes.
5	BY MR. GENECIN:
6	Q. Does TEAM include a field for counties to
7	input a reason code for the rejection of a ballot
8	itself?
9	MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.
10	BY THE WITNESS:
11	A. Yes.
12	BY MR. GENECIN:
13	Q. Does the Secretary of State's Office put
14	out a guide instructing the counties which reason code
15	to use for these rejections?
16	A. We have trainings and we have manuals for
17	the usage of team, yes, sir.
18	Q. To your knowledge, has your office produced
19	the manual with the reason codes for rejections of
20	ABBM, application for ballot by mail?
21	A. Yes. By publishing I mean it's on our TEAM
22	doc share website.
23	MS. HUNKER: For clarification of the record,
24	there are some manuals and training materials for TEAM
25	that can only be produced to the United States when



1	requested because of the protective order and the
2	agreement to extent it shows the infrastructure of TEAM
3	that could lead to a compromise in the integrity of
4	that system.
5	MR. GENECIN: With that I will reserve the
6	remainder of my questions for the 30(b)(6) deposition
7	and pass the witness.
8	MS. HUNKER: Are there any other Plaintiff groups
9	on the Zoom that have questions for the witness? Are
10	there any other parties on Zoom that have questions for
11	the witness?
12	State Defendants will reserve any questions
13	for trial. We can close out the deposition. We would
14	ask to read and sign.
15	(Deposition concluded at 4:18 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX AA

Transcript of the Testimony of Michelle Brown

Date:

April 23, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Michelle Brown April 23, 2022

Pages 182 to 185 Page 182 Page 184 you're aware of, of somebody being unable to vote in Q. Okay. Do you know if -- do you know if 1 1 the March 1st, 2022, primary, correct? 2 African American turnout has -- between March of 2 A. Not to my knowledge. 3 2018 and March 2022 has increased or decreased? 3 4 4 A. According to things I have read, I believe Q. Okay. Thank you. 5 5 Are you aware of any complaints regarding SO. 6 Q. It has increased or decreased? 6 long -- long wait times at polling locations in 7 March of 2022 specifically? 7 A. Increased. 8 Q. Okay. And so --8 A. Not specifically, not without going back 9 MR. GENECIN: I'm sorry, I didn't --9 and reviewing the information we have collected. 10 I'm not sure I understood the question. It's 10 Q. Okay. Are those members of Delta Sigma Theta who are -- who are employees -- so I'm talking 11 increased when -- relative to when? 11 about the president, the -- for example, in the 12 MR. SWEETEN: Well, I said in the --12 executive administration or -- let me ask, are you a 13 A. Before. 13 14 MR. SWEETEN: I said from 2018 to 14 paid --15 2022. 15 A. We don't -- our national headquarter staff 16 BY MR. SWEETEN: 16 is paid only. 17 Q. So March, we just had the election in 17 Q. Okay. Do you know if those are salaries or if those are hourly? 18 March. It's your testimony that black turnout has 18 A. It's probably a combination. I can't be 19 increased in those four years? 19 20 A. I said I believe it has. 20 quite sure. 21 21 Q. Okay. And were there any instances in the Q. Okay. Has any member of Delta Sigma Theta requested any sort of reasonable accommodation --22 March election of members of Delta Sigma Theta 22 having any -- having any problems voting? 23 ask it a different way. 23 24 24 A. In which election? Specific factual question. Are you aware 25 Q. March, the one just a month and a half ago. 25 of any Delta Sigma Theta member who requested a Page 183 Page 185 A. When you say "problems voting," what are reasonable accommodation in the March 1, 2022, 1 1 2 you referring to? 2 primary and was denied that accommodation? A. I'm not aware. 3 Q. In barriers to voting. 3 4 A. Barriers? 4 MR. SWEETEN: Okay. Okay. I think 5 Q. That you were told of or that Delta Sigma 5 I'm getting close, so why don't we take a guick 6 Theta is aware of. 6 break and then I may be able to finish. Okay. 7 A. Just that all of the options that they 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the had -- were able to take -- partake in previously 8 record at 3:22 p.m. 8 9 were not there. 9 (Break taken, 3:22 p.m. to 3:37 p.m.) 10 Q. Okay. Were there any -- was anybody unable 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the to vote in March that you heard about from your -record at 3:37 p.m. 11 11 12 from Delta Sigma Theta in March of 2022? 12 BY MR. SWEETEN: 13 A. I can't attest to who, but possibly they 13 Q. Okay. I want to ask a few questions about were. You mean members of Delta or --SB1 as a whole. I've asked you these about each of 14 14 15 Q. Members of Delta, uh-huh. 15 the specific provisions. But as you're sitting A. Not to my knowledge. 16 here, is there anything in the text of SB1 itself --16 17 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any specific -- do 17 and I'm talking about the text, so facially -- that 18 you have any specific anecdotal cases of somebody expressly mentions race? Is there anything that 18 being unable to vote in the March '22 --19 19 specifically mentions race? 20 A. No. I don't. 20 A. That specifically uses the word "race"? Q. Let me just finish -- March 1, 2022, 21 21 Q. Yes. A. No. 22 primary, you don't -- you're not -- let me ask it 22 23 again. Sorry. 23 Q. Is there anything that discusses ethnicity? 24 A. I'm sorry. 24 When it talks -- no.

25

Okay. Is there --

Q. You don't have any specific instances, that

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX BB

Transcript of the Testimony of Nancy Crowther

Date:

June 17, 2022

Case:

La Union Del Pueblo Entero vs Gregory W. Abbott

Nancy Crowther June 17, 2022
Pages 46 to 49

Page 46

- 1 polling place.
- 2 Q. And did that happen during the November 2020
- 3 general election?
- 4 A. Well, you heard the story on that one.
- 5 Q. Oh, that's right. So it did not happen in the
- 6 November 2020 -- November election, right? The issue of
- 7 not being able to get through doors because no one was
- 8 at the door to see you?
- 9 A. Well, finding the location of the white box, I
- 10 think would be equivalent --
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. -- to not being able to get into a building,
- 13 because sitting in a line of cars while you're in a
- 14 wheelchair is rather intimidating, but...
- 15 Q. I imagine so, and I didn't mean to make any
- 16 statement about that. I just meant to say I'm --
- 17 A. You're right.
- 18 Q. -- trying to talk, specifically, just about the
- 19 door issue. And we can talk about all of those, but the
- 20 door issue didn't happen in the 2020 election --
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Did it happen in the July 2020 Democratic primary
- 23 runoff?
- 24 A. July 2020? No.
- Q. Did it happen any other times in 2020?

1 weren't an issue.

2 Q. Okay. So if we look back to Exhibit 4, which is

Page 48

Page 49

- 3 in front of you, and you flip to the second page -- it's
- 4 printed on both sides -- you'll see a header that reads,
 - 5 "Voters with Disabilities/Curbside Voting."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. The first paragraph reads, "Voters who are
- 9 physically unable to enter the polling location without
- 10 assistance or likelihood of injury to the voter's health
- 11 may ask the presiding election official to allow them to
- 12 vote outside the polling location. The election officer
- 13 will deliver a ballot to the voter at the polling place,
- 14 entrance, or curb."
- 15 And then it cites TEC Section 64.009.
- 16 Did I read that accurately?
- 17 A. You did.
- 18 Q. The second paragraph, right below that, reads,
- 19 "Voters who are planning to vote curbside are encouraged
- 20 to contact us upon their arrival at the polling
- 21 location. Call 512-238-VOTE -- in parentheses, 8683 --
- 22 or 512-854-4996."
- 23 Did I read that correctly?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So you'd agree that curbside voting is

Page 47

- 1 A. I don't believe so, because there were a few of
- 2 the elections, as I stated earlier, that I didn't vote
- 3 in because of COVID.
- 4 Q. But you did vote in the March 2020 Democratic
- 5 primary, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you voted in person, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you face that issue of door access in the
- 10 2020 March Democratic primary?
- 11 A. I don't believe so.
- 12 Q. Okay. So just to sum up, you faced door-access
- 13 issues in the March 2022 primary, and you also faced
- 14 door-access issues in the November 2021 constitutional
- 15 amendment election, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. So Senate Bill 1 did not have any affect
- 18 on whether or not you faced door-access issues, correct?
- 19 A. In what way?
- 20 Q. Senate Bill 1 was not in effect during the time
- 21 of the November 2021 constitutional amendment election,
- 22 and it was in effect during the time of the March 2022
- 23 Democratic primary, so Senate Bill 1 did not affect any
- 24 door-access issues, correct?
- 25 A. I had electric doors at that primary, so doors

1 available in Travis County, right?

- 2 A. In my opinion? I know that curbside voting, in
- 3 my history, was providing people the access to voting
- 4 within the vehicle, and so I'm not sure if they're
- 5 saying this is outside the vehicle or not.
- 6 So that's my clarification issue that I would
- 7 have, but otherwise, if that's what it's stating, that
- 8 people can vote inside or outside their vehicle -- it's
- 9 not clarified there, unless it's in that section of the
- 10 TEC. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Okay. Have you ever called the polling location
- 12 or -- strike that --
- 13 Have you ever called a polling location about
- 14 curbside voting?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. If you look to the next page, there's another
- 17 heading called, "Receiving assistance at the polls."
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. It reads, "You're entitled to receive assistance
- 21 if you cannot read or write or if you have a physical
- 22 disability that prevents you from reading or marking the
- 23 ballot. You may be assisted by a person of your choice
- 24 who is not your union representative or employer. Under
- 25 certain circumstances, election workers are also

Page 50

Nancy Crowther

June 17, 2022

Pages 50 to 53

1 available upon request to assist you."

- 2 Did I read that correctly?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And if you flip back to the first page, at
- 5 the very top of the page, in the upper right-hand
- 6 corner, there is a phone number listed, correct?
- 7 In the top right-hand corner in the black bar at
- 8 the top of the right page --
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. -- there's a phone number listed, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Have you ever called this phone number?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Have you ever called the Travis County Clerk?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Have you ever asked the Travis County Clerk for
- 17 assistance at the polls?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you ever called the Travis County Clerk for
- 20 an accommodation?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. I'm going to introduce one more exhibit,
- 23 which I will mark as Exhibit 5?
- So, this is a notice posted on the Travis County
- 25 Clerk website. Do you see at the top of the page, where

- Page 52 1 implementing ADA requirements, so I have vast knowledge,
- 2 and I don't need to speak with Mr. Moore. I dealt with
- 3 Dana Dubois directly.
- 4 Q. And when was that? You said a long time before
- 5 2020; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you -- just to be clear, you never
- 8 called or contacted Mr. Tim Moore, the ADA coordinator,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. Let's talk about SB1.
- 12 Do you contend that SB1 has harmed you?
- 13 A. I don't feel like I have full knowledge -- or I
- 14 haven't read the whole bill to understand it. I'm not a
- 15 lawyer.
- 16 Q. Some might say that to be a good thing.
- 17 A. Yeah. There are jokes about that.
- 18 But to the basic knowledge, I know that it
- 19 would -- because of my disability that is progressive, I
- 20 will need more and more help, and the help that I will
- 21 be needing is going to be going through more, I want to
- 22 say, threatening issues that could -- how should I say
- 23 this? It could jeopardize the relationship with my
- 24 attendant because of the, What you can do; what you
- 25 can't do. If you instinctively pick up a ballot and

Page 51

- 1 it reads, "Notice to voters with disabilities"?
- 2 (Exhibit 5 marked.)
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) And in the first box, the first
- 5 two sentences read as follows: "The Americans with
- 6 Disabilities Act, ADA, requires that Travis County's
- 7 elections be accessible to individuals with
- 8 disabilities. For any complaints regarding
- 9 accessibility, the Travis County Elections Division has
- 10 a plan of action to promptly resolve any complaints
- 11 received."
- 12 Did I read that correctly?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, in the bottom right-hand conner -- in the
- 15 bottom right-hand box of this notice, it reads as
- 16 follows: "ADA coordinator, Tim Moore" -- and then it
- 17 includes, below that, a phone number and an e-mail
- 18 address.
- 19 Did I represent that accurately?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Have you ever reached out to the Travis County
- 22 Elections Division about accessibility?
- 23 A. Way prior to 2020.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Several decades. I worked with them on

- 1 it's not in the rule to pick up the ballot off the
- 2 floor, you know, those types of things, I would be
- 3 mortified for them if they were to get in trouble just
- 4 for helping me.
- 5 Q. So your concern is about the future effect of
- 6 Senate Bill 1 on your ability to vote, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Has Senate Bill 1 thus far affected your ability
- 9 to vote?
- 10 A. Not to my knowledge. If I had not done any -- I
- 11 haven't tried any mail-in voting or whatever else they
- 12 asked for in SB1 that I know of.
- 13 Q. Okay. Before we move on and go more in depth, do
- 14 you have any personal knowledge of anyone else for whom
- 15 SB1 has affected their ability to vote? Or are the --
- 16 only personal knowledge you have about yourself?
- 17 A. My own knowledge is all I have right now.
- 18 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, you do not have any
- 19 personal knowledge about anybody other than yourself who
- 20 has been affected by SB1 for the ability to vote.
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. I would have to say, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And also, just to be clear, you do not
- 24 have any reason to believe that Senate Bill 1 affected
- 25 your ability to vote in the March 2022 primary only,

Nancy Crowther June 17, 2022 Pages 114 to 117

1

9

Page 114

- 1 attendants drove you to the polls in the March 20 --
- pardon me -- in the May 2022 Democratic primary runoff
- 3 election, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And SB1 was in effect at that time,
- 6 correct?
- A. Yes. 7
- 8 Q. Okay. So the act of driving you to the polls,
- 9 SB1 did not affect your ability -- or the ability of
- 10 your attendants to do that; is that right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, you had mentioned that SB1 affected 12
- 13 your ability to find poll locations, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You had just said that, I believe?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Could you tell me a little bit about that?
- A. There used to be a lot of polling places that
- 19 were very close to me, within blocks. I mean, three
- 20 different locations where I could just roll and be more
- 21 independent. And now I either have to take a bus to it
- 22 or have my attendant drive. And on that particular one,
- 23 I believe it was at that school recreation center, we
- 24 had to drive, because there wasn't any bus service. So
- 25 those are three main ways for me to get to my voting

- the very last section, which is on the very last page.
- specifically Chapter 11, and I would like you to look at

This is a copy of the Texas Election Code,

Page 116

Page 117

- So you can literally just flip it all over, because it
- 5 is double-sided.
- 6 Do you see the top line, where it reads,
- 7 "Section 1.022, Reasonable Accomodation or
- 8 Modification"?
 - (Exhibit 11 marked.)
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. This section reads, "A
- provision of this code may not be interpreted to 12
- prohibit or limit the right of a qualified individual
- 14 with a disability from requesting a reasonable
- 15 accommodation or modification to any election standard
- practice or procedure mandated by law or rule that the
- 17 individual is entitled to request under federal or state
- law." 18

19

21

24

25

- Did I read that accurately?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you know that Texas's election law prohibits
- election authorities from denying voters reasonable 22
- 23 accommodations?
 - MS. SIFUENTES-DAVIS: Objection, form.
 - A. It may prohibit them. It doesn't mean it doesn't

Page 115

- 1 location.
- Q. Okay. So you're saying that you noticed that 2
- there are fewer polling locations near you; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And when did you first notice that?
- 7 A. Almost -- it seems like it was pretty immediate,
- let's see, 2021. 8
- 9 Q. The November 2021 election?
- 10 A. I think so, yeah.
- 11 Q. Okay. And that's when you first noticed it?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Did you notice it in the -- you did not notice
- 14 that issue in the November 2020 primary; is that
- 15 right -- pardon me -- I'm sorry. Strike that -- let me
- 16 rephrase.
- 17 You did not notice that in the November 2020
- 18 general election; is that right? Or did you notice it
- 19 then, too?
- 20 A. No. because I took -- based on where I was. I
- 21 went to the polling place that I knew was there. If I
- 22 was at home, then the ones that are closest to my home
- 23 were no longer available.
- 24 Q. Okay. I'm going to introduce another exhibit,
- 25 which I will mark as Exhibit No. 11.

1 happen.

- Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Have you yourself ever been
- denied an accommodation that you requested?
- 4 A. When you're outside the building, it's hard to
- make an accommodation request. Think about it. Because
- if I can't get into a building -- but I think they've
- 7 been fairly reasonable in helping or modifying.
- Q. Okay. So just to be clear -- and this is not to 8
- 9 skirt the point that you just raised, because I will ask
- 10 about that, but you have not been denied reasonable
- 11 accommodation requests before, have you?
- 12 MS. SIFUENTES-DAVIS: Objection, form.
- 13 A. I haven't asked for one.
- 14 Q. (BY MR. HERBERT) Okay. You have not asked?
- A. I've only asked for the piece to come off the end 15
- 16 of the voting machine.
- 17 Q. Okay. And that was not denied, was it?
- 18
- Q. Okay. And have you ever called to request a 19
- 20 reasonable accommodation?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. Have you ever relied on somebody else to
- 23 distribute or give to you an application to vote by
 - mail, or have you just gone online and gotten it
- 25 yourself?

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX CC

Transcript of the Testimony of **Deborah Chen**

Date:

March 28, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Deborah Chen March 28, 2022 Pages 230 to 233

Page 230 A. -- would directly impact --

- 2 Q. Have any of your members --
- 3 A. -- people's ability to vote.
- 4 Q. Sorry. I thought you were done.
- 5 A. Sorry.

1

- 6 Q. Have any of your members requested an
- 7 accommodation from either a local election official or a
- state official?
- 9 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal 10 conclusion.
- 11 You can answer.
- 12 A. Does that accommodation include like if they go
- 13 to a -- a voting location and they are going to the --
- 14 the shorter mail -- or ballot machine or they're doing
- 15 curbside voting? Does that what your --
- Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) It can. 16
- 17 A. Is that what you mean?
- 18 Q. Let me ask a more specific question.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Which of your members have requested an
- 21 accommodation for one of the provisions in SB 1 as a
- 22 result of their disability?
- 23 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal
- 24 conclusion.
- 25 You can answer.

3

1 Attorney General's Office, correct?

- A. Correct. 2
- Q. Do you contend that is the secretary of state

Page 232

Page 233

- responsible for providing accommodations to voters?
- 5 (Simultaneously speaking.)
- 6 MR. DOLLING: Object -- objection; calls
- 7 for a legal conclusion.
- A. I -- I don't know. 8
- 9 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay. Do you contend it is
- 10 the responsibility of the governor to provide an
- 11 accommodation?
- 12 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal
- 13 conclusion.
- 14 You can answer.
- 15 A. I don't know if the governor's powers extend to
- 16 that level of minutia.
- 17 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay. And do you contend it
- 18 is the attorney general's responsibility to provide an
- accommodation?
- 20 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal
- 21 conclusion.
- 22 You can answer.
- 23 A. I also do not know.
- 24 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay. I'm going to quickly
- 25 bring up Exhibit 9.

Page 231

- A. I'm sorry. I don't know how to answer that. I 1
- 2 don't totally understand the question.
- Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Are you aware of -- are you
- 4 aware of any member who requested an accommodation to
- 5 circumvent or get an exception for one of the provisions 6 in SB 1?
- 7 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for legal
- 8 conclusion.
- 9 You can answer.
- 10 A. I don't know of any member who has requested an
- 11 accommodation. I'm not actually aware that an
- 12 accommodation can be requested.
- 13 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) So you're not aware of any?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And so you're not aware if any request
- 16 for accommodation was denied, presumably?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And OCA-Greater Houston hasn't contacted a
- 19 local election official on behalf of a member seeking an
- 20 accommodation for one of SB 1's provisions, correct?
- 21 A. Correct, we have not.
- 22 Q. And that same answer would be for secretary of
- 23 state or the governor's office?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And the same, you haven't contacted the Texas

1 (Exhibit No. 9 was marked.)

- Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Specifically, we're going to
- 3 turn the page. Well, actually, let me guickly ask
- 4 you -- this is Election Code Title 1, Chapter 1.
- 5 Do you agree with my summary of what this 6 document is?
- 7 A. Title 1, Chapter 1, yes.
- Q. And if we turn to the last section on the
- 9 second page, it says "Section 1.002 [sic]. Reasonable
- 10 accommodation or modification. A provision of this code
- 11 may not be interpreted to prohibit or limit the right of
- 12 a qualified individual with a disability from requesting
- 13 a reasonable accommodation or modification to any
- 14 election standard, practice, procedure mandated by law
- 15 or rule that the individual is entitled to request under
- 16 federal or state law."
- 17 Did I read that correctly?
- 18
- 19 Q. Were you or OCA-Greater Houston aware of this
- 20 provision before today?
- A. This specific line? 21
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. No. I don't have it handy in my memory.
- 24 Q. All right. And so you weren't aware of it when
- 25 you filed lawsuits?

Deborah Chen March 28, 2022 Pages 234 to 237

4

5

Page 234

A. I'm sorry. What?

- 2 Q. You weren't aware of it when you filed this
- 3 particular lawsuit?

1

- A. I think we were aware of the general code, but
- 5 could I quote specific lines? No.
- Q. Okay. Were you aware that Texas election law
- 7 prohibits election authorities from denying a voter a
- 8 reasonable accommodation to any election standard,
- practice, or procedure even if it was mandated by law?
- 10 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal 11 conclusion.
- 12 You can answer.
- 13 A. I don't know how to answer that.
- 14 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) So you were -- were you aware
- 15 of it? The answer is no? The answer can be no.
- 16 Were you aware that Texas's law prohibits
- 17 election authorities from denying a voter a reasonable
- 18 accommodation to any election standard, practice, or
- 19 procedure even if mandated by law?
- 20 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal
- 21 conclusion.
- 22 You can answer.
- 23 A. I don't know how to answer that.
- 24 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay. What is the basis for
- 25 OCA-Greater's Houston [sic] belief that its members'
 - Page 235
- 1 request for an accommodation would be denied?
- MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for 2
- 3 speculation.
- 4 You can answer.
- A. I'm not aware of any provision that gives you a
- 6 process or a procedure for how you can request an
- 7 accommodation in a way that is not something that would
- 8 cost a lot of money or time.
- 9 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) So you're simply not aware of
- 10 what the accommodation process would be. Is that your
- 11 answer?
- 12 A. No. I'm saying that I don't believe one
- 13 exists.
- 14 (Simultaneously speaking.)
- 15 A. There's nothing that's stated in SB 1 that says
- 16 that -- as far as I can remember right now, of all the
- 17 provisions that we've talked about today, nowhere in
- 18 there does it state "But if you need an accommodation,
- 19 here's where you go" or "Here's what you do."
- 20 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Isn't that -- isn't that what
- 21 Chapter 1 just said?
- 22 A. No. That simply says that they have the right
- 23 and they're entitled to request. It does not state how
- 24 or where.
- 25 Q. So your basis for the belief that request for

Page 236

- 1 accommodation would be denied is that you don't think
- 2 that the process exists?
- 3 MR. DOLLING: Objection.
 - A. I don't --
 - MR. DOLLING: Mischaracterizes testimony.
- 6 You can answer.
- A. I don't totally understand this question of my 7
- 8 basis. I'm not stating I have a -- I have not stated I
- 9 have a basis or that I am stating a claim that some kind
- 10 of request for modification would be denied. That's not
- 11 what I've asserted.
- 12 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) So you're not --
- 13 A. What I'm stating and what the lawsuit states is
- 14 the way SB 1 is written, on its face, it provides
- 15 opportunities to prevent people from being able to vote,
- 16 to prevent us as an organization to be able to provide
- 17 information and assistance.
- There's literally nowhere in there where we 18
- 19 have said -- or -- or rather where SB 1 says "But if you
- 20 want accommodation, here's" -- "here's how you" --
- 21 "here's how you go do that." And that's not what we're
- 22 asserting.
- 23 Q. But you're aware that members request
- 24 accommodation regarding, let's say, access to a
- 25 certain -- a certain voting machine because of a

- 1 disability, correct?
 - A. Those are two entirely different issues.
 - 3 Q. It's the same process.
 - A. No, it's not. 4
 - 5 Q. Why not?
 - 6 A. Because one, if a member were to show up at a
 - 7 voting location, it is that precinct judge's
 - 8 responsibility or the poll clerks who work there to be
 - 9 able to say, "Do you need an accommodation?" Right? If
 - 10 someone comes up rolling in with a wheelchair, then the
 - 11 natural assumption of that poll clerk, they may not
 - 12 verbalize it, but they will naturally direct that person
 - 13 to the machine that is short enough or low enough for
 - 14 that person to use it.
 - 15 That is completely separate from the
 - 16 language of the law as written on its face. Nowhere
 - 17 here does it say "This is the process if you need some
 - 18 kind of accommodation." So I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm not
 - 19 quite understanding why this is even being asked.
 - 20 Q. Are you aware that SB 1 simply amends the
 - 21 election code; and so, therefore, other provisions of
 - 22 the election code also control, correct?
 - 23 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for legal 24 conclusion.
 - 25 You can answer.

Deborah Chen

March 28, 2022

Pages 238 to 241

Page 238

- A. I'm -- I would guesstimate that different parts
- 2 of the code should apply and work with -- in concert
- 3 with each other. Whether or not they actually do that,
- 4 I think isn't -- ultimately, it's still drafted by
- 5 people.
- 6 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Okay.
- 7 A. So there's still room for parts to contradict.
- 8 Q. So you haven't looked at whether or not an
- 9 accommodation would be accepted, correct? You haven't
- 10 done any research into that?
- 11 A. I haven't felt the need to.
- 12 Q. And you haven't done any research into whether
- 13 or not there is an accommodation process that's been set
- 14 up?
- 15 A. No. I have not needed to.
- 16 Q. I am going to introduce Exhibit No. 10.
- 17 (Exhibit No. 10 was marked.)
- 18 Q. (BY MS. HUNKER) Do you recognize this
- 19 document?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What is it?
- 22 A. It is a statement made by one of our staff
- 23 members after working on the exit survey on election day
- 24 for the March 1st primaries.
- 25 Q. This document was produced to my office last

- Page 240 1 when -- has it been sent, when was it going to be sent.
- 2 Q. And is this the only election poll survey
- 3 statement in OCA-Greater Houston's possession?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When you say "yes," do you mean yes for Laura
- 6 Floyd specifically or all election poll survey
- 7 statements?

9

- 8 A. All the election survey -- exit -- I'm sorry.
 - All the exit poll surveys that were
- 10 conducted on that day, I did not make a copy. I
- 11 submitted everything to AALDEF to compile. So I don't
- 12 remember if somebody else, a voter, had, like, filled
- 13 out a form or not on that day.
- 14 Q. Okay. So you don't --
- 15 A. So this is the only one in -- in my possession.
- 16 Q. That's -- this is the -- that's what I'm
- 17 seeking clarification.
- This is the only exit poll survey statement
- 19 in OCA-Greater Houston's custody, care, or control; is
- 20 that correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. However, you received other statements,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. I don't know off the top of my head.
- 25 Q. Would it be safe to assume you may have since

Page 239

- 1 week by your counsel.
- 2 Is Laura Floyd a member of OCA-Greater
- 3 Houston?
- 4 A. I believe so.
- 5 Q. And so she's also a volunteer?
- 6 A. She is a paid staff person. I do not know if
- 7 she has renewed her membership to be a voting member.
- 8 But she is -- she would be considered a member, it's
- 9 just a matter of whether she votes or not.
- 10 Q. So you don't know if she's a voting member but
- 11 you believe that she is a member generally, a non---
- 12 A. Yes. She's been a -- a longtime volunteer and
- 13 recently joined us as staff last year.
- 14 Q. And how did you acquire the exit poll
- 15 statement?
- 16 A. This was e-mailed to me by Laura Floyd.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you solicit it?
- 18 A. I don't know what you mean by "solicit."
- 19 Q. Did you ask her to -- to send you exit poll
- 20 statements, or is this something she did on her own?
- A. This is something that she typed on her own
- 22 that she had told me she was going to do on election 23 dav.
- 24 Q. Uh-huh.
- 25 A. So I did follow-up and ask her when I --

- 1 it was a primary election? Or do you usually receive
- 2 more than one?
- A. You know, that totally varies, depending on the
- 4 election cycle, depending on the individual voters.
- 5 Sometimes voters are not willing to fill something out.
- 6 They don't want to leave their name or contact
- 7 information, and so they -- they don't even though they
- 8 may have said there was a problem. Other times, people
- 9 are willing to -- to fill something out.
- This was a statement by a staff person.
- 11 Q. And this was submitted on March 1st, 2022,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. No. This was sent later. The date on there is
- 14 just identifying the date of the election and the date15 that this happened.
- 16 Q. Okay. Thank you for the clarification.
- 17 And what was her role at this polling
- 18 location?
- 19 A. She was a site supervisor for the exit survey
- 20 that we were conducting at this particular location.
- 21 Q. And what would she be doing in that capacity?
- 22 A. Essentially, asking people as they came out,
- 23 within -- and when they, you know, finished voting or as
- 24 they were going into voting, "Would you please," you
- 25 know, "consider filling out an exit survey? We're here

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX DD

Transcript of the Testimony of Pamiel Gaskin

Date:

June 29, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Pamiel Gaskin June 29, 2022

Pages 18 to 21 Page 18 Page 20 during the special session. Do you understand that, 1 school. He called me. He said, "For your birthday, ma'am? 2 I'm going to come get you. Give me your schedule, 2 3 your class schedule, and I'm going to come get you A. I understand that. and get you registered to vote." 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. 4 5 5 Now, do you understand that the So I told him what my class schedule 6 United States and OCA Greater Houston are plaintiffs 6 was. He showed up on a Thursday afternoon, about 1 7 in this case? o'clock. Drove me back to Galveston County. 7 8 Α. I don't know what OCA Houston is. 8 That morning -- next morning took me 9 Q. Okay. I'll move on. It's not 9 to register to vote, and drove me back to Austin 10 important. 10 that afternoon so I could go to my 1 o'clock class. 11 Do you understand that you were 11 Q. That's great. disclosed as a potential witness in this case? 12 Do you remember what class it was? 12 No. No. No. I'm 75. That was -- I 13 I do understand that. 13 Α. Α. 14 Q. Do you know why that was done? 14 was 21 then. 15 Because I had some issues with my Sometimes when you've got great stories Α. 15 Q. 16 16 attempts to -- to receive my application for a like that, you remember random details, that sort of 17 mail-in ballot. 17 thing. 18 Any other reasons that you're aware of? 18 A. That's not a detail I remember. Q. 19 Α. 19 Q. Well, that's a long drive from Austin to 20 Q. Okay. Now, I'm glad that you mentioned 20 Galveston. 21 A. 21 that, ma'am, because I do want to talk about that a Yeah. little bit. But I have a few preliminary questions Now, you said that you voted in the 22 Q. 23 first. 23 March 2022 primary; correct? 24 24 A. I did. A. Okay. 25 25 Q. Now, are you registered to vote? Q. Okay. Now, I understand you had some Page 21 Page 19 trouble voting in that election; correct? 1 Α. I am. 2 I did. 2 Q. Where? A. Now, in your own words, can you tell me 3 Α. Fort Bend County. 3 Q. about what happened. 4 Q. Fort Bend County. 4 5 5 Sure. In Texas you have to apply every Have you ever always been registered 6 there? 6 year for a mail-in ballot. So part of my just Well, since I lived there in -- since 46 general housekeeping is that I take care of those 7 Α. types of things the first week of the month --8 vears. 9 9 Q. Okay. Have you ever been registered anywhere Q. -- of January. 10 else? 10 11 So on or about January 3rd, I pulled 11 Α. I was registered in Harris County prior 12 out -- I went to Fort Bend County's website. Pulled 12 to that. down the application to vote by mail for both me and 13 13 Q. Harris County. my husband. Pulled them down. Filled them out. We 14 Α. And Galveston County prior to that. 15 Q. And Galveston County. Okay. 15 signed them. Well -- and I mailed it in. Mailed And I think I remember reading some 16 them in. 16 great story about the first time that you registered 17 And about ten days later, I got a to vote in Galveston County. I think your father letter saying that, through no fault of mine, my 18 18 drove you to get registered there, something like 19 application had been rejected. 19 20 So I called the election office and 20 that? 21 spoke with Veronica -- Veronica Fernandez, who is 21 Α. He did. the early voting clerk. They don't have -- it's not 22 Q. Tell me a little bit about that.

23

24

25

form.

You had to be 21 to vote at that time.

My birthday is in March; so I was in

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

Okay.

a big office. And she said I had used the wrong

I said, "Well, Veronica, I got the

Pamiel Gaskin

June 29, 2022

Pages 22 to 25

Page 22 Page 24 form from you guys." And on the 28th of January -- no. 1 2 She said, "Well, the Secretary of 2 the 31st of January, I got my ballots in the mail. 3 State didn't send us the right forms in time, and we 3 28 days, three tries. didn't populate the correct form until around the 4 And then you submitted those ballots Q. 5 5 6th of January." correctly --I said. "Okay. Is the correct form 6 6 A. I did. there now?" 7 Q. -- correct? Yeah. 7 8 She said. "Yes." 8 And did you track those ballots 9 So I pulled the correct form down. 9 after you submitted them? 10 Filled it out. Mailed it in. And I had just -- it 10 A. I did. was -- this was on the 13th. 11 11 Q. Where did you track them? 12 On the 20th, I had just finished a 12 On ballot tracker. You go to the County 13 League of Women Voters activity, and I was on my way 13 site, and it's a little thing you click on that says 14 home. And Veronica called me and said, "Don't shoot "Track my ballot." 14 15 the messenger. Your application has been rejected." 15 Q. And I guess it lets you know once the 16 I said, "For what?" 16 ballot's been accepted? She said, "You didn't put the 17 17 It tells you. You can see when it was 18 correct ID on your application." 18 saved; you can see when it was accepted; and you can I said, "Veronica, what do you mean see -- they use a funny term for it being processed. 19 20 I didn't put the right ID? It asks for my driver's And I don't remember what it is. But you can tell 21 license number, and that's what I put on there." 21 when it's being processed. 22 She says, "Well" --22 Q. And your and your husband's votes were 23 I said, "What was I supposed to put 23 eventually processed; correct? 24 on it?" 24 A. Yes, they were. 25 She said, "Well, I can't tell you, 25 Okay. Well, thank you for explaining Q. Page 23 Page 25 because if I do, I could go to jail because of the that to me. I want to ask a few questions just so I 1 2 new law." 2 make sure I understand all of the details of it. 3 And I said, "Well, obviously since 3 So when your first -- your first you're only asking for two things, it must be my application that was rejected, you received a notice Social Security number." I said, "What number is 5 in the mail from the County; correct? 5 6 it?" 6 Α. Uh-huh. 7 And she said, "It's the number you 7 Q. And that first form was rejected because use when -- the ID you used when you first 8 you had inadvertently used the wrong form; correct? registered to vote that's in your voter record 9 No. I didn't inadvertently use the 10 here." wrong form, because that would tend to -- I used the 11 And I said, "Well, Veronica, I form that the County provided, and it was the wrong 12 registered to vote 46 years ago in this county. 12 form. What" -- so I said, "Okay. It could only be one of 13 Q. 13 two things, since you're only asking for two things. 14 14 Α. It wasn't inadvertent on my part. I "So is it my -- if I tell you what 15 15 didn't have a choice either/or. 16 it is, can you confirm that?" 16 Q. You used the only form that was on the 17 She said, "Yes, I can do that." 17 website? 18 I said, "Okay. Is it my Social 18 A. I used the only form that was available Security number?" 19 19 to me, yes. 20 She said, "Yes, it is." 20 Q. And the form that was available to you 21 I said, "Thank you very much." 21 was incorrect? 22 I pulled down another form. And 22 Α. That is correct. 23 this time, leaving nothing to chance, everywhere 23 Q. Okay. You don't think the County there was a blank, even on the optional information, 24 purposely kept the old form on the website, do you? 25 I filled it all in, and mailed it back on the 14th. 25 A. No.

Pamiel Gaskin

June 29, 2022

Pages 50 to 53

Page 50

- 1 A. I think they're trying to make it harder
- 2 for African-Americans; for Hispanic-surnamed
- 3 individuals.
- 4 Q. (BY MR. DISORBO) Any other races or
- 5 ethnicities?

6

- A. Maybe some -- some other immigrant
- 7 categories who have been naturalized citizens with a
- 8 right to vote.
- 9 Q. So now let's talk about places.
- 10 When you meant -- strike that.
- 11 When you were saying certain types
- 12 of folks who live in certain places like urban
- 13 places, what did you mean, ma'am?
- 14 A. Well, all I can do is look at the
- 15 actions that were taken and draw my -- my own
- 16 conclusions.
- 17 When Harris County, which is the
- 18 largest county in Texas, when the actions of the
- 19 legislature were to stop drive-through voting, which
- 20 made it convenient for people. They stopped a lot
- 21 of the innovations that were made in Harris County.
- 22 They picked on Harris County. And other counties
- 23 were doing some of the same things, but they sued
- 24 Harris County because Harris County has a very large
- 25 Democratic-leaning base of people -- of voters.
 - Page 51
- 1 Q. And so do you think that some of those
- 2 measures were intended to -- do you think some of
- 3 those measures were partisan? That is, you're --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- in the sense that they were designed
- 6 to favor one party over another?
- 7 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.
- 8 A. That's my opinion.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. DiSORBO) Okay. Now, you
- 10 mentioned drive-through voting in Harris County.
- 11 It's your understanding that SB1 prohibits
- 12 drive-through voting; is that correct, ma'am?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Okay. Any other innovations, as you
- 15 say, that you're talking about?
- 16 A. I think -- the one that I find is
- 17 particularly burdensome for people in a county as
- 18 large physically as Harris County is the limitation
- 19 on drop boxes, that Harris County is going to
- 20 have -- has the same -- can have the same number of
- 21 drop boxes as Deaf Smith County or Brazoria County.
- 22 So a person in the far north --
- 23 northeast Harris County would probably have to drive
- 24 50 miles for a drop boxes, whereas if you take some
- 25 little-bitty, tiny county, they may only have to

- 1 drive three blocks.
- 2 Q. Folks can mail their ballots in, though;

Page 52

Page 53

- 3 right?
- 4 A. If you are one of four categories.
- 5 Everybody can't mail their ballot in. The only
- 6 people who can mail a ballot in are if you're 65 and
- 7 older; if you're incarcerated; if you are disabled;
- 8 or -- let's see --
- 9 Q. Pregnant.
- 10 A. Yeah. They added that. Within three
- 11 weeks of delivery on either side.
- 12 Q. Something like that. Yeah.
- 13 A. Yes. Yes.
 - Q. They recently added that; right?
- 15 A. Yeah. That's in SB1.
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. Yeah. So everybody -- you can't say
- 18 that everybody can mail their ballots in. They
- 19 can't.

14

- 20 Q. The folks who are eligible to vote
- 21 absentee can mail their ballots in?
- 22 A. Can mail. That is correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. So far as measures that you think
- 24 were designed to affect urban areas, we've got
- 25 drive-through voting, drop boxes. Anything else,

1 ma'am?

- 2 A. I'm sure there are, but right now,
- 3 they're not coming to mind.
- 4 Q. None that you can remember sitting right
- 5 here?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you're registered in Fort Bend
- 8 County; right?
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Did they have drive-through voting in
- 11 2020?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. So you've never voted via drive-through,
- 14 have you?
- 15 A. No
- 16 Q. Do you know anybody who has?
- 17 A. I know people in Harris County who voted
- 18 drive-through.

19

- Q. Yes, ma'am. That's what I meant.
- 20 A. Now, there is in the voting
- 21 provisions -- but it's been there; it was before
- 22 SB1 -- curbside voting. And you can -- if you're
- 23 disabled or whatever, you can drive up to the -- to
- 24 the voting location. And someone can go and take
- 25 your ID to the election judge, and they will bring a

Pamiel Gaskin

June 29, 2022

Pages 54 to 57

Page 54 Page 56 machine out to the car. African-Americans, Hispanics, and some other folks. 1 2 Yes, ma'am. And that helps --Specifically I want to understand a little bit But that is not drive-through voting. better what provisions you think do that. 3 3 4 That's curbside voting for disabled people. 4 So we talked about the mail-in Yes, ma'am. 5 5 voting identification requirement; we talked about Q. 6 And there's still curbside voting; 6 drive-through voting and about drop boxes. 7 Are there any other provisions that 7 is that right? 8 8 you think are discriminatory? Α. Yes. 9 Q. And that helps disabled folks; right? 9 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. 10 A. And that's a good thing. 10 A. I don't know. 11 Q. Yes, ma'am. 11 Q. (BY MR. DiSORBO) None that you can 12 That's a good thing. think of sitting right here? Α. 12 13 Q. So --13 A. None that I can think of sitting right MS. HARRIS: I know we've been going 14 14 here, no. about an hour. Is this -- I just want to 15 Okay. Now, let's talk about the mail-in 15 Q. 16 check in if folks need a break. 16 ballot application, and then we'll talk about some 17 See how you're doing also. Are you 17 of the other ones too. Why do you think -- strike that. 18 okay? Are you doing okay? 18 19 THE WITNESS: I'm okay for a little 19 Let's make sure we are talking about the same thing 20 20 here. while. 21 21 MR. DiSORBO: So I'm happy to defer So for mail-in ballots, you've got 22 to you, ma'am. 22 to submit an application to get a mail-in ballot; is 23 (BY MR. DiSORBO) So I want to talk a 23 that right? 24 little bit about drive-through voting and drop 24 A. That's correct. boxes. And you may not know much about this, but 25 Q. And on is that ballot, you got to put Page 55 Page 57 you may. I'll also ask it about drop boxes. your identification number; is that right? 1 1 2 Do you know folks who submitted 2 Α. Uh-huh. 3 their ballots via drop box before? 3 Q. Now, why is it you think that's A. No. 4 discriminatory? 4 5 5 Okay. So let's talk just about MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. Calls 6 drive-through voting, then, because you told me that 6 for a legal conclusion. you know some folks who used drive-through voting in 7 Why do I think it's discriminatory? Α. 2020; is that right? (BY MR. DiSORBO) Yes, ma'am. 8 8 Q. 9 A. Uh-huh. 9 I don't think it's discriminatory. I 10 Okay. Do you know if any of those folks 10 think it's unnecessary. voted in 2022? Okay. Now, let's talk about drop boxes. 11 11 What's your understanding of how the 12 Α. I'm sure -- well, no. I don't know --12 13 You don't know? Q. drop boxes works, ma'am? 13 14 A. -- if somebody voted. No. 14 My understanding is that if you have a Okay. You don't keep in touch with any 15 Q. mail-in ballot, you can go to a drop box and drop it 15 of those particular folks? 16 16 in. 17 I keep in touch with all my friends, but 17 Q. Okay. That's not discriminatory, is it? 18 I don't ask them -- I don't know if somebody voted. 18 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. 19 Okay. Do you think they probably voted A. It could be. 19 20 by some other means? (BY MR. DiSORBO) Now, how so? 20 Q. 21 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. 21 Well, if you -- it could discriminate 22 A. against those who don't have reasonable access to I think they voted. 22 23 (BY MR. DiSORBO) Okay. Now -- so we 23 the drop boxes. If you've got to drive 50 miles, talked about -- I want to understand a little bit 24 that's discriminatory. better why you think SB1 discriminates against 25 And so you -- okay. So --

Pamiel Gaskin June 29, 2022 Pages 82 to 85

Page 82 Okay. I thought you were talking about

2 a different form.

3 So if I understand it correctly,

4 when you give voter assistance, you have to fill out

a form that says "I didn't receive compensation for 5

6 helping this person vote"?

You have to check little box on the Α.

8 ballot.

1

7

11

19

3

6

9 Q. Okay. My apologies. That's what I was

10 talking about.

That's on the voting -- on the ballot Α.

12 form.

13 Q. Okay. That's what I was talking about.

14 And that box says that "I didn't

receive any compensation from a candidate or a

campaign --16

17 A. That is correct.

18 Q. -- for my assistance"; is that right?

Do you have any problem checking

20 that box?

21 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.

22 A. When I help my husband?

23 (BY MR. DiSORBO) Yes, ma'am. Q.

24 A. No. No.

25 Do you think that campaigns or Q.

1 the form for him: is that right?

2 Are you talking about an application to

vote or to vote because I assist him at the polling 3

place, you know, in the few times we have voted in

person?

6 Q. I'll ask you about both, but I'll ask

7 you about mail first.

8 So when your husband votes via

9 absentee, have you ever had any trouble helping fill

out the form for him? 10

11 Α. No.

Q. And then when you vote in person, ever 12

had any trouble helping him fill out the ballot? 13

Α.

14

19

1

9

13

16

17

Q. Do you think that state law should 15

guarantee disabled folks reasonable accommodations 16

17 to vote if they need one?

18 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.

Α. Yes, I do.

20 Q. (BY MR. DiSORBO) It would be a good

21 thing if it did that?

22 Α. Absolutely.

23 So I want to mention a few other SB1

24 provisions -- well, I just want to talk about it

25 more generally.

Page 83

candidates should be allowed to pay people to assist 1

2 others to vote?

MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.

4 I don't know. I haven't thought about Α.

5 it, actually.

> Q. (BY MR. DiSORBO) Okay. So my

understanding is -- for why you might not want that

is that either the person giving the assistance or

the voter might feel pressured to support the

10 candidate or campaign who was paying for the

assistance. Does that make sense? 11

12 MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.

13 Α. I don't know.

(BY MR. DiSORBO) You don't know? 14 Q.

15 Α. No.

16 Okay. You've never received any Q.

17 compensation for helping your --

18 No. A.

25

19 Q. -- husband?

Okay. Have you ever had any trouble 20

21 assisting your husband voting?

22 Α. Like what?

23 You've always been able to -- let me see Q.

24 if I can ask you this way.

You've always been able to fill out

Page 85 So I want to make sure in my head

Page 84

I'm keeping track of the different things we've

talked about. So we've got mail-in ballots and the

identification requirements. We've got 24-hour 4

voting. We've got drive-through voting. We've got 5

6 drop boxes. And is it fair to say that you think

those -- that you oppose those SB1 provisions? 7

MS. HARRIS: Objection; form. 8

> Α. What provisions?

10 (BY MR. DiSORBO) The mail-in ballot,

24 -- mail-in identification, 24-hour voting, 11

12 drive-through, and drop boxes.

MS. HARRIS: Objection; form.

14 A. I'm not sure that I would say -- I mean,

you're making a very global statement here. 15

(BY MR. DiSORBO) Okay. Q.

A. And I'm not a global person. Okay? So

you want to take them one at a time? 18

Sure. Yeah. So let's start with the 19

20 mail-in identification requirement. You don't

support that requirement, do you, ma'am? 21

22 Α. No.

23 Q. Okay. And so let's talk about 24-hour

voting next. You don't support the prohibition of

24-hour voting, do you, ma'am?

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX EE

Transcript of the Testimony of John Bucy III

Date:

August 09, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO V. GREGORY W. ABBOTT

John Bucy III August 09, 2022
Pages 66 to 69

Page 66

- 1 amendment was included in SB1?
- 2 A I'd have to check. I think language changed multiple
- 3 times throughout SB1 on this provision.
- 4 Q Okay. I want to look at others that you got passed.
- 5 So we're still talking about Senate Bill 7 and the regular
- 6 session of the 87th Legislature. You also -- you also
- 7 introduced Amendment Number 9, which I'll hand you now as
- 8 Exhibit Number 20.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q And here you've got a Section 4.09 regarding Internet
- 11 posting, and can you describe what your intent was with respect
- 12 to this amendment, Representative Bucy?
- 13 A A quick scan, I believe this is legislation around
- 14 transparency by posting election information on a website to
- 15 make it easier for voters to figure out details about an
- 16 election.
- 17 Q Okay. And you added language in Section 4.09 here
- 18 that did just that; right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And you would agree with me that the Republican
- 21 legislature on the floor of the House adopted your Amendment
- 22 Number 9 and it was made part of SB7 until such time as SB7
- 23 died following the quorum; correct?
- 24 A I agree that we adopted in the House that day.
- 25 Unfortunately the Senate in the conference committee stripped a

- Page 68
- 1 trying to raise it and make sure that somebody knows that --
- 2 what they're doing when they do it; right?
- 3 A Absolutely.
- 4 Q And you would agree with me that the Republican
- 5 legislature adopted that amendment to SB7 on May 7, 2021;
- 6 correct?

7

- A I agree that the House did that, yes.
- Q The House did. Okay. And so now I want to look at
- 9 your fourth and final amendment, this is Exhibit Number 22, and
- 10 you can see this is Amendment 12.
- 11 A (Reviewing.)
- 12 Q So I particularly want to draw your attention to
- 13 Section 31.017 that is entitled Internet Database of Election
- 14 Information; right?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q It says: The secretary of state shall post on the
- 17 secretary of state's public Internet website the database
- 18 containing information provided by each authority responsible
- 19 for giving notice of an election in this state. And that it --
- 20 and the database was required to keep certain information
- 21 there; correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Now, this is an amendment. Why did you propose this
- 24 amendment, Representative Bucy?
- 25 A It's another transparency amendment to make it easier

- 1 lot of things. I don't remember where this falls into that.
- Q Okay. But nevertheless, you were successful in
- 3 getting this amended -- this amendment as made part of Senate
- 4 Bill 7 on May 7, 2021.
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q All right. Let's look at one more amendment, number
- 7 -- two more amendments. Amendment Number 11.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q Okay. Exhibit 21.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q And here you introduced an amendment that says --
- 12 that added -- it says: Amend CSSB 7, House committee printing,
- 13 Page 13, Lines 14 and 15, by striking, False information that
- 14 was entered on the form by the judge, and substituting,
- 15 Information that the judge entered on the form knowing it was
- 16 false. Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A You did.
- 18 Q What were you doing with this -- this now Amendment
- 19 11? What was your purpose?
- 20 A I think I'd have to go back and look at the whole
- 21 context, but what I'm seeing here is just that they had to
- 22 knowingly put false information, not accidentally put false
- 23 information.
- 24 Q Okay. So once again you're sort of addressing this
- 25 mens rea criminal -- criminal-intent requirement and you're

- Page 69 1 for Texas voters to learn about elections, what's going on,
- 2 who's on the ballot.
- 3 Q And when you offered this on the House floor, it was
- 4 adopted by the House; correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And that's a Republican House with a Republican
- 7 speaker, you've got your fourth -- you got four amendments
- 8 through on SB1; right -- SB7; right?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q All right. Now I want to change horses on you and I
- 11 want to talk about Senate Bill 1 and ask if you've got some --
- 12 if you were able to get an amendment adopted on Senate Bill 1
- 13 in the second legislative session.
- 14 MR. BRAZIL: Would that be the second special
- 15 session?
- 16 MR. SWEETEN: Yes, sir, yes, sir.
- 17 BY MR. SWEETEN (resuming):
- 18 Q So -- so this -- I'm going to show you from the Texas
- 19 Legislature Online from the second special session.
- 20 A It was a long summer.
- 21 Q It was a long summer. You were amending quite a bit.
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q So you can see -- if you look all the way down to
- 24 Number 11 there --
- 25 A Yes.

John Bucy III August 09, 2022
Pages 70 to 73

Page 70

- 1 Q And it says, Bucy; it says, Type, Amendment and
- 2 Action Adopted, 8/26/2021; right?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Okay. And this -- this was an amendment about
- 5 reasonable accommodations or modifications. So I'm going to
- 6 let you read that and then I want to talk a little bit about
- 7 it.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 COURT REPORTER: For the record, this is
- 10 Exhibit 23 and he's now looking at Exhibit 24?
- 11 MR. SWEETEN: Yes, looking at 24. Thank you.
- 12 COURT REPORTER: No problem.
- 13 BY MR. SWEETEN (resuming):
- 14 Q Have you had a chance to read the amendment that you
- 15 offered to SB1 that was adopted?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Okay. Let's -- I'm going to read it to you. The
- 18 language that you've added to SB1 says the following,
- 19 Reasonable Accommodation for Modification. It says: A
- 20 provision of this code may not be interpreted to prohibit or
- 21 limit the right of a qualified individual with a disability
- 22 from requesting a reasonable accommodation or modification to
- 23 any election standard, practice or procedure mandated by law or
- 24 rule that the individual is entitled to request under federal
- 25 or state law; correct?

- Page 72 Q All right. Who provided the language for the bill,
- 2 was that Disability Rights Texas?
- 3 A I'd have to check with my staff. Whether they did it
- 4 or I worked in partnership, I'm not sure.
- 5 Q All right. So I'm going to hand you -- excuse me.
- 6 I'm going to hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 25. And you
- 7 can see on the front this is the transcription of an
- 8 audio/video recording of the House Select Committee on
- 9 Constitutional Rights and Remedies. Did I describe that page
- 10 correctly?

A Yes.

11

- 12 Q Now, it's my understanding that chairing this select
- 13 committee was one Andrew Murr from Junction; correct?
- 14 A No. I believe he was the bill; Trent Ashby was --
- 15 would've been the chairman.
- 16 Q Ashby was the chair, and what was Murr's role in the
- 17 bill?

24

- 18 A I believe he was the bill author.
- 19 Q Okav.
- 20 A That's probably what it was.
- 21 Q All right. And so by bill author, you're saying bill
- 22 author of Senate Bill 1; correct?
- 23 A Correct. I guess bill sponsor.
 - Q Okay. So here on -- on Page 7, Chairman Ashby says

Page 73

25 members were about to lay out Senate Bill 1. Do you see that?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And -- and the -- the purpose of that amendment was
- 3 to make sure that SB1 also encompassed other state and federal
- 4 laws that accommodated those with disabilities; correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q Who talked with you about this amendment? Which --
- 7 were there disability groups and the like?
- 8 A Yeah. Disability Rights Texas, I believe, would be
- 9 one, if not the one, that really comes to mind and who we met 10 with about this possibly.
- 11 Q And who met with them?
- 12 A Myself at times or my staff.
- 13 Q Okay. And -- and they proposed Disability Rights
- 14 Texas proposed this change to SB1; correct?
- 15 A I believe that's correct.
- 16 Q And you thought it was a commonsense and good
- 17 provision to add to SB1; correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And you would agree with me that when you offered
- 20 that amendment to SB1, it was adopted.
- 21 A It was adopted.
- 22 Q By the Republican legislature that otherwise passed
- 23 SB1; correct?
- 24 A I think by a bipartisan group. I'd have to look at
- 25 the vote breakdown.

- 1 Just on the first line.
 - A Oh, okay. Yeah, I do.
 - 3 Q Okay. And if we can flip over to Page 31, here you
 - 4 -- you're having a colloquy between you and Chair Ashby on Page
 - 5 31. Do you see that?
 - 6 A Yes.
 - 7 Q Okay. And -- and you say -- you're asking a question
 - 8 of Representative Murr, and you say: Can you describe what
 - 9 free movement is? Just to better understand it.
 - 10 A I do see that.
 - 11 Q And -- and what was that in relation to,
 - 12 Representative Bucy?
 - 13 A This would have dealt with poll watchers.
 - 14 Q Okay. So we're talking about the issue of poll
 - 15 watchers. By the way, in your -- I forgot what your title was,
 - 16 but I think you were county chair for the Democratic Party in
 - 17 Williamson County.
 - 18 A In 2016.
 - 19 Q In 2016. So when you were party chair, did you ever
 - 20 utilize poll watchers at the polls?
 - 21 A We didn't directly, but I think, you know, candidates
 - 22 could, some probably did. You know, back then, it was
 - 23 something that, you know, if you want to do it, you can sign up
 - 24 and -- and do it. It -- I've never been a poll watcher at a
 - 25 specific site myself. I...

John Bucy III August 09, 2022 Pages 158 to 161

Page 158

- 1 two months ago, roughly.
- Q And -- and the elections committee had -- was SB1 2
- 3 discussed at that hearing?
- A To some extent, because we talked about the -- the 5 poll-watcher training.
- Q Did you -- were any county election administrators 7 present? Yes or no or I don't know.
- A I believe there were. I know Chris Davis wasn't
- 9 there, which I thought was --
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 Α You know, he -- he had a conflict.
- 12 So outside of the single hearing that you've had with
- 13 the elections committee --
- 14 A I don't remember any specific conversations outside 15 of that.
- 16 Q Representative Bucy, I've got to ask --
- 17 Α Oh, I'm sorry.
- 18 Q -- the whole question.
- 19 A Go ahead. Yeah.
- 20 Q That's okay. All right. Outside of the hearing that
- 21 you attended sometime after the passage of SB1, are there --
- 22 are there any specific county election officials that you've
- 23 talked to about SB1? And that's a yes or no.
- 24 A Not that I recall.
- 25 Q Okay. A signature verification. In the old days

- 1 affixing ID numbers on ballots by mail.
- A Yes. 2
- 3 Okay. Now, you opposed, though, having -- having

Page 160

- 4 verification by ID on ballots by mail; is that correct?
- 5 A Voting is a fundamental right, and so adding
- 6 restrictions that will make it harder, I oppose those, yes.
- Okay. So any match of any ID, you opposed. 7
- I mean, I don't know if that's true. I -- I'm not
- 9 sure we -- we -- that was even on the table. We have some
- 10 policies and law around voter ID that are set right now, and,
- 11 in fact, I tried to expand on some of the voter ID. I had a
- 12 bill to do that would have allowed, you know, like student IDs.
- 13 for example, to use, and -- and that was fought back and -- and
- 14 not passed. So -- so I don't -- I don't think I would say
- 15 that. I just think we want to make sure we're very careful
- 16 when you add any provisions around our fundamental right to the
- 17 ballot box.
- 18 Q Okay. Is there any verification system outside of
- 19 signatures that you advocated for implementing?
- 20 A I don't know if it would directly speak to that.
- 21 I've been very passionate for online voter registration, which
- 22 I think would lead to better data and therefore better rolls
- 23 and information around voting because it's put in directly by
- 24 the individual, it's typed in so there's not -- as you just
- 25 talked about with signatures, there's not interpretation to

- 1 prior to SB1, when you sent in a mail-in ballot, the method
- 2 that was utilized was signature verification; correct?
- A Correct.
- 4 Q And that -- that was utilized to determine whether or
- 5 not the person that sent in the ballot was the -- in fact the
- person that was registered to vote for that ballot; correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- Q Okay. And signature verification was the only method
- -- mechanism for verifying; correct?
- 10 A I'll take your word for it.
- 11 Okay. Can you think of any others?
- 12 Α No, no.
- 13 Q Okay. Now, you would agree that there's some
- 14 significant issues with signature verification as being a -- a
- 15 -- an objective verifier; correct?
- A I would agree, and we heard from disability groups
- 17 and senior groups and others with concerns around it.
- 18 Q Okay. And you would agree with me that signatures
- 19 sometimes change in people's lives.
- 20
- 21 You would agree with me that because of disabilities,
- 22 signatures can be different than the original signature.
- 23
- Okay. And you would agree with me that signatures 24
- 25 are -- are a far more subjective measure than placing and

- Page 161 1 handwriting, there's not a middle person to -- to deliver it,
- 2 so that's one area that I fought for that I think would
- 3 modernize and create safer and more accurate data.
- 4 MR. SWEETEN: Okay. I might have to object as
- 5 nonresponsive because my question is something different.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Oh.
- 7 BY MR. SWEETEN (resuming):
- 8 Q My question is: Did you advocate for any other sort
- 9 of verification for mail-in ballots?
- 10 Not that I recall at this moment.
- 11 Can -- are there any that you have in mind right now
- 12 outside of signature verifications that you believe would
- 13 improve the system and -- and make sure that those that are
- 14 sending in the ballots are in fact those who were entitled to
- 15 cast their ballot?
- A I -- I have a bill that would allow for, like, 16
- 17 individuals with disability to be able to use -- kind of like
- 18 we do with the military overseas, send the ballot and therefore
- 19 they can use their computer to fill it out. So let's say if
- 20 they -- if they -- if they're blind, visually challenged, they
- 21 could use their technology to fill out their ballot and then
- 22 still send back and -- a specific -- you know, they can still
- 23 send -- print and send back a paper ballot, but like we do with
- 24 the military, be able to E-mail that ballot through a secured
- 25 network that we've been using. So I've propose that, and that

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX FF

Transcript of the Testimony of **Bob Kafka**

Date:

April 07, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Bob Kafka April 07, 2022 Pages 106 to 109

1

Page 106

- 1 on the parent to do. And now, not only because of economics,
- 2 but just, you know, empowerment of women, they are not able to
- 3 be at home where traditionally. And so that's why finding
- 4 attendants is so critical and why, you know, just adding
- 5 potential for criminal penalty is just another thing to make it
- 6 more difficult to find an attendant.
- 7 Q. Do you know if Mr. Fernandez intends to vote in the
- 8 May primary?
- A. All I can say is that we encourage people -- you
- 10 know, again, our mission is to promote and get out the vote,
- 11 you know, whatever his candidate would be.
- Q. So at the moment you don't know one way or another
- 13 whether Mr. Fernandez intends to vote in the May primary?
- A. No, not unless he's afraid of me. And I tell him --
- 15 I don't know what I would tell him, but I don't know.
- Q. Same question but as to Ms. Litzinger. Do you have 16
- 17 any idea one way or another whether Ms. Litzinger intends to
- 18 vote in the May primary?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Fernandez has had any
- 21 trouble finding an assistant in advance of preparations to vote
- 22 in the May primary?
- 23 A. Only like I said before, the difficulties had just
- 24 finding an attendant for his personal care. So I will assume
- 25 he will have some difficulty with the addition of the SB 1

- Page 108 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Fernandez made any request
- 2 prior to the March primary for accommodations to allow him to
- 3 vote in the March primary?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Litzinger asked for any
- 6 accommodations in advance of the March primary of 2022 to allow
- 7 her to vote in that primary?
- A. I do not know.
- 9 Q. And so, presumably, you also wouldn't know whether
- 10 Mr. Fernandez was denied an accommodation?
- A. Correct. 11
- 12 Q. You also wouldn't know whether Ms. Litzinger was
- 13 denied an accommodation, correct?
- 14 A. No. We don't track individual and how they vote or
- 15 don't vote.
- 16 Q. Let's talk about Ms. Halvorson. Do you know whether
- 17 Ms. Halvorson voted in the March 22 primary?
- 18 A. I do not know.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether she intends to vote in the May
- 20 primary?
- 21 A. I do not know.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether she had requested an
- 23 accommodation in advance of the March primary to allow her to
- 24 vote?

1

25 A. I do not know.

- 1 criminalization.
- Q. Same question but as to Ms. Litzinger. Do you know
- 3 whether she's had any difficulties finding an attendant or an

- A. Not specifically to the voting, but I know the
- 7 difficulty finding the attendant in general for her personal
- 8 care needs.
- 9 Q. Do you know if Mr. Fernandez has requested any
- 10 accommodation from any county election official to assist him
- 11 in voting in the May primary?
- A. I don't know in the May primary. I do know that he 12
- 13 has used an assistant in previous voting. And so I just don't
- 14 know specifically what his intentions are for the May runoff.
- 16 you misheard me.
- 17 I just asked if Mr. Fernandez, to your
- 18 knowledge, has requested any accommodation from a county
- 19 election official to allow him to vote in the May primary.
- 21
- 22 whether Ms. Litzinger has reached out to any county election
- 23 official to ask for an accommodation to assist her in voting in
- 24 the May primary?

- 4 assistant to assist her in voting in the May primary on account
- 5 of Senate Bill 1?

- 15 Q. Let me repeat that question because I think maybe
- 20 A. Oh, I do not know.
- Q. Same question but as to Ms. Litzinger. Do you know
- 25 A. No.

- Page 109 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Halvorson has requested an
- 2 accommodation in advance of the May primary that would allow
- 3 her to vote?
- A. I do not know. And same answer is that we don't 4 5 track how people vote.
- Q. I understand and I don't mean to be tedious about
- 7 it. I have just got to have --
- A. I know. 8
- 9 Q. -- this for the record.
- 10 A. I respect that.
- 11 Q. With regard to Ms. Halvorson, do you know if she had
- 12 any difficulty finding an assistant if she needed one to help
- 13 her vote in the March 22 primary?
- 14 A. No, but I can tell you -- uh, not specific to
- 15 voting, she is on a respirator 24 hours a day and has had
- 16 critical difficulty in finding a personal care attendant for
- 17 months actually. So, again, I don't know specifically for
- 18 voting. But, again, as my answer for all three of those
- 19 individuals, the idea of finding an attendant today is, you
- 20 know, very, very -- it is a crisis in the infrastructure of our 21 whole community attendants.
- 22 Q. Well, I think you would also agree that that crisis
- 23 existed before Senate Bill 1, right?
- 24 A. Right, but the criminalization in SB 1 only adds to
- 25 the potential reason they can't find somebody.

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX GG

Sadia Tirmizi May 08, 2023

IN THE UNITED STAT WESTERN DISTE SAN ANTONIC	
LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,))
v.) Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR) (LEAD CASE)
GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et al.,	,))
Defendants.	
OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et al.,))
Plaintiffs,))
V.) Case No. 1:21-cv-780-XR
JANE NELSON, et al.,	
Defendants.	,)
HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
V.) Case No. 5:21-cv-848-XR
GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT, et al.,	
Defendants.	,)



Sadia Tirmizi May 08, 2023 Pages 2 to 5

		Pages 2 to
IIIIAG TEVAC1	Page 2	
LULAC TEXAS, et al., Plaintiffs, V. JANE NELSON, et al., Defendants.)))) Case No. 1:21-cv-0786-XR)))	A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF THE STATE DEFENDANTS: AMY S. HILTON, ESQ. WILL WASSDORF, ESQ. TIFFANY DUNCAN Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 12548 Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548
MI FAMILIA VOTA, et al., Plaintiffs, V. GREG ABBOTT, et al., Defendants.))) Case No. 5:21-cv-0920-XR)))	Telephone: (512) 463-2120 amy.hilton@oag.texas.gov will.wassdorf@oag.texas.gov ON BEHALF OF THE LUPE PLAINTIFFS: PATRICK BERRY, ESQ. SEAN MORALES-DOYLE, ESQ. Brennan Center for Justice 120 Broadway Suite 1750 New York, New York 10271
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,))) Case No. 5:21-cv-1085-XR)))	berryp@brennan.law.nyu.edu morales-doyles@brennan.law.nyu.edu ALSO PRESENT: Reggie Wright, Videographer, Magna Legal Service scheduling@sawmez.com ALSO PRESENT VIA ZOOM: Charles Gehnrich, LUPE Kenneth Broughton Leigh Ann Tognetti, Hidalgo County Lisa Cubriel, Bexar County Stephen Kenny, Jones Day
		Stephen Kenny, Jones Day
ORAL & VIDEO DEPO	Page 3 OSITION OF:	Page 1 INDEX 2 APPEARANCES
SADIA TIRMIZI		3 SADIA TIRMIZI
May 8, 2023		4 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hilton
		8 Reporter's Certificate 60
Oral & video deposition of SA a witness at the instance of the sworn, was taken in the above-sthe 8th day of May, 2023, before Certified Court Reporter, at 209 Austin, Texas 78701.	defendants, and duly styled and numbered cause on e Patrick Stephens,	17 18 19 20 21 22 23
		24 25



Sadia Tirmizi May 08, 2023
Pages 50 to 53

Page 50

- 1 Q Okay. Are you aware that before Senate Bill 1 was
- 2 enacted that there -- the ballot, if there were some defect with
- 3 it, would not have been returned to the voter for it to be
- 4 cured?
- 5 A I did not know that.
- 6 Q Okay. Are you aware that the Texas Election Code
- 7 allows an election officer to deliver a ballot to a voter
- 8 curbside if they are unable to enter the polling place without
- 9 assistance?
- 10 A I've heard of that.
- 11 Q Okay. Is that something that you know one way or the
- 12 other was being offered at Tarrant County?
- 13 A I don't know. When we pulled up to the election site
- 14 -- the polling site, I didn't see that as an option, so we just
- 15 parked and went in.
- 16 Q Okay. Is that an option that either you or your
- 17 parents have ever exercised before in the past?
- 18 A No
- 19 Q Other than your parents, and by extension, your
- 20 experience in the November 2022 general election, are you aware
- 21 of any other person requiring voter assistance who had issues
- 22 voting in -- in the election?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Okay. Other than your parents' experience, and by
- 25 extension, your experience, are you aware of any person with

- Q Are you aware that voters have the option of
- 2 requesting an accommodation or a change to normal voting

Page 52

- 3 procedures?
- 4 A I'm not aware.
- 5 Q Did you or either of your parents submit a request for
- 6 accommodation?
- 7 A Not other than just a request for a mail-in ballot.
- 8 Q Okay. But not a -- not a request for any sort of
- 9 special accommodation or change to the normal course of
- 10 requesting a mail-in ballot.
 - A No, I didn't realize that was an option.
- 12 MS. HILTON: Okay. I think now would be a good
- 13 time to take a break so I can kind of see if we can roll through
- 14 this, if --

11

- 15 MR. BERRY: Okay.
- 16 MS. HILTON: -- if that's okay.
- 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off video record at
- 18 11:38 a.m.
- 19 (A recess was taken.)
- 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on video record at
- 21 12:24 p.m.
- 22 BY MS. HILTON (resuming):
- 23 Q Good afternoon. We're -- I just have a few more
- 24 questions for you and then we'll -- we'll close out. First, I
- 25 want to just summarize what I think your testimony is. Please

- 1 disabilities who had trouble voting in the November 2022 general
- 2 election?
- 3 A Not personally.
- 4 Q Okay. And were you able to cast a ballot in the
- 5 November 2022 general election?
- 6 A I was.
- 7 Q Did you cast it in person or by mail?
- 8 A In person.
- 9 Q And that was Travis County?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q With respect to your mom's experience voting in person
- 12 in Tarrant County, did she -- did any election worker refuse to
- 13 assist her with voting?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Was the person who assisted her, was that someone that
- 16 she chose of her own volition?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Okay. Are you aware that -- that a voter can obtain
- 19 assistance from a person of their choice?
- 20 A I was not aware.
- 21 Q Okay. Did your mom or your dad or you submit any sort
- 22 of complaint to any entity, any public office, regarding your
- 23 experience voting and your parents' experience in the November
- 24 2022 general election?
- 25 A I didn't think about it. In hindsight, I wish I had.

- Page 53 1 correct me if I'm wrong, but this is my understanding of the
- 2 issues that you experienced with your parents' voting in
- 3 November of 2022: The first issue was buying stamps for the
- 4 mail-in ballot for your dad; the second issue I have listed is
- 5 the assistor in Tarrant County when your mom went to vote in
- 6 person; the third issue I have is your mom's mail-in ballot
- 7 being rejected; and then the final issue I have is the signature
- 8 requirement for your dad's mail-in ballot. Are there any other
- 9 things that I've missed in terms of what the issues were with
- 10 your parents' voting in the 2022 general election?
- 11 A No --
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A -- those were it.
- 14 Q Okay. I have some follow-up questions for you about
- 15 the assistor in -- in Tarrant County. I know you indicated
- 16 previously that the distance between you to your mom and the
- 17 assistor at the booth was approximately between where you are at
- 18 the table right now and where I am; is that right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Could you identify for the record about how far away
- 21 that is?
- 22 A I'm really bad at that --
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A -- but probably 5-ish feet.
- 25 Q Okay. And how did your mom get this assistor? Did



La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX HH

Transcript of the Testimony of Teri Saltzman

Date:

July 15, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO V. GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Teri Saltzman

July 15, 2022

Pages 22 to 25 Page 22 Page 24 influence do you think the national organization has on 1 Election Code and I am going to read a selection to you. the REV UP Texas chapter? 2 Is that all right? 2 A How much influence? I think it's an education 3 Α Yes. 3 4 Election code Title 1 is introductory 4 awareness. 5 Q And who decides what education to share? The provisions; Chapter 1, general provisions. And I am 6 REV UP Texas chapter or the national chapter? going to go to the last section, Section 1.0122 [sic], 7 Reasonable Accommodation or Modification. 7 A I would think the national chapter. 8 Q Do you know where the national chapter is 8 "A provision of this code may not be 9 based? 9 interpreted to prohibit or limit the right of a qualified individual with a disability from requesting a 10 A I am not certain what the -- who the national 10 reasonable accommodation or modification to any election 11 chapter is. 11 12 standard, practice, or procedure mandated by law or rule Q Understood. 12 13 Okay. I would like to discuss the passage of 13 that the individual is entitled to request under federal 14 SB 1. Is that all right? 14 or state law." 15 15 Ms. Saltzman, were you aware that Texas's Α Yes. Did you meet with any members of the Texas 16 16 election law prohibits election authorities from denying 17 legislature to discuss SB 1 before its passage? 17 a voter a reasonable accommodation to any election 18 18 standard, practice, or procedure? 19 MS. DAVIS: Objection, form. 19 Did you write or speak with any member of the 20 Texas legislature on the subject of SB 1 before or after 20 Q You may answer. 21 it passed? 21 Α Yes. 22 Have you ever been denied a reasonable 22 A No. 23 Q Did you offer any testimony during the public 23 accommodation in Texas? 24 Α No. 24 hearings before its passage? 25 25 Are you personally aware of any member of The A No. Page 23 Page 25 Q So it would be fair to say that you weren't Arc of Texas or REV UP Texas who has had a reasonable 1 involved in the legislative process regarding the accommodation denied? 3 passage of SB 1? 3 Α No. 4 4 I would like to discuss your disability for a A Yes, that would be fair. 5 Q Do you think any member of the legislature 5 little bit. Would that be all right? 6 sought to make the voting process less accessible for 6 Yes. 7 folks with disabilities through SB 1? 7 Q What is or are the nature of your disability or disabilities? 8 A No. 8 9 Q Can you point to any member of the legislature 9 A I'm legally blind. I see 2400 in my right eye who you think intended to discriminate against folks 10 and count fingers in my left eye. with disabilities through SB 1? 11 So your left eye is worse than your right eye? 11 Q 12 Is that --12 A No. 13 13 Α MS. DAVIS: Objection, form. Yes. 14 You can answer. 14 Q Q Do you have any other disabilities? 15 Α No. 15 Α Do you think it would be a good thing if SB 1 16 16 Q What challenges to major life activities do you face as a result of your legal blindness? guaranteed disabled folks the right to have a reasonable 17 18 MS. DAVIS: Objection, form. 18 accommodation if they need one? 19 19 MS. DAVIS: Object to --Q You may answer. 20 A Yes. 20 A Being able to read everyday things, being able 21 to read to be able to do my job, be able to drive. I do MS. DAVIS: -- form. 21 22 A Yes. not drive. Just everyday things that you would do with 22 23 Q Okay. I am going to introduce Exhibit B. 23 vision.

24

25

(EXHIBIT B WAS MARKED.)

Q Okay. Exhibit B is Chapter 1 of the Texas

24

25

Q Are you able to do certain things with

software or other things which improve your visual

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX II

Transcript of the Testimony of Jennifer Martinez

Date:

April 12, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022
Pages 58 to 61

Page 58 1 and we are off the record.

- 2 (Recess.)
- 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:36 a.m.
- 4 and we are on the record.
- 5 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) So you've mentioned supported
- 6 employment, inclusive education, any other issues that
- 7 Arc of Texas contends it's not able to handle on account
- 8 of Senate Bill 1?
- 9 A. Mentioned Alex Cogan working criminal justice
- 10 work and being moved to some voting rights issues as
- 11 well.

2

- 12 Q. Aside from those three areas, are there any
- 13 other diversion of resources that Arc of Texas is
- 14 engaged in on account of Senate Bill1?
- 15 A. Communications team, policies team, in those
- 16 areas, I think is inclusive. My time right now.
- 17 MR. HUDSON: I mean, I didn't designate
- 18 you. For the record or anybody reading the transcript
- 19 of that, I chuckled after it was a joke. I know we're
- 20 not supposed to make those at depositions, but --
- 21 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) I'm gonna hand you what I'm
- 22 gonna mark as Defendant's 2. So I'll let you examine
- 23 that for a second, but for purposes of identification on
- 24 the record, Defendant's 2 is a copy of UCF 199, which is
- 25 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint to include the Arc
 - Page 59
- 1 of Texas.
- 3 MS. LOPEZ: Just to clarify, do you need

(Exhibit No. 2 marked.)

- 4 her to look through it right now?
- 5 MR. HUDSON: No. I was gonna -- I'm gonna
- 6 ask some questions, but I want to give you the
- 7 opportunity if you want to take a look at it. I don't
- 8 want to slow you down. Some deponents like to look at
- 9 everything before I start asking questions. I'll defer
- 10 to you about what you want to do.
- 11 A. I'm fine moving forward.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. If you'll go ahead and
- 13 flip to Page 21 of Defendant's 2 for me. You see at the
- 14 top where it has No. 49?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So -- and you've mentioned a few times today --
- 17 well, I -- I guess, let me ask this. I didn't go into
- 18 your background too much. Do you have any legal
- 19 training?
- 20 A. I do not.
- 21 Q. Do you have any legal education?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. What is the highest level of education
- 24 you've completed?
- 25 A. Masters.

- 1 Q. And where did you matriculate?
- 2 A. Saint Edwards.
- 3 Q. And for undergraduate?
- 4 A. Texas A & M.
- 5 Q. Aside from Texas A & M and Saint Edwards, have
- 6 you matriculated any other university without completing
- 7 your degree?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you have a terminal degree in any field?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Paragraph 49 reads, Plaintiff, the Arc of Texas
- 12 challenges Sections 5.02, 5.03, 5.06, 5.07, 5.10, 6.03,
- 13 6.04, 6.05, and 6.07 of SB 1. Did I read that
- 14 correctly?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. The SB 1 reference there, you would
- 17 agree with me it's the same SB 1 and Senate Bill 1 that
- 18 we've been referring to throughout the day?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Are you familiar with the challenged sections
- 21 in Paragraph 49?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Are there any sections aside from those cited
- 24 in Paragraph 49 of Defendant's 2 that the Arc of Texas
- 25 is challenging through this lawsuit?

Page 61

Page 60

- 1 A. No.
 - 2 Q. Paragraph 58 on Page 24, please flip there for
- 3 me, if you would. Paragraph 58 reads, the Arc of Texas
- 4 member, Amy Litzinger is harmed by Section 6.03, 6.04,
- 5 6.05, and 6.07 Senate Bill 1. Did I read that
- 6 correctly?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Have you ever met Ms. Litzinger before?
- 9 A. I have.
- 10 Q. Who is she?
- 11 A. She is a Austin native and a great advocate, a
- 12 great self advocate.
- 13 Q. Okay. And you're bringing suit on her behalf
- 14 as a member of the Arc of Texas?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you know that she's also a member of Rev Up
- 17 Texas?

16

- 18 A. That does not surprise me.
- 19 Q. Does it surprise you that Rev Up Texas is also
- 20 bringing suit on her behalf for the exact same
- 21 provisions that you cited?
- 22 A. I -- I don't have any information on Rev Up's.
- 23 Q. Do you have any idea whether the harm that you
- 24 think Ms. Litzinger is suffering in your lawsuit is
- 25 different from the harm that she says she's suffering in

Page 62

Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022
Pages 62 to 65

1 the Rev Up lawsuit?

- 2 A. I don't have information on that.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know what Ms. Litzinger's
- 4 disabilities are, if any?
- 5 A. I -- I'm from reading and she's a quadriplegic
- 6 cerebral palsy. I -- I do not know that as a person
- 7 knows her.
- 8 Q. You would agree with me the Arc of Texas
- 9 doesn't keep lists of member's disabilities, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And you don't know whether the office of the
- 12 Attorney General keeps lists of anyone's disabilities in
- 13 Texas, right?
- 14 A. I -- I do not know that.
- 15 Q. You don't know whether the Secretary of State
- 16 of Texas' office keeps a list of anyone's disabilities
- 17 in the state of Texas, right?
- 18 A. I don't know that.
- 19 Q. You don't know whether the office of the
- 20 Governor maintains lists of disabilities for anybody in
- 21 the State of Texas, right?
- 22 A. I don't know that.
- Q. You don't know whether any county elections
- 24 administrator maintains lists of disabilities, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

Page 64
1 herself on what needs she has and how we could support

- 2 her.
- 3 Q. Would that be true of every person with a
- 4 disability?
- 5 A. I can't speak for every person with a
- 6 disability.
- 7 Q. Right. I guess, let me ask it another way
- 8 because I think we're saying the same thing.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. You would agree with me that you would let
- 11 every person with a disability speak for themselves on
- 12 what accommodations they needed, right?
- 13 A. I think there's a list of likely supports that
- 14 many individuals with disabilities need. Each
- 15 individual does have unique needs.
- 16 Q. Do you know off the top of your head what 6.03,
- 17 6.04, 6.05, or 6.07 say?
- 18 A. Off the top of my head, I don't.
- 19 Q. I'm gonna hand you a copy of what I'm marking
- 20 as Defendant's 3. Go ahead and take a look at that and
- 21 let me know when you're ready to discuss it.
- 22 (Exhibit No. 3 marked.)
- 23 A. I'm ready.
- 24 Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Have you ever personally

Page 65

25 spoken to Ms. Litzinger?

- Q. You say that Ms. Litzinger is harmed by 6.03,
- 2 6.04, 6.05, and 6.07; is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Are there any other provisions of Senate Bill 1
- 5 that Arc of Texas contends Ms. Litzinger is harmed by?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Litzinger has ever
- 8 requested an accommodation from any elections
- 9 administrator to discern voting?
- 10 A. I do not know what accommodation my individual
- 11 members have asked for.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what
- 13 accommodation, if any, Ms. Litzinger would need to vote?
- 14 A. I would not presume to know what her unique
- 15 support needs are.
- 16 Q. That's interesting unique. You would agree
- 17 with me that disabilities are unique, right?
- 18 A. Each individual support needs are individual to
- 19 them, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. So what might accommodate one person
- 21 might not necessarily accommodate another even if they
- 22 have the same disability, right?
- 23 A. There's a list of reasonable accommodations
- 24 that we can expect folks with disabilities to need, but
- 25 individuals -- I -- I would allow her to speak for

- 1 A. I have.
- 2 Q. Have you spoken with Mrs. Litzinger about this
- 3 lawsuit?
- 4 A. I have not.
- 5 Q. Do you know if Ms. Litzinger has agreed to be
- 6 listed as a person in this lawsuit?
- 7 A. Yes, she has.
- 8 Q. On Defendant's 3, who told you Ms. Litzinger's
- 9 agreed to be listed in this lawsuit?
- 10 A. My attorneys.
- 11 Q. Okay. 6.03 that starts on Page 51. I need you
- 12 to flip there for me.
- 13 A. I'm sorry what page was that?
- 14 Q. 51 on Defendant's 3.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. And actually before I ask you questions about
- 17 that, can you flip to the last page first, Page 76?
- 18 Have you ever seen Page 76 before?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. What is your understanding of what Page
- 21 76 represents?
- 22 A. It's the bill being signed into law.
- 23 Q. So I'll represent to you that this is a copy of
- 24 the enrolled and signed version of Senate Bill 1 and the
- 25 signatures on the back are of the person of the Senate,

Jennifer Martinez

April 12, 2022

Pages 90 to 93

Page 90

- 1 Mr. Fernandez is making in this case on the same
- 2 provisions are any different than the claims he's making
- 3 in the Rev Up lawsuit?
- 4 A. I do not know that.
- 5 Q. Have you personally spoken to Mr. Fernandez?
- 6 A. I have not.
- 7 Q. Do you know if Mr. Fernandez voted in the March
- 8 22 primary?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you know if Mr. Fernandez needed assistance
- 11 to vote in the March 22 primary?
- 12 A. I do not.
- 13 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Fernandez asked for
- 14 assistance to vote in March 22 primary?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Do you know if he was unable to acquire
- 17 assistance to vote in March 22 primary?
- 18 A. I don't know that.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Fernandez intends to
- 20 vote in any future elections?
- 21 A. I -- I don't know that.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know what accommodations, if any,
- 23 Mr. Fernandez would need to vote on account of
- 24 Section 6.03 of Senate Bill 1?
- 25 A. I don't know individual members accommodation

Q. Okay. Would that answer be the same for

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Do you know whether she needed any
- 3 accommodations to vote in the March 22 primary?
- 4 A. I do not.
- 5 Q. Do you know whether she requested assistance to
- 6 vote in the March 22 primary?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you know if she was unable to acquire
- 9 assistance to vote in the March 22 primary?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. What about going forward? Do you know
- 12 whether -- had Ms. Halvorson intends to vote in any
- 13 future elections?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. Do you know whether she'll need any reasonable
- 16 accommodations?
- 17 A. I don't know that.
- 18 Q. Do you know whether she's ever requested
- 19 accommodations to vote?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Halvorson will be
- 22 requesting assistance to vote in the future?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 Q. Okay. Does Arc of Texas know what harm
- 25 Ms. Halvorson has suffered on account of Section 6.03 of

Page 91

- 1 needs.
- 3 Sections 6.4, 6.05, and 6.07?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. I'm gonna get you back to Page 25
- 6 unfortunately I skipped over that. You see
- 7 Paragraph 59?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. It says the Arc of Texas member Laura
- 10 Halvorson's harmed by Section 6.03, 6.04, 6.05 and 6.07
- 11 of Senate Bill 1, you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I'll ask you the same question. Would it
- 14 surprise you to know that Ms. Halvorson is also listed
- 15 as an individual by Rev Up as one of their members who's
- 16 been harmed by the same provisions in their lawsuit?
- 17 A. No, I'm not surprised.
- 18 Q. Do you have any idea why the same 3 people are
- 19 filing or -- or claiming membership in 2 different
- 20 organizations as being harmed by the same provisions and
- 21 being listed as members in -- in both those lawsuits?
- 22 A. I don't know that.
- 23 Q. I know this is a bit tedious, but I'll ask you
- 24 the same questions. Do you know whether Ms. Halvorson
- 25 voted in the March 22 primary?

- 1 Senate Bill 1?
- A. I -- I don't I can look here to see what she
- 3 has said, but as a systems advocacy organization, again,
- 4 we don't look at individual case management issues.
- 5 Q. Okay. Would that answer be the same for
- 6 Sections 6.04, 6.05, and 6.07?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And I think I forgot to ask this so I'm
- 9 gonna take you back through it. Do you know whether
- 10 Mr. Fernandez has ever requested reasonable
- 11 accommodations to vote under any federal law?
- 12 A. I -- I don't know.
- 13 Q. Same question, but with regard to state?
- 14 A. I'm unaware of his accommodation needs.
- 15 Q. What about Ms. Litzinger, do you know if she's
- 16 ever requested accommodations to vote under any federal
- 17 law?
- 18 A. I don't know that.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether she's requested
- 20 accommodations to vote under any state law?
- 21 A. I don't know that.
- 22 Q. Let's talk about Ms. Pugh, that's Page 27
- 23 Paragraph 61. Have you ever spoken with Ms. Pugh?
- 24 A. I have.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you have any idea what disabilities

Page 93

Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022 Pages 94 to 97

Page 94 1 afflict Ms. Pugh?

- A. I -- I don't know her diagnosis, I can see it
- 3 here, I know that she's in a wheelchair.
- Q. Did you talk to Ms. Pugh in preparation for
- 5 your testimony today?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any idea whether Ms. Pugh 7
- 8 has requested accommodations to vote in Texas?
- A. I don't.
- 10 Q. Do you know whether she voted in the March 22
- 11 primary?
- 12 A. I don't.
- Q. Do you know whether she requested assistance to
- 14 vote in the March 22 primary?
- 15 A. I don't.
- 16 Q. Do you know whether she had any difficulty
- 17 acquiring assistance if she needed it to vote in the
- 18 March 22 primary?
- 19 A. I -- I don't know that.
- Q. Do you know whether Ms. Pugh requested any 20
- 21 accommodations to vote in the March 22 primary?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Pugh intends to ask for
- 24 assistance to vote in future elections?
- 25 A. I don't know that.

Page 96 1 challenging that we've discussed today and that are

- 2 cited in Paragraph 49 of your live complaint, let's
- 3 assume the Court enjoin those, would Arc of Texas then
- 4 have to reconfigure it's training to address the changes
- 5 resulting from that injunction?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. How long would it take you to make changes 7
- 8 based on any changes from a court?
- 9 A. It depends on the number of changes, the
- 10 complexity of those changes, to answer that question.
- Q. As you sit here right now, would it be fair to 11
- 12 say you have -- you don't know.
- 13 A. I don't know.
 - Q. But it would be fair to say that you would have
- 15 to move resources to address those changes?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Let me get you to flip to Page 90 of
- 18 Defendant's 2. You see Roman Numeral 8 there on the
- 19 page?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. It says allegations of voter fraud in the 2020
- 22 election are unsupported by the facts and contradicted
- 23 by comments of the Secretary of State. Did I read that
- 24 correctly?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 95

- Q. Okay. Do you have any idea what harm is
- 2 specific to Ms. Pugh with regard to Section 6.03 of
- 3 Senate Bill 1?
- A. No. 4

1

- Q. Would that answer be the same for Sections
- 6 6.04, 6.05, and 6.07?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. With regard to the provisions that are cited in
- 9 Paragraph 61, again, that's 6.03, 6.04, 6.05, and 6.07
- 10 of Senate Bill 1, are there any other provisions that
- 11 Arc of Texas contends have harm to Ms. Pugh?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Has Arc of Texas had to spend any additional
- 14 money attributable to Senate Bill 1?
- A. We have a operating budget that is set and we
- 16 have reallocated resources from other thins to focus on
- 17 SB 1.
- 18 Q. So the money's finite you've moved it around as
- 19 the contingent?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Would it be helpful to Arc of Texas if you had
- 22 a bigger budget?
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 Q. If Arc of Texas -- let's assume Arc of Texas
- 25 wins this lawsuit and the provisions that you're

- Q. Does Arc of Texas contend that there's never
- 2 been voter fraud in Texas?
- A. The Arc of Texas doesn't know that.
- 4 Q. Okay. You don't have any reason to dispute
- 5 whether there has been or has not been, right?
- A. We're not hearing that as a complaint from our
- 7 membership or concern.
- Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you don't have 8
- 9 information one way or the other about whether voter
- 10 fraud has occurred in Texas?
- 11 A. Our membership has not brought that up as an
- 12 issue that they're concerned about.
- 13 Q. So the answer's no, you don't have any
- 14 information?
- 15 MS. LOPEZ: Objection. Asked and answered.
- A. I don't have information from our membership 16
- 17 that that's a concern if there is.
- Q. (BY MR. HUDSON) Okay. Do you have information 18
- 19 from anywhere else that voter fraud is or is not a
- 20 concern in Texas?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. If you flip over to Page 91, you see
- 23 Paragraph 246?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Reads, as of April 2021 with over 11.3 million

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,	S	
Plaintiffs,	S	
	S	
ν .	S	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
	S	
Gregory W. Abbott, et al.,	S	
Defendants.	8	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX JJ

Transcript of the Testimony of **Toby Cole**

Date:

June 28, 2022

Case:

LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO vs GREGORY W. ABBOTT

Toby Cole June 28, 2022 Pages 22 to 25

1

Page 22

requirement on the voter themselves. 2

A. It absolutely does.

Q. How so?

3

15

3

4

5

6

7

4 A. Because I have to pick the assister. I have to have an assister that is willing to take this oath, and I have to have an assister that's willing to be subject of prosecution if something of this oath goes amiss. So 7 8 absolutely it definitely put an onerous on me.

9 Q. So can you -- in this provision in the language 10 of the oath, can you point out specifically what

11 sections that you believe harm you?

12 A. Well, let's -- let's start with "I swear or 13 affirm under penalty of perjury," right? So when we started my deposition, what did you remind me of?

Q. That you were under oath.

16 A. That I was under oath under the penalty of 17 perjury, right? And how many times have you taken a 18 deposition or I've taken a deposition where halfway

19 through the deposition you remind the witness that it is

20 the penalty of perjury and that they can go to jail and 21 that -- and that this is something that could put them

22 in harm's way. And this is not for those folks to vote.

23 This is so that person can help me. So, yeah, that

24 right there -- that right there is very difficult. 25

Q. I mean, do you believe that someone taking this

MS. DAVIS: Objection; form.

2 A. I'll give you my example. Before I vote, I do research. And I can't write those things down. So I 3

Page 24

Page 25

use my assistants like I do in any deposition, like I'm

having NaShunda do now. And so, if it's a short ballot

6 initiative like the Constitutional amendment where

there's three, I'll have -- we'll do the research, and 7

I'll have that discussion. And I'll say, you know,

9 remind me that I'm going "A" on one, "B" on the other,

10 "yes" on this, "no" on that. Or if I'll have -- if it's

11 something more complicated, I'll have a sample ballot or

12 notes.

18

19

20

21

7

8

23

24

13 And then I'll need NaShunda or whoever's 14 with me, will you take the piece of paper? What am I going to vote on this one? What am I going to vote on 16 that one? And when they do that, they're violating this 17 oath.

Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) Do you believe that, by reminding you of your previously determined choices, that an assister is violating that provision?

A. It says it right here. (As read) "I will 22 confine my assistance to reading the ballot to the voter, directing the voter to read the ballot, marking 24 the voter's ballot, or directing the voter to mark the 25 ballot." That's all they can do. I don't know how I'm

Page 23

1 oath and taking it on honestly should be fearful of the penalty of perjury? 2

MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection; form.

A. I think that Harris County has prosecuted, I think, more people for election -- alleged election violations than maybe one other county in the entire state of Texas in the last five years. I think anybody 8 who is going to read this needs to be very concerned 9 about whether or not some other person at a later date 10 will say "You violated this oath" because, when we get 11 down to the third sentence or fourth sentence that I

14 I think anytime you take an oath that says 15 you're under the penalty of perjury, you better be very 16 serious about what vou're doing.

12 imagine we're going to get to, you did something wrong

13 there and so therefore you can go to jail.

17 Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) Okay. What's the next 18 section that you believe is harmful?

19 A. Okay. "I will not suggest, by word, sign, or 20 gesture, how the voter should vote." And it kind of 21 goes into "I will confine my assistance to reading the

22 ballot to the voter..." and -- and the rest of that 23 sentence right there.

24 Q. So do you believe that assisters should be able 25 to suggest how a voter should vote?

going to vote in the next election. 1

2 Q. Are you aware that it's the position of the State defendants that under this oath that assisters may

still assist voters with disabilities by, for example,

reading the voter's notes or otherwise refreshing their 6 recollection?

A. I'm sorry. You said who's position?

Q. The States's position, the State of Texas.

9 A. Where is that in writing? Because it could be 10 your position right now, but it may not be the position

of the next person at the AG's office or the next point

12 of emphasis. So where does it say that? Because right

13 now the way this is written. I have to vote from memory,

and that's not fair. Or it's not a problem for me, I

15 just have to realize that the people that rely on me for

their lives, the jobs that I have for them can get

17 arrested at some point. So do I put them in that harm's 18 way?

19 Q. If there were some assurance that the assistance that you're describing is still permitted 21 under SB 1, would you agree that this oath provision 22 does not harm you?

MS. DAVIS: Objection; form.

A. No. The entire -- the entire idea of having to 25 take an oath under the penalty of perjury for anything Toby Cole

June 28, 2022

Pages 26 to 29

Page 26

is harmful.

- 2 And I'm not trying to be a smart-ass, but
- 3 do you see how this is problematic for me?
- 4 Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) Mr. Cole, I'm not under 5 deposition here. So...
- 6 A. But you are the AG -- you being -- your office,
- 7 and you'd be the ones that would prosecute the folks
- 8 that work for me.
- 9 Q. I -- I don't believe that's correct, but I'm
- 10 the one asking the questions.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Are there any other provisions of the oath that
- 13 you believe are harmful?
- 14 A. "I did not pressure or coerce the voter into
- 15 choosing me to provide assistance." This whole "I will
- 16 not suggest, by word, sign, or gesture, how the voter
- 17 should vote" is so insulting and so backwards that it's
- 18 even hard to read.
- 19 Q. So do you believe that someone should be
- 20 allowed to pressure or coerce another individual into
- 21 voting a certain way?
- A. No. But to believe that, because you have a
- 23 disability, you're susceptible to being coerced or
- 24 pressured because there's some reason we're mentally
- 25 infirm -- I mean, I thought we did away with Jim Crow
 - Page 27
- 1 laws a long time ago. I mean, do we need tests to make
- 2 sure that the assistant that we choose don't have undue
- 3 influence? No one else who votes has to sing something
- 4 that says whoever drove me -- my wife or whoever else --
- 5 didn't put pressure on me. It's just so paternalistic
- 6 and insulting that it's hard to read.
- 7 Q. Do you not believe that there are people out
- 8 there who would take advantage of their position to
- 9 pressure or coerce someone with regard to their vote?
- 10 A. Are you talking specifically about people with
- 11 disabilities that we surround ourselves with people that
- 12 pressure us, that we're not capable of finding people
- 13 who are resisting the pressure of people that would have
- 14 us vote?
- 15 Q. I think I'm asking more about, you know, the
- 16 potential assisters. I mean, there are nefarious people
- 17 in the world, are there not?
- 18 A. Yeah, there are. There are.
- 19 Q. And if someone requires an assister to help
- 20 them vote, should the State not take steps to ensure
- 21 that those people aren't taking unfair advantage?
- 22 MS. PAIKOWSKY: Objection; form.
- A. Did you hear what you just said? That I need
- 24 the protection of the State to make sure someone doesn't
- 25 take advantage of me because I'm disabled. No, I don't

- Page 28 1 need the AG's office to protect me from the people that
- 2 I hire, the people that I use to take care of my
- 3 children, that I use to help pay my bills, that I use to
- 4 practice law, that I use to help represent people that
- 5 need help. No, because I don't need you to do it at the
- 6 voting booth unless you want to show up and do it at a
- 7 million other places, no. This is not designed to help
- 8 protect me.

9

11

14

24

- Do you need it?
- 10 THE REPORTER: Can we take a break?
 - MR. WASSDORF: Sure.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 13 THE REPORTER: Off the record at 9:56.)
 - (Recess from 9:56 a.m. to 10:05 a.m.)
- 15 THE REPORTER: We are back on the record at
- 16 10:05.
- 17 Q. (BY MR. WASSDORF) So we just talked about the
- 18 oath provision of Senate Bill 1 which is Section 6.04 of
- 19 the bill. Let's go back by a section and look at
- 20 Section 6.03. And it starts at the very bottom of
- 21 page 51, but all of the text is on page 52.
- 22 A. Got it.
- 23 Q. Why don't you read that section to yourself and
 - let me know when you're finished.
- 25 A. (Witness complies.) Okay.

- 1 Q. Now, this section mainly requires an individual
- 2 assisting a voter to identify themselves, their
- 3 relationship to the voter, and whether they received
- 4 compensation from a candidate, campaign, or political
- 5 action committee; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you believe that that provision harms you or
- 8 other voters with disabilities in any way?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. How so?
- 11 A. Because why is it any business of the State who
- 12 helps me? Why should -- why should the people that help
- 13 me have to go on record and -- and have their
- 14 information taken by the secretary of the state? I mean
- 15 we don't do that in any other portion of things we do in
- 16 this world. So why in this circumstance -- what if --
- 17 what if I have somebody that's undocumented that helps
- 18 me? They can't. They're not going to help me because,
- 19 when this occurs, then they can be deported. So, yeah,20 absolutely.
- 21 And I'm sure you're familiar with the fact
- 22 that we don't have state assistance for people with
- 23 disabilities. There's no place of public money where
- 24 you can go and have people help you. So you have to
- 25 find people that can help you. And so sometimes those

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> ,	\$	
v.	§ §	Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
Gregory W. Abbott, et al., Defendants.	S S	

STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT CLAIMS

APPENDIX KK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO,) Civil Action Nos.

et al.,) 5:21-cv-00844-XR

Plaintiff,) 1:21-cv-00870-XR

vs.) 5:21-cv-00848-XR

Defendant.) 1:21-cv-00786-XR

) 5:21-cv-00920-XR

) 5:21-cv-01085-XR

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

MAY 11, 2022

REBECCA GUERRERO

Reported by
Rebecca Callow, RMR, CRR, RPR
Job No. 051122RG

	F0			52
1	50 I would just like to clarify that I	1	someone did request an accommodation for a specific	52
	understand that the questions you're asking are	2	requirement?	
3	based on my knowledge. But that any questions	3	A. No.	
4	regarding practices were to be referred to	4	Q. Do you have a sense if you would do your	
5	Bridgette Escobedo, who I rely on heavily for that.	5	best to provide a reasonable accommodation if one	
6	Q. And I will be supplementing your answers,	6	was requested?	
7	of course, by asking her questions during the	7	A. Yes.	
8	30(b)(6).	8	 Q. And you intend to comply to the full extent 	
9	And so we were talking a little bit	9	that is required by federal law. Correct?	
10	before about some State interests and I had one more	10	A. Yes.	
11	to ask you.	11	Q. And you would do your best to ensure to	
12	Do you think the State has an interest	12	comply with any accommodation that was required	
13	in preventing intimidation of voters?	13	under state law. Is that correct?	
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Miss, you need to	14	A. Yes.	
15	put your mic on.	15	Q. Were there any specific challenges that you	
16	BY MS. HUNKER:	16	faced when coming into this office with respect to	
17	Q. Do you want me to repeat the question?	17	elections?	
18	A. Yes, please.	18	A. Just the understanding of how the operation	
19	Q. Do you think the State has an interest in	19	is run.	
20	preventing intimidation of voters?	20	Q. And did you note observe any hiccups in	
21	A. Yes.	21	the office that occurred as a result of having a	
22	Q. Do you think the State has an interest in	22	transition between one county clerk to a new?	
23	preventing voters from being coerced to vote a	23	A. No.	
24	certain way?	24	MS. HUNKER: All right. With that, I	
25	A. Yes.	25	believe I am done and will pass the witness.	
	51			53
1	O Now you had mentioned that you don't plan	1	MP_NELSON: Are there any counsel who	53
1	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan	1 2	MR. NELSON: Are there any counsel who	53
2	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during	2	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions	53
2	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year.	2 3	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time?	53
2 3 4	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year.A. Correct.	3 4	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi	53
2 3 4 5	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in 	2 3 4 5	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any	53
2 3 4	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think 	2 3 4 5 6	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time?	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware of or would that be something that your elections 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware of or would that be something that your elections division A. The elections division would be notified, and then they would let me know of that as well. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware of or would that be something that your elections division A. The elections division would be notified, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware of or would that be something that your elections division A. The elections division would be notified, and then they would let me know of that as well. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Now, you had mentioned that you don't plan on redesigning or implementing new policies during your tenure year. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Are there any specific policies in the Travis County Election Division that you think need improvement? A. At this moment, I cannot speak on improvements. Q. So the last few questions I want to ask you is regarding the ADA, which is the Americans with Disabilities Act. Are you familiar with it A. Yes. Q in generality? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware if any voters have contacted your office about requesting an accommodation? A. No. Q. Would that be something you would be aware of or would that be something that your elections division A. The elections division would be notified, and then they would let me know of that as well. Q. Have you discussed with your the head of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are participating via Zoom who wish to ask questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? MR. MALHI: This is Jaywin Singh Malhi on behalf of the United States. I do not have any questions at this time. MR. NELSON: Are there any other counsel who are participating by Zoom who have questions of County Clerk Guerrero at this time? Going once Going twice Going three times We will reserve any questions. And, I believe, unless Ms. Hunker has anything else, we are concluded. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record then at 10:11 a.m.	53